

MATH 254: Assignment 2

November 5, 2022

Problem 1

WTS. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ and $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$ be defined by $f(x) := x^2$ and $g(x) := -\sqrt{x}$.

- (a) Explain why $f \circ g$ makes sense (can be defined) even though $\text{range } g$ is not equal to the domain of f .
- (b) Write down the domains and codomains of $f \circ g$ and $g \circ f$ and explicit formulas for these functions.
- (c) Is g the inverse of f ? Explain your answer.

Answers:

- (a) Fix any $x \in [0, +\infty)$, then $g(x) \in (-\infty, 0]$ means that $f \circ g(x)$ is well defined. Since x is mapped to exactly one value in $[0, +\infty)$. What matters here is that $\text{range } g \subseteq \text{dom } f$.

- (b) Domains:
 $\text{dom } (f \circ g) = \text{dom } g = [0, +\infty)$, and
 $\text{dom } (g \circ f) = \text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}$.

Codomains:
 $\text{codom } (f \circ g) = \text{codom } f = [0, +\infty)$, and
 $\text{codom } (g \circ f) = \text{codom } g = (-\infty, 0]$.

Formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g(x) &= (-\sqrt{x})^2 = |x| = x, \text{ For every } x \geq 0 \\ g \circ f(x) &= -\sqrt{x^2} = -|x| \neq x, \text{ For every } x \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

- (c) g is not the inverse of f , fix $x = 1$, then $g \circ f(x) = -1$
 $g \circ f \neq \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark. *I do not know what the convention for the co*
this class. Here I assumed that for any function $f : X \rightarrow Y$
 Y . Its range however is the set of points in its codomain th
so $\text{range } f = \{f(x), x \in X\}$.

Problem 2

WTS. Let $f : [0, 4) \rightarrow [0, 4)$ be defined by

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} x + 1 & [x] \in 2\mathbb{N} \\ x - 1 & [x] \notin 2\mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Where $k\mathbb{N} = \{kn : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Show that f is a bijection, and find its inverse.

The proof for this question will resemble that of Homework 1. The important lemmas must be stated.

Lemma 0.1. For any $f : X \rightarrow Y$, if $A \subseteq X$ such that $f = f|_A \cup f|_{A^c}$, where A and A^c are disjoint, and $f|_A : A \rightarrow Y$ is the disjoint union of $f|_A(A)$ and $f|_{A^c}(A^c)$, and the restrictions $f|_A$ and $f|_{A^c}$ are bijections onto their direct images, then f is a bijection.

Proof. To prove injectivity, suppose we have $x_1 \neq x_2$, where $x_1, x_2 \in X$. If both x_1 and x_2 belong to the same A or A^c , then the result follows from the injectivity of $f|_A$ or $f|_{A^c}$. Without loss of generality, suppose $x_1 \in A$ and $x_2 \in A^c$. Then by definition, $f(x_1) = f|_A(x_1) \in f|_A(A)$ which implies that $f(x_1)$ is not in $f|_{A^c}(A^c)$, so $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$.

Now to show surjectivity, simply take any $y \in Y$, and either $y \in f|_A(A)$ or $y \in f|_{A^c}(A^c)$, and since the two restrictions of f onto the two sets are bijections, there exists a corresponding $x \in X$ which will satisfy. This completes the proof.

Lemma 0.2. Let f satisfy the hypothesis of the previous lemma. If $(f|_A)^{-1}$ and $(f|_{A^c})^{-1}$ both exist, then $f^{-1} = (f|_A)^{-1} \cup (f|_{A^c})^{-1}$, where $f|_A(A) = B_1$, and $f|_{A^c}(A^c) = B_2$.

Proof. Since B_1 and B_2 are disjoint, then fix any $y \in Y$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $y \in B_1$. Then, $f^{-1}(y) = (f|_A)^{-1}(y)$. This inverse is indeed well defined, since $f|_A$ is a bijection from A to B_1 . If $y \in B_2$, then there exists a unique $x \in A^c$ such that $f(x) = y$. This follows from the surjectivity and injectivity of $f|_{A^c}$. The two cases yield

$$f((f|_A)^{-1}(y)) = f \circ (f|_A)^{-1}(y) = y$$

In the same manner, fix an $x \in A$ such that $f(x) = f|_A(x)$, applying $(f|_A)^{-1}$ on both sides

$$(f|_A)^{-1}(f(x)) = (f|_A)^{-1} \circ f(x) = x$$

Therefore the inverse of f can be written piecewise on two disjoint sets as follows.

$$f^{-1} = f^{-1}|_{B_1} + f^{-1}|_{B_2}$$

Remark. We adopt a slight abuse of notation with the 'restriction' functions $f|_A$ and $f|_{A^c}$ but they should be interpreted as piecewise functions. $f|_A = f|_A \chi_A$ and $f|_{A^c} = f|_{A^c} \chi_{A^c}$ where χ is the indicator function.

We begin the main part of the proof.

Proof. For every $x \in [0, 1) \cup [2, 3)$, $\lfloor x \rfloor \in 2\mathbb{N}$. Denote $A = [0, 1) \cup [2, 3)$ and $A^c = [0, 4) \setminus A = [1, 2) \cup [3, 4)$. Then

$$f = f|_A + f|_{A^c} = (x + 1)\chi_A + (x - 1)\chi_{A^c}$$

To satisfy the assumptions of the two lemmas, we need to check that the images (ranges) of $f|_A$ and $f|_{A^c}$ are disjoint. This can be easily checked by the following equalities:

$$x \in A \iff x \in [0, 1) \cup [2, 3) \implies x + 1 \in [1, 2) \cup [3, 4)$$

$$x \in A^c \iff x \in [1, 2) \cup [3, 4) \implies x - 1 \in [0, 1) \cup [2, 3)$$

To avoid being overly verbose, we say that the two functions $f|_A$ and $f|_{A^c}$ map their domains onto their ranges. Since $f|_A$ 'nudges' points +1 units to the right, $f|_{A^c}$ does the exact opposite. And the range of each of the two functions is the complement of the other's domain. Even more is true:

$$(f|_A)^{-1} = x - 1 = f|_{A^c}$$

$$(f|_{A^c})^{-1} = x + 1 = f|_A$$

Therefore $f|_A$ and $f|_{A^c}$ are bijections onto their ranges (which is obvious). Invoking the first lemma tells us that f is a bijection, and the second lemma gives us the following equality.

$$f^{-1} = f^{-1}|_{A^c} + f^{-1}|_A = (f|_A)^{-1} + (f|_{A^c})^{-1}$$

Plugging in our values for the inverses, we have

$$f^{-1} = f|_A + f|_{A^c} = f$$

Problem 3

WTS. Now it suffices to show that if $f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$ and $f_2 : B \rightarrow B'$ are bijections, then

$$F : A \times B \rightarrow A' \times B'$$

is also a bijection if we define $\pi_{A'}(F(x)) = f_1(\pi_A(x))$ and $\pi_{B'}(F(x)) = f_2(\pi_B(x))$ for every $x \in A \times B$. Where π denotes the coordinate projection map).

Proof. Fix two elements x_1, x_2 in $A \times B$, such that $x_1 \neq x_2$. Without loss of generality, let us assume that $\pi_A(x_1) \neq \pi_A(x_2)$, which implies

$$\pi_{A'}(F(x_1)) = f_1(\pi_A(x_1)) \neq f_1(\pi_A(x_2)) = \pi_{A'}(F(x_2))$$

Which means $F(x_1) \neq F(x_2)$. This proves injectivity.

To show that F is a surjection, fix any $y \in A' \times B'$, then there exist $a \in A$ and $b \in B$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= f_1^{-1}(\pi_{A'}(y)) \in A \\ b &= f_2^{-1}(\pi_{B'}(y)) \in B \end{aligned}$$

Then denote an element of $A \times B$, and call it x , such that $\pi_A(x) = a$ and $\pi_B(x) = b$. Then it is an easy exercise to verify that $F(x) = y$, where $\pi_{A'}$ and $\pi_{B'}$ are the coordinate projections of $F(x)$.

Remark. We implicitly defined 'equality' in the Cartesian product by equality in each coordinate. So for every x_1, x_2 in $A \times B$, $x_1 = x_2$ only if $\pi_A(x_1) = \pi_A(x_2)$ and $\pi_B(x_1) = \pi_B(x_2)$.

Problem 4

WTS. *Prove that every subset of \mathbb{N} is countable. Conclude that if there is an injection into \mathbb{N} , then A is countable.*

Proof. Fix any $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, then if A is finite, then denote $|A| := \sum_{k \in A} 1$. Then $J_n = \{k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n\}$, then there exists a bijection between J_n and $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Hence A is countable (countably finite). We also note that the map Y_A is an order preserving map between the two sets, namely $Y_A(0)$ denotes the least element in A , etc.

Now suppose that A is infinite. Since \mathbb{N} is countable, there exists a map $\mathbf{X}_A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ such that for every $n \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{X}_A(n) := \text{least } \{k \in A, \mathbf{X}_A(n-1) < k\}$$

Where we also define $\mathbf{X}_A(0) = \text{least } A$. Where we used the Well-Ordering Property of \mathbb{N} twice, it is trivial to check that both of these sets are non-empty.

The map \mathbf{X} is monotonic (and therefore an injection). A simple induction will show this. Now, $\mathbf{X}_A(0) < \mathbf{X}_A(1)$ by inspection. Assume that $\mathbf{X}_A(n-1) < \mathbf{X}_A(n)$ for some $n \geq 1$, then

$$\mathbf{X}_A(n+1) = \text{least } \{k \in A, \mathbf{X}_A(n-1) < \mathbf{X}_A(n) < k\}$$

Hence $\mathbf{X}_A(0) < \mathbf{X}_A(1) < \dots < \mathbf{X}_A(n)$. Suppose that \mathbf{X}_A is not surjective, then there exists a non-empty set of elements of A that escape \mathbf{X}_A . Take the least of this set, and call it m . Where this $m \neq \mathbf{X}_A(0) = \text{least } A$.

We then construct another subset of A and call it A^* which consists of elements $k \in A$ such that $k < m$. Since A^* is obviously finite, we can use the same construct as shown in the earliest part of this proof. Define N to be the least element in A^* that is not in the range of \mathbf{X}_A . Then we will show $\mathbf{X}_A(N) = m$.

$\mathbf{X}_A(n) \in A^* \iff 0 \leq n < N$. Since all the elements within A^* are less than every element in A , and the number of elements within A^* is N , and $\mathbf{X}_A(n)$ for $0 \leq n \leq N-1$ gives us the N smallest values in A , any $n \geq N$, $\mathbf{X}_A(n) > q$ where q is any element in A^* . Hence $\mathbf{X}_A(n) \geq m$ for every $n \geq N$. Now, fix $n = N$, and this will retrieve the

in $A \setminus A^*$, and this establishes the fact that every infinite set is countably infinite.

Moreover, the empty set is a subset of the natural numbers and is countable. Now for the second part of the proof, if A is an infinite set, A must be countable.

Proof. Denote the injection of A into \mathbb{N} by h , and its range by $h(A)$. Then since the range of any mapping is always a subset of its codomain, $h(A) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, and h is actually a bijection onto its range (any surjection onto its range), so

$$A \equiv h(A)$$

But $h(A)$ is a countable subset of \mathbb{N} , and this completes the proof.

Problem 5

WTS. *Prove that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$,*

$$\sum_{j \leq n} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

Where $j \leq n$ implicitly means that $1 \leq j \leq n$.

Proof. We will proceed by a proof by induction. Fix $n = 1$, and the assertion is trivial. Then suppose the assertion holds for a certain $n \geq 1$. ▮

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \leq n+1} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} &= \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+2) + 1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{n+1+1} \end{aligned}$$

Where the second last equality is permissible because $n+1 \neq 0$; $n \geq 1$. This completes the proof.

Problem 6

WTS. *For every $n \geq 12$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists non-negative integers a, b such that*

$$n = (4, 5) \cdot (a, b)^T$$

Proof. I do not know how to show this rigourously for I lack Linear Algebra, but regardless: For every $n \geq 12$, $(n - 12) \bmod 4 \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. We agree to define for each $j \in [0, 3]$.

$$W_j = \{4k + 12 + j, k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}\}$$

Now these sets are not necessarily disjoint, but it will not matter for the purposes of this exercise, as every $n \geq 12$ must be contained in one of these sets. We also write

$$\lambda : (a, b) \mapsto (4, 5) \cdot (a, b)^T$$

Then we can write

- $12 = \lambda(3, 0)$
- $13 = \lambda(2, 1)$
- $14 = \lambda(1, 2)$
- $15 = \lambda(0, 3)$

For any fixed j , note that $(12 + j) \in \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ as shown before. If $(4k + (12 + j))$ is a member of $\lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$, then this induces $\lambda(a, b) = (4k + (12 + j))$. But adding 4 to both sides of the equation and by linearity in both arguments of the inner product over \mathbb{F}

$$(4(k + 1) + (12 + j)) = \lambda(a + 1, b) \implies (4(k + 1) + (12 + j)) \in \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$$

Hence $W_j \subseteq \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$. But the union of all W_j contains every $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 12$. This completes the proof.

Problem 7

WTS. *A $n \times m$ grid always takes $nm - 1$ cuts to be decompose cells.*

Proof. I am not sure why we need induction here. Take a pieces and call it $W^N = \{w_j, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$, then if $|W| = nm$, if $|W^N| = N \neq nm$, then there exists a cut you can make pieces. Without loss of generality assume that this piece is w_N cut $w_N = \{a, b\}$, where a and b are pieces (atomic or not), the new state of the grid as

$W^{N+1} = W^N \cup \text{cut}(w_N) \setminus \{w_N\}$, and relabelling indices, then we have n elements in our new set.

Since the cutting process is complete if and only if $|W| = nm$, the initial state of the grid is at W^1 , and each cut increases the number of elements in the set by 1, all it must take $nm - |W^1| = nm - 1$ cuts.

Now I guess you can shoehorn the induction in there by saying that if $|W^n| < nm$, $n - 1$ cuts must have been made for each and every step until $|W^n| = nm$, which is equivalent to the first argument I made above.

Problem 8

WTS. *Prove that for every $a < b$ in \mathbb{R} , the segment (a, b) is uncountable. Conclude that every segment in the form of (a, b) must contain an irrational number.*

Proof. From Lecture 4: $[0, 1)$ is uncountable. This is equivalent to saying that no $f : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ can be injective. Therefore no $f : (-1, 0]$ can be injective. Since if some f were to be injective from $(-1, +1)$ to \mathbb{N} , it would have to be injective on $[0, 1)$.

Using the last part of Homework 1 Q6b, define a bijection f from $(-1, 0]$ to (a, b) where $a < b$.

$$f(x) = (x + 1)(m/2) + a, \quad m := b - a$$

It follows immediately that $(a, b) \equiv (-1, 0]$, which is an uncountable set. This proves the first claim.

To show the validity of the second claim, suppose not. So $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ (there exists a bijection between the two sets). So there exists an injection of (a, b) into \mathbb{N} , then (a, b) is countable and the proof is complete.