CMSC 398z Effective use of AI Coding Assistants and Agents

Bill Pugh and Derek Willis

Oct 10th, 2025

Readings for next week

A video of a chat Derek and Bill had with Simon Willison

A post by Simon on Vibe engineering

Today

Mostly time for you to all work on data extraction

Bill has spend hours playing with this, so I'm sure you can keep busy

Feel free to continue the dataset you had been working on, or switch to a new one

Some notes:

I was surprised that it would take 30-60 seconds to do an FEMA extraction

Might be worth trying other models

With claude, I had to add a delay so I didn't hit the server more than once a minute

You might need to separately create an API key and provide billing for it

Command line tool you may not be familiar with: sdiff - side by side diff

sdiff of extraction from sanctions - color added

```
"items": [
                                                                 "items": [
    "name": "DAVIS, Mary Elizabeth",
                                                                     "name": "DAVIS, Mary Elizabeth",
   "sanction": "Suspension for thirty days (stayed)",
                                                                     "sanction": "Suspension for thirty days (stayed under c
    "requested": "2024-07-09",
                                                                     "requested": "2024-07-09",
    "description": "Reciprocal action from D.C.; representi
                                                                     "description": "Reciprocal action from the District of
    "name": "ELAN, Evan Stuart",
                                                                     "name": "ELAN, Evan Stuart",
    "sanction": "Disbarment by Consent",
                                                                     "sanction": "Disbarment by Consent",
    "requested": "2024-11-25",
                                                                     "requested": "2024-11-25",
   "description": "Reciprocal action from Virginia and D.C
                                                                     "description": "Reciprocal action from Virginia and the
    "name": "FRANKLIN, Jamel R.",
                                                                     "name": "FRANKLIN, Jamel R.",
    "sanction": "Disbarment by Consent",
                                                                     "sanction": "Disbarment by Consent",
    "requested": "2024-12-23",
                                                                     "requested": "2024-12-23".
                                                                     "description": "Committed criminal acts reflecting adve
    "description": "Criminal convictions: pled guilty to on
    "name": "GALLAGHER, Michele Yvonne",
                                                                     "name": "GALLAGHER, Michele Yvonne",
    "sanction": "Indefinite Suspension by Consent",
                                                                     "sanction": "Indefinite Suspension by Consent",
    "requested": "2024-09-23",
                                                                     "requested": "2024-09-23",
                                                                     "description": "Indefinite suspension with reinstatemen
    "description": "Committed a criminal act reflecting adv
 },
```

Questions for data extraction

- Initial extraction
- Understanding initial variations
- What is the use you might make of this extraction?
- Improving prompt & schema
- Comparing different models, prompts and schemas
- Ways to better prepare source materials
- Examining consistency
 - Figuring out significant vs insignificant differences
 - Will majority voting give you high confidence
- Confidence that the results are "correct"

Unparsable Addresses

Seems like it should be the easiest extraction problem

Might want to use this at huge scale

How to deal with addresses that would be ambiguous to a human

8808-10 Woodyard Service RD

Working with other test data

- Addresses on which regular expression approach produced an answer
 - o you might find problems with the regular expression approach
- Synthetic data

FEMA emergency declarations

Simon just used this as an example of the use of extraction

You might want to turn several years worth of PDFs into a database

Different models have different error rates and kinds

None of the ones I tried seemed to have problems with character recognition

Of the models I tried, the OpenAI models were the worse, including GPT-5

Some errors were rare (5%), others high (40+%), for exactly the same kind of data

Some differences in text were insignificant

but often consistent across an entire run

preprocessing FEMA declarations

We might want to do this a lot, over several years of data and/or 20 times per date

Would preprocessing the PDF file to extract just the pages with the table help?

Might make it cheaper/faster

Would it change the results of the data extraction?

Sanctions database

A challenging example. The sanction field is somewhat underspecified

```
egrep sanction 00.json | sort | uniq -c | sort -r -n > 0.s
egrep sanction 01.json | sort | uniq -c | sort -r -n > 1.s
sdiff -w 150 0.s 1.s
```

```
"sanction": "Disbarred",
                                                                            "sanction": "Disbarred",
"sanction": "Disbarment by Consent",
                                                                            "sanction": "Disbarment by Consent",
"sanction": "Temporary Suspension",
                                                                            "sanction": "Temporary Suspension",
"sanction": "Suspension for thirty days (stayed)",
                                                                            "sanction": "Suspension for thirty days (stayed under conditio
"sanction": "Suspension by Consent for sixty days (all but thi
                                                                    1
                                                                            "sanction": "Suspension by Consent for sixty days (with stay a
"sanction": "Suspension by Consent for six months",
                                                                            "sanction": "Suspension by Consent for six months",
                                                                    1
"sanction": "Suspension by Consent for 120 days",
                                                                            "sanction": "Suspension by Consent for 120 days",
                                                                            "sanction": "Reprimand by Consent",
"sanction": "Reprimand by Consent",
                                                                    1
"sanction": "Indefinite Suspension",
                                                                            "sanction": "Indefinite Suspension",
                                                                            "sanction": "Indefinite Suspension by Consent",
"sanction": "Indefinite Suspension by Consent",
                                                                            "sanction": "Commission Reprimand",
"sanction": "Commission Reprimand".
```

Description field is way underspecified

For both of these, might be good to manually extract the desired data from a dozen examples.

Might lead to a refining what the description field should contain, or breaking it down into multiple separate fields

Providing examples to the model can help with getting desired/consistent extraction

Ways of determining if two descriptions are "similar"

We will get to that next week

Looking at the file

DAVIS, Mary Elizabeth – Suspension for thirty days on July 9, 2024, effective nunc pro tunc to July 29, 2023, stayed under the conditions imposed by the Supreme Court of Maryland, in a reciprocal action from the District of Columbia for representing a client involving a conflict of interest and engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice. The Respondent failed to obtain her client's informed consent regarding a conflict of interest.

ELAN, Evan Stuart – Disbarment by Consent on November 25, 2024, effective immediately, in a reciprocal action from Virginia and the District of Columbia, for failing to represent his clients competently and diligently, failing to adequately communicate with his clients, failing to take steps to protect his clients' interests upon termination of the representation, and engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation. The Respondent abandoned representation of multiple clients.

FRANKLIN, Jamel R. – Disbarment by Consent on December 23, 2024, effective immediately, for committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on his honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as an attorney; and engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation. The Respondent pled guilty to one count of perjury in violation of Maryland Code, Criminal Law § 9-101; and one count of theft over \$100,000.00 in violation of Maryland Code, Criminal Law § 7-104.

GALLAGHER, Michele Yvonne – Indefinite Suspension by Consent on September 23, 2024, effective immediately, with reinstatement conditioned on the satisfactory report of a healthcare professional, for committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on her honesty, trustworthiness, or fitness as an attorney; engaging in conduct involving dishonesty, fraud, deceit, or misrepresentation; and engaging in conduct that is prejudicial to the administration of justice. The Respondent entered an Alford Plea to one count of conspiracy to commit second degree assault.

Census data

	NEGRO POPULATION									PERCENT INCREASE OR DECREASE (-)		
CITY	1930	1920	1910	Increase or decrease (-)				Percent of total population			IN WHITE POPU- LATION	
				1920 to 1930		1910 to 1920		1930	1920	1910	1920-	1910-
				Number	Percent	Number	Percent	1550	1320	1310	19301	1920
New York, N. Y Chicago, Ill. Philadelphia, Pa Baltimore, Md Washington, D. C New Orleans, La Detroit, Mich Birmingham, Ala Memphis, Tenn St. Louis, Mo	327, 706 233, 903 219, 599 142, 106 132, 068 129, 632 120, 066 99, 077 96, 550 93, 580	152, 467 109, 458 134, 220 108, 322 109, 966 100, 930 40, 838 70, 230 61, 181 69, 854	91, 709 44, 103 84, 459 84, 749 94, 446 89, 262 5, 741 52, 305 52, 441 43, 960	175, 239 124, 445 85, 370 33, 784 22, 102 28, 702 79, 228 28, 847 35, 369 23, 726	114. 9 113. 7 63. 6 31. 2 20. 1 28. 4 194. 0 41. 1 57. 8 34. 0	60, 758 65, 355 49, 770 23, 573 15, 520 11, 668 35, 097 17, 925 8, 740 25, 894	66. 3 148. 2 58. 9 27. 8 16. 4 13. 1 611. 3 34. 3 16. 7 58. 9	4. 7 6. 9 11. 3 17. 7 27. 1 28. 3 7. 7 38. 2 38. 1 11. 4	2. 7 4. 1 7. 4 14. 8 25. 1 26. 1 4. 1 39. 3 37. 7 9. 0	1. 9 2. 0 5. 5 15. 2 28. 5 26. 3 1. 2 39. 4 40. 0 6. 4	20. 7 20. 5 2. 4 5. 9 8. 3 14. 9 51. 4 47. 9 54. 8 3. 5	16. 9 21. 0 15. 4 32. 1 38. 4 14. 6 107. 0 35. 1 28. 7 9. 4
Atlanta, Ga Cleveland, Ohio Houston, Tex Pittshurgh, Pa Rlchmond, Va Jacksonville, Fla Cincinnati, Ohio Louisville, Ky Indianapolis, Ind Norfolk, Va	90, 075 71, 899 63, 337 54, 983	62, 796 34, 451 33, 960 37, 725 54, 041 41, 520 30, 079 40, 087 34, 678 43, 392	51, 902 8, 448 23, 929 25, 623 46, 733 29, 293 19, 639 40, 522 21, 816 25, 039	27, 279 37, 448 29, 377 17, 258 —1, 053 6, 676 17, 739 7, 267 9, 289 550	43. 4 108. 7 86. 5 45. 7 -1. 9 16. 1 59. 0 18. 1 26. 8	10, 894 26, 003 10, 031 12, 102 7, 308 12, 227 10, 440 -435 12, 862 18, 353	21. 0 307. 8 41. 9 47. 2 15. 6 41. 7 53. 2 -1. 1 59. 0 73. 3	38. 3 8. 0 21. 7 8. 2 29. 0 37. 2 10. 6 15. 4 12. 1 33. 9	31. 3 4. 3 24. 6 6. 4 31. 5 45. 3 7. 5 17. 1 11. 0 37. 5	33. 5 1. 5 30. 4 4. 8 36. 6 50. 8 5. 4 18. 1 9. 3 37. 1	30. 8 8. 6 116. 3 11. 6 10. 5 62. 7 8. 6 33. 7 14. 5 18. 4	34. 0 38. 1 90. 2 8. 3 45. 4 76. 4 7. 9 6. 2 31. 9 70. 5

Standard ways to measure confidence on extraction

Can run OCR multiple times

look for major or minor differences

compare different models

Preprocess image

evaluate confidence

Making use of redundant information

- # in 1920
- # change from 1920 to 1930
- # in 1930

If they aren't consistent, we know at least one is wrong

minor differences may be due to difference in less significant digit

Making more use of redundant information

- # in 1920
- # change from 1920 to 1930
- % change from 1920 to 1930
 - approximate
- # in 1930

If 3 are consistent, they are likely correct and can predict the 4th