

# Privacy Engineering (70018)

## Zero-Knowledge Proofs

**Problem 1. Sequential Composition.** Consider an arbitrary interactive proving system  $\pi = (p, v)$ . Let  $\Pi_N = (P_N, V_N)$  be an interactive proving system in which  $\pi$  is executed  $N$  independent times in sequence such that  $V_N$  accepts iff  $v$  accepts when invoked on the same common input in all  $N$  runs, and  $P_N$  invokes  $p$  on the same common input in all  $N$  runs.

- If the completeness and soundness errors of  $\pi$  are the constants  $c$  and  $s$  respectively, what are the completeness and soundness errors,  $C_N$  and  $S_N$  respectively, of  $\Pi_N$ ?

$$C_N = c^N$$

$$S_N = s^N$$

- If  $p$  achieves perfect zero-knowledge, what level, if any, of zero-knowledge does  $P_N$  achieve for all  $N$ ?

Perfect zero-knowledge.

- If  $v$  has a knowledge error equal to the constant  $k$ , what is the knowledge error,  $K_N$ , of  $V_N$ ?

$$K_N = k^N$$

- If  $s = \frac{1}{2}$ , what is the minimum value of  $N$  required for  $S_N$  to be strictly less than  $10^{-40}$ ?

$$N = 133$$

**Problem 2. Non-interactive Arguments.** Assume that  $\pi = (p, v)$  is the proving system for graph isomorphism knowledge defined in section 3.2 on page 11 of the lecture slides.

- What is the expected number of attempts for a cheating prover without knowledge of  $\phi$  to construct a valid argument in  $\pi$ ?

$$2$$

- Let  $\Pi_N = (P_N, V_N)$  be the sequentially composed version of  $N$  invocations to  $\pi$  as done in Problem 1. Specify  $\Pi_N$  in a pseudo-code style similar to that of  $\pi$ .

Repeat N times or until first failure:

$$P \rightarrow V : H = \psi(G_2)$$

$$V \rightarrow P : c \in \{1, 2\}$$

$$P \rightarrow V : \omega = \psi \circ \phi \text{ if } c = 1 \text{ else } \omega = \psi$$

$$V \rightarrow P : \text{pass if } \omega(G_c) = H \text{ else fail}$$

End repeat.

- Using  $\Pi_N$  as a basis, specify a non-interactive argument version of it  $\bar{\Pi}_N = (\bar{P}_N, \bar{V}_N)$  in pseudo-code. Make sure  $\bar{\Pi}_N$  achieves the same soundness, completeness and knowledge error values as  $\Pi_N$  for all values of  $N \leq 256$ .

$$P : H_n \leftarrow \psi_n(G_2) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$

$$P : c_n \leftarrow R(n, G_1, G_2, H_0, \dots, H_{N-1}) \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$

$$P : \omega_n \leftarrow \psi_n \circ \phi \text{ if } c_n = 1 \text{ else } \psi_n \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}_N$$

$$P \rightarrow V : H_0, \dots, H_{N-1}, \omega_0, \dots, \omega_{N-1}$$

- What is the expected number of attempts, in terms of  $N$ , for a cheating prover without knowledge of  $\phi$  to construct a valid argument in  $\bar{\Pi}_N$ ?

$2^N$

- Does  $\bar{P}_N$  reveal *any* knowledge?

Arguably the argument itself.