

Notes on the 1-loop power spectrum in scale-independent modified gravity

September 8, 2020

Contents

1	Models and general equations	1
2	Models	2
2.1	nDGP	2
3	Perturbative solution	3

1 General equations

We start discussing perturbation theory in modified gravity. We will introduce counter-terms later. We work in the Jordan frame, where matter is minimally coupled to the gravitational metric. Therefore, the continuity and Euler equations are standard and read

$$\dot{\delta} + a^{-1} \partial_i ((1 + \delta) v^i) = 0 , \quad (1.1)$$

$$\dot{v}^i + H v^i + \frac{1}{a} v^j \partial_j v^i + \frac{1}{a} \partial_i \Phi = 0 . \quad (1.2)$$

Let us define the conformal Hubble rate as $\mathcal{H} \equiv H a$ and use a prime to denote the derivative with respect to the scale factor a . In Fourier space, and in terms of the scale factor a , the equations of motion for the dark-matter overdensity δ and the rescaled velocity divergence,

$$\theta \equiv -\partial_i v^i / (f_+ \mathcal{H}) , \quad (1.3)$$

are

$$a \delta'(\mathbf{k}, a) - f_+ \theta(\mathbf{k}, a) = \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \times f_+ \alpha(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \theta(\mathbf{k}_1, a) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2, a) \quad (1.4)$$

$$a \theta'(\mathbf{k}, a) - f_+ \theta(\mathbf{k}, a) + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\mu \Omega_m}{f_+} \theta(\mathbf{k}, a) + \frac{1}{f_+} \frac{k^2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \Phi(\mathbf{k}, a) = \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_1 - \mathbf{k}_2) \times f_+ \beta(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \theta(\mathbf{k}_1, a) \theta(\mathbf{k}_2, a) \quad (1.5)$$

where α and β are the standard dark matter interaction vertices,

$$\alpha(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) = 1 + \frac{\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{q_1^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) = \frac{|\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2|^2 \mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{q}_2}{2 q_1^2 q_2^2} , \quad (1.6)$$

and we have used the notation $\int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n} \equiv \int \frac{d^3 k_1}{(2\pi)^3} \cdots \int \frac{d^3 k_n}{(2\pi)^3}$.

In general relativity, one closes these two equations with the Poisson equation. In modified gravity, one uses the field equations to express the Laplacian of Φ in terms of the density contrast. This expression will contain linear terms in δ , as in the Poisson equation, but in general, in the presence of higher-derivative terms such as in models with Vainshtein screening, there will also be higher-order terms. Here we are interested in computing the spectra up to 1-loop calculation. Thus, we will need all terms up to third order in δ . Adopting the notation of [?], we can then write the generalized Poisson equation directly in Fourier space as

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{k^2}{\mathcal{H}^2} \Phi(\mathbf{k}, a) = & \mu(a) \frac{3\Omega_m}{2} \delta(\mathbf{k}, a) \\
& + \mu_2(a) \left(\frac{3\Omega_m}{2} \right)^2 \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{12}) \gamma_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1, a) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2, a) \\
& + \mu_3(a) \left(\frac{3\Omega_m}{2} \right)^3 \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3} (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{123}) \gamma_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1, a) \delta(\mathbf{k}_2, a) \delta(\mathbf{k}_3, a) \\
& + \mu_{22}(a) \left(\frac{3\Omega_m}{2} \right)^3 \int_{\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{12}) (2\pi)^3 \delta_D(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_{12}) \\
& \quad \times \gamma_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \gamma_2(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) \delta(\mathbf{k}_1, a) \delta(\mathbf{q}_1, a) \delta(\mathbf{q}_2, a) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$\Omega_m \equiv \frac{\bar{\rho}_m}{3M^2 H^2} , \tag{1.8}$$

where M is the effective Planck mass, which can depend on time. Moreover, we denote $\mathbf{k}_{1\dots n} = \mathbf{k}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{k}_n$. The new kernels inside the integrals are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) &= \left[1 - (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_2)^2 \right] \\
\gamma_3(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2, \mathbf{k}_3) &= \left[1 + 2(\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_2) (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_3) (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_3) - (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_3)^2 - (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_2 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_3)^2 - (\hat{\mathbf{k}}_1 \cdot \hat{\mathbf{k}}_2)^2 \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

We anticipate that the cubic vertex proportional to μ_3 does not contribute to the power spectrum at one loop because it enters as $\gamma_3(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}, -\mathbf{q}) = 0$ [?], but we include it here for completeness.

2 Models

2.1 nDGP

Let us discuss the nDGP model. In this case, the Friedmann equation is given by

$$-\frac{H}{r_c} = H^2 (1 - \Omega_m(a)) . \tag{2.1}$$

Then we have

$$\mu(a) = 1 + \frac{1}{3\beta(a)} , \quad \beta(a) = 1 + \frac{H(a)}{H_0} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega_{rc}}} \left(1 + \frac{aH'(a)}{3H(a)} \right) , \tag{2.2}$$

where $\Omega_{rc} = 1/(4r_c^2 H_0^2)$ parametrizes the cross-over scale, while

$$\mu_2(a) = -2H^2 r_c^2 \left(\frac{1}{3\beta} \right)^3 , \quad \mu_{22}(a) = 8H^4 r_c^4 \left(\frac{1}{3\beta} \right)^5 . \tag{2.3}$$

As discussed above, we do not need to specify μ_3 . General relativity is recovered for instance by sending $Hr_c \rightarrow \infty$.

3 Perturbative solution

The perturbation equations are

$$a\delta'_{\mathbf{k}} - f_+\theta_{\mathbf{k}} = (2\pi)^3 \int_{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_2} \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_{12}) f_+ \alpha(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) \theta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{q}_2}, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a\theta'_{\mathbf{k}} - f_+\theta_{\mathbf{k}} + \frac{3}{2}\mu\frac{\Omega_m}{f_+}(\theta_{\mathbf{k}} - \delta_{\mathbf{k}}) &= (2\pi)^3 \int_{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_2} \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_{12}) \times \\ &\quad \left[f_+ \beta(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) \theta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \theta_{\mathbf{q}_2} + \frac{\mu_2}{f_+} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m}{2} \right)^2 \gamma_2(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{q}_2} \right] \\ &\quad + (2\pi)^3 \int_{\mathbf{q}_1 \mathbf{q}_2 \mathbf{k}_1 \mathbf{k}_2} \delta_D(\mathbf{k}_2 - \mathbf{q}_{12}) \delta_D(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_{12}) \times \\ &\quad \frac{\mu_{22}}{f_+} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m}{2} \right)^3 \gamma_2(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2) \gamma_2(\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2) \delta_{\mathbf{k}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1} \delta_{\mathbf{q}_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_1^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 \left[G_1^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) f_+(\tilde{a}) + G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) \frac{\mu_2(\tilde{a})}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \right] \frac{D_+^2(\tilde{a})}{D_+^2(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_2^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) \left[f_+(\tilde{a}) - \frac{\mu_2(\tilde{a})}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \right] \frac{D_+^2(\tilde{a})}{D_+^2(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_1^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 \left\{ G_1^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) f_+(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_1^\delta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a})}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \left[\mu_2(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_1^\delta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{\mu_{22}(\tilde{a})}{2} \frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right] \right\} \frac{D_+^3(\tilde{a})}{D_+^3(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.5)$$

$$\mathcal{U}_2^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 \left\{ G_1^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) f_+(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_2^\delta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a})}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \left[\mu_2(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_2^\delta(\tilde{a}) - \frac{\mu_{22}(\tilde{a})}{2} \frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right] \right\} \frac{D_+^3(\tilde{a})}{D_+^3(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{11}^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 \left\{ G_1^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) f_+(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_1^\theta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a})}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \left[\mu_2(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_1^\delta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{\mu_{22}(\tilde{a})}{2} \frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right] \right\} \frac{D_+^3(\tilde{a})}{D_+^3(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{21}^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 \left\{ G_1^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) f_+(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_2^\theta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a})}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \left[\mu_2(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_2^\delta(\tilde{a}) - \frac{\mu_{22}(\tilde{a})}{2} \frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right] \right\} \frac{D_+^3(\tilde{a})}{D_+^3(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.8)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{12}^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) \left\{ f_+(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_1^\theta(\tilde{a}) - \frac{1}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \left[\mu_2(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_1^\delta(\tilde{a}) + \frac{\mu_{22}(\tilde{a})}{2} \frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right] \right\} \frac{D_+^3(\tilde{a})}{D_+^3(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.9)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{22}^\lambda(a) = \int_0^1 G_2^\lambda(a, \tilde{a}) \left\{ f_+(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_2^\theta(\tilde{a}) - \frac{1}{f_+(\tilde{a})} \left(\frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right)^2 \left[\mu_2(\tilde{a}) \mathcal{G}_2^\delta(\tilde{a}) - \frac{\mu_{22}(\tilde{a})}{2} \frac{3\Omega_m(\tilde{a})}{2} \right] \right\} \frac{D_+^3(\tilde{a})}{D_+^3(a)} d\tilde{a} \quad (3.10)$$

References