# Please print in pen:

Waterloo Student ID Number:								
WatI	WatIAM/Quest Login UserID:							



#### Midterm - Winter 2019 - SE 350

- 1. Before you begin, make certain that you have one **2-sided booklet with 11 pages**. You have **110 minutes** to answer as many questions as possible. The number in parentheses at the beginning of each question indicates the number of points for that question. You should read all of the questions before starting the exam, as some of the questions are substantially more time consuming.
- 2. All solutions must be placed in this booklet. If you need more space to complete an answer, you may be writing too much. However, if you need extra space, use the extra blank page at the end of the exam clearly labeling the question and indicate that you have done so in the original question.
- 3. Read each question carefully. Make your answers as concise as possible. If there is something in a question that you believe is open to interpretation, then please ask us about it!

#### **Good Luck!**

Question	Points Assigned	Points Obtained
1	32	
2	22	
3	22	
4	24	
Total	100	

### 1. (32 points) True-False and Why? For each question:

- CIRCLE YOUR ANSWER
- One point for correct true-false.
- One point for correct explanation.
- No points for any explanation if true-false is not correct.
- No points for an explanation that exceeds 3 sentences.

1.a. For a fixed number of threads in a uniprocessor, reducing threads' average response time necessarily improves system's throughput.

True False

False! Consider 5 threads, 4 of which with execution time of 10 sec and one with execution time of 1000 sec. Running long thread first leads to average response time of 1002, whereas running short threads first leads to average response time of 202. The processor's throughput is 5/1010 (thread per second) in both cases.

1.b. For a fixed number of threads in a uniprocessor, improving system's throughput necessarily reduces at least one thread's response time.

True False

True! Suppose that response times stay the same or increase for all tasks. This means that the completion time of the last thread does not improve. This in turn means that the throughput of the system does not improve, a contradiction!

1.c. Interrupt-driven I/O is always faster than programmed I/O.

True False

False! if the rate of receiving data is high, context switching for interrupts adds more overhead than simply polling.

1.d. Hardware and interrupt handler together push interrupted process's registers onto the interrupt stack.

True False

True! Hardware first pushes stack pointer and program counter. Interrupt handler then pushes the rest.

1.e. The stack poin twice.	ter of the interrupted user-level process is stored on the interrupt stack
True	False
	pointers are stored but only one of them is for the interrupted process. pointer is for the interrupt handler.
<del>_</del>	ty, kernel system call handler copies arguments of the system call to after validating them.
True	False
False! Arguments	first get copied and then validated.
1.g. Kernel interru	pt handler is a thread.
True	False
False! It's not sche	edulable.
	urallelism, the output of a multi-threaded program is not affected by ngs of threads' executions.
True	False
	independent and do not share states. Therefore, different tin the same output.
1.i. To implement a load-modify-store	mutual exclusion in multiprocessors, hardware must provide atomic instructions.
True	False

False! Peterson's algorithm only needs atomic load and stores.

	mutual exclusion in multiprocessors, hardware must provide ble and enable interrupts.
True	False
False! Same reason	n as above.
1.k. Accessing a va	ariable stored in a thread's individual stack is always thread-safe.
True	False
False! All threads	share the memory space.
1.1. Disabling inter	rupts is enough to implement mutual exclusion.
True	False
False! It doesn't w	ork in multiprocessors.
1.m. Starvation im	plies lack of progress.
True	False
False! in the BBQ making progress.	example a thread calling get() could starve while the whole system is
1.n. Implementing	critical sections and mutual exclusion involves waiting
True	False
True! If one proces critical section must	ss is in the critical section, other processes which want to access the st wait.

1.p. A binary semaphore (i.e., a semaphore that only takes values 0 and 1; if the value is 1 and V() is called, the value remains 1) is semantically equivalent to a lock.

True False

True! Initialize semaphore to 1. P() = acquire() and V() = release().

1.q. Context switching between two threads belonging to the same process is less expensive than context switching between two threads belonging to two processes.

True False

True! In the latter case, the kernel also needs to context switch the process address space state (i.e., translation), and the context switch will also result in more cache misses.

## 2. (22 points) Thread Safe Queue. Consider the following multithreaded program.

Note that thread\_create\_p(thread\_t \*thread, void \*(\*routine)(void\*), void \*args) creates a new thread, that will run routine, which gets args pointer as an argument.

```
1 const int MAX = 10;
                                                          return success;
                                                    24
                                                    25 }
 2
 3 class TSQueue {
                                                    26
     Lock lock;
                                                    27 bool TSQueue::tryInsert(int item) {
 4
     int items[MAX];
                                                          bool success = false;
 5
                                                    28
      int front;
                                                    29
                                                          lock.acquire();
 6
      int nextEmpty;
                                                          if ((nextEmpty - front) < MAX) {
 7
                                                    30
    public:
                                                            items[nextEmpty % MAX] = item;
 8
                                                    31
      TSQueue() {front = nextEmpty = 0;};
                                                            nextEmpty++;
 9
                                                    32
      ~TSQueue() {};
                                                            success = true;
10
                                                    33
      bool tryInsert(int item);
                                                    34
11
      bool tryRemove(int *item);
                                                          lock.release();
12
                                                    35
                                                          return success;
13 };
                                                    36
14
                                                    37 }
   bool TSQueue::tryRemove(int *item) {
15
                                                    38
      bool success = false;
                                                    39 int main(int argc, char **argv) {
16
      lock.acquire();
                                                          TSQueue *queues[3];
17
                                                    40
      if (front < nextEmpty) {</pre>
                                                          thread tworkers[3];
18
                                                    41
        *item = items[front % MAX];
                                                          int i, j;
19
                                                    42
        front++;
                                                          for (i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
20
                                                    43
                                                            queues[i] = new TSQueue();
21
        success = true;
                                                    44
                                                            thread create p(&workers[i],
22
                                                    45
      lock.release();
                                                            putSome, queues[i]);
23
                                                    46
```

```
}
                                                            int i:
47
                                                     61
                                                            for (i = 0; i < 50; i++)
48
                                                     62
      printf("Let's begin!\n");
                                                              tsq->tryInsert(i);
49
                                                     63
50
                                                     64
      thread join(workers[0]);
51
                                                     65
                                                            return NULL;
                                                     66 }
52
      for (i = 0; i < 3; i++)
53
                                                     67
        testRemoval(&queues[i], i);
                                                         void testRemoval(TSQueue *tsq, int q ) {
54
                                                     68
                                                            int i, item;
55
                                                     69
      printf("All done!\n");
                                                     70
56
                                                            for (i = 0; i < 20; i++) {
57 }
                                                     71
                                                              if (tsa->trvRemove(&item))
                                                     72
58
                                                                printf("Deleted %d:%d\n", q, item);
    void *putSome(void *p) {
59
                                                     73
      TSQueue *tsq = (TSQueue *)p;
                                                     74 }
60
```

2.a. (2 points) Including the main thread, what is the maximum and minimum number of concurrently running threads between printing "Let's begin!" and "All done!"?

```
Max = 4 and min = 1
```

- 2.b. (4 points) In "Deleted 0:x," what are all the possible x's? Why?
- 0-9, For queues[0], because of the join, the insertion will put 0-9 into the queue, and the other insertions will have no effect. When main returns from the join, it removes 0-9, and the other removals have no effect.
- 2.c. (16 points) True-False and Why? (2 points for T-F and 2 points for explanation)
  - 1. "Deleted 1:0" may not be printed.

True

False

True, all inserts could happen after all removes

2. "Deleted 2:10" could be printed.

True

False

True, For queues[1] and queue[2], the insertion and removals are concurrent. So, it is possible that all the removals happen after the insertions, in which case 0-9 will be removed. It is possible that the removals will happen before all of the insertions, in which case 0-9 will be put but nothing will be removed. It is also possible that they can be interleaved so that up to 30 items are put into the queue and up to 20 items are removed. he items put (and therefore the items removed) will be sorted but not necessarily sequential (after items 0-9).

3. Up to 30 items could be inserted and up to 20 items could be removed from queues[1].

True False

True, see above.

4. Items inserted and removed are sorted and sequential. True False

False, see above.

**3. (22 points) What the Fork()!** Consider the following program. Assume that the compiler and the hardware do not reorder instructions, all instructions are atomic, and calls to fork and thread create p always succeed.

```
void main (int argc, char **argv) {
 1
        int pid = fork(), x = 5;
 2
        if (!pid) {
 3
 4
          x += 5:
 5
        } else {
           pid = fork();
 6
 7
          x += 10;
 8
          if (pid)
9
             x += 5;
10
        printf("%d\n", x);
11
12
```

- 3.a. (4 points) How many different copies of the variable x will be created on memory?
- 3 copies, one for main and two for child processes
- 3.b. (6 points) What are all possible outputs in standard output? If there are multiple possibilities, put each in its own box. You may not need all the boxes.

10	10	15	15	20	20	
15	20	10	20	10	15	
20	15	20	10	15	10	

Now, consider the following code. Note that exit(0) terminates the entire process and waitpid(pid) pauses the process until the child process specified by pid has exited.

```
1 void* f1(void* args) {
                                                      15
      printf("F1: %d\n", *((int*) args));
                                                             If(!pid) {
                                                      16
 2
                                                               pthread create p(&myT, f2, &val);
      return NULL;
 3
                                                      17
 4 }
                                                      18
                                                             } else {
                                                               val += 5:
                                                      19
 6 void* f2(void* args) {
                                                               waitpid(pid):
                                                      20
      printf("F2: %d\n", *((int*) args));
 7
                                                      21
                                                               pthread_create_p(&myT, f1, &val);
                                                               thread join(myT);
      exit(0);
                                                      22
 8
                                                            }
 9 }
                                                      23
10
                                                      24
11 void main (void) {
                                                             printf("Val: %d\n", val);
                                                      25
      int val = 5:
                                                      26
                                                             exit(0);
12
13
      thread t myT;
                                                      27 }
      int pid = fork();
14
```

3.c. (4 points) Including the original process and thread, what is the maximum and minimum number of created processes and threads?

Max: 2 processes and 4 threads Min: 2 proc and 3 threads

3.d. (8 points) List all possible outputs in standard output. If there are multiple possibilities, put each in its own box. You may not need all the boxes.

Val: 5	F2: 5	Val: 5	F2: 5		
F1: 10	F1: 10	F2: 5	Val: 5		
Val: 10	Val: 10	F1: 10	F1: 10		
		Val: 10	Val: 10		

**4. (24 points) Starvation.** Consider the following implementation of blocking bounded queue. Suppose that MAX is 20 and we iteratively create threads that call insert and threads that call remove. Assume that the compiler and the hardware do not reorder instructions and also assuming that all instructions are atomic.

```
1 class BBQ {
                                                   20
                                                         nextEmpty++;
                                                         itemAdded.signal();
2 private:
                                                   21
     Lock lock;
                                                         lock.release();
3
                                                   22
     CV itemAdded, itemRemoved;
                                                   23 }
4
     int items[MAX];
5
                                                   24
     int front, nextEmpty;
                                                   25 int BBQ::remove() {
7
   public:
                                                         int item;
                                                   26
      BBQ() \{front = nextEmpty = 0;\};
8
                                                   27
                                                         lock.acquire();
                                                         while (front == nextEmpty) {
      ~BBQ() {};
9
                                                   28
     void insert(int item);
                                                           itemAdded.wait(&lock);
                                                   29
10
     int remove();
11
                                                   30
                                                         item = items[front % MAX];
12 };
                                                   31
                                                         if ((nextEmpty - front) == MAX)
13
                                                   32
14 void BBQ::insert(int item) {
                                                           itemRemoved.signal();
                                                   33
     lock.acquire();
                                                         front++:
15
                                                   34
     while ((nextEmpty - front) == MAX) {
                                                         lock.release();
                                                   35
16
       itemRemoved.wait(&lock);
17
                                                   36
                                                         return item;
18
                                                   37 }
     items[nextEmpty % MAX] = item:
19
```

4.a. (4 points) Does the 10<sup>th</sup> removing thread that acquires the lock always remove the 10<sup>th</sup> item inserted? Why?

No! if the queue is empty, the thread will have to wait. When itemAdded is signaled, some other waiting thread could wake up and remove the item. Another scenario happens when a new removing thread acquires lock and removes the item before the woken-up thread has a chance to do so.

4.b. (4 points) Explain in what scenario an inserting thread is starved.

First scenario: The queue is full. The inserting thread calls wait and goes to sleep. Once the queue has an item, the thread is signalled. Before the thread has a chance to acquire the lock, another inserting thread comes and inserts an item and makes the queue full again. The signalled inserting thread checks the queue. It's full. It calls wait and goes to sleep. And this happens iteratively.

Second scenario: Suppose queue is full and 10 inserting threads are waiting. Then 10 removing threads come and remove items. Only for the first removing thread nextEmpty – front == Max which means only the first removing thread will call signal and the rest of them do not signal. This means that only one of the inserting threads wakes up and the rest could wait forever.

4.c. (8 points) Rollen wants to solve the starvation problem for inserting threads, but since he hates removing threads, he wants to allow them to get starved. Rollen googles this and finds a code. But then he notices that the code does not do what he wants. He thinks that this is a good midterm question. So, here we are! Explain why the following code does not prevent starvation of an inserting thread.

```
1 int nextToGo = 0;
                                                   17
2 int numInserting = 0;
                                                   18
                                                   19
 3
4 void BBQ::insert(int item) {
                                                   20 int BBQ::remove() {
     lock.acquire();
                                                         int item:
5
                                                   21
     myPos = numInserting++;
                                                         lock.acquire();
                                                   22
6
7
     while ((nextEmpty - front) == MAX
                                                   23
                                                         while (front == nextEmpty) {
             || myPos > nextToGo) {
                                                           itemAdded.wait(&lock);
8
                                                   24
       itemRemoved.wait(&lock);
9
                                                   25
                                                         item = items[front % MAX];
10
                                                   26
     items[nextEmpty % MAX] = item;
                                                         if ((nextEmpty - front) == MAX)
11
                                                   27
                                                           itemRemoved.signal();
12
     nextEmpty++;
                                                   28
     nextToGo++;
                                                   29
                                                         front++:
13
     itemAdded.signal();
                                                         lock.release();
14
                                                   30
     lock.release();
15
                                                   31
                                                         return item;
16 }
                                                   32 }
```

Signal from a removing thread could wake up a wrong inserting thread and after that all the inserting threads will wait because myPos > nextToGo and no further signal is coming for removing threads.

Also, the second scenario described above could still happen!

4.d. (8 points) Rollen does not have time to google again or solve this himself. So, again, here we are! Complete the following code such that inserting threads do not starve but removing threads could starve. Your code should work for any sequence and number of calls to insert and remove. You may not need all the blank lines.

```
1 Queue ins;
                                               23 int BBQ::remove() {
2 CV next;
                                               24
                                                    int item;
 3
                                                    lock.acquire();
                                               25
 4 void BBQ::insert(int item) {
                                               26
                                                    while (front == nextEmpty) {
                                                      itemAdded.wait(&lock);
 5
     lock.acquire();
                                               27
     myCV = new CV();
 6
                                                    }
                                               28
     ins.append(myCV);
 7
                                               29
                                                    item = items[front % MAX];
                                                    if ((nextEmpty - front) == MAX) {
                                               30
 8
                                                      If (next = ins.front()) next.signal();
                                               31
 9
     while ((nextEmpty - front) == MAX
10
                                               32
            || myCV != ins.front() ) {
11
                                               33
       myCV.wait(&lock);
12
                                               34
                                                    }
                                                    front++;
13
                                               35
                                                    lock.release();
                                               36
14
     items[nextEmpty % MAX] = item;
                                                    return item;
15
                                               37
                                               38 }
     nextEmpty++;
16
     ins.removeFront();
17
     if (next = ins.front()) next.signal();
18
     itemAdded.signal();
19
                                                   There is also another implementation which
     lock.release();
20
                                                   uses broadcast and doesn't need a queue to
                                                   store CVs.
21 }
22
```