

CMPS 112: Spring 2019

Comparative Programming Languages

Lexing and Parsing

Owen Arden
UC Santa Cruz

Based on course materials developed by Nadia Polikarpova

Plan for this week

Last week:

- How do we *evaluate* a program given its AST?

```
eval :: Env -> Expr -> Value
```

This week:

- How do we *convert* program text into an AST?

```
parse :: String -> Expr
```

2

Example: calculator with vars

AST representation:

```
data Aexpr
= AConst Int
| AVar Id
| APlus Aexpr Aexpr
| AMinus Aexpr Aexpr
| AMul Aexpr Aexpr
| ADiv Aexpr Aexpr
```

Evaluator:

```
eval :: Env -> Aexpr -> Value
...
```

3

Example: calculator with vars

Using the evaluator:

```
λ> eval [] (APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 6))
8
```

```
λ> eval [("x", 16), ("y", 10)] (AMinus (AVar "x") (AVar "y"))
6
```

```
λ> eval [("x", 16), ("y", 10)] (AMinus (AVar "x") (AVar "z"))
*** Exception: Error {errMsg = "Unbound variable z"}
```

But writing ASTs explicitly is really tedious, we are used to writing programs as text!

4

Example: calculator with vars

We want to write a function that converts strings to ASTs if possible:

```
parse :: String -> Aexpr
```

For example:

```
λ> parse "2 + 6"
APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 6)
```

```
λ> parse "(x - y) / 2"
ADiv (AMinus (AVar "x") (AVar "y")) (AConst 2)
```

```
λ> parse "2 +"
*** Exception: Error {errMsg = "Syntax error"}
```

5

Two-step-strategy

How do I read a sentence "He ate a bagel"?

- First split into words: ["He", "ate", "a", "bagel"]
- Then relate words to each other: "He" is the subject, "ate" is the verb, etc

Let's do the same thing to "read" programs!

6

1. Lexing: From String to Tokens

A string is a list of *characters*:

2 2 9 + 9 8 * x 2

First we aggregate characters that “belong together” into **tokens** (i.e. the “words” of the program):

229 Plus 98 Times x2

We distinguish tokens of different kinds based on their format:

- all numbers: integer constant
- alphanumeric, starts with a letter: identifier
- `+`: plus operator
- etc

7

2. Parsing: From Tokens to AST

Next, we convert a sequence of tokens into an AST

- This is hard...
- ... but the hard parts do not depend on the language!

Parser generators

- Given the description of the *token format* generates a *lexer*
- Given the description of the *grammar* generates a *parser*

We will be using parser generators, so we only care about how to describe the token format and the grammar

8

Lexing

We will use the tool called **alex** to generate the **lexer**

Input to **alex**: a `.x` file that describes the *token format*

9

Tokens

First we list the kinds of tokens we have in the language:

```
data Token
= NUM      AlexPosn Int
| ID       AlexPosn String
| PLUS     AlexPosn
| MINUS    AlexPosn
| MUL      AlexPosn
| DIV      AlexPosn
| LPAREN   AlexPosn
| RPAREN   AlexPosn
| EOF      AlexPosn
```

10

Token rules

Next we describe the format of each kind of token using a rule:

```
[\\+]      { \\p _ -> PLUS  p }
[\\-]      { \\p _ -> MINUS p }
[\\*]      { \\p _ -> MUL   p }
[\\/]      { \\p _ -> DIV   p }
\\(        { \\p _ -> LPAREN p }
\\)        { \\p _ -> RPAREN p }
$alpha [$alpha $digit _ '\\']* { \\p s -> ID    p s }
$digit+   { \\p s -> NUM  p (read s) }
```

Each line consist of:

- a *regular expression* that describes which strings should be recognized as this token
- a Haskell expression that generates the token

You read it as:

- if at position *p* in the input string
- you encounter a substring *s* that matches the *regular expression*
- evaluate the Haskell expression with arguments *p* and *s*

11

Regular Expressions

A regular expression has one of the following forms:

- `[c1 c2 ... cn]` matches *any* of the characters `c1 .. cn`
 - `[0-9]` matches *any digit*
 - `[a-z]` matches *any lower-case letter*
 - `[A-Z]` matches *any upper-case letter*
 - `[a-z A-Z]` matches *any letter*
- `R1 R2` matches a string `s1 + s2` where `s1` matches `R1` and `s2` matches `R2`
 - e.g. `[0-9] [0-9]` matches any two-digit string
- `R+` matches *one or more* repetitions of what `R` matches
 - e.g. `[0-9]+` matches a natural number
- `R*` matches *zero or more* repetitions of what `R` matches

12

QUIZ

Which of the following strings are matched by `[a-z A-Z] [a-z A-Z 0-9]*?` *

- ☐ (A) (empty string)
- ☐ (B) 5
- ☐ (C) x5
- ☐ (D) x
- ☐ (E) C and D



<http://tiny.cc/cmpps112-regex-ind>

13

QUIZ

Which of the following strings are matched by `[a-z A-Z] [a-z A-Z 0-9]*?` *

- ☐ (A) (empty string)
- ☐ (B) 5
- ☐ (C) x5
- ☐ (D) x
- ☐ (E) C and D



<http://tiny.cc/cmpps112-regex-grp>

14

Back to token rules

We can name some common regexps like:

`$digit = [0-9]`

`$alpha = [a-z A-Z]`

and write `[a-z A-Z] [a-z A-Z 0-9]*` as `$alpha [$alpha $digit]*`

```
[ \+ ]      { \p _ -> PLUS  p }
[ \- ]      { \p _ -> MINUS p }
[ \* ]      { \p _ -> MUL   p }
[ \/ ]      { \p _ -> DIV   p }
[ \( ]      { \p _ -> LPAREN p }
[ \) ]      { \p _ -> RPAREN p }
$alpha [$alpha $digit \_ \']* { \p s -> ID   p s }
$digit+     { \p s -> NUM  p (read s) }
```

- When you encounter a `+`, generate a **PLUS** token ... etc
- When you encounter a nonempty string of digits, convert it into an integer and generate a **NUM**
- When you encounter an alphanumeric string that starts with a letter, save it in an **ID** token

15

Running the Lexer

From the token rules, `alex` generates a function `alexScan` which

- given an input string, find the *longest* prefix `p` that matches one of the rules
- if `p` is empty, it fails
- otherwise, it converts `p` into a token and returns the rest of the string

We wrap this function into a handy function

```
parseTokens :: String -> Either ErrMsg [Token]
```

which repeatedly calls `alexScan` until it consumes the whole input string or fails

16

Running the Lexer

We can test the function like so:

```
λ> parseTokens "23 + 4 / off -"
```

```
Right [ NUM (AlexPn 0 1 1) 23
      , PLUS (AlexPn 3 1 4)
      , NUM (AlexPn 5 1 6) 4
      , DIV (AlexPn 7 1 8)
      , ID (AlexPn 9 1 10) "off"
      , MINUS (AlexPn 13 1 14)
      ]
```

```
λ> parseTokens "%"
```

```
Left "lexical error at 1 line, 1 column"
```

17

QUIZ

What is the result of `parseTokens "92zoo"` (positions omitted for readability)? *

- ☐ (A) Lexical error
- ☐ (B) [ID "92zoo"]
- ☐ (C) [NUM "92"]
- ☐ (D) [NUM "92", ID "zoo"]



<http://tiny.cc/cmeps112-ptoken-ind>

18

QUIZ

What is the result of `parseTokens "92zoo"` (positions omitted for readability)? *

- ☐ (A) Lexical error
- ☐ (B) [ID "92zoo"]
- ☐ (C) [NUM "92"]
- ☐ (D) [NUM "92", ID "zoo"]



<http://tiny.cc/cmpps112-ptoken-grp>

19

Parsing

We will use the tool called `happy` to generate the parser

Input to `happy`: a `.y` file that describes the *grammar*

20

Parsing

Wait, wasn't this the grammar?

```
data Aexpr
= AConst Int
| AVar Id
| APlus Aexpr Aexpr
| AMinus Aexpr Aexpr
| AMul Aexpr Aexpr
| ADiv Aexpr Aexpr
```

This was *abstract syntax*

Now we need to describe *concrete syntax*

- What programs look like when written as text
- and how to map that text into the abstract syntax

21

Grammars

A grammar is a recursive definition of a set of trees

- each tree is a *parse tree* for some string
- *parse* a string s = find a parse tree for s that belongs to the grammar

A grammar is made of:

- **Terminals:** the leaves of the tree (tokens!)
- **Nonterminals:** the internal nodes of the tree
- **Production Rules** that describe how to “produce” a non-terminal from terminals and other non-terminals
 - i.e. what children each nonterminal can have:

```
Aexpr : -- NT Aexpr can have as children:
| Aexpr '+' Aexpr { ... } -- NT Aexpr, T '+', and NT Aexpr, or
| Aexpr '-' Aexpr { ... } -- NT Aexpr, T '-', and NT Aexpr, or
| ...
```

22

Terminals

Terminals correspond to the *tokens* returned by the lexer

In the `.y` file, we have to declare with terminals in the rules correspond to which tokens from the `Token` datatype:

```
%token
TNUM { NUM _ $$ }
ID { ID _ $$ }
'+' { PLUS _ }
'-' { MINUS _ }
'*' { MUL _ }
'/' { DIV _ }
'(' { LPAREN _ }
')' { RPAREN _ }
```

- Each thing on the left is terminal (as appears in the production rules)
- Each thing on the right is a Haskell pattern for datatype `Token`
- We use `$$` to designate one parameter of a token constructor as the token *value*
 - we will refer back to it from the production rules

23

Production rules

Next we define productions for our language:

```
Aexpr : TNUM { AConst $1 }
| ID { AVar $1 }
| '(' Aexpr ')' { $2 }
| Aexpr '*' Aexpr { AMul $1 $3 }
| Aexpr '+' Aexpr { APlus $1 $3 }
| Aexpr '-' Aexpr { AMinus $1 $3 }
```

The expression on the right computes the *value* of this node

- `$1` `$2` `$3` refer to the *values* of the respective child nodes

24

Production rules

Example: parsing (2) as AExpr:

1. Lexer returns a sequence of Tokens: [LPAREN, NUM 2, RPAREN]
2. LPAREN is the token for terminal '(', so let's pick production '(' Aexpr ')'
3. Now we have to parse NUM 2 as Aexpr and RPAREN as ')'
4. NUM 2 is a token for nonterminal TNUM, so let's pick production TNUM
5. The value of this Aexpr node is AConst 2, since the value of TNUM is 2
6. The value of the top-level Aexpr node is also AConst 2 (see the '(' Aexpr ')' production)

25

QUIZ

What is the value of the root AExpr node when parsing 1 + 2 + 3?

*

Aexpr : TNUM	{ AConst \$1 }
ID	{ AVar \$1 }
'(' Aexpr ')'	{ \$2 }
Aexpr '*' Aexpr	{ AMul \$1 \$3 }
Aexpr '+' Aexpr	{ APlus \$1 \$3 }
Aexpr '-' Aexpr	{ AMinus \$1 \$3 }



- ☐ (A) Cannot be parsed as AExpr
- ☐ (B) 6
- ☐ (C) APlus (APlus (AConst 1) (AConst 2)) (AConst 3)
- ☐ (D) APlus (AConst 1) (APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 3))

<http://tiny.cc/cmpps112-aexpr-ind>

26

QUIZ

What is the value of the root AExpr node when parsing 1 + 2 + 3?

*

Aexpr : TNUM	{ AConst \$1 }
ID	{ AVar \$1 }
'(' Aexpr ')'	{ \$2 }
Aexpr '*' Aexpr	{ AMul \$1 \$3 }
Aexpr '+' Aexpr	{ APlus \$1 \$3 }
Aexpr '-' Aexpr	{ AMinus \$1 \$3 }



- ☐ (A) Cannot be parsed as AExpr
- ☐ (B) 6
- ☐ (C) APlus (APlus (AConst 1) (AConst 2)) (AConst 3)
- ☐ (D) APlus (AConst 1) (APlus (AConst 2) (AConst 3))

<http://tiny.cc/cmpps112-aexpr-grp>

27

Running the Parser

First, we should tell the parser that the top-level non-terminal is `AExpr`:

```
%name aexpr
```

From the production rules and this line, happy generates a function `aexpr` that tries to parse a sequence of tokens as `AExpr`

We package this function together with the lexer and the evaluator into a handy function

```
evalString :: Env -> String -> Int
```

We can test the function like so:

```
λ> evalString [] "1 + 3 + 6"
10
λ> evalString [("x", 100), ("y", 20)] "x - y"
80
λ> evalString [] "2 * 5 + 5"
20
λ> evalString [] "2 - 1 - 1"
2
```

28

Precedence and associativity

```
λ> evalString [] "2 * 5 + 5"
20
```

The problem is that our grammar is **ambiguous**!

There are multiple ways of parsing the string `2 * 5 + 5`, namely

- `APlus (AMul (AConst 2) (AConst 5)) (AConst 5)` (good)
- `AMul (AConst 2) (APlus (AConst 5) (AConst 5))` (bad!)

Wanted: tell happy that `*` has higher **precedence** than `+`!

29

Precedence and associativity

```
λ> evalString [] "2 - 1 - 1"
2
```

There are multiple ways of parsing `2 - 1 - 1`, namely

- `AMinus (AMinus (AConst 2) (AConst 1)) (AConst 1)` (good)
- `AMinus (AConst 2) (AMinus (AConst 1) (AConst 1))` (bad!)

Wanted: tell happy that `-` is **left-associative**!

How do we communicate precedence and associativity to happy?

30

Solution 1: Grammar factoring

We can split the `AExpr` non-terminal into multiple “levels”

```
Aexpr : Aexpr '+' Aexpr2
      | Aexpr '-' Aexpr2
      | Aexpr2

Aexpr2 : Aexpr2 '*' Aexpr3
       | Aexpr2 '/' Aexpr3
       | Aexpr3

Aexpr3 : TNUM
       | ID
       | '(' Aexpr ')'
```

Intuition: `AExpr2` “binds tighter” than `AExpr`, and `AExpr3` is the tightest

Now I cannot parse the string `2 * 5 + 5` as

- `AMul (AConst 2) (APlus (AConst 5) (AConst 5))` why?

Because the RHS of `*` has to be `AExpr3`, while `5 + 5` is *not* an `AExpr3` (it's an `AExpr`)

31

Solution 2: Parser directives

This problem is so common that parser generators have a special syntax for it!

```
%left '+' '-'
%left '*' '/'
```

What this means:

- All our operators are left-associative
- Operators on the lower line have higher precedence

32