

Friederike Horn & Bileam Scheuven

Justify all your claims.

## Exercise 1 (Netwon Method vs. Gradient Descent)

### Solution

a)

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla \frac{1}{2} x^t Q x - b^t x &= Qx - b \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ \Rightarrow Qx &= b\end{aligned}$$

Divide by  $Q$ , given that  $Q$  is symmetric and PD and therefore invertible.

$$x = Q^{-1}b$$

This is a minimum and therefore the optimal solution to the problem, if the Hessian is positive definite.

$$\nabla^2 \frac{1}{2} x^t Q x - b^t x = Q$$

Since we know  $Q$  to be PD,  $x^* = Q^{-1}b$ .

b)

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| &= \|x_k - \alpha \nabla f(x_k) - x^*\| \\ &= \|(x_k - x^*) - \alpha(Qx_k + b)\|\end{aligned}$$

Since  $b = Qx^*$

$$\begin{aligned}&= \|(x_k - x^*) - \alpha Q(x_k + x^*)\| \\ &= \|(x_k - x^*)(I - \alpha Q)\| \\ &\leq \|(x_k - x^*)\| \cdot \|(I - \alpha Q)\|\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\alpha = \frac{2}{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min}}$

$$\begin{aligned}&\leq \|(x_k - x^*)\| \cdot \left\| \left( I - \frac{2Q}{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min}} \right) \right\| \\ &= \|(x_k - x^*)\| \cdot \left( \frac{\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}}{\lambda_{max} + \lambda_{min}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

c)

$$\begin{aligned}x_{k+1} &= x_k - Q^{-1} \nabla \left( \frac{1}{2} x_k^t Q x - b^t x_k \right) \\ x_{k+1} &= x_k - Q^{-1} (Qx_k - b) \\ x_{k+1} &= x_k - Q^{-1} Qx_k + Q^{-1} b \\ x_{k+1} &= x_k - Ix_k + Q^{-1} b \\ x_{k+1} &= Q^{-1} b \\ x^* &= Q^{-1} b\end{aligned}$$

## Exercise 2 (Convexity and Continuity)

### Solution

- a) Imagine  $y$  to be any point between  $x$  and  $z$ , analogous to how continuity is defined in general. We know that:

$$f(tx + (1-t)z) < tf(x) + (1-t)f(z)$$

Let  $y = tx + (1-t)z$ . Then:

$$f(y) < tf(x) + (1-t)f(z)$$

Subtract  $f(x)$

$$f(y) - f(x) < (t-1)f(x) + (1-t)f(z)$$

$$f(y) - f(x) < (t-1)(f(x) - f(z))$$

Divide by  $y - x$ , since  $x < y$  and therefore  $x - y \neq 0$

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} < \frac{(t-1)(f(x) - f(z))}{y - x}$$

Choose  $t = \frac{y-x}{z-x} + 1$

$$\frac{f(y) - f(x)}{y - x} < \frac{f(x) - f(z)}{z - x}$$

The argument is analogous for the slope between  $z - x$  to  $z - y$ , concluding the proof.

- b)
- c)  $f$  is not necessarily continuous in  $a$  and  $b$  as exemplified by:

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x^2 & \text{if } x \in [a, b] \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## Exercise 3 (Convexity)

### Solution

- a)
- b) Consider the logarithm.  $\log$  is concave, since its second derivative  $-\frac{1}{x^2}$  is negative. Since the logarithm is monotone it preserves inequality and we can instead consider

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \leq \log\left(\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n x_i\right)$$

$$\log\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i\right) \leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \log(x_i)$$

This holds by the result from a).

## Exercise 4 (Dual Problem)

### Solution

a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(x, y) &= \max x + y \\ \text{subject to } x^2 + 2y^2 &\leq 5 \end{aligned}$$

Bring to normal form by minimizing and bringing constraint to the form  $\leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \min -x - y \\ \text{subject to } x^2 + 2y^2 - 5 &\leq 0 \\ \mathcal{L}(x, y) &= \min -x - y - \lambda(x^2 + 2y^2 - 5) \end{aligned}$$

Solve for stationarity:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla \mathcal{L} &\stackrel{!}{=} 0 \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial x} &= 1 + 2\lambda x \\ \Rightarrow x &= \frac{1}{2\lambda} \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial y} &= 1 + 4\lambda y \\ \Rightarrow y &= \frac{1}{4\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

Solve for primal feasibility:

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 + 2y^2 - 5 &\leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} + 2\frac{1}{16\lambda^2} - 5 &\leq 0 \\ \frac{1}{4\lambda^2} + \frac{1}{8\lambda^2} - 5 &\leq 0 \\ \frac{3}{8\lambda^2} &\leq 5 \\ \frac{3}{8} &\leq 5\lambda^2 \\ \frac{3}{40} &\leq \lambda^2 \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{40}} &\leq \lambda \\ \Rightarrow x &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\frac{3}{40}}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{4 \cdot 3}{40}}} = \sqrt{\frac{10}{3}} \\ \Rightarrow y &= \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} \end{aligned}$$

The constraint is active since  $\lambda > 0$  (dual feasibility). This makes intuitive sense since the function would otherwise be unbounded and the optimal solution would be  $x = y = \infty$ .

b) The Lagrangian of the problem is:

$$L(x, \lambda) = \min -c^t x - \lambda(Ax - b)$$

Therefore we obtain the dual function  $g(\lambda) = \inf_x \mathcal{L}(x, \lambda)$ .