

# Coherence-Field Gravity: A Scalar-Field Alternative to Dark Matter and Dark Energy

Clifford Treadwell

November 25, 2025

## Abstract

We develop a covariant scalar-field extension of gravity in which a single coherence field  $C(x)$  responds nonlinearly to the trace of the baryonic stress-energy. The theory is defined by a minimal Lagrangian containing a canonical kinetic term, a quartic-gradient interaction, and a shallow potential. In the weak-field, static limit the scalar equation admits a conserved nonlinear flux relation,  $r^2(1 + \kappa C'^2)C' = K(M)$  with  $K(M) \propto M_{\text{bar}}$ . This relation yields the observed baryonic Tully–Fisher scaling, the mass–acceleration correlation, and an asymptotic  $1/r$  acceleration with amplitude  $A = \sqrt{GMa_0}$ , where  $a_0 \simeq 10^{-12} \text{ m s}^{-2}$  emerges dynamically. Using the SPARC database, we show that the model reproduces rotation curves across 175 disk galaxies with a single parameter set and residuals comparable to or better than MOND and  $\Lambda$ CDM halo fits.

On cluster scales, the same quartic-gradient dynamics generate an extended scalar-induced “effective halo” with mass  $M_C \sim (3\text{--}7) M_{\text{bar}}$ , reproducing hydrostatic X-ray masses, SZ pressure profiles, and weak-lensing maps without collisionless dark matter. The lensing morphology of merging clusters, including the Bullet Cluster, follows naturally from the coherent matter coupling.

Cosmologically, the coherence field behaves as a radiation-like component at early times, suppressing vacuum energy and preserving CMB acoustic peaks, while its late-time slow roll drives cosmic acceleration without requiring a cosmological constant. The result is a unified framework in which galactic dynamics, cluster phenomenology, gravitational lensing, and cosmic acceleration arise from the nonlinear response of a single scalar field.

# 1 Introduction

Over the past four decades the  $\Lambda$ CDM paradigm has provided a remarkably successful phenomenological description of cosmic evolution and the large-scale distribution of matter. Yet its two central components—cold dark matter (CDM) and the cosmological constant  $\Lambda$ —remain without direct microphysical justification. Dark matter has evaded detection across dozens of laboratories and energy scales, while the cosmological constant problem persists as one of the deepest fine-tuning puzzles in theoretical physics.

At the same time, a growing body of high-precision astrophysical data has revealed simple and remarkably tight correlations between baryonic matter and gravitational dynamics on galactic scales. These include the radial-acceleration relation, the baryonic Tully–Fisher law, and the mass-discrepancy–acceleration correlation. Such relations arise naturally in modified-gravity approaches such as MOND, yet these proposals lack a convincing relativistic formulation, struggle on cluster scales, and require empirical interpolation functions that have no field-theoretic origin.

The challenge, therefore, is to construct a covariant, theoretically minimal extension of gravity that:

1. reproduces galactic scaling relations without invoking particulate dark matter;
2. matches weak and strong lensing maps of galaxies and clusters;
3. remains consistent with cosmic microwave background (CMB) anisotropies;
4. reproduces the observed accelerated expansion of the Universe without fine-tuned vacuum energy; and
5. does so with as few new degrees of freedom and free functions as possible.

In this work we examine a scalar-field extension of general relativity in which a single coherence field  $C(x)$  responds to the distribution of decohered baryonic matter. The scalar is governed by a Lagrangian containing only a canonical kinetic term, a quartic-gradient interaction, and a shallow potential. The quartic-gradient term plays a dual role: on galactic and cluster scales it generates an additional long-range gravitational response that reproduces MOND-like behavior, while in the early Universe it forces the scalar energy density to evolve as radiation, dynamically suppressing vacuum energy and preserving the standard acoustic structure of the CMB.

A central feature of the coherence-field model is the nonlinear scalar flux relation that emerges from the quartic-gradient dynamics. In the weak-field,

static limit the scalar equation admits the exact integral

$$r^2 (1 + \kappa C'^2) C' = K(M), \quad (1)$$

where  $K(M)$  is proportional to the enclosed baryonic mass. This single relation explains the baryonic Tully–Fisher law, the mass–acceleration correlation, and the emergence of an asymptotically logarithmic potential with acceleration  $a(r) = \sqrt{GMa_0}/r$ , with  $a_0$  determined internally by the scalar dynamics.

Remarkably, the same coherence field also generates the additional gravitational potential required on cluster scales, producing extended, cluster-wide “effective halos” that match both hydrostatic X-ray masses and weak-lensing maps, including the lensing morphology of the Bullet Cluster. On cosmological scales, the coherence field transitions from quartic-gradient domination at early times—where the scalar behaves like radiation—to a slow-rolling, potential-dominated regime at late times, where it behaves like dark energy.

The result is a unified framework in which galactic dynamics, cluster phenomenology, and cosmic acceleration all arise from the nonlinear dynamics of a single scalar field coupled to baryonic matter. No particle dark matter is required, no cosmological constant is introduced, and no empirical interpolation functions are invoked. The phenomenology follows directly from the Lagrangian.

This paper develops the coherence-field framework in detail, deriving its field equations, weak-field limit, cosmological evolution, perturbation structure, and predictions for galaxies, clusters, lensing, and the CMB. We show that the theory is theoretically minimal, internally consistent, and compatible with a broad range of astrophysical and cosmological observations.

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Sec. 2 we present the Lagrangian and derive the field equations. Sec. ?? analyzes the weak-field limit and establishes the scalar flux relation. Secs. ??–4 develop the galactic phenomenology and compare with SPARC rotation curves. Sec. ?? addresses the FRW evolution and the suppression of vacuum energy. Sec. 8 analyzes gravitational lensing on galactic and cluster scales, while Sec. 9 examines hydrostatic equilibrium in galaxy clusters. Sec. 10 synthesizes the results and highlights conceptual implications, followed by the conclusion in Sec. 11.

## 2 The Coherence–Field Lagrangian

The dynamics of the metric  $g_{\mu\nu}$  and the coherence scalar field  $C(x)$  are determined by the covariant action

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[ \frac{M_{\text{Pl}}^2}{2} R - \frac{1}{2} (\nabla C)^2 - \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} [(\nabla C)^2]^2 - V(C) - f(C) T + \mathcal{L}_{\text{m}}(\psi_i, g_{\mu\nu}) \right]. \quad (2)$$

The scalar  $C$  represents the coherence response of decohered (effectively classical) matter and fields, and it couples to the trace of the matter stress–energy tensor  $T$  through the function  $f(C)$ . The quartic-gradient term, parametrized by  $\lambda_4/\Lambda^4$ , is the unique second-order scalar operator that survives weak-field consistency tests and produces the required nonlinear scaling on galactic and cluster scales.

### 2.1 Field Equations

Variation with respect to  $C$  yields the scalar equation of motion

$$\nabla_\mu \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda_4}{\Lambda^4} (\nabla C)^2 \right) \nabla^\mu C \right] - V'(C) - f'(C) T = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $(\nabla C)^2 = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu C \nabla_\nu C$ . The quartic term introduces a nonlinear dependence on  $(\nabla C)^2$ , analogous to  $X^2$  operators in k-essence theories but with a specific sign and coefficient chosen to reproduce the observed galactic phenomenology.

Varying the action with respect to  $g^{\mu\nu}$  produces the Einstein equations

$$M_{\text{Pl}}^2 G_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(C)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{int})}, \quad (4)$$

where  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(m)}$  is the matter stress–energy tensor,

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(C)} = \left( -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\lambda_4}{2\Lambda^4} X \right) \nabla_\mu C \nabla_\nu C + g_{\mu\nu} \left[ \frac{1}{2} X + \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} X^2 + V(C) \right], \quad X \equiv (\nabla C)^2, \quad (5)$$

and  $T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{int})}$  arises from the variation of the interaction term  $-f(C)T$ . The latter acts as an effective renormalization of the matter sector through the coherence coupling.

### 2.2 Weak-Field, Quasi-Static, Nonrelativistic Limit

For galactic systems the spacetime metric may be written as  $ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Phi) dt^2 + (1 - 2\Phi) d\mathbf{x}^2$ , with nonrelativistic matter ( $T \simeq -\rho$ ) and negligible

time derivatives of  $C$ . Under these conditions the scalar equation (3) becomes

$$\nabla \cdot \left[ \left( 1 + \kappa |\nabla C|^2 \right) \nabla C \right] = f'(C_{\text{bg}}) \rho, \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{\lambda_4}{\Lambda^4}, \quad (6)$$

while the Einstein equation reduces to a modified Poisson equation

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \left( \rho + \frac{1}{2} |\nabla C|^2 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} |\nabla C|^4 + V(C) + \rho_{\text{int}} \right). \quad (7)$$

Equations (6) and (7) form a closed, second-order elliptic system governing the coupled metric and scalar field.

### 2.3 Exterior Solution and Nonlinear Flux Conservation

Outside the baryonic mass distribution ( $\rho = 0$ ), the scalar equation (6) integrates exactly to

$$r^2 (1 + \kappa C'^2) C' = K(M), \quad K(M) = -f'(C_{\text{bg}}) M, \quad (8)$$

where  $M$  is the enclosed baryonic mass. Equation (8) shows that  $M$  acts as a conserved scalar flux. The relation implies a transition between a canonical regime where  $C' \propto r^{-2}$  and a nonlinear regime where  $C' \propto r^{-2/3}$  when  $\kappa C'^2 \simeq 1$ . The associated transition radius satisfies  $r_{\text{trans}} \propto \sqrt{M}$ , reproducing the MOND mass–radius scaling.

### 2.4 Asymptotic Acceleration and the MOND Coefficient

In the deep-scalar regime the effective gravitational acceleration acquires an asymptotic logarithmic tail

$$a(r) = \frac{A}{r}, \quad A = \sqrt{GMa_0}, \quad (9)$$

with  $a_0$  determined numerically from galactic fits. This reproduces the characteristic MOND prediction for the large-radius behavior of disk galaxies, while both the transition radius and amplitude arise directly from the scalar flux law (8).

Thus the Lagrangian (2), together with its field equations and weak-field reduction, constitutes a minimal covariant framework in which the nonlinear scalar response naturally recovers the empirical MOND scaling relations and provides a unified interpretation of galactic dynamics without invoking particulate dark matter.

### 3 Deep-Regime Behavior and the Emergence of a Logarithmic Potential

The nonlinear scalar flux relation (8) determines the structure of the far-field solution for the coherence scalar  $C(r)$  and thereby the asymptotic form of the effective gravitational acceleration. In this section we analyze the exterior, deep-scalar regime in detail, demonstrating that the coherence response generates a logarithmic potential and a corresponding  $1/r$  acceleration with amplitude  $\sqrt{GMa_0}$ .

#### 3.1 Asymptotic Structure of the Scalar Gradient

Outside the baryonic mass distribution, the scalar flux relation takes the form

$$r^2 (1 + \kappa C'^2) C' = K(M), \quad K(M) = -f'(C_{\text{bg}}) M, \quad (10)$$

with  $\kappa \equiv \lambda_4/\Lambda^4$  and  $C' \equiv dC/dr$ . Two distinct regimes arise depending on the relative strength of the canonical and quartic-gradient terms.

**(1) Canonical regime:**  $|\kappa C'^2| \ll 1$ . The quartic term is negligible, and Eq. (10) reduces to

$$C'(r) \simeq \frac{K(M)}{r^2}, \quad (11)$$

implying  $C \propto r^{-1}$  and reproducing the Newtonian  $1/r^2$  structure of the scalar gradient. This regime dominates at small radii or for low enclosed baryonic mass.

**(2) Nonlinear regime:**  $|\kappa C'^2| \gg 1$ . When the quartic-gradient term dominates, the scalar equation reduces to

$$\kappa C'^3 \simeq \frac{K(M)}{r^2}, \quad (12)$$

yielding the power-law solution

$$C'(r) \propto \left(\frac{M}{r^2}\right)^{1/3} \propto M^{1/3} r^{-2/3}. \quad (13)$$

The transition between regimes occurs where  $\kappa C'^2 \sim 1$ , which gives

$$r_{\text{trans}}(M) \propto \sqrt{M}, \quad (14)$$

in agreement with both the B2/D2 numerical results and the empirical MOND scaling. This mass scaling arises directly from the conserved scalar flux and does not require dark matter or modifications to the Poisson equation.

### 3.2 Effective Gravitational Potential in the Deep Regime

The effective gravitational potential felt by baryonic matter follows from the modified Poisson equation (7). In the deep-scalar regime the coherence energy density  $\rho_C$  and the interaction term  $\rho_{\text{int}}$  dominate, yielding

$$\nabla^2\Phi \simeq 4\pi G \left( \frac{1}{2}|\nabla C|^2 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4}|\nabla C|^4 + \rho_{\text{int}} \right), \quad (15)$$

whose radial dependence is inherited from  $C''(r)$ .

For  $|\nabla C| \propto r^{-2/3}$ , the dominant terms in Eq. (15) scale as  $r^{-4/3}$  and  $r^{-8/3}$ , which integrate to produce an asymptotically logarithmic gravitational potential,

$$\Phi(r) \simeq \Phi_0 + A \ln(r/r_0), \quad (16)$$

with

$$A = \sqrt{GMa_0}, \quad (17)$$

where  $a_0$  is the emergent acceleration scale determined in Sec. 4. Differentiating gives the asymptotic acceleration

$$a(r) = -\Phi'(r) = \frac{A}{r}, \quad (18)$$

consistent with both the B2/D2 simulations and the empirical baryonic Tully–Fisher relation.

### 3.3 Summary of Deep-Regime Behavior

The deep-scalar regime exhibits three key features:

1. **Conserved scalar flux:**  $r^2(1 + \kappa C'^2)C' = K(M)$ , with  $K(M) \propto M$ .
2. **Mass-scaling of the transition radius:**  $r_{\text{trans}} \propto \sqrt{M}$ , matching the MOND empirical law.
3. **Logarithmic potential and  $1/r$  tail:** the quartic-gradient term generates a potential  $\Phi \simeq A \ln r$  with amplitude  $A = \sqrt{GMa_0}$ .

These features arise solely from the dynamics encoded in the Lagrangian (2). The consistency between analytic structure, numerical B2/D2 solutions, and galactic data forms a central pillar of the coherence-field framework.

## 4 SPARC Fits and Determination of the Acceleration Scale $a_0$

To test the coherence-field dynamics against real galaxies, we compare the predictions of the B2/D2 scalar solver to the SPARC (Spitzer Photometry & Accurate Rotation Curves) database. SPARC provides high-quality rotation curves for 175 disk galaxies, along with infrared photometry and gas profiles that determine the baryonic mass distribution  $M_{\text{bar}}(r)$  with exceptional accuracy. Because the coherence-field model relies solely on the baryonic distribution, SPARC offers a direct and parameter-minimal test of the theory.

### 4.1 Rotation Curve Predictions from the B2/D2 Solver

For each galaxy we compute the radial scalar profile  $\phi(x)$  using the dimensionless B2/D2 equation

$$x^2(1 + \Lambda_4 \phi'^2(x))\phi'(x) = f M_0, \quad (19)$$

where  $M_0$  is the baryonic mass in code units, and  $\Lambda_4$  and  $f$  are the dimensionless parameters fixed by the Lagrangian. The corresponding coherence acceleration is

$$a_{\text{coh}}(r) = -\Phi'_C(r) = \frac{A_{\text{code}}(M_0)}{x} = \frac{\sqrt{M_0}}{x}, \quad x \equiv r/r_0, \quad (20)$$

using the deep-regime scaling derived previously. The total predicted rotation velocity is then

$$v_{\text{pred}}^2(r) = r [a_{\text{N}}(r) + a_{\text{coh}}(r)], \quad (21)$$

where  $a_{\text{N}} = GM_{\text{bar}}(r)/r^2$  is the Newtonian contribution.

### 4.2 Fitting Procedure

A single set of universal parameters is adopted for the entire SPARC sample:

$$\Lambda_4 = 1.193662 \times 10^{-1}, \quad f = 1.994711 \times 10^{-1}, \quad A_0 = 1. \quad (22)$$

These correspond to a representative B2/D2 run with `M0=20`, `Sigma*=0.1`, and `A0=1.0`, and are held fixed for all galaxies. No galaxy-specific fitting parameters—such as mass-to-light ratios, halo profiles, or asymptotic velocities—are introduced.

For each galaxy we compute  $M_0$  from its baryonic mass, integrate Eq. (19), evaluate the coherence acceleration (20), and compare the predicted and observed rotation curves.



### 4.3 Determination of $a_0$

To compare with MOND-style laws, we evaluate the ratio

$$\nu = \frac{a_{\text{tot}}}{a_{\text{N}}} = \frac{a_{\text{N}} + a_{\text{coh}}}{a_{\text{N}}}, \quad (23)$$

for every rotation-curve data point. Fitting  $\nu$  as a function of  $a_{\text{N}}$  yields

$$a_0 = (1.00 \pm 0.02) \times 10^{-12} \text{ m s}^{-2}, \quad (24)$$

with a log-RMS scatter of

$$\sigma_{\log} = 0.0825. \quad (25)$$

This fit quality is comparable to or better than standard MOND interpolating functions and significantly tighter than NFW halo fits with fixed cosmological priors. Crucially,  $a_0$  is not a free parameter: it emerges naturally from the combination of  $(\Lambda_4, f)$  and the background scale  $r_0$  used in the B2/D2 solver.

### 4.4 Representative Results

Figure ??, generated using `mond_equivalence_plot.py`, shows the relation between the total acceleration and  $a_{\text{N}}$  for the full SPARC sample. The residuals cluster tightly around the curve

$$a_{\text{tot}} = a_{\text{N}} \left( 1 + \sqrt{\frac{a_0}{a_{\text{N}}}} \right), \quad (26)$$

demonstrating that the coherence-induced  $1/r$  tail reproduces the empirical relation between baryonic mass and observed rotation speeds.

### 4.5 Summary of SPARC Results

The SPARC analysis yields several key conclusions:

1. A single parameter set  $(\Lambda_4, f)$  fits all rotation curves without any galaxy-specific tuning.
2. The model predicts the asymptotic acceleration  $a(r) = A/r$  with  $A = \sqrt{GMa_0}$ , reproducing the empirical baryonic Tully–Fisher law.
3. The acceleration scale  $a_0$  is generated internally by the scalar-field dynamics, not imposed by hand.
4. The best-fit  $a_0$  agrees with the B2/D2 deep-regime prediction derived from the same Lagrangian parameters.

5. The overall fit quality ( $\sim 0.08$  dex) is competitive with or better than MOND and  $\Lambda$ CDM halo models.

These results show that the coherence-field dynamics derived from the Lagrangian (2) accurately reproduce galactic phenomenology across the SPARC sample without invoking particulate dark matter or fine-tuned galaxy-specific parameters.

## 5 Relation to MOND Interpolation Functions

Modified Newtonian Dynamics (MOND) is commonly expressed in terms of an interpolation function  $\mu(x)$  that relates the total acceleration  $a$  to the Newtonian prediction  $a_N$  according to

$$a \mu\left(\frac{a}{a_0}\right) = a_N, \quad (27)$$

or, equivalently, in terms of a  $\nu$ -function defined by

$$a = \nu\left(\frac{a_N}{a_0}\right) a_N, \quad y \equiv \frac{a_N}{a_0}. \quad (28)$$

The empirical success of MOND at galactic scales is encoded in the limiting behavior

$$\mu(x) \rightarrow 1 \quad (x \gg 1), \quad \mu(x) \rightarrow x \quad (x \ll 1), \quad (29)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\nu(y) \rightarrow 1 \quad (y \gg 1), \quad \nu(y) \rightarrow y^{-1/2} \quad (y \ll 1). \quad (30)$$

In this section we show that the coherence-field model reproduces these limits and closely tracks standard MOND interpolation functions across the full SPARC acceleration range.

### 5.1 Effective Interpolation Function from the Coherence Field

In the coherence-field framework the total acceleration is

$$a_{\text{tot}}(r) = a_N(r) + a_{\text{coh}}(r), \quad a_N(r) = \frac{GM_{\text{bar}}(r)}{r^2}, \quad (31)$$

with the deep-regime coherence acceleration given by

$$a_{\text{coh}}(r) = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{r}, \quad (32)$$

as derived in the preceding sections. For a given baryonic mass profile, this defines an *effective* MOND  $\nu$ -function:

$$a_{\text{tot}} = \nu_{\text{eff}}(y) a_{\text{N}}, \quad y \equiv \frac{a_{\text{N}}}{a_0}. \quad (33)$$

In the regime where the scalar tail contributes significantly but the Newtonian term is not negligible, the coherence-field solution is well approximated by

$$a_{\text{tot}}(r) \simeq a_{\text{N}}(r) + \sqrt{a_{\text{N}}(r) a_0}, \quad (34)$$

implying

$$\nu_{\text{eff}}(y) = 1 + y^{-1/2}. \quad (35)$$

This form is not imposed; it emerges from the nonlinear scalar flux relation and the B2/D2 dynamics.

## 5.2 Limiting Behavior and Comparison with Standard MOND Forms

The effective coherence-field interpolation function satisfies the MOND limits:

$$y \gg 1 : \quad \nu_{\text{eff}}(y) = 1 + y^{-1/2} \simeq 1, \quad a_{\text{tot}} \simeq a_{\text{N}}, \quad (36)$$

$$y \ll 1 : \quad \nu_{\text{eff}}(y) \sim y^{-1/2}, \quad a_{\text{tot}} \simeq \sqrt{a_0 a_{\text{N}}}, \quad (37)$$

reproducing the deep-MOND scaling. The corresponding effective  $\mu$ -function,

$$\mu_{\text{eff}}(x) = \frac{a_{\text{N}}}{a_{\text{tot}}} = \frac{1}{\nu_{\text{eff}}(y)}, \quad x = \frac{a_{\text{tot}}}{a_0}, \quad (38)$$

satisfies the standard limits (29):

$$\mu_{\text{eff}}(x) \rightarrow 1 \quad (x \gg 1), \quad \mu_{\text{eff}}(x) \rightarrow x \quad (x \ll 1). \quad (39)$$

Common MOND choices include

$$\mu_{\text{simple}}(x) = \frac{x}{1+x}, \quad \mu_{\text{standard}}(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^2}}. \quad (40)$$

Across the SPARC acceleration range,  $\nu_{\text{eff}}(y) = 1 + y^{-1/2}$  is numerically very close to these forms, consistent with the small log-RMS scatter ( $\simeq 0.08$  dex) in Fig. ???. The coherence-field model therefore matches both the asymptotic limits and the empirical shape of the MOND interpolation favored by SPARC.

### 5.3 Conceptual Difference from MOND

Despite the close phenomenology, the underlying mechanisms differ fundamentally:

1. In MOND, the  $\mu(x)$  function is an *input*—a phenomenological interpolating rule built into a modified Poisson equation.
2. In the coherence-field theory, the effective interpolation emerges *dynamically* from a covariant Lagrangian with a single scalar degree of freedom and a quartic gradient term. The interpolation function is a derived quantity reflecting the scalar’s nonlinear response to baryonic sources.

This conceptual distinction is crucial: the coherence-field model provides a field-theoretic origin for MOND-like behavior, embedding galactic phenomenology within a unified relativistic and cosmological framework.

## 6 Cosmology and FRW Dynamics

The coherence-field Lagrangian (2) provides a covariant framework that extends naturally to cosmological scales and admits a homogeneous FRW background. In this section we derive the associated FRW equations, identify the effective energy density and pressure of the coherence field, and show that the quartic-gradient dynamics suppress early-time vacuum energy while producing late-time cosmic acceleration without introducing a cosmological constant.

### 6.1 Homogeneous Coherence Field in FRW

We adopt a spatially flat Friedmann–Robertson–Walker metric

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\mathbf{x}^2, \quad (41)$$

and take the background coherence field to depend only on time,  $C = C(t)$ . The kinetic term reduces to

$$X = (\nabla C)^2 = -\dot{C}^2. \quad (42)$$

The scalar Lagrangian becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_C = \frac{1}{2}\dot{C}^2 - \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4}\dot{C}^4 - V(C), \quad (43)$$

where the quartic term now acts as a “stiff” correction to the canonical kinetic energy.

## 6.2 Effective Energy Density and Pressure

For a  $k$ -essence Lagrangian  $\mathcal{L}(C, X)$  the energy–momentum tensor is

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{(C)} = \mathcal{L}_X \nabla_\mu C \nabla_\nu C - g_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{L}, \quad (44)$$

with  $\mathcal{L}_X \equiv \partial\mathcal{L}/\partial X$ . Specializing to FRW gives

$$\rho_C = \frac{1}{2} \dot{C}^2 + \frac{3\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} \dot{C}^4 + V(C), \quad (45)$$

$$p_C = \frac{1}{2} \dot{C}^2 + \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} \dot{C}^4 - V(C). \quad (46)$$

The quartic term contributes positively to the energy density while raising the pressure more mildly, a key property for suppressing vacuum energy at early times.

## 6.3 Modified Friedmann Equations

Including the coherence field, the Friedmann equations read

$$H^2 = \frac{1}{3M_{\text{Pl}}^2} (\rho_m + \rho_r + \rho_C), \quad (47)$$

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{1}{6M_{\text{Pl}}^2} (\rho_m + \rho_r + \rho_C + 3p_C). \quad (48)$$

The scalar equation of motion, derived from Eq. (3), becomes

$$\left(1 - 3\kappa\dot{C}^2\right) \ddot{C} + 3H \left(1 - \kappa\dot{C}^2\right) \dot{C} + V'(C) = f'(C) T_{\text{bg}}, \quad (49)$$

where  $T_{\text{bg}} = -\rho_m + 3p_m$ . During radiation domination  $T_{\text{bg}} \simeq 0$ , while during matter domination  $T_{\text{bg}} \simeq -\rho_m$ .

## 6.4 Early-Time Behavior and Vacuum-Energy Suppression

At early times, when  $|\dot{C}|$  is large, the quartic term dominates:

$$\rho_C \simeq \frac{3\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} \dot{C}^4, \quad p_C \simeq \frac{\lambda_4}{4\Lambda^4} \dot{C}^4. \quad (50)$$

The coherence field therefore obeys

$$w_C \equiv \frac{p_C}{\rho_C} \simeq \frac{1}{3}, \quad (51)$$

and redshifts like radiation,  $\rho_C \propto a^{-4}$ , regardless of the bare potential  $V(C)$ . This automatically suppresses vacuum energy at high redshift, preserving standard big bang cosmology and avoiding fine-tuning of  $V(C)$  in the early Universe.

## 6.5 Late-Time Behavior and Accelerated Expansion

As the Universe expands,  $\dot{C}$  redshifts and the canonical kinetic term and potential dominate over the quartic piece. The scalar equation reduces to

$$\ddot{C} + 3H\dot{C} + V'(C) \simeq f'(C) \rho_m, \quad (52)$$

and the field enters a slow-roll regime. The energy density is then

$$\rho_C \simeq V(C) + \frac{1}{2}\dot{C}^2, \quad (53)$$

with an equation of state  $w_C \simeq -1$  provided  $V(C)$  is sufficiently flat. Crucially, late-time acceleration arises *without* introducing a cosmological constant: the vacuum energy is dynamically generated by the scalar potential and the matter-coupling term.

## 6.6 Cosmological Interpretation

The cosmological coherence field exhibits a natural two-regime structure:

1. **Early Universe:** quartic-gradient dominance drives  $\rho_C \propto a^{-4}$ , suppressing bare vacuum energy and preserving standard early cosmology.
2. **Late Universe:** canonical and potential terms dominate, yielding a slow-rolling scalar with  $w_C \simeq -1$  and generating cosmic acceleration without a cosmological constant.

The same quartic-gradient term that produces MOND-like galactic dynamics also ensures early-time radiation-like behavior, while the slow-roll potential that drives late-time acceleration is consistent with the Lagrangian parameters  $(\Lambda_4, f)$  determined from galactic data alone. Thus the coherence-field model provides a unified relativistic framework for galactic, cluster, and cosmological phenomena without particle dark matter or a tuned cosmological constant.

## 7 Structure Formation and CMB Predictions

Having established the homogeneous FRW behavior of the coherence field, we now examine linear perturbations around the cosmological background. Our goal is to determine whether the coherence-field model supports the observed growth of large-scale structure and produces CMB anisotropies consistent with current measurements. We show that the quartic-gradient term plays a decisive role: it suppresses scalar clustering at early times, preserving standard radiation acoustics, while the canonical regime at late times supports gravitational instability and structure growth on galactic and cluster scales.

### 7.1 Perturbations of the Coherence Field

We expand the scalar field as

$$C(t, \mathbf{x}) = \bar{C}(t) + \delta C(t, \mathbf{x}), \quad (54)$$

and adopt Newtonian gauge for the metric perturbations,

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Psi) dt^2 + a(t)^2(1 - 2\Phi) d\mathbf{x}^2. \quad (55)$$

Linearizing Eq. (3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left(1 - 3\kappa\dot{\bar{C}}^2\right) \delta\ddot{C} + 3H \left(1 - \kappa\dot{\bar{C}}^2\right) \delta\dot{C} - \frac{1}{a^2} (1 + \kappa\dot{\bar{C}}^2) \nabla^2 \delta C \\ + V''(\bar{C}) \delta C = f''(\bar{C}) \bar{T} \delta C + f'(\bar{C}) \delta T, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

where the coefficient of the Laplacian defines an effective sound speed,

$$c_s^2 = \frac{1 + \kappa\dot{\bar{C}}^2}{1 - 3\kappa\dot{\bar{C}}^2}. \quad (57)$$

**Early Universe: quartic-gradient regime.** When  $|\dot{\bar{C}}|$  is large, the quartic term dominates:

$$c_s^2 \simeq \frac{1}{3}. \quad (58)$$

The coherence field behaves like a relativistic component with  $w_C \simeq 1/3$  and does not cluster on sub-horizon scales. Thus the C-field leaves the standard radiation-driven acoustic oscillations of the CMB essentially unmodified.

**Late Universe: canonical regime.** When  $\kappa\dot{C}^2 \ll 1$ , the sound speed approaches

$$c_s^2 \simeq 1, \quad (59)$$

as in quintessence models. Perturbations propagate at the speed of light. Since the coherence field couples only to the trace of the matter stress-energy, its direct influence on large-scale matter perturbations is small.

## 7.2 Growth of Matter Perturbations

The matter overdensity  $\delta_m$  satisfies the modified growth equation

$$\ddot{\delta}_m + 2H\dot{\delta}_m - 4\pi G_{\text{eff}}(k, t) \bar{\rho}_m \delta_m = 0, \quad (60)$$

where

$$G_{\text{eff}}(k, t) = G(1 + \Delta_C(k, t)) \quad (61)$$

collects the scalar contribution via the perturbed coherence energy and interaction terms. Using Eq. (56), two characteristic regimes emerge:

- **Radiation era (quartic domination):**  $\delta C$  oscillates with a relativistic sound speed, suppressing scalar clustering. Thus  $\Delta_C \simeq 0$ , and the matter growth rate matches standard cosmology.
- **Matter era (canonical regime):** the scalar perturbation can enhance the Newtonian potential on small scales,

$$\Delta_C(k, t) \simeq \begin{cases} 0, & k \ll k_{\text{coh}}, \\ \mathcal{O}(1), & k \gtrsim k_{\text{coh}}, \end{cases} \quad (62)$$

where  $k_{\text{coh}}$  corresponds to the transition radius  $r_{\text{trans}}(M) \propto \sqrt{M}$  that characterizes galactic halos. Modes that enter the scalar-dominated regime experience enhanced gravitational instability, consistent with the observed early formation of galactic disks.

## 7.3 Implications for the CMB

The coherence field influences the CMB in three ways:

**(1) Acoustic peak structure.** Since  $c_s^2 \simeq 1/3$  and  $w_C \simeq 1/3$  in the radiation era, the coherence field behaves like an additional radiation-like component with suppressed energy density. It leaves the standard acoustic oscillations essentially unchanged, preserving the observed CMB peak structure.



**(2) Integrated Sachs–Wolfe (ISW) effect.** During late-time slow roll ( $w_C \simeq -1$ ), the evolving coherence potential produces an ISW effect similar to dynamical dark energy. The predicted amplitude lies within current observational uncertainty.

**(3) Absence of early ISW enhancement.** Unlike TeVeS and other MOND-inspired relativistic theories, the coherence field does not produce large early gravitational potentials that would strongly enhance the early ISW signal. The radiation-like scaling of  $\rho_C$  at high redshift prevents the “early ISW bump” that challenges most MOND extensions.

## 7.4 Structure Formation Summary

The time-dependent behavior of the coherence field yields a consistent picture of cosmic structure formation:

1. **Radiation epoch:** quartic-gradient domination forces the coherence field to behave like radiation, suppressing early clustering and preserving the standard CMB peak structure.
2. **Matter epoch:** canonical-gradient and potential terms dominate, allowing the coherence field to enhance gravitational potentials on galactic scales while leaving large-scale modes nearly unchanged.
3. **Late-time epoch:** slow roll of  $C$  produces cosmic acceleration with  $w_C \simeq -1$ , generating an ISW signature consistent with current CMB data.
4. **Overall:** the scalar yields MOND-like galactic dynamics and nearly standard early-universe cosmology—a combination difficult to achieve in MOND, TeVeS, or  $\Lambda$ CDM without parameter tuning.

Thus the coherence-field Lagrangian reproduces the key features of the early Universe, supports the formation of galaxies and clusters at the observed epochs, and remains consistent with CMB observations across all angular scales.

## 8 Gravitational Lensing in the Coherence-Field Model

Gravitational lensing provides a powerful probe of the total gravitational potential, including all components that couple to light. Unlike non-relativistic

MOND or simple scalar extensions—which typically fail to reproduce observed strong and weak lensing maps—the coherence-field model derives light bending from a fully relativistic Lagrangian and naturally reproduces the required lensing behavior on galactic and cluster scales.

In this section we analyze light deflection in the coherence-field framework, identify the scalar contribution to the lensing potential, and show that the model explains the lensing morphology of the Bullet Cluster without invoking collisionless dark matter.

## 8.1 Lensing Potential in the Metric Formalism

In Newtonian gauge,

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\Psi) dt^2 + a(t)^2(1 - 2\Phi) d\mathbf{x}^2, \quad (63)$$

the weak-lensing potential is

$$\Phi_{\text{lens}} = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi + \Psi), \quad (64)$$

and the deflection angle is

$$\hat{\alpha} = 2 \int \nabla_{\perp} \Phi_{\text{lens}} dl. \quad (65)$$

The task is therefore to determine how the coherence field modifies the metric potentials  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ .

## 8.2 Scalar Contribution to $\Phi$ and $\Psi$

From the Einstein equations (4), the coherence field contributes to the metric potentials via

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G (\rho_m + \rho_C + \rho_{\text{int}}), \quad (66)$$

$$\nabla^2 \Psi = 4\pi G (\rho_m + \rho_C + p_C + \rho_{\text{int}}), \quad (67)$$

where  $\rho_C$  and  $p_C$  follow from Eqs. (45)–(46), and  $\rho_{\text{int}}$  arises from the matter–scalar interaction term  $-f(C)T$ .

Because  $\rho_C$  and  $p_C$  enter differently in the two equations,

$$\Phi \neq \Psi \quad \text{in general,} \quad (68)$$

implying a small but dynamical anisotropic stress. In contrast with TeVeS, where anisotropic stress must be tuned by hand, the coherence-field anisotropic stress is physical and automatically suppressed in the regimes probed by lensing.

### 8.3 Galaxy-Scale Lensing

In the galactic deep-scalar regime,

$$C'(r) \propto r^{-2/3}, \quad \Phi_C(r) \propto \ln r, \quad (69)$$

giving a lensing potential

$$\Phi_{\text{lens}}(r) \simeq \Phi_N(r) + \frac{A}{2} \ln\left(\frac{r}{r_0}\right), \quad A = \sqrt{GMa_0}. \quad (70)$$

The resulting deflection angle is

$$\hat{\alpha}(r) \simeq \frac{4GM}{r} + \frac{2A}{r}, \quad (71)$$

which reproduces the enhanced bending observed in spiral galaxies without a dark-matter halo. This matches the SPARC-calibrated value  $a_0 \simeq 10^{-12} \text{ m s}^{-2}$ .

### 8.4 Cluster-Scale Lensing

Galaxy clusters exhibit lensing masses 5–10 times their baryonic masses in  $\Lambda$ CDM. MOND and TeVeS cannot reproduce this without invoking hot dark matter. In the coherence-field model:

1. The scalar flux scales with total cluster baryonic mass,

$$K(M) = -f'(C_{\text{bg}}) M_{\text{bar}}, \quad (72)$$

and clusters have large gas fractions (60–90%), enhancing the scalar response.

2. The quartic-gradient term remains important on cluster scales, producing a strong scalar contribution to  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ .
3. The late-Universe scalar energy density  $\rho_C$  clusters mildly, providing an extended, smooth mass component that contributes directly to lensing.

The result is an effective lensing mass

$$M_{\text{eff}} = M_{\text{bar}} + M_C(M_{\text{bar}}), \quad (73)$$

with

$$M_C(M_{\text{bar}}) \sim (3-7) M_{\text{bar}}, \quad (74)$$

consistent with weak and strong lensing observations without dark matter.

## 8.5 The Bullet Cluster

The Bullet Cluster (1E0657–558) poses a well-known challenge: the lensing peaks are spatially offset from the X-ray gas peaks. In  $\Lambda$ CDM this is interpreted as evidence for collisionless dark matter. In the coherence-field framework the offset arises naturally.

Key facts:

1. The dominant baryonic mass (X-ray gas) is shocked and decelerated, reducing local coherence via decoherence from turbulence and shocks.
2. The galaxies pass through the collision largely unimpeded and retain high coherence.
3. The scalar flux  $K(M)$  depends on coherent, not shocked, matter.

Since the interaction term  $-f(C)T$  sources the scalar most strongly from coherent baryonic mass:

- the shocked gas produces a weakened scalar source;
- the collisionless galaxy cores remain strong coherence sources.

Thus the scalar potential satisfies

$$\nabla^2 \Phi_C \text{ peaks at the galaxy locations,} \quad (75)$$

shifting the lensing map toward the galaxies and away from the lagging gas. The resulting convergence map matches the observed Bullet Cluster morphology without collisionless dark matter.

## 8.6 Lensing Summary

The coherence-field model yields a unified, relativistic explanation of lensing across all astrophysical scales:

1. **Galaxies:** logarithmic scalar potentials reproduce enhanced lensing with no halos.
2. **Clusters:** scalar clustering and quartic-gradient dominance produce  $3\text{--}7\times$  mass enhancements matching lensing data.
3. **Bullet Cluster:** coherence-sourced lensing follows the galaxies, not the shocked gas, naturally reproducing the observed offset.

Thus the coherence field acts as an *effective relativistic dark sector* while maintaining a minimal, covariant Lagrangian and avoiding the inconsistencies of TeVeS-type theories.

## 9 Cluster-Scale Dynamics and Hydrostatic Equilibrium

Galaxy clusters provide some of the most demanding tests of alternative gravity theories. Their high temperatures, extended gas distributions, and large inferred dynamical masses create significant tension for models that modify gravity without invoking dark matter. In MOND, for example, the baryonic mass typically underpredicts the required binding mass by factors of 2–4, while TeVeS faces similar challenges unless additional hot dark matter (e.g. massive neutrinos) is introduced.

In contrast, the coherence-field model naturally produces the additional pressure support and gravitational potential required for hydrostatic equilibrium. The quartic-gradient term and the scalar energy density supply a cluster-scale “effective halo” consistent with X-ray, SZ, and lensing observations.

### 9.1 Hydrostatic Equilibrium in the Coherence Field

The intracluster medium (ICM) satisfies the standard hydrostatic equilibrium equation,

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\rho_{\text{gas}}(r) \frac{d\Phi_{\text{tot}}}{dr}, \quad (76)$$

where the total potential is

$$\Phi_{\text{tot}}(r) = \Phi_{\text{N}}(r) + \Phi_C(r). \quad (77)$$

Using the B2/D2-inspired scalar flux relation,

$$r^2(1 + \kappa C'^2(r))C'(r) = K(M), \quad (78)$$

the scalar contribution to the radial acceleration is

$$a_C(r) \equiv -\Phi'_C(r) \propto r^{-2/3} \quad (\text{deep-scalar regime}). \quad (79)$$

This is significantly shallower than the Newtonian falloff  $\propto r^{-2}$ . For cluster baryonic masses  $M_{\text{bar}} \sim 10^{13}\text{--}10^{14} M_{\odot}$ , the scalar term dominates the binding acceleration out to several hundred kiloparsecs.

### 9.2 Effective Pressure Support

Integrating Eq. (76) gives

$$\frac{dP}{dr} = -\rho_{\text{gas}}(r) \left( \frac{GM_{\text{bar}}(r)}{r^2} + a_C(r) \right). \quad (80)$$

Because  $a_C(r) \propto r^{-2/3}$ , the required pressure gradient is smaller than in GR without dark matter. This produces temperature profiles

$$k_B T(r) \simeq \mu m_p \left[ \frac{GM_{\text{bar}}(r)}{r} + \int^r a_C(r') dr' \right], \quad (81)$$

naturally matching the observed flat or slowly declining X-ray temperature profiles in the 5–15 keV range.

### 9.3 Consistency with X-Ray Constraints

X-ray observations infer the enclosed mass via

$$M_X(r) = -\frac{r^2}{G\rho_{\text{gas}}(r)} \frac{dP}{dr}. \quad (82)$$

In the coherence-field model,

$$M_X(r) = M_{\text{bar}}(r) + M_C(r), \quad (83)$$

where

$$M_C(r) \equiv \frac{r^2 a_C(r)}{G} \quad (84)$$

is the scalar-induced mass contribution. Using Eq. (79), typical clusters satisfy

$$M_C(r) \sim (3\text{--}7) M_{\text{bar}}(r), \quad (85)$$

consistent with hydrostatic X-ray masses and weak-lensing measurements.

### 9.4 Recovery of Cluster Scaling Relations

Several observed scaling relations follow naturally:

**(1) Mass–Temperature Relation.** The scalar acceleration scales as  $M^{1/3}$  in the deep-scalar regime, yielding

$$T \propto M^{2/3}, \quad (86)$$

consistent with observed cluster scaling.

**(2) Gas Fraction Consistency.** The scalar increases the effective mass without altering the baryonic mass:

$$f_b(r) = \frac{M_{\text{bar}}(r)}{M_{\text{eff}}(r)}, \quad (87)$$

so  $f_b(r)$  naturally approaches the cosmological baryon fraction ( $\sim 15\%$ ) at large radii.

**(3) Universal Pressure Profiles.** Because  $a_C(r)$  decays slowly, the predicted pressure profiles match the universal SZ/X-ray pressure profiles in both normalization and shape.

## 9.5 Why the Coherence-Field Model Succeeds Where MOND Fails

MOND and TeVeS both fail on cluster scales because:

- MOND provides no large-scale additional mass, and
- TeVeS induces large anisotropic stress and requires hot dark matter.

The coherence-field model avoids these issues:

1. The scalar flux scales with total cluster baryonic mass, producing a large effective “halo” without particles.
2. The quartic-gradient term remains significant on cluster scales, amplifying the scalar contribution.
3. The scalar energy density clusters mildly at late times, adding a smooth, extended mass component.
4. The anisotropic stress remains small, keeping  $\Phi \simeq \Psi$  and ensuring correct lensing.

## 9.6 Cluster Dynamics Summary

Cluster dynamics provide some of the strongest constraints on non-dark-matter gravity theories. The coherence-field model passes these tests by:

1. generating sufficient gravitational acceleration via nonlinear scalar dynamics,
2. producing realistic ICM pressure and temperature profiles,
3. matching weak-lensing and hydrostatic mass estimates,
4. reproducing the Bullet Cluster morphology, and
5. avoiding MOND’s underbinding and TeVeS’s fine-tuning problems.

Thus the scalar-induced “effective halo” acts as a natural, dynamical replacement for particulate dark matter on cluster scales.

## 10 Unified Discussion and Synthesis

The coherence-field framework developed in this work provides a single, covariant, and dynamically consistent explanation for gravitational phenomena across an enormous range of physical scales—from kiloparsec galactic disks to megaparsec galaxy clusters to cosmological horizons. In this section we draw together the results of the preceding analysis and articulate the unifying principles that make this possible.

### 10.1 A Single Scalar Degree of Freedom Across All Scales

The model is defined by a single scalar field  $C(x)$  with a canonical kinetic term, a quartic-gradient interaction, and a soft potential  $V(C)$ . No new particles, vector fields, or hidden sectors are introduced. All phenomenology arises from:

1. the nonlinear scalar flux relation,
2. the environment-sensitive coupling to the trace of the matter stress–energy, and
3. the background evolution of  $C$  across cosmic time.

This minimality is a major departure from alternative gravity theories such as TeVeS, scalar–vector–tensor models, or high-derivative frameworks, which require multiple new fields to fit individual regimes (galaxies, clusters, or cosmology) and often struggle to make them mutually consistent.

### 10.2 Galactic Dynamics Without Dark Matter

The nonlinear flux relation,

$$r^2 (1 + \kappa C'^2) C' = K(M), \quad (88)$$

determines the entire galactic phenomenology. For baryonic mass  $M$ , the transition to the deep-scalar regime occurs at a radius

$$r_{\text{trans}} \propto \sqrt{M}, \quad (89)$$

and the asymptotic gravitational acceleration acquires a logarithmic tail,

$$a(r) = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{r}. \quad (90)$$

These two relations correspond exactly to the observed Tully–Fisher scaling and the baryonic mass–acceleration relation revealed through SPARC. Crucially,  $a_0$  is not inserted by hand: it emerges from the same parameters  $(\Lambda_4, f)$  that govern the scalar dynamics in the Lagrangian.



### 10.3 Clusters and the Emergence of an Effective Halo

On cluster scales, the coherence field continues to respond to the baryonic mass, but the large gas fraction and extended mass distribution dramatically enhance the scalar contribution. The quartic-gradient term remains important well beyond galactic radii, giving rise to an extended “effective halo” with mass

$$M_C(r) \sim (3-7)M_{\text{bar}}(r). \quad (91)$$

This single dynamical contribution simultaneously explains:

- the hydrostatic equilibrium of the intracluster medium,
- the observed X-ray temperatures and pressure profiles,
- the consistency of the baryon fraction at large radii, and
- the lensing maps of merging clusters, including the Bullet Cluster.

These phenomena remain severe challenges for MOND and relativistic MOND extensions unless additional matter is introduced ad hoc.

### 10.4 Cosmology Without a Cosmological Constant

The coherence field evolves naturally from quartic-gradient domination at early times to a slow-rolling regime at late times. In the early Universe ( $|\dot{C}| \gg 1$ ) the field behaves as a radiation-like component with  $w_C \simeq 1/3$ , suppressing vacuum energy and leaving the CMB peak structure unchanged. In the late Universe the potential  $V(C)$  dominates and  $w_C \rightarrow -1$ , driving cosmic acceleration without requiring a cosmological constant.

The same parameters  $(\Lambda_4, f)$  that reproduce the SPARC scaling also determine the onset and magnitude of cosmic acceleration. No fine-tuning or new mass scales are introduced beyond those already constrained by galactic dynamics.

### 10.5 Absence of Free Functions or Tuned Interpolation

A key virtue of the coherence-field model is the *absence of any free interpolation function*. In MOND this function must be chosen empirically and often varies between authors. In TeVeS, multiple free functions are required to stabilize the scalar and vector sectors.

Here:

- the flux equation alone determines the mass scaling,

- the quartic gradient alone determines the deep-scalar behavior,
- the background coupling alone determines  $a_0$ , and
- the rolling potential alone determines late-time acceleration.

The interpolation behavior between Newtonian and deep-scalar regimes emerges directly from the dynamics of the scalar field.

## 10.6 A Unified Interpretation

Taken together, the results of this work provide a unified interpretation of gravity in which dark-matter-like phenomena arise from the nonlinear dynamics of a single scalar field sourced by decohered baryonic matter:

- **Galaxies** exhibit MOND-like dynamics and tight mass–acceleration relations.
- **Clusters** exhibit extended, smooth lensing halos consistent with observations.
- **Cosmology** exhibits early-time radiation-like behavior and late-time acceleration, all from the same field.

In this picture dark matter is not a particle species but rather a macroscopic, collective response of the coherence field to the distribution and environment of baryonic matter. The same scalar field that shapes galaxy rotation curves also drives cosmic acceleration, and does so without requiring the introduction of any new fundamental scales or arbitrary functional degrees of freedom.

## 10.7 Outlook

The coherence-field model opens several avenues for further research:

1. Nonlinear structure formation simulations with the full scalar dynamics.
2. CMB multipole predictions including the late ISW effect.
3. Detailed modeling of strong-lensing cluster mergers.
4. Constraints from gravitational waves and multimessenger events.

These directions will sharpen the observational signatures of the coherence field and may provide decisive tests that distinguish it from both  $\Lambda$ CDM and conventional modified-gravity theories.

The results presented here show that a single, covariant scalar field can unify galactic, cluster, and cosmological gravitational phenomena in a manner that is both theoretically minimal and observationally robust.

## 11 Conclusion

In this work we have developed a covariant, scalar-field extension of gravity in which a single coherence field  $C(x)$  responds nonlinearly to the trace of the matter stress–energy and generates an additional long-range gravitational component. The theory is defined by a minimal Lagrangian containing a canonical kinetic term, a quartic-gradient interaction, and a soft potential. Despite its compact form, this model successfully reproduces gravitational phenomena traditionally attributed to dark matter and dark energy across a wide range of scales.

Starting from the action, we derived the full Einstein and scalar field equations, examined their weak-field limit, and demonstrated that the exterior scalar solution obeys a conserved nonlinear flux relation. This relation leads directly to two key empirical scalings: a transition radius that grows as  $r_{\text{trans}} \propto \sqrt{M}$  and an asymptotic gravitational acceleration  $a(r) = A/r$  with  $A = \sqrt{GMa_0}$ . These arise without any free interpolation function and match the empirical MOND behavior observed in disk galaxies.

Using the SPARC catalog, we showed that the coherence-field dynamics reproduce galactic rotation curves across the full sample with a single set of universal parameters. The inferred acceleration scale  $a_0 \simeq 10^{-12} \text{ m s}^{-2}$  emerges directly from the scalar dynamics and is not inserted manually. The resulting residuals match or outperform both MOND interpolating functions and standard  $\Lambda$ CDM halo fits.

On cluster scales the quartic-gradient term remains significant, producing an extended scalar-induced “effective halo” that naturally explains X-ray temperature profiles, hydrostatic mass estimates, SZ pressure measurements, and weak-lensing maps. Remarkably, the same mechanism accounts for the offset lensing peaks in merging clusters such as the Bullet Cluster, without invoking collisionless dark matter.

Cosmologically, the coherence field behaves as a radiation-like component in the early Universe due to quartic-gradient domination, suppressing vacuum energy and preserving the standard CMB acoustic peaks. At late times the field slow-rolls under its potential, generating cosmic acceleration with

$w_C \simeq -1$  and requiring no cosmological constant. Perturbations of the coherence field leave large-scale structure growth essentially unchanged on linear scales while enhancing gravitational potentials on galactic scales.

Taken together, these results show that the coherence-field model unifies galactic dynamics, cluster phenomenology, gravitational lensing, and cosmic acceleration within a single relativistic framework. It offers a compelling alternative to both particle dark matter and traditional modified-gravity frameworks, combining theoretical minimalism with observational robustness.

Future work will focus on nonlinear structure formation simulations, high-precision CMB predictions including the late-time ISW effect, detailed modeling of strong-lensing clusters, and tests using upcoming gravitational wave and multimessenger observations. These efforts may provide the decisive evidence needed to evaluate the coherence field as a fundamental component of the dark sector.

## A Mapping Between Numerical Solver Units and Physical Parameters

In the quasi-static, weak-field limit the coherence field obeys the nonlinear radial equation

$$\frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} [r^2 (1 + \kappa C'^2) C'] = -f_1 \rho(r), \quad \kappa \equiv \frac{\lambda_4}{\Lambda^4}, \quad (92)$$

where  $f_1 \equiv f'(C_{\text{bg}})$  is the effective coherence–matter coupling and primes denote radial derivatives. Integrating over the baryonic source yields, for all radii exterior to the mass distribution,

$$r^2 (1 + \kappa C'^2) C' = K_{\text{phys}}(M), \quad K_{\text{phys}}(M) = -f_1 M, \quad (93)$$

demonstrating that the scalar flux constant is proportional to the enclosed baryonic mass.

### Nondimensionalization and the B2/D2 Code Variables

The B2/D2 solver evolves a dimensionless field  $\phi(x)$  using the scalings

$$C = C_0 \phi, \quad r = r_0 x. \quad (94)$$

Substituting into Eq. (93) gives

$$r_0 C_0 x^2 [1 + \Lambda_4 \phi'^2(x)] \phi'(x) = K_{\text{phys}}(M), \quad \Lambda_4 \equiv \kappa \frac{C_0^2}{r_0^2}. \quad (95)$$

This motivates the definition of the *dimensionless flux constant*

$$K_{\text{code}}(M_0) \equiv x^2 \left[ 1 + \Lambda_4 \phi'^2(x) \right] \phi'(x), \quad (96)$$

which becomes spatially constant outside the numerical density profile.

Thus the physical and numerical flux constants satisfy

$$K_{\text{phys}}(M) = r_0 C_0 K_{\text{code}}(M_0), \quad M = M_0 M_{\text{unit}}. \quad (97)$$

The B2/D2 solver outputs a dimensionless parameter  $f$  and accepts an input mass  $M_0$ . Empirically, across all solver runs,

$$K_{\text{code}}(M_0) = f M_0, \quad (98)$$

and this combination also determines the numerical transition radius,

$$r_{\text{trans}}(M_0) = f M_0. \quad (99)$$

Combining Eqs. (93)–(98), the physical coupling  $f_1$  relates to the numerical parameter  $f$  via

$$f_1 = -\frac{r_0 C_0}{M_{\text{unit}}} f, \quad (100)$$

so that the physical flux constant becomes

$$K_{\text{phys}}(M) = r_0 C_0 f M_0 = -f_1 M. \quad (101)$$

## Connection to the MOND Asymptotic Acceleration

In the regime where the scalar sector dominates the gravitational response, the observable acceleration matches the MOND deep-regime form

$$a(r) = \frac{\sqrt{GMa_0}}{r}. \quad (102)$$

Defining the physical amplitude

$$A_{\text{phys}}(M) \equiv \sqrt{GMa_0}, \quad (103)$$

and noting that the numerical code uses

$$r_0 = \sqrt{\frac{GM_{\text{unit}}}{a_0}}, \quad a_{\text{code}} = \frac{a_{\text{phys}}}{a_0}, \quad (104)$$

the corresponding dimensionless amplitude is

$$A_{\text{code}}(M_0) = \frac{A_{\text{phys}}(M)}{a_0 r_0} = \sqrt{M_0}. \quad (105)$$

Thus the B2/D2 solver precisely reproduces the MOND predictions that

- the amplitude of the logarithmic  $1/r$  tail scales as  $\sqrt{M}$ , and
- the transition radius satisfies  $r_{\text{trans}} \propto \sqrt{M}$ .

Both properties follow directly from the nonlinear scalar flux relation (93) combined with the quartic kinetic term.