

# MATH 254: Assignment 2

November 5, 2022

## Problem 1

**WTS.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  and  $g : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow (-\infty, 0]$  be the functions defined by  $f(x) := x^2$  and  $g(x) := -\sqrt{x}$ .

- (a) Explain why  $f \circ g$  makes sense (can be defined) even though the codomain of  $g$  is not equal to the domain of  $f$ .
- (b) Write down the domains and codomains of  $f \circ g$  and  $g \circ f$  and find explicit formulas for these functions.
- (c) Is  $g$  the inverse of  $f$ ? Explain your answer.

Answers:

- (a) Fix any  $x \in [0, +\infty)$ , then  $g(x) \in (-\infty, 0]$  means that  $f(g(x)) = f \circ g(x)$  is well defined. Since  $x$  is mapped to exactly one element in  $[0, +\infty)$ . What matters here is that  $\text{range } g \subseteq \text{dom } f$ .

- (b) Domains:  
 $\text{dom } (f \circ g) = \text{dom } g = [0, +\infty)$ , and  
 $\text{dom } (g \circ f) = \text{dom } f = \mathbb{R}$ .

Codomains:  
 $\text{codom } (f \circ g) = \text{codom } f = [0, +\infty)$ , and  
 $\text{codom } (g \circ f) = \text{codom } g = (-\infty, 0]$ .

Formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ g(x) &= (-\sqrt{x})^2 = |x| = x, \text{ For every } x \geq 0 \\ g \circ f(x) &= -\sqrt{x^2} = -|x| \neq x, \text{ For every } x \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned}$$

- (c)  $g$  is not the inverse of  $f$ , fix  $x = 1$ , then  $g \circ f(x) = -1 \neq 1$ . Hence  $g \circ f \neq \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Remark.** *I do not know what the convention for the codomain is for this class. Here I assumed that for any function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ ,  $\text{codom } f = Y$ . Its range however is the set of points in its codomain that it reaches, so  $\text{range } f = \{f(x), x \in X\}$ .*

## Problem 2

**WTS.** Let  $f : [0, 4) \rightarrow [0, 4)$  be defined by

$$x \mapsto \begin{cases} x + 1 & [x] \in 2\mathbb{N} \\ x - 1 & [x] \notin 2\mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Where  $k\mathbb{N} = \{kn : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ . Show that  $f$  is a bijection, and describe its inverse.

The proof for this question will resemble that of Homework 1 Q6(a). A few important lemmas must be stated.

**Lemma 0.1.** For any  $f : X \rightarrow Y$ , if  $A \subseteq X$  such that  $f = f|_A + f|_{A^c}$ , and  $Y$  is the disjoint union of  $f|_A(A)$  and  $f|_{A^c}(A^c)$ , and the restriction of  $f$  onto  $A$  and  $A^c$  are bijections onto their direct images, then  $f$  is a bijection.

*Proof.* To prove injectivity, suppose we have  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , where we shall omit the trivial case of them both belonging to the same  $A$  or  $A^c$ . Without loss of generality, suppose  $x_1 \in A$  and  $x_2 \in A^c$ . Then by assumption  $f(x_1) = f|_A(x) \in f|_A(A)$  which implies that  $f(x_1)$  is not in  $f|_{A^c}(A^c)$ . So  $f(x_1) \neq f(x_2)$ .

Now to show surjectivity, simply take any  $y \in Y$ , and either  $y \in f|_A(A)$  or  $y \in f|_{A^c}(A^c)$ , and since the two restrictions of  $f$  onto the two sets are bijections, there exists a corresponding  $x \in X$  which will satisfy. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 0.2.** Let  $f$  satisfy the hypothesis of the previous lemma, so that  $(f|_A)^{-1}$  and  $(f|_{A^c})^{-1}$  both exist, then  $f^{-1} = (f|_A)^{-1} + (f|_{A^c})^{-1} = (f^{-1})|_{B_1} + (f^{-1})|_{B_2}$ , where  $f|_A(A) = B_1$ , and  $f|_{A^c}(A^c) = B_2$ .

*Proof.* Since  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are disjoint, then fix any  $y \in Y$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $y \in B_1$ . Then,  $f^{-1}(y) = (f^{-1})|_{B_1}(y) = (f|_A)^{-1}(y)$ . This inverse is indeed well defined, since  $f|_A$  is a bijection onto its range, then there exists a unique  $x \in A$  such that applying  $f$  on both sides yield

$$f((f|_A)^{-1}(y)) = f \circ (f|_A)^{-1}(y) = y$$

In the same manner, fix an  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) = f|_A(x) \in B_1$ , then applying  $(f|_A)^{-1}$  on both sides

$$(f|_A)^{-1}(f(x)) = (f|_A)^{-1} \circ f(x) = x$$

Therefore the inverse of  $f$  can be written piecewise on two disjoint domains as follows.

$$f^{-1} = f^{-1}|_{B_1} + f^{-1}|_{B_2}$$

□

**Remark.** We adopt a slight abuse of notation with the 'restrictions' onto  $f$ , but they should be interpreted as piecewise functions.  $f|_A + f|_{A^c}$  is equal to  $f|_A \chi_A + f|_{A^c} \chi_{A^c}$  where  $\chi$  is the indicator function.

We begin the main part of the proof.

*Proof.* For every  $x \in [0, 1) \cup [2, 3)$ ,  $\lfloor x \rfloor \in 2\mathbb{N}$ . Denote  $A = [0, 1) \cup [2, 3)$ , and  $A^c = [0, 4) \setminus A = [1, 2) \cup [3, 4)$ . Then

$$f = f|_A + f|_{A^c} = (x + 1)\chi_A + (x - 1)\chi_{A^c}$$

To satisfy the assumptions of the two lemmas, we need to check if the direct images (ranges) of  $f|_A$  and  $f|_{A^c}$  are disjoint. This can be easily shown by

$$x \in A \iff x \in [0, 1) \cup [2, 3) \implies x + 1 \in [1, 2) \cup [3, 4)$$

$$x \in A^c \iff x \in [1, 2) \cup [3, 4) \implies x + 1 \in [0, 1) \cup [2, 3)$$

To avoid being overly verbose, we say that the two functions are bijections onto their ranges. Since  $f|_A$  'nudges' points +1 units to the right, while  $f|_{A^c}$  does the exact opposite. And the range of each of the two functions is the complement of their domains. Even more is true:

$$(f|_A)^{-1} = x - 1 = f|_{A^c}$$

$$(f|_{A^c})^{-1} = x + 1 = f|_A$$

Therefore  $f|_A$  and  $f|_{A^c}$  are bijections onto their ranges (which are disjoint). Invoking the first lemma tells us that  $f$  is a bijection, and the second lemma gives us the following equality.

$$f^{-1} = f^{-1}|_{A^c} + f^{-1}|_A = (f|_A)^{-1} + (f|_{A^c})^{-1}$$

Plugging in our values for the inverses, we have

$$f^{-1} = f|_A + f|_{A^c} = f$$

□

### Problem 3

**WTS.** Now it suffices to show that if  $f_1 : A \rightarrow A'$  and  $f_2 : B \rightarrow B'$  are bijections, then

$$F : A \times B \rightarrow A' \times B'$$

is also a bijection if we define  $\pi_{A'}(F(x)) = f_1(\pi_A(x))$  and  $\pi_{B'}(F(x)) = f_2(\pi_B(x))$  for every  $x \in A \times B$ . Where  $\pi$  denotes the coordinate map (or projection map).

*Proof.* Fix two elements  $x_1, x_2$  in  $A \times B$ , such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$ . Without loss of generality, let us assume that  $\pi_A(x_1) \neq \pi_A(x_2)$ , which implies

$$\pi_{A'}(F(x_1)) = f_1(\pi_A(x_1)) \neq f_1(\pi_A(x_2)) = \pi_{A'}(F(x_2))$$

Which means  $F(x_1) \neq F(x_2)$ . This proves injectivity.

To show that  $F$  is a surjection, fix any  $y \in A' \times B'$ , then this  $y$  induces  $a$  and  $b$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} a &= f_1^{-1}(\pi_{A'}(y)) \in A \\ b &= f_2^{-1}(\pi_{B'}(y)) \in B \end{aligned}$$

Then denote an element of  $A \times B$ , and call it  $x$ , such that  $\pi_A(x) = a$  and  $\pi_B(x) = b$ . Then it is an easy exercise to verify that  $F(x) = y$ , by taking the  $A'$  and  $B'$  projections of  $F(x)$ .

**Remark.** We implicitly defined 'equality' in the Cartesian (Direct) product by equality in each coordinate. So for every  $x_1, x_2$  in  $A \times B$ ,  $x_1 = x_2$  if and only if  $\pi_A(x_1) = \pi_A(x_2)$  and  $\pi_B(x_1) = \pi_B(x_2)$ .  $\square$

## Problem 4

**WTS.** *Prove that every subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable. Conclude that if  $A$  has an injection into  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $A$  is countable.*

*Proof.* Fix any  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , then if  $A$  is finite, then denote  $|A| := \sum_{a \in A} 1 < +\infty$ , then  $J_n = \{k \in \mathbb{N}, k < n\}$ , then there exists a bijection between  $J_{|A|}$  and  $A$ . Hence  $A$  is countable (countably finite). We also note that there exists an order preserving map between the two sets, namely  $Y_A(0)$  denotes the least element in  $A$ , etc.

Now suppose that  $A$  is infinite. Since  $\mathbb{N}$  is countable, there exists a map,  $\mathbf{X}_A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$  such that for every  $n \geq 1$ .

$$\mathbf{X}_A(n) := \text{least } \{k \in A, \mathbf{X}_A(n-1) < k\}$$

Where we also define  $\mathbf{X}_A(0) = \text{least } A$ . Where we used the Well-Ordering Property of  $\mathbb{N}$  twice, it is trivial to check that both of these sets are non-empty.

The map  $\mathbf{X}$  is monotonic (and therefore an injection). A simple proof by induction will show this. Now,  $\mathbf{X}_A(0) < \mathbf{X}_A(1)$  by inspection, and assume that  $\mathbf{X}_A(n-1) < \mathbf{X}_A(n)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ , then

$$\mathbf{X}_A(n+1) = \text{least } \{k \in A, \mathbf{X}_A(n-1) < \mathbf{X}_A(n) < k\}$$

Hence  $\mathbf{X}_A(0) < \mathbf{X}_A(1) < \dots < \mathbf{X}_A(n)$ . Suppose that  $\mathbf{X}_A$  is not a surjection, then there exists a non-empty set of elements of  $A$  that escape the range of  $\mathbf{X}_A$ . Take the least of this set, and call it  $m$ . Where this  $m \neq \text{least } A$ , since  $\mathbf{X}_A(0) = \text{least } A$ .

We then construct another subset of  $A$  and call it  $A^*$  which holds all the elements  $k \in A$  such that  $k < m$ . Since  $A^*$  is obviously finite, we can use the same construct as shown in the earliest part of this proof. Define  $N = |A^*|$ , then we will show  $\mathbf{X}_A(N) = m$ .

$\mathbf{X}_A(n) \in A^* \iff 0 \leq n < N$ . Since all the elements within  $A^*$  are strictly less than every element in  $A$ , and the number of elements within  $A^*$  is exactly  $N$ , and  $\mathbf{X}_A(n)$  for  $0 \leq n \leq N-1$  gives us the  $N$  smallest values in  $A$ . For any  $n \geq N$ ,  $\mathbf{X}_A(n) > q$  where  $q$  is any element in  $A^*$ . Hence  $\mathbf{X}_A(n) \in A \setminus A^*$  for every  $n \geq N$ . Now, fix  $n = N$ , and this will retrieve the least element

in  $A \setminus A^*$ , and this establishes the fact that every infinite subset of  $\mathbb{N}$  is countably infinite. □

Moreover, the empty set is a subset of the natural numbers and is also countable. Now for the second part of the proof, if  $A$  is an injection into  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $A$  must be countable.

*Proof.* Denote the injection of  $A$  into  $\mathbb{N}$  by  $h$ , and its range by  $\text{range}(h)$ , then since the range of any mapping is always a subset of its co-domain,  $\text{range}(h) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ , and  $h$  is actually a bijection onto its range (any mapping is a surjection onto its range), so

$$A \equiv \text{range}(h)$$

But  $\text{range}(h)$  is a countable subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ , and this completes the proof. □



## Problem 5

**WTS.** Prove that for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,

$$\sum_{j \leq n} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} = \frac{n}{n+1}$$

Where  $j \leq n$  implicitly means that  $1 \leq j \leq n$ .

*Proof.* We will proceed by a proof by induction. Fix  $n = 1$ , and equality is trivial. Then suppose the assertion holds for a certain  $n \geq 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \leq n+1} \frac{1}{j(j+1)} &= \frac{n}{n+1} + \frac{1}{(n+1)(n+1)} \\ &= \frac{n(n+2) + 1}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\ &= \frac{(n+1)^2}{(n+1)(n+2)} \\ &= \frac{n+1}{n+1+1} \end{aligned}$$

Where the second last equality is permissible because  $n+1 \neq 0$  for every  $n \geq 1$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## Problem 6

**WTS.** For every  $n \geq 12$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists non-negative integers  $a, b$  such that

$$n = (4, 5) \cdot (a, b)^T$$

*Proof.* I do not know how to show this rigourously for I lack training in Algebra, but regardless: For every  $n \geq 12$ ,  $(n - 12) \bmod 4 \in [0, 3]$ . Let us agree to define for each  $j \in [0, 3]$ .

$$W_j = \{4k + 12 + j, k \in \mathbb{N}^+ \cup \{0\}\}$$

Now these sets are not necessarily disjoint, but it will not matter for the purposes of this exercise, as every  $n \geq 12$  must be contained in at least one of these sets. We also write

$$\lambda : (a, b) \mapsto (4, 5) \cdot (a, b)^T$$

Then we can write

- $12 = \lambda(3, 0)$
- $13 = \lambda(2, 1)$
- $14 = \lambda(1, 2)$
- $15 = \lambda(0, 3)$

For any fixed  $j$ , note that  $(12 + j) \in \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$  as shown before. Now suppose that  $(4k + (12 + j))$  is a member of  $\lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ , then this induces some  $(a, b)$  such that  $\lambda(a, b) = (4k + (12 + j))$ . But adding 4 to both sides of the equation, and by linearity in both arguments of the inner product over  $\mathbb{R}$ , we have

$$(4(k + 1) + (12 + j)) = \lambda(a + 1, b) \implies (4(k + 1) + (12 + j)) \in \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$$

Hence  $W_j \subseteq \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ . But the union of all  $W_j$  contains every  $n \geq 12$ . Hence  $\{n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 12\} \subseteq \lambda(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N})$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

## Problem 7

**WTS.** *A  $n \times m$  grid always takes  $nm - 1$  cuts to be decomposed into atomic cells.*

*Proof.* I am not sure why we need induction here. Take a finite set of pieces and call it  $W^N = \{w_j, 1 \leq j \leq N\}$ , then if  $|W| = \sum_{w \in W} 1$ , and if  $|W^N| = N \neq nm$ , then there exists a cut you can make on one of its pieces. Without loss of generality assume that this piece is  $w_N \in W^N$ , then cut  $w_N = \{a, b\}$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are pieces (atomic or not), then write the new state of the grid as

$W^{N+1} = W^N \cup \text{cut}(w_N) \setminus \{w_N\}$ , and relabelling indices, then we have  $N + 1$  elements in our new set.

Since the cutting process is complete if and only if  $|W| = nm$ , and the original state of the grid is at  $W^1$ , and each cut increases the number of elements in the set by 1, all it must take  $nm - |W^1| = nm - 1$  cuts.

Now I guess you can shoehorn the induction in there by saying that for every  $|W^n|$ ,  $n - 1$  cuts must have been made for each and every step, but this is equivalent to the first argument I made above.  $\square$

## Problem 8

**WTS.** *Prove that for every  $a < b$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ , the segment  $(a, b)$  is uncountable. Conclude that every segment in the form of  $(a, b)$  must contain an irrational number.*

*Proof.* From Lecture 4:  $[0, 1)$  is uncountable. This is equivalent to saying that no  $f : [0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  can be injective. Therefore no  $f : (-1, +1) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  can be injective. Since if some  $f$  were to be injective from  $(-1, +1)$  then it would have to be injective on  $[0, 1)$ .

Using the last part of Homework 1 Q6b, define a bijection  $f$  from  $(-1, +1)$  to  $(a, b)$  where  $a < b$ .

$$f(x) = (x + 1)(m/2) + a, \quad m := b - a$$

It follows immediately that  $(a, b) \equiv (-1, +1)$ , which is an uncountable set. This proves the first claim.

To show the validity of the second claim, suppose not. So  $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ , and  $\mathbb{N} \equiv \mathbb{Q}$  (there exists a bijection between the two sets). So there exists an injection of  $(a, b)$  into  $\mathbb{N}$ , then  $(a, b)$  is countable and the proof is complete.  $\square$