

HW3.1. Floating Point Theory

Feel free to check out the [guide](#) that we have prepared to help you in this problem.

For the following question, we will be following the IEEE-754 Floating Point standard *but with different numbers of bits*: with 6 bits of exponent, an exponent bias of -31, and 25 bits of mantissa. Due to PrairieLearn restrictions, please submit your answer as the full number.

Floating point representations present a trade-off between range and accuracy. Due to the limited significant digits that you can represent, there will be a point where integers cannot be exactly represented anymore.

Q1.1: What's the smallest non-infinite positive integer (whole number) this representation CANNOT represent?

*Hint: Think about how your floating point value changes when you change the mantissa by 1.*

67108865

100%

The floating point standard optimizes the representation of numbers by not including the implied 1 for the 'normal' binary representations.

Q1.2: What power of 2 is the smallest representable positive normalized number? Submit the exponent only.

-30

100%

Floating point also allows for representations of numbers even smaller than the smallest normalized number. Denormal numbers utilize an implied 0, instead of an implied 1.

Q1.3: What power of 2 is the smallest representable positive value? Submit the exponent only.

-55

100%

Floating point also has support for special values that can be returned as the result of invalid operations.

Q1.4: How many NaNs can be represented with this floating point system?

*Hint: Recall how NaNs are represented following the floating point standard.*

67108862

100%

Q1.5: What's the most negative possible denormalized number representable in this system? Write the value in IEEE-754 hexadecimal notation (with uppercase letters), including the "0x" prefix.

0x81FFFFFF

100%

The next few questions explore some quirkier aspects of floating-point numbers.

Q2.1: Addition and subtraction on unsigned and two's complement numbers is both commutative and associative. (You can arbitrarily re-order a series of additions and subtractions and get the same result.) In contrast, floating point addition is **not** associative, because between each substep, the result loses a small amount of precision. Given the floating point encoding from earlier, which of the following sequence of floating point additions and subtractions returns the correct result?

- ☐ (a)  $(2^{-10} + 2^{22}) + (-2^{22} + 2^{-1})$
- ☒ (b)  $2^{-10} + (2^{22} + (-2^{22} + 2^{-1}))$  ✓
- ☐ (c)  $2^{-10} + 2^{22} - 2^{22} + 2^{-1}$
- ☒ (d)  $2^{-10} + (2^{22} - 2^{22}) + 2^{-1}$  ✓

Homework 3

Assessment overview

Total points: 50/100

Score: 50%

Question

Value: 50

History: 50

Awarded points: 50/50

Report an error in this question

Previous question

Next question

Attached files

No attached files

Attach a file

Attach text

Select all possible options that apply. ?

✓ 100%

Q3.1: An IEEE-754 **single-precision** floating point number is 32 bits, consisting of 1 sign bit, 8 exponent bits, and 23 mantissa bits. Can this floating-point encoding represent the space of all integers a 32-bit, two's complement number can represent?

☒ (a) No ✓

☐ (b) Yes

✓ 100%

Try a new variant

### Correct answer

67108865

Q1.1: Precision is limited by our mantissa, which has 25 bits. This means we can represent integers up to  $2^{26}$ , which would be  $2^{26} * 1.0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0$ .  $2^{26} + 1$  would be  $2^{26} * 1.0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 01$ , requiring us to put a 1 in the non-existent 26th bit of the mantissa. So the smallest positive integer we CANNOT represent is  $2^{26} + 1 = 67108865$ . (The next largest number we can represent after  $2^{26}$  is  $2^{26} + 2$ , which would be  $2^{26} * 1.0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 0000\ 1$ ).

-30

Q1.2: Exponent field: 000001

The number cannot be a denorm, so the exponent must be nonzero.

So exponent is  $1 - 31 = -30$

$2^{-30}$

-55

Q1.3: The floating point values closest to 0 are denorms. Denorms in this representation have an implicit exponent of  $-30$ . We set the mantissa as small as possible to  $00..01$ , meaning we multiply  $2^{-30} \cdot 0.00...01_2$  to give us the smallest positive value.

$= 2^{-30} \cdot 2^{-25}$

$= 2^{-55}$

67108862

Q1.4: There's  $2^{25} - 1$  possible positive NaNs (we subtract one for infinity), and there's  $2^{25} - 1$  possible negative NaNs (we subtract one for infinity again). Combined, that gives us  $2^{26} - 2$  possible NaN values, which equals 67108862.

0x81FFFFFF

Q1.5: The most negative denormalized number must have a sign bit of 1, an exponent value equaling 0, and all 1s to fill out all of the significand bits. This thus produces  $0b\ 1\ 000000\ 111...1$ , which can be written in hex as 0x81FFFFFF.

(b)  $2^{-10} + (2^{22} + (-2^{22} + 2^{-1}))$

(d)  $2^{-10} + (2^{22} - 2^{22}) + 2^{-1}$

Q2: Unlike in the two's complement and unsigned representations we studied, adding a small magnitude floating-point number (e.g.,  $2^{-10}$ ) to a significantly larger number (e.g.,  $2^{22}$ ) will yield the larger magnitude number unchanged (e.g.,  $2^{-10} + 2^{22} = 2^{22}$ ). Since we have 25 bits of mantissa, our encoding correctly computes  $-2^{22} + 2^{-1}$  and so we need not worry about doing the right-most addition first. (This would not be the case for representations

with fewer than 23 bits of significand). This is because in order to make sure  $2^{-1}$  gets properly represented with an exponent value of 22, the significand would have have all 0s and a 1 only in the 23rd bit since  $22 - (-1) = 23$ . With fewer than 23 bits used, that  $-1$  gets lost.

(a) No

Q3: It cannot. Here's one intuitive proof: both this floating-point and two's complement encoding can represent at most  $2^{32}$  unique values, since they are 32 bits long. We know that the two's complement number represents exactly  $2^{32}$  distinct integers. Thus, if there exists any floating point number that is **not** one of these integers, it cannot possibly represent all of the same values. There are many such values: oddities like NaNs, -0; integers that are larger than  $2^{31} - 1$ ; integers smaller than  $-2^{31}$ ; and of course, non-integer, but rational numbers.

Submitted answer 7 **correct: 100%**

Submitted at 2022-09-13 01:34:23 (PDT)

 hide ^

67108865 **✓ 100%**

-30 **✓ 100%**

-55 **✓ 100%**

67108862 **✓ 100%**

0x81FFFFFF **✓ 100%**

(b)  $2^{-10} + (2^{22} + (-2^{22} + 2^{-1}))$  **✓**

(d)  $2^{-10} + (2^{22} - 2^{22}) + 2^{-1}$  **✓**

**✓ 100%**

(a) No **✓ 100%**

Submitted answer 6 **partially correct: 85%**

Submitted at 2022-09-13 01:34:00 (PDT)

 show v

Submitted answer 5 **partially correct: 85%**

Submitted at 2022-09-13 01:33:02 (PDT)

 show v

Show/hide older submissions v