SE 380 - HW 5

Bilal Khan bilal2vec@gmail.com

November 19, 2023

Contents

1 1 1

2 2 4

1 1

Design a lead-lag compensator C(s) to control the system

$$G(s) = \frac{1}{(1+s)^3}$$

such that L(s) = C(s)G(s) has steady-state gain greater than 100, crossover frequency greater than 5, and phase margin greater than 60°.

We will design a controller with two parts $C_1(s) = \frac{1}{(1+s/p_1)(1+s/p_2)^3}$ where p_1, p_2 are pole locations. This part is used to set the crossover frequency and make the controller realizable by increasing the degree of the denominator. We arbitrarily choose $\omega_c = 10$ as our crossover frequency and place poles one decade before and one decade after it. Note that the phase margin should be 90° as the pole one decade before the crossover frequency will contribute a phase of -90° to the bode plot. We place three poles one decade after the crossover frequency to ensure that our final controller will be realizable.

$$C_1(s) = \frac{1}{(1 + (s/1))(1 + (s/100)^3)}$$

To satisfy the steady state gain requirement, we need $F(0) \ge 100$ and so we choose a steady state gain of 101. We use a phase-lag controller to improve static performance withouth losing stability: $C_2(s) = \mu \frac{1+Ts}{1+\alpha Ts}$. We choose the default value of $\alpha = 10$ and $T = 10/\omega_c$ to limit the phase margin decrease at the crossover frequency to $\approx 6\%$, which gives us more than enough phase margin to satisfy the requirement. We choose $\mu = 101$ since we know that phase-lag controllers increase the steady state gain at low frequencies (and by extension the steady-state gain) by a factor of μ .

$$C_2(s) = 101 \frac{1+s}{1+10s}$$


We then combine the two controllers and cancel out the G(s) to get our final controller. Note that the controller is proper.

$$C(s) = C_1(s)C_2(s)/G(s) = 101 \frac{1}{(1+(s/1))(1+(s/100)^3)} \frac{1+s}{1+10s} \frac{(1+s)^3}{1}$$


In code:

```
import math
import numpy as np
import control as ct
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
s = ct.tf('s')
G = 1 / (1 + s)**3
plt.figure()
ct.bode_plot(G, margins=True)
plt.show()
plt.figure()
t, y = ct.step_response(G)
plt.plot(t, y)
plt.show()
omega_c = 10
pole_1 = omega_c / 10
pole_2 = omega_c / 0.1
C_1 = 1 / ((1 + (s / pole_1)) * (1 + (s / pole_2)) ** 3)
alpha_2 = 10
mu_2 = 101
T_2 = 10 / omega_c
C_2 = mu_2 * (1 + T_2 * s) / (1 + alpha_2 * T_2 * s)
C = C_1 * C_2 * (1 / G)
plt.figure()
ct.bode_plot(C * G, margins=True)
plt.show()
plt.figure()
t, y = ct.step_response(C * G)
plt.plot(t, y)
plt.show()
  G(s) bode plot
```


Gm = 8.00 (at 1.73 rad/s), $Pm = \inf deg$ (at nan rad/s)




G(s) step response



C(s) bode plot



C(s) step response




2 2

Design a proportional controller for the system $G(s) = \frac{100(s+1)}{(s-1)(s^2+5s+10)}$ such that the closed-loop system is stble and the damping of the complex-conjugate poles is greater than 0.45. Note from the textbook that the phase margin of a second order system is approximately equal to 100 times the damping of the poles as long as the phase margin in between 0 and 60 degrees. A proportional controller is of the form $C(s) = k_p$. Through trial and error we find that $k_p = 0.2$ satisfies the phase margin requirements.


In code:

```
import math
import numpy as np
import control as ct
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
s = ct.tf('s')
G = (100 * (s + 1)) / ((s - 1) * (s**2 + 5 * s + 10))
plt.figure()
ct.bode_plot(G, margins=True)
plt.show()
plt.figure()
t, y = ct.step_response(G / (1 + G))
plt.plot(t, y)
plt.show()
s = ct.tf('s')
G = (100 * (s + 1)) / ((s - 1) * (s**2 + 5 * s + 10))
plt.figure()
ct.bode_plot(0.2 * G, margins=True)
plt.show()
plt.figure()
t, y = ct.step_response(G / (1 + G))
plt.plot(t, y)
plt.show()
   G(s) bode plot
```

Gm = 0.10 (at 0.00 rad/s), Pm = 17.91 deg (at 9.85 rad/s)




G(s) step response



C(s) bode plot

Gm = 0.50 (at 0.00 rad/s), Pm = 46.57 deg (at 3.87 rad/s)



C(s) step response

