

## Homework 5b

CS386D Database Systems

Instructor: Daniel Miranker

**1 Part A****15.3.2**

$B(S) + (B(S)B(R))/(M - 1) = 10000 + \lceil (10000/(1000 - 1)) \rceil \times 10000 =$   
120000 disk I/O.

**15.3.3 a**

$$100000 = 10000 + \lceil 10000/(M - 1) \rceil (10000)$$

$$M = 1112.11$$

$$M \geq 1113$$

**16.2.6 a,b**

a)

$$\pi_{b+c \rightarrow x,y}(\pi_{b,c}(R) \bowtie \pi_{b,c,c+d \rightarrow y}(S))$$

b)

$$\pi_{a,b,a+d \rightarrow z}(\pi_{a,b,c}(R) \bowtie \pi_{b,c,d}(S))$$

**2 Part B****1.**

Let  $c$  be the average seek time.

Then the total cost would be  $1000c$ , needing to seek and read from all  $B(R)$  blocks.

**2.**

Let  $c$  be the average seek time and  $c'$  be the average weighted rotational latency and track to track seek time.

Then the cost would be  $c + 999c'$ , to seek the first block and then read the remaining blocks.

**3.**

a)

Let  $c$  be the average seek time.

Then the cost would be  $c$ , since  $W.c$  is the primary key, it is unique, and we only need to read one record.

b)

Since it is the primary key, I expect there to be

1 record.

**4.**

a)

Let  $c$  be the average seek time.

Then the cost would be  $c$ ,

since  $(c, b, a)$  is a compound primary key, it is unique, and we only need to read one record, ignoring the index (B-tree) lookups.

b)

1 record since  $(c, b, a)$  is a compound primary key.

**5.**

a)

Let  $c$  be the average seek time. There are 100 values for attribute  $a$  in the relation  $R$ , thus we expect there to be 100 values. A block contains 1000 values. Thus if sorted on primary key, all 100 values should fall into the same block.

Thus the total cost is  $c$ .

b)

Since there are 100 values for attribute  $a$ , then we expect there to be

100 records for the query.

**6.**

a)

Since there are 20 values of  $b$ , and 10 values of  $c$ , if we assume that all combinations exist in the table, there are 200 unique rows of  $W$ . Since there are 10000 total rows, we can assume for a specific tuple  $(b, c)$ , there are 50 rows that match.

Thus we expect 50 rows for this query.

b)

For 20 values of  $b$ , we expect 500 rows for a specific  $b$ . For a specific  $c$ , we can expect 1000 rows.

Thus the union, accounting for double-counting, would be  $1500 - 50 =$

1450 rows in this query.

**7.**

a)

We assume  $1/3$  of the rows satisfy the inequality. Then for a specific  $c$  would span  $1/10$  of those rows, getting us  $1/30$  of all rows, so

333 rows expected.

b)

Similar to 7a, we have 3333 rows that meet the condition on  $b$ , and 1000 rows that meet the condition on  $c$ . Thus accounting for double counting, we get

4000 expected rows returned.

**8.**

0. No common attributes so no rows matched on a natural join.

**9.**

There are only 10 values of  $c$  in  $W$ , thus only 10/100 of the rows of  $V$  would get matched to a row in  $W$ . For a specific  $c$  value in  $W$ , there are 1000 rows of that value. Thus we have  $100/10 = 10$  values of  $c$  that are matched to 1000 rows each in  $W$ .

10000 rows returned.

**10.**

From 6a, we saw that for a specific value of  $(b, c)$ , there are 50 rows, and there are also only 200 total values of  $(b, c)$ . In  $R$ , there are 10000 total values of  $(b, c)$ . Thus for a only 200/10000 of the total rows of  $R$  would get matched in the join. 20000 rows of  $R$  joined to 50 rows each of  $W$  means 1000000 total rows returned.

**11.**

1/3 of the rows in  $R$  are matched by the inequality selection.  $V(R, b) = 200$  and  $V(U, b) = 400$ , so all values of  $R.b$  are contained in the values of  $U.b$ . For a single  $b$  value in  $U$ , there are 25 matching rows. Then we get

8333333 rows returned.

**12.**

Let us first consider the  $c$  join condition. There are 10 values of  $c$  in  $W$  so for a given  $c$ , there are 1000 rows. In  $R$  there are 50 values of  $c$ , so only 1/5 of the rows in  $R$  will be matched to something in  $W$ . Thus with 200000 rows matched to 1000 rows each, we get 200000000 rows returned.

Next we condition on  $R.b > W.b$ . Let us simplify further and consider  $R.b > c$  for some constant  $c$ . Then 1/3 of the rows would satisfy this constraint based on our simplifying assumptions. Then for some value of  $W.b$ , we consider the rows that are created after joining on  $c$ , 1/3 of these rows should have  $R.b > W.b$  based on our assumption.

Thus we have 66666667 rows returned.