

60016 OPERATIONS RESEARCH

Two Phase Simplex Algorithm

Last Lecture

- ▶ Degeneracy
 - ▶ Cycling
 - ▶ Bland's Rule

This Lecture

- ▶ Initial BFS
 - ▶ "All slack basis"
 - ▶ Artificial variables
- ▶ Two phase simplex algorithm
 - ▶ Systematically finding initial BFS's
 - ▶ Detecting infeasibility

Initial Basic Feasible Solution

- ▶ In STEP 0 the simplex algorithm requires an **initial BFS** and the corresponding **basic representation**.
- ▶ One can show that finding a **feasible** solution is in general as hard as finding an **optimal** solution!

⇒ How to construct an initial BFS?

- ▶ **In general**, an initial BFS can be found using a variant of the **simplex algorithm**.
- ▶ **In some special cases**, an initial BFS can be constructed "manually".

Problems with an "All Slack Basis"

$$\text{minimise } z = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_nx_n$$

subject to:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} a_{11}x_1 & + & a_{12}x_2 & + & \dots & + & a_{1n}x_n & \leq & b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 & + & a_{22}x_2 & + & \dots & + & a_{2n}x_n & \leq & b_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 & + & a_{m2}x_2 & + & \dots & + & a_{mn}x_n & \leq & b_m \end{array}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, \dots, x_n \geq 0$$

Problems with an "All Slack Basis"

$$\text{minimise } \mathbf{z} = c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \dots + c_nx_n$$

subject to:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} a_{11}x_1 & + & a_{12}x_2 & + & \dots & + & a_{1n}x_n & + & x_{n+1} & = & b_1 \\ a_{21}x_1 & + & a_{22}x_2 & + & \dots & + & a_{2n}x_n & + & x_{n+2} & = & b_2 \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & & & \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ a_{m1}x_1 & + & a_{m2}x_2 & + & \dots & + & a_{mn}x_n & + & x_{n+m} & = & b_m \end{array}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_n \geq 0, \quad x_{n+1} \geq 0, \dots, x_{n+m} \geq 0$$

\Rightarrow This is a **basic representation** for $I = \{n+1, \dots, n+m\}$.
The corresponding BS is **feasible** if $b_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m$.

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Consider a system with

- ▶ equalities,
- ▶ " \geq " inequalities and
- ▶ " \leq " inequalities,

and assume that all variables and RHS's are nonnegative.

$$\begin{array}{rcccccl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & = & 10 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & & & \geq & 2 \\ x_1 & - & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & \leq & 6 \end{array}$$

$$x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 3$$

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Standardise the system by

- ▶ adding **slack** variables and
- ▶ subtracting **surplus variables**.

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccl} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & & & = & 10 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & & & - & x_4 & = & 2 \\ x_1 & - & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & & + & x_5 & = & 6 \end{array}$$
$$x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 5$$

⇒ **No** basic feasible representation!

Only **slack variables** behave like basic variables!

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Idea: Add new **artificial variables** to those constraints that were originally **equalities** and " **\geq** " **inequalities**.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & & + & \xi_1 & & = & 10 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & & & - & x_4 & & + & \xi_2 & = & 2 \\ x_1 & - & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & & & & & + & x_5 & = & 6 \end{array}$$

$$x_i \geq 0 \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, 5, \quad \xi_1 \geq 0, \quad \xi_2 \geq 0.$$

The **artificial variables** behave like basic variables.

\Rightarrow We have found a **basic feasible representation**!

But this system is not equivalent to the original one!

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Important Observation:

Any **nonnegative FS** $(x_1, \dots, x_5, \xi_1, \xi_2)$ for

$$\begin{array}{rccccccccccc} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & & + & \xi_1 & & = & 10 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & & & - & x_4 & & + & \xi_2 & = & 2 \\ x_1 & - & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & & & & & + & x_5 & = & 6 \end{array}$$

with $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0$ provides a **nonnegative FS** (x_1, \dots, x_5) for

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & & = & 10 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & & & - & x_4 & = & 2 \\ x_1 & - & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & & + & x_5 & = & 6 \end{array}$$

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

To find such a solution, we solve the **auxiliary LP**:

$$\text{minimise } \zeta = \xi_1 + \xi_2$$

subject to:

$$\begin{array}{rccccccccccc} x_1 & + & x_2 & + & x_3 & & & + & \xi_1 & & & = & 10 \\ 2x_1 & - & x_2 & & & & - & x_4 & & + & \xi_2 & = & 2 \\ x_1 & - & 2x_2 & + & x_3 & & & & & & & + & x_5 & = & 6 \end{array}$$

$$x_1 \geq 0, \dots, x_5 \geq 0, \xi_1 \geq 0, \xi_2 \geq 0$$

The **initial BFS for this LP** is given by $\xi_1 = 10$, $\xi_2 = 2$, $x_5 = 6$ (basic variables) and $x_1 = \dots = x_4 = 0$ (nonbasic variables).

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

- ▶ To solve the auxiliary LP with the **simplex algorithm**, we need a **basic representation** for the initial BFS.
- ▶ However, the **objective function** value $\zeta = \xi_1 + \xi_2$ is expressed in terms of the basic variables ξ_1 and ξ_2 .
- ▶ To express ζ as a function of the **nonbasic variables**, we add all **equations with artificial variables** to the objective.

Obj.	ζ						-	ξ_1	-	ξ_2	=	0
+ Eq. 1		x_1	+	x_2	+	x_3		+	ξ_1			= 10
+ Eq. 2		$2x_1$	-	x_2			-	x_4		+	ξ_2	= 2
=	$\zeta + 3x_1$			+	x_3		-	x_4				= 12

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

- ▶ The auxiliary LP is **feasible** and **bounded** by construction ($\zeta = \xi_1 + \xi_2 \geq 0$ cannot drop indefinitely!).

⇒ The simplex algorithm must terminate in STEP 1 with an **optimal BFS**. There are two cases:

- ▶ $\zeta = 0$ at optimality: this implies that $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0$, and the **optimal BFS** of the **auxiliary LP** provides a **BFS** for the **original system**.
- ▶ $\zeta > 0$ at optimality: the **auxiliary LP** has no feasible solution with $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = 0 \Rightarrow$ the **original system** has no BFS \Rightarrow it is **infeasible**!

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the **simplex algorithm**.

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	ξ_1	ξ_2	RHS
ζ	3		1	-1				12
ξ_1	1	1	1			1		10
ξ_2	2	-1		-1			1	2
x_5	1	-2	1		1			6

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the **simplex algorithm**.

<i>BV</i>	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	ξ_1	ξ_2	<i>RHS</i>
ζ	3		1	-1				12
ξ_1	1	1	1			1		10
ξ_2	2	-1		-1			1	2
x_5	1	-2	1		1			6
ζ		$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$			$-\frac{3}{2}$	9
ξ_1		$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$		1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	9
x_1	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{1}{2}$			$\frac{1}{2}$	1
x_5		$-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1		$-\frac{1}{2}$	5

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the **simplex algorithm**.

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	ξ_1	ξ_2	RHS
ζ		$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$			$-\frac{3}{2}$	9
ξ_1		$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$		1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	9
x_1	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{1}{2}$			$\frac{1}{2}$	1
x_5		$-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1		$-\frac{1}{2}$	5

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the **simplex algorithm**.

<i>BV</i>	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	ξ_1	ξ_2	<i>RHS</i>
ζ		$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$			$-\frac{3}{2}$	9
ξ_1		$\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$		1	$-\frac{1}{2}$	9
x_1	1	$-\frac{1}{2}$		$-\frac{1}{2}$			$\frac{1}{2}$	1
x_5		$-\frac{3}{2}$	1	$\frac{1}{2}$	1		$-\frac{1}{2}$	5
ζ						-1	-1	0
x_2		1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	6
x_1	1		$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	4
x_5			2	1	1	1	-1	14

We have now found an optimal solution:

$I = \{2, 1, 5\}$ defines a **BFS** for the **original system**!

Example w/o an Obvious Initial BFS

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the **simplex algorithm**.

<i>BV</i>	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	x_5	ξ_1	ξ_2	<i>RHS</i>
ζ						-1	-1	0
x_2		1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{2}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	6
x_1	1		$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$	4
x_5			2	1	1	1	-1	14
x_2		1	$\frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$				6
x_1	1		$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$				4
x_5			2	1	1			14

... and we can readily obtain the initial basis for phase-2!

Two Phase Simplex: Phase 1

- Step 1:** Modify the constraints so that all RHS's are **nonnegative** (constraints with negative RHS $\times -1$).
- Step 2:** Identify now all **equality** and **\geq constraints**. In Step 4 we will add artificial variables to these constraints.
- Step 3:** Standardise inequalities: for \leq constraints, **add slacks**; for \geq constraints, **subtract excesses**.
- Step 4:** **Add now artificial variables** ξ_i to all \geq or equality constraints identified in Step 2.
- Step 5:** Let ζ be the sum of all artificial variables and derive the **basic representation for ζ** .
- Step 6:** Find **minimum value of ζ** using the simplex algorithm.

Two Phase Simplex: Phase 2

Case 1: $\zeta^* > 0$

\Rightarrow The original LP is infeasible.

Case 2: $\zeta^* = 0$ and all ξ_i are nonbasic at optimality.

\Rightarrow Remove all artificial columns from the optimal Phase 1 tableau.

\Rightarrow Derive the basic representation for z (original objective) w.r.t. optimal index set of Phase 1.

\Rightarrow Solve the original LP with the simplex algorithm (Phase 2). The final basis of Phase 1 is the initial basis of Phase 2. The optimal solution to Phase 2 is the optimal solution to the original LP.

Two Phase Simplex: Phase 2

Case 3: $\zeta^* = 0$ and at least one ξ_i is basic at optimality.

- \Rightarrow As $\zeta^* = 0$ we conclude that all $\xi_i = 0$, thus some basic variables are zero.
- \Rightarrow We have found a degenerate BFS for the original problem and a basic representation for the auxiliary problem.
- \Rightarrow As the BFS is degenerate, we can pivot on a $y_{pq} \neq 0$ corresponding to an artificial ξ_p and an original variable x_q while keeping $\zeta^* = 0$!
- \Rightarrow All ξ_i variables can thus be removed from the basis obtaining a feasible BFS for the original LP.

Example (Case 2)

$$\min z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$

subject to

$$\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{4}x_2 \leq 4$$

$$x_1 + 3x_2 \geq 20$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 10$$

and

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Example (Case 2)

Steps 1–4 of Phase 1 transform the equality constraints to:

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccl} \frac{1}{2}x_1 & + & \frac{1}{4}x_2 & + & x_3 & & & = & 4 \\ x_1 & + & 3x_2 & & & - & x_4 & + & \xi_2 & = & 20 \\ x_1 & + & x_2 & & & & & + & \xi_3 & = & 10 \end{array}$$

Initial BFS for Phase 1:

Basic variables: $x_3 = 4, \xi_2 = 20, \xi_3 = 10$

Nonbasic variables: $x_1 = x_2 = x_4 = 0$

Example (Case 2)

In Step 5 of Phase 1 define $\zeta = \xi_2 + \xi_3$ and derive the **basic representation** for ζ w.r.t. the basic variables x_3 , ξ_2 and ξ_3 .

Row 0	ζ					$-$	ξ_2	$-$	ξ_3	$=$	0	
+ Row 2		x_1	$+$	$3x_2$	$-$	x_4	$+$	ξ_2		$=$	20	
+ Row 3		x_1	$+$	x_2					$+$	ξ_3	$=$	10
<hr/>												
=	ζ		$+$	$2x_1$	$+$	$4x_2$	$-$	x_4			$=$	30

$$\Rightarrow \zeta = \xi_1 + \xi_2 = 30 - 2x_1 - 4x_2 + x_4$$

Example (Case 2)

In Step 6 of Phase 1 we solve the **auxiliary LP**.

$$\text{minimize } \zeta = 30 - 2x_1 - 4x_2 + x_4$$

subject to:

$$\begin{array}{rcccccccl} \frac{1}{2}x_1 & + & \frac{1}{4}x_2 & & + & x_3 & & = & 4 \\ x_1 & + & 3x_2 & - & x_4 & & + & \xi_2 & = & 20 \\ x_1 & + & x_2 & & & & & + & \xi_3 & = & 10 \end{array}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \xi_2, \xi_3 \geq 0.$$

Example (Case 2)

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	ξ_2	ξ_3	RHS	ratio
ζ	2	4		-1			30	
x_3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1				4	16
ξ_2	1	3		-1	1		20	$\frac{20}{3}$
ξ_3	1	1				1	10	10

Example (Case 2)

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	ξ_2	ξ_3	RHS	ratio
ζ	2	4		-1			30	
x_3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1				4	16
ξ_2	1	3		-1	1		20	$\frac{20}{3}$
ξ_3	1	1				1	10	10
ζ	$\frac{2}{3}$			$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$		$\frac{10}{3}$	
x_3	$\frac{5}{12}$		1	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{12}$		$\frac{7}{3}$	$\frac{28}{5}$
x_2	$\frac{1}{3}$	1		$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{20}{3}$	20
ξ_3	$\frac{2}{3}$			$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{10}{3}$	5

Example (Case 2)

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	ξ_2	ξ_3	RHS	ratio
ζ	$\frac{2}{3}$			$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$		$\frac{10}{3}$	
x_3	$\frac{5}{12}$		1	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{12}$		$\frac{7}{3}$	$\frac{28}{5}$
x_2	$\frac{1}{3}$	1		$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{20}{3}$	20
ξ_3	$\frac{2}{3}$			$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{10}{3}$	5

Example (Case 2)

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	ξ_2	ξ_3	RHS	ratio
ζ	$\frac{2}{3}$			$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{4}{3}$		$\frac{10}{3}$	
x_3	$\frac{5}{12}$		1	$\frac{1}{12}$	$-\frac{1}{12}$		$\frac{7}{3}$	$\frac{28}{5}$
x_2	$\frac{1}{3}$	1		$-\frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}$		$\frac{20}{3}$	20
ξ_3	$\frac{2}{3}$			$\frac{1}{3}$	$-\frac{1}{3}$	1	$\frac{10}{3}$	5
ζ					-1	-1	0	
x_3			1	$-\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{8}$	$-\frac{5}{8}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	
x_2		1		$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	5	
x_1	1			$\frac{1}{2}$	$-\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	5	

$\zeta^* = 0 \Rightarrow$ Phase 1 concluded.

Example (Case 2)

BFS found in Phase 1:

Basic variables: $x_3 = \frac{1}{4}$, $x_2 = 5$, $x_1 = 5$

Nonbasic variable: $x_4 = \xi_2 = \xi_3 = 0$

There are **no artificial variables in the basis** \Rightarrow Case 2

We can drop the columns of all artificial variables:

ξ_2 and ξ_3 are no longer needed!

Example (Case 2)

In Phase 2 we first derive the **basic representation** of $z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$ w.r.t. the basic variables x_1 , x_2 and x_3 .

Use Rows 2 and 3 of the optimal Phase 1 tableau to **eliminate x_1 and x_2** from Row 0 of Phase 2 (objective z).

$$\begin{array}{rclclclcl} \text{Row 0 :} & z & - & 2x_1 & - & 3x_2 & & = & 0 \\ +3 \times (\text{Row 2}) : & & & & & 3x_2 & - & \frac{3}{2}x_4 & = & 15 \\ +2 \times (\text{Row 3}) : & & & 2x_1 & & & + & x_4 & = & 10 \\ \hline & = & : & z & & & - & \frac{1}{2}x_4 & = & 25 \end{array}$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad z = 2x_1 + 3x_2 = 25 + \frac{1}{2}x_4$$

Example (Case 2)

We now begin Phase 2 with following **basic representation**:

$$\begin{array}{rccccccc} z & & & - & \frac{1}{2}x_4 & = & 25 \\ & x_3 & & - & \frac{1}{8}x_4 & = & \frac{1}{4} \\ & & x_2 & - & \frac{1}{2}x_4 & = & 5 \\ & & & x_1 & + & \frac{1}{2}x_4 & = & 5 \end{array}$$

The corresponding **BFS is optimal!**

IN THIS CASE: Phase 2 requires **no further pivots**.

IN GENERAL: **Continue with the simplex algorithm**.

Example (Case 1)

Increase b_2 from 20 to 36 \Rightarrow LP becomes **infeasible**.

$$\text{minimise } z = 2x_1 + 3x_2$$

subject to:

$$\begin{array}{rclcl} \frac{1}{2}x_1 & + & \frac{1}{4}x_2 & \leq & 4 \\ x_1 & + & 3x_2 & \geq & 36 \\ x_1 & + & x_2 & = & 10 \end{array}$$

and

$$x_1, x_2 \geq 0$$

Example (Case 1)

After Steps 1-5 we find the **auxiliary LP**:

$$\text{minimise } \zeta = \xi_2 + \xi_3$$

subject to:

$$\begin{array}{rccccccccccc} \frac{1}{2}x_1 & + & \frac{1}{4}x_2 & + & x_3 & & & & & = & 4 \\ x_1 & + & 3x_2 & & & - & x_4 & + & \xi_2 & & = & 36 \\ x_1 & + & x_2 & & & & & & & + & \xi_3 & = & 10 \end{array}$$

$$x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, \xi_2, \xi_3 \geq 0$$

Example (Case 1)

Initial BFS for Phase 1:

Basic variables: $x_3 = 4, \xi_2 = 36, \xi_3 = 10$

Nonbasic variables: $x_1 = x_2 = x_4 = 0$

Find the **basic representation** of ζ w.r.t. this basis.

Row 0	ζ							-	ξ_2	-	ξ_3	=	0
+ Row 2		x_1	+	$3x_2$	-	x_4	+	ξ_2				=	36
+ Row 3		x_1	+	x_2						+	ξ_3	=	10
=	ζ	+	$2x_1$	+	$4x_2$	-	x_4					=	46

$$\Rightarrow \zeta = \xi_1 + \xi_2 = 46 - 2x_1 - 4x_2 + x_4$$

Example (Case 1)

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the simplex algorithm.

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	ξ_2	ξ_3	RHS	ratio
ζ	2	4		-1			46	
x_3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1				4	16
ξ_2	1	3		-1	1		36	12
ξ_3	1	1				1	10	10

Example (Case 1)

Solve the **auxiliary LP** with the simplex algorithm.

BV	x_1	x_2	x_3	x_4	ξ_2	ξ_3	RHS	ratio
ζ	2	4		-1			46	
x_3	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1				4	16
ξ_2	1	3		-1	1		36	12
ξ_3	1	1				1	10	10
ζ	-2			-1		-4	6	
x_3	$\frac{1}{4}$		1			$-\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{3}{2}$	
ξ_2	-2			-1	1	-3	6	
x_2	1	1				1	10	

In Row 0 of Tableau 2 no variable has a positive coefficient.

\Rightarrow Optimal Phase 1 tableau with $\zeta^* = 6 > 0 \Rightarrow$ **no FS**.