# Chapter C: Algebraic Topology

Manifolds Homotopy

## Homotopy

This section will follow Munkres Chapters 9 and 13 closely. Possibly other chapters as well.

#### Definition 1.1: Path

A path is a continuous function from the unit interval  $f:[0,1] \to \mathbf{X}$ . We say f is a path form  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  if  $f(0) = x_0$  and  $f(1) = x_1$ .

We denote the set of paths from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$  by  $Path(x_0, x_1)$ . If  $f \in Path(x_0, x_1)$ , we sometimes denote the reversal of f by  $\overline{f} \in Path(x_1, x_0)$ , where  $\overline{f}(s) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} f(1-s)$ .

#### Definition 1.2: Loop

A loop at  $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$  is a path that begins and ends at  $x_0$ , and  $\text{Loop}(x_0) \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \text{Path}(x_0, x_0)$ . The constant path (or loop) at  $x_0$  is denoted by  $e_{x_0} : [0, 1] \to \mathbf{X}$ .

$$e_{x_0}(s) = x_0, \quad \forall s \in [0, 1]$$

## Definition 1.3: Homotopy of C(X, Y)

Let f, and g continuous functions from X to Y. f and g are homotopic, denoted by f = g if there exists a continuous function  $F \in C(X \times I, Y)$  where

$$F(x,0) = f(x)$$
 and  $F(x,1) = g(x)$  (1)

where I = [0, 1].

The function F is called the homotopy between f and g.

If  $f \simeq h$ , where h is the constant function, we say f is nulhomotopic.

## **Definition 1.4: Path Homotopy of** Path $(x_0, x_1)$

Two paths  $f_0$ ,  $f_1 \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$  are said to be *path homotopic*, if there exists a continuous function  $F \in C(I \times I, \mathbf{X})$ , with

• F is a homotopy between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  (in the sense of Definition 1.3). For every  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$F(s,0) = f_0(s)$$
 and  $F(s,1) = f_1(s)$  (2)

Manifolds Homotopy

• F leaves the endpoints fixed. For every  $t \in [0,1]$ , then

$$F(0,t) = x_0$$
 and  $F(1,t) = x_1$  (3)

If  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are path-homotopic, we write  $f_0 \simeq_p f_1$ .

- The function  $F \in C(I \times I, \mathbf{X})$  is called the path homotopy between  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ .
- If  $f \in \text{Loop}(x_0)$  is path homotopic to the constant path  $e_{x_0}$ , then f is nulhomotopic.
- The relation  $\simeq_p$  is defined for paths that have the same initial and final points. So it is a relation on  $\operatorname{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ .

## Proposition 1.1: Munkres Lemma 51.1

The relations  $\simeq$  and  $\simeq_p$  are equivalence relations on  $C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$  and  $\mathrm{Path}(x_0, x_1)$  respectively.

*Proof.*  $(f \simeq f)$ : Let  $f \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ . Define

$$F: \mathbf{X} \times I \to \mathbf{Y}$$
 For every  $t \in [0,1], F(x,t) = f(x)$ 

F is continuous, since  $F = \pi_{\mathbf{X}} \circ (f \times \mathrm{id}_{[0,1]})$ , where  $f \times \mathrm{id}_{[0,1]}$  is the product of two continuous functions, which is again continuous by Chapter A. Moreover, F(x,0) = f(x) = F(x,1), so F is a homotopy between f and itself.

 $(f \simeq g \implies g \simeq f)$ : Let F be the homotopy between f and g. Let G be the 'reversal' in the second coordinate of F, meaning

$$G(x,t) = F(x,1-t)$$
 is continuous, since  $G = F \circ (\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{X}} \times c)$ 

where  $c: I \to I$  that maps  $t \mapsto 1 - t$  is continuous, so  $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbf{X}} \times c$  is continuous; hence G is continuous. Notice for every  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ ,

$$G(x,0) = F(x,1) = g(x)$$
 and  $G(x,1) = F(x,0) = f(x)$ 

therefore G is a homotopy between g and f.

 $(f \simeq g, g \simeq h \Longrightarrow f \simeq h)$ : Let F be the homotopy between f and g, and G be the homotopy between g and h. Define a function  $H: \mathbf{X} \times I \to \mathbf{Y}$  that morphs f into g on  $[0, 2^{-1}]$ , then g into h on  $[2^{-1}, 1]$ 

$$H(x,t) = \begin{cases} F(x,2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) & \text{for } 0 \le t \le 2^{-1} \\ G(x,2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) & \text{for } 2^{-1} \le t \le 1 \end{cases}$$
 (4)

where  $[\cdot]$  denotes the floor function.

- H is well defined on the overlap  $\mathbf{X} \times 2^{-1}$ , since F(x,1) = G(x,0) = g(x) at every  $x \in \mathbf{X}$ .
- If t = 0, then H(x, 1) = F(x, 0) = f(x), and t = 1 gives H(x, 1) = G(x, 1) = h(x).
- Since  $H|_{\mathbf{X}\times[0,2^{-1}]}$  and  $H|_{\mathbf{X}\times[2^{-1},1]}$  are continuous functions, and they agree on the overlap, H is continuous by the pasting Lemma, and defines a homotopy between f and h.

Now consider paths f, g, h in Path $(x_0, x_1)$ ,  $(f \simeq_p f)$  is trivial. So is symmetry of  $\simeq_p$ , as the reversal in the second coordinate (see above) of the path homotopy between f and g is path homotopy between g and f.

Suppose  $f \simeq_p g$ , and  $g \simeq_p g$ . Let F, and G be the path homotopies between f, g and g, h. Write H as in Equation (4), it is a continuous function on  $I \times I \to X$ , that satisfies

$$H(s,0) = F(s,0) = f(s)$$
 and  $H(s,1) = G(s,1) = h(s)$  for every  $s \in [0,1]$ 

If s = 0, it is easy to see from Equation (4) that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{split} H(0,t) &= \begin{cases} F(0,2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_0 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2^{-1} \\ G(0,2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_0 & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} = x_0 \quad \text{and} \\ H(1,t) &= \begin{cases} F(1,2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_1 & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq 2^{-1} \\ G(1,2t - \lfloor 2t \rfloor) = x_1 & \text{for } 2^{-1} \leq t \leq 1 \end{cases} = x_1 \end{split}$$

So the endpoints remain fixed throughout the deformation in t, and H is a path homotopy between f and h. This proves transitivity.

## Path and PathClass Products

## Definition 1.5: Product of Paths f \* g

Let  $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$  and  $g \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$ , the product of f and g, denoted by f \* g is another path from  $x_0$  to  $x_2$ . For  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$(f * g)(s) \stackrel{\triangle}{=} \begin{cases} f(2s - \lfloor 2s \rfloor) & \text{for } 0 \le s \le 2^{-1} \\ g(2s - \lfloor 2s \rfloor) & \text{for } 2^{-1} \le s \le 1 \end{cases}$$
 (5)

Notice the similarities between Equations (4) and (5),

## Proposition 1.2: Properties of the Path Product

Let  $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$  and  $g \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ , let  $k \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ , then

- (i) Invariant under left-multiplication:  $f \simeq_p g \implies k \circ f \simeq_p k \circ g$ , where  $k \circ f$  and  $k \circ g$  are elements Paths from  $k(x_0)$  to  $k(x_1)$ , and if F be a path homotopy between f and g, then  $k \circ F$  is a path homotopy between  $k \circ f$  and  $k \circ g$ .
- (ii) If we redefine  $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ ,  $g \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$ , and k be as above, then

$$k\circ (f*g)=(k\circ f)*(k\circ g)$$

Proof.

- Proof of Part (i): It is clear that  $k \circ f$  and  $k \circ g$  are elements of Path $(k(x_0), k(x_1))$ , and see Part (ii) for the proof of  $k \circ f \simeq_p k \circ g$ .
- Proof of Part (ii): Let F be the path homotopy between f and g. The composition  $(k \circ F)$  is in  $C(\mathbf{X} \times I, \mathbf{Y})$ . Equation (2) reads

$$(k \circ F)(s,0) = k(F(s,0)) = (k \circ f)(s)$$
 and  $(k \circ F)(s,1) = k(F(s,1)) = (k \circ g)(s)$  for every  $s \in [0,1]$ 

and Equation (3) gives

$$(k \circ F)(0,t) = k(F(0,t)) = k(x_0)$$
 and  $(k \circ F)(1,t) = k(F(1,t)) = k(x_1)$  for every  $t \in [0,1]$ 

therefore  $k \circ F$  is a path homotopy between the paths  $k \circ f$  and  $k \circ g$ .

Definition 1.6: Path Homotopy class [f]

Let  $f \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ , we define the path homotopy class of f as

$$[f] \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \left\{ g \in \operatorname{Path}(x_0, x_1), \ g \simeq_p f 
ight\}$$

Definition 1.7: Product of Path Classes [f] \* [g]

Let \*: PathClass $(x_0, x_1) \times$  PathClass $(x_1, x_2) \rightarrow$  PathClass $(x_0, x_2)$  be a binary operation, where

$$[f] * [g] \stackrel{\triangle}{=} [f * g]$$
 is well defined.

for arbitrary  $[f] \in \text{PathClass}(x_0, x_1)$  and  $[g] \in \text{PathClass}(x_1, x_2)$ . This means it is independent of the representative chosen. More formally, if  $f \simeq_p f' \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ , and  $g \simeq_p g' \in \text{Path}(x_1, x_2)$ , then  $f * g \simeq_p f' * g'$ .

## Proposition 1.3: Properties of the PathClass product

Let [f], [g] and [h] be PathClasses from and to the points  $x_0, x_1, x_2$ . Then

- 1. Associativity: ([f] \* [g]) \* [h] = [f] \* ([g] \* [h]),
- 2. Left and Right identities: if  $[f] \in \text{PathClass}(x_0, x_1)$ ,  $e_{x_0}$ ,  $e_{x_1}$  denote the constant paths on  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  (the initial and final points of any  $f \in [f]$ ), then

$$[e_{x_0}] * [f] = [f] \quad ext{and} \quad [f] * [e_{x_1}] = [f]$$

3. Left and Right inverses: let  $[\overline{f}]$  be the PathClass containing the reversal of f (see Definition 1.1) for the definition, then

$$[\overline{f}]*[f]=[e_{x_1}] \quad ext{and} \quad [f]*[\overline{f}]=[e_{x_0}]$$

4. Generalized Associativity: if  $\{[f_j]\}_{j\leq n}$  is a sequence of PathClasses, such that  $[f_j] \in \text{PathClass}(x_{j-1}, x_j)$ , then

$$\prod [f_j] \stackrel{\triangle}{=} [f_1] * [f_2] * \cdots * [f_n]$$
 is a well-defined object

meaning we can place the brackets wherever we want.

*Proof.* We will give an outline for the proof of Generalized Associativity, the rest are trivial. Let  $\{[f_j]\}$  be defined as above. If  $\{a_j\}_{j=0}^n$ , and  $\{b_j\}_{j=0}^n$  are 'cell partitions' of the unit interval (in the sense of the Riemann integral), meaning

$$0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n = 1$$
, and  $0 = b_0 < b_1 < \dots < b_n = 1$ 

We agree to define the following

- the lengths of each cell  $l_{a_j} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} a_j a_{j-1}$  and  $l_{b_j} \stackrel{\triangle}{=} b_j b_{j-1}$ , and
- the cells themselves are denoted by  $\operatorname{cell}(a_j) = [a_{j-1}, a_j], \operatorname{cell}(b_j) = [b_{j-1}, b_j],$
- $p \in Path(0,1)$ , where p is given explicitly by

$$p(s) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_{\operatorname{cell}(a_j) \setminus \{a_{j-1}\}} \Biggl( rac{l_{b_j}}{l_{a_j}} (s - a_j) + b_j \Biggr)$$

It is clear p is continuous, and for j = 1, ..., n,

$$p|_{\text{cell}(a_i)}$$
 is the positive linear map from  $\text{cell}(a_j)$  to  $\text{cell}(b_j)$ 

Using the same line of argumentation as in the proof for associativity, we see that any two 'ways' of bracketing the expression has no impact on the path-homotopy class.

## Fundamental Group

## Definition 1.8: Fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$

Let  $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ , the fundamental group of  $\mathbf{X}$  relative to (base point)  $x_0$  is denoted by  $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) = \operatorname{PathClass}(x_0, x_0)$ .

## **Definition 1.9: Isomorphism induced by** $Path(x_0, x_1)$

Suppose  $\alpha \in \text{Path}(x_0, x_1)$ , we define a map  $\hat{\alpha} : \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) \to \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_1)$ , with

$$\hat{\alpha}([f]) = [\overline{\alpha}] * [f] * [\alpha]$$

where  $\overline{\alpha}$  is the reversal of  $\alpha$ . We call  $\hat{\alpha}$  the isomorphism induced by  $\alpha$  (Munkres Theorem 52.1).

Isomorphism proof. Let [f] and [g] be elements of  $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$ , then

$$\begin{split} \widehat{\alpha}([f]*[g]) &= ([\overline{\alpha}]*[f]*[\alpha])*([\overline{\alpha}]*[g]*[\alpha]) \\ &= [\overline{\alpha}]*([f]*[g])*[\alpha] \\ &= \widehat{\alpha}([f])*\widehat{\alpha}([g]) \end{split}$$

and  $\hat{\alpha}$  is a homomorphism. We claim inverse of  $\hat{\alpha}$  is  $\hat{\alpha}$ . Fix  $[f] \in \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$ ,  $[g] \in \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_1)$ , then

$$(\widehat{\overline{\alpha}}\circ\widehat{\alpha})([f])=[\alpha]*([\overline{\alpha}]*[f]*[\alpha])*[\overline{\alpha}]=[f]$$

so  $\hat{\overline{\alpha}}$  is the left-inverse for  $\hat{\alpha}$ . A similar argument shows it is the right inverse as well with  $(\hat{\alpha} \circ \hat{\overline{\alpha}})([g]) = [g]$ . Therefore  $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0)$  is group isomorphic to  $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_1)$ .

# Homomorphisms

## Definition 1.10: Homomorphism induced by a continuous map

Let  $h \in C(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y})$ , and  $y_0 = h(x_0)$ , it induces a map between loops at  $x_0$  and

 $y_0$ .

$$h_*: \operatorname{Loop}(x_0) \to \operatorname{Loop}(y_0), f \mapsto h \circ f$$

It is a also a group homomorphism between fundamental groups. We use the same symbol for the two maps, relying on context to distinguish between the two.

$$h_*:\pi_1(\mathbf{X},x_0) o\pi_1(\mathbf{Y},y_0),[f]\mapsto [h\circ f]$$

is well defined because of Proposition 1.3, it is a homomorphism (again by Proposition 1.3) because h 'distributes' over \*

$$h \circ (f * g) = (h \circ f) * (h \circ g)$$

## Remark 1.1: Functorial properties of the $h_*$

If  $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ , the tuple  $(x_0, \mathbf{X})$  is an object in the category of pointed topological spaces, and the map  $h_*$  is a covariant functor from the category of pointed topological spaces to the category of groups.

Follows from Munkres Theorem 52.4, if the expressions below make sense,

$$(g\circ f)_*=g_*\circ f_*\quad\text{and}\quad h_*\circ (g\circ f)_*=(h\circ g)_*\circ f_*$$

And the identity map  $i: \mathbf{X} \to \mathbf{X}$  gets 'sent' to the identity homomorphism in  $\operatorname{Hom}(\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0), \pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0))$ . And if h is a homeomorphism between  $\mathbf{X}$  and  $\mathbf{Y}$ , then  $h_*$  is an isomorphism at every point.

# Simply connected space

#### Definition 1.11: Simply connected space

A topological space **X** is *simply connected* if it is path-connected, and  $\pi_1(\mathbf{X}, x_0) = \{[e_{x_0}]\}$  for some  $x_0 \in \mathbf{X}$ . Notice this implies every fundamental group of **X** is trivial.

## Proposition 1.4: Properties of simply connected spaces

If **X** is a simply connected space, then PathClass $(x_0, x_1)$  consists of one element. That is to say, if f and g are Paths from  $x_0$  to  $x_1$ , then  $f \simeq_p g$ .

# Covering maps

Manifolds Covering maps

Definition 1.12: Covering maps and spaces