## Type Linking LALR(1) LR(1): # automaton states can be large end up having many states that are identical other than lookahead tokens [X - B. Y] LALR(1): merge LR(1) states with same LR(0) items (lookahead sets merged too) Actual implementation: don't have to do merge after the fact; identify automaton states by LR(0) items **Outcomes:** • Occasionally, LALR loses expressive power compared to LR. merge LALR (1) state • In practice, that doesn't happen often. LALR just about as good as full LR: CUP, bison, yacc, ... all of 9s = NPIDA all CFGs LR(I) = DPDAunambiguous CFGs LL(I) = I-State DPDARelative power of parser technologies .LL(k) (ALPCI) LR(1) SLR(1) LL(1) LALP (1) LR(0) AST design Node Basic principle: you don't want to fight your language! Expr Stmt Class Def class hierarchy, mothod dispatch. Variant types, pattern matching type stut := If of expr \* Sturt \* Sturt type expr := BinExp of expr \* expr While of expr \* Stmt 1 Unary Exp of expr Source code Symtax error lexical error semantic error **Semantic Analysis** "decorated" AST "valid" AST resolve uses (aka references) to declarations Name resolution AST of A's return type AST of Ajava x AST of method A package p; class(A){ a.b.c.d() deter until A3, static int x; A(A(A)(A)(A)return (A)(A)(A); A. X mod staticy type int package p; Name "x" fields import q.\*; class C { type Dy; formals 1 int z = A.x; ... <mark>y . w</mark> . z ... package q; class D extends C { C w; } package q; class C { String z; } How to represent declarations? Option 1: Decls as ASTs · ASTs not always available · error-prone · Ineficient Option 2: Decls as package objects, class objects, method objects, field objects, local var objects, etc. A2 first step: Build class environment environment: ata contexts symbol tables, a map from names to declarations. Strings Class environment ata class table A2 second step: Resolving uses of class names (aka type linking) class A { B x;} dass B { A y;} Combine construction of class table and type linking in a single AST traversal? A2 third step: Sanity-check class hierarchies, field names, etc. (copied from A2 specification) 1. A class must not extend an interface. (JLS 8.1.3) 2. A class must not implement a class. (JLS 8.1.4) 3. An interface must not be repeated in an implements clause or in an extends clause of an interface. (JLS 8.1.4) 4. A class must not extend a final class. (JLS 8.1.1.2, 8.1.3) 5. An interface must not extend a class. (JLS 9.1.2) 6. The hierarchy must be acyclic. (JLS 8.1.3, 9.1.2) 7. A class or interface must not declare two methods with the same signature (name and parameter types). (JLS 8.4, 9.4) 8. A class must not declare two constructors with the same parameter types (JLS 8.8.2) 9. A class or interface must not contain (declare or inherit) two methods with the same signature but different return types (JLS 8.1.1.1, 8.4, 8.4.2, 8.4.6.3, 8.4.6.4, 9.2, 9.4.1) 10. A class that contains (declares or inherits) any abstract methods must be abstract. (JLS 8.1.1.1) 11. A nonstatic method must not replace a static method. (JLS 8.4.6.1) 12. A static method must not replace a nonstatic method. (JLS 8.4.6.2) 13. A method must not replace a method with a different return type. (JLS 8.1.1.1, 8.4, 8.4.2, 8.4.6.3, 8.4.6.4, 9.2, 9.4.1) $f(m, m') \in \text{Replace}$ type (m') = type(m') 14. A protected method must not replace a public method. (JLS 8.4.6.3) 15. A method must not replace a final method. (JLS 8.4.3.3) Conditions above are expressed informally, in English. How to turn it to code? super (A) := } B, C, D, E } class A extends B implements C, D, E. if implicit, B = java lang, Object Super (java. long. Object) = Ø super (F) := {6, H? interface F extends G, H Declare (T):= methods and fields declared in T mod (m) Sig (m): arg types + name name (m) type (m): return type tor m & Declare (T): for f & Declare (T). vane (f) type (f) Contain (T) := Declare (7) () Inherit (T) Replace (m, m') sig(m) = sig(m')SE super(T) m'E contain(S) m & Dedare(T) Replace (m, m') 5 ∈ Super(T) m + Contain(S) sig(m) = sig(m') NoDecl (T,m) abstract & mod (m') Sesuper (T) wif Contach (S) abstract & mod (m) Replace (W, M') Noted (T, m):= \( \m' \in Declare (T), \( \sigma\_{ig}(m') \neq \sigma\_{ig}(m') \) Inherit (T) := methods and fields inherited and T. SESUper (T) ME Contain (S) Wolled (T, m) abstract & mod (m m ∈ Inherit (T) SESuper (T) mE Contain (S) No Ped (Tim) $\forall S' \in Super(T), \forall m' \in Contain(S'), Sig(m') = Sig(m) \Rightarrow abstract \in mod(m')$ m & Inheri+ (T) f∈ Contain (S) $\forall f' \in Dedone(7)$ , name(f') $\neq$ name(f) FE Inherit (T)