Peer Review

By Huan Rong (hr222dx) and Haofei Yan(hy222ap)

As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?

No, this model doesn't help me at all. It includes too many unnecessary cases. The author thinks too much about the software. And some associations are not clear. The attributes of some cases are not enough.

Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?

No, it includes too many unnecessary cases. The author thinks too much about the software.

What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?

It includes every cases. (Section 9.14)[1]

What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?

1. Secretary lacks of attributes.   (Section 9.7)[1]
2. Calendar's attributes are not enough.   (Section 9.7)[1]
3. Berth proposal is not needed.   (See problem description)[2]
4. Boat details move into Boat registry.   (Section 9)[1]
5. The association between Member and Calendar is not clear. (See problem description)[2]
6. There is not Payment.   (See problem description)[2]
7. Think too much software. The author should focus on domain modeling.(See grade2 requirement)[2]

Do you think the model has passed the grade 2 (passing grade) criteria?

No, the author needs to change a lot of things and read the reference book again.

References

1. Larman C., Applying UML and Patterns 3rd Ed, 2005, ISBN: 0131489062
2. https://coursepress.lnu.se/kurs/objektorienterad-analys-och-design-med-uml/workshops-2/workshop-1-domain-modeling/problem-description/