Friederike Horn & Bileam Scheuvens

Convergence of random variables

Solution

a) For any h we divide [0,1] into k subintervals of length/measure $\frac{1}{2^h} = 2^{-h}$. Since $2^h \geq \frac{n}{2}$, $h \geq \log_2(\frac{n}{2}) = \log_2(n) - 1$, we know that:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} 2^{-h} = 0$$

Since the length of these intervals tends to 0, $\mathbb{P}(X_n(\omega)) \stackrel{p}{\to} 0$ However for $\frac{k}{2^h} \le \omega \le \frac{k+1}{2^h}$, we still have probability 1, and thus no convergence a.s.

b)

Limit theorems

Solution

a) Each sock $S_i \sim Ber(p)$, thus $\mathbb{E}S_i = p$, $X_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n S_i}{n}$.

$$\mathbb{E}X_n = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}S_i}{n}$$
$$= \frac{n \cdot p}{n}$$
$$= p$$

By the strong law of large numbers (SLLN), $X_n \overset{a.s.}{\to} \mathbb{E} X_n = p$. If S_i is the indicator variable for whether the sock was black, it follows that $(1-S_i)=1$ if the sock is white, and we find $\mathbb{E}(1-S_i)=1-\mathbb{E} S_1=1-p$. Therefore by the same logic $Y_i = \frac{\sum S_i}{\sum 1-S_i}$ converges to its expectation as $n \to \infty$, yielding $\frac{p}{1-p}$.

b)

c)

Estimation error in learning theory

Solution

a) For a single predictor h, since the true risk is simply the expectation of the empirical risk, we can bound it with Hoeffdings inequality.

$$P(|R_n(h) - R(h)| \ge \epsilon) \le 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2}$$

The probability that the supremum over all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ deviates by more than ϵ is the same as $1 - P(\text{no h deviates by more than } \epsilon$, therefore we can apply the Union bound over all h.

$$P(A_n) \le 2|\mathcal{H}|e^{-2n\epsilon^2}$$

b) We know that:

$$P(|R_n(h) - R(h)| \ge \epsilon) \le 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2}$$

Since $\lim_{n\to\infty} 2e^{-2n\epsilon^2} = 0$, $R_n(h) \to R(h)$ almost surely .

c) For any h including h^* , $|R_n(h) - R(h)| \leq \sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |R_n(h) - R(h)|$. Therefore the empirically minimizing h can be at most this far away from its true risk, and the true risk can be at most this far away from the empirical risk of the risk of the true minimizer, yielding the upper bound on the distance:

$$|R(H_n) - R(h^*)| \le 2\sup_{h \in \mathcal{H}} |R_n(h) - R(h)|$$

(The absolute value can be omitted since we know $R(h^*)$ to be minimal). Since we know from b) that this distance tends to 0, we conclude that $h_n \to h^*$ almost surely.

d) If \mathcal{H} is not finite, the bound from b) becomes useless, as $M = 2|\mathcal{H}|$ is no longer finite, and the limit of $P(A_n)$ is indeterminate. Without this bound we cannot conclude c).