WHITE PAPER · EMERGING TECHNOLOGY IN CONSULTING

AI-Powered Decision Support Tools in Consulting Leadership: Viability for Portfolio Management

Can an AI-powered assistant truly help consulting leadership manage complex program portfolios — and what would it take to build one right?

BY BILLY MILLER · DELIVERY LEADER · FEBRUARY 2026

The modern consulting portfolio has grown too large, too fast, and too complex for traditional management dashboards alone. Program leaders are expected to track dozens of concurrent engagements, anticipate risks, communicate upward with executives, and support project managers simultaneously all in real time. Yet according to Wellingtone's *State of Project Management Report* (2024), 47% of project management professionals still lack access to real-time KPIs, and half spend one full day or more each month simply collating status information manually. Meanwhile, a 2024 Deloitte report found that 71% of organizations are already using generative AI in at least one business function and the global AI consulting market is projected to grow at 34.2% CAGR through 2028 (BCC Research, 2023). The case for an AI-Powered Decision Support Tool in consulting portfolio management has never been stronger. This paper examines what such a tool should measure, what it needs to function, who it serves, and where it is most likely to fail.

1 KEY METRICS TO MEASURE

A portfolio Decision Support Tool is only as useful as the signals it tracks. The metrics must span performance, risk, financials, and people and they must be normalized across projects of different sizes and types to allow meaningful comparison. PMI research consistently finds that poor communication and lack of real-time visibility are among the top drivers of project failure with 29% of projects failing due to communication breakdowns alone (PMI, 2024). The right metrics, surfaced at the right time, directly address this gap.

CATEGORY	METRIC	WHY IT MATTERS
Schedule	Milestone adherence rate	Flags slipping timelines before they escalate
Schedule	Schedule Performance Index (SPI)	Earned value measure of delivery velocity
Financial	Budget burn rate vs. plan	Early warning of cost overruns
Financial	Cost Performance Index (CPI)	Efficiency of spend relative to work completed
Financial	Revenue realization / invoicing lag	Keeps cashflow predictable
Risk	Open risk count + severity	Portfolio-level risk concentration visibility
Risk	Issue escalation frequency	Signals management capacity strain

CATEGORY	METRIC	WHY IT MATTERS
Quality	Deliverable acceptance rate	Client satisfaction proxy
Quality	Rework / revision cycles	Hidden cost and morale indicator
People	Resource utilization %	Identifies overloaded or underused staff
People	Turnover / attrition rate	Project risk linked to knowledge loss
Client	Client satisfaction score (CSAT)	Lagging indicator of relationship health
Client	Scope change frequency	Signals misalignment or creep risk

> *"The tool should not just report metrics — it should alert leadership to which projects are trending in the wrong direction and why, using pattern recognition across the portfolio."*

2 INPUTS & PROJECTED OUTPUTS

The quality of a portfolio Decision Support Tool's output is entirely dependent on the data fed into it. Inputs must be structured, timely, and sourced from authoritative systems. Outputs should translate raw data into decisions and narratives leadership can act on immediately. PwC's 2025 AI analytics report found that organizations leveraging AI-driven analysis reduced time-to-market by up to 50% and costs by 30% gains that become achievable when the right inputs are connected to intelligent output generation.

📥 Inputs

Project schedules (MS Project / Smartsheet) Financial system data (ERP / budget files) Time tracking logs Risk registers Scope change requests Status report submissions Resource allocation plans Client feedback / CSAT surveys Email sentiment (optional, with consent) HR / attrition data Historical project benchmarks

📤 Projected Outputs

Portfolio health dashboard (RAG status) Automated weekly executive summaries Predictive risk flags (30/60/90 day) Budget-to-complete forecasts Resource conflict alerts Recommended escalation actions Draft client status reports Natural language Q&A ("How is Project X tracking?") Anomaly detection alerts Portfolio trend comparisons (YoY / QoQ)

The most powerful output is the tool's ability to answer unstructured questions in plain language freeing leadership from having to navigate multiple dashboards to get a simple answer about project health. According to Microsoft and LinkedIn's 2024 Work Trend Index, knowledge workers currently spend 60% of their time on "work about work" chasing updates, attending status meetings, and switching between tools. A well-connected Decision Support Tool directly reclaims that time.

3 BENEFITS FOR PROGRAM & PROJECT MANAGERS

The AI-Powered Decision Support Tool does not replace Program Managers or Project Managers — it amplifies their effectiveness. Each role interacts with it differently and gets different value. Importantly, Mercer's 2024 survey of 150 asset managers found that more than half of AI-integrated teams report that AI analysis *informs* rather than *determines* final decisions — a governance model that consulting firms should adopt as a core design principle from day one.

🗂️ PROGRAM MANAGER BENEFITS

Consolidated portfolio view in seconds Automated cross-project risk roll-up Early warning on budget and schedule drift Auto-drafted executive status reports Resource rebalancing recommendations Trend analysis across project cohorts

📋 PROJECT MANAGER BENEFITS

Automated weekly status report drafts Task and milestone reminders Budget burn visibility in real time Risk register prompts and templates Scope change impact analysis Reduced admin time = more client focus

> *According to a Wrike Work Management Survey cited by Forbes (2024), 45% of project managers spend more than one full day per week on manual status reporting. Wellingtone's research corroborates this: 50% of PMs spend at least one day per month just collating KPI data. A well-implemented Decision Support Tool directly attacks this overhead — returning time to the higher-value client and delivery work that actually moves projects forward.*

4 VISIBILITY FOR OTHER KEY STAKEHOLDERS

A portfolio Decision Support Tool's value multiplies when it serves the full stakeholder ecosystem — not just PMs. Each group needs a tailored view, governed by appropriate access controls. EY Consulting's research on AI in Project Portfolio Management (2025) emphasizes that AI's ability to reduce human bias through data-driven insights and to surface patterns invisible to individual stakeholders is one of its most transformative characteristics across all organizational levels.

🏢 Executive Leadership

Portfolio-level P&L health, top risks, strategic alignment, and exceptions only. High-level, narrative summaries on demand.

💼 Practice / Capability Leads

Resource demand forecasting by skill, utilization heat maps, and talent pipeline needs across their practice area.

💰 Finance & Accounting

Revenue forecasts, invoicing status, budget vs. actual by project, and billing milestone tracking.

🤝 Clients (Limited View)

Optional: a curated client-facing portal showing schedule status, upcoming milestones, and deliverable progress reducing ad hoc check-in calls.

Role-based access is essential. The tool should surface different information depths to different user types, with executives seeing strategic summaries and PMs seeing operational detail. McKinsey's 2025 research found that cross-functional teams leveraging shared AI tools achieved 40% better outcomes than siloed approaches underscoring the importance of designing the tool for the full organizational ecosystem rather than a single user group.

5 PITFALLS IN BUILDING THE TOOL

The risks in building an AI portfolio Decision Support Tool are significant. Teams that underestimate them often produce a tool that generates distrust, poor decisions, or outright abandonment. The World Economic Forum (2024) specifically flagged the need for "explainable AI" in high-stakes decision environments a principle that applies directly here. The following pitfalls should be addressed explicitly in the design phase.

🗃️

Data Quality & Fragmentation

The tool is only as good as its data. If project data lives in spreadsheets, email threads, and inconsistent formats, the tool will produce unreliable outputs and leadership will quickly lose trust in it. Data governance must come first.

👷

Adoption & Change Resistance

PMs who feel the tool is monitoring them rather than helping them will game inputs or ignore it. Framing, rollout strategy, and demonstrating personal value to each role are critical to adoption. With 44% of teams now using AI-assisted PM features (Deloitte, 2025), the technology is no longer novel but poor change management remains the most common reason implementations fail to stick.

🤖

Over-Reliance on AI Outputs

If leadership treats tool recommendations as decisions rather than inputs, poor automated judgments can cascade. Human review checkpoints must be built into the workflow — especially for escalations and resource decisions.

🔒

Data Security & Confidentiality

Project data is often commercially sensitive. Any AI system must comply with data residency requirements, client NDAs, and internal security policies particularly if using third-party LLM APIs.

🧩

Integration Complexity

Connecting to ERP systems, project tools, HRIS, and CRM platforms is rarely simple. API availability, data freshness, and schema inconsistencies across tools create ongoing engineering burden that is often underestimated.

📏

Scope Creep of the Tool Itself

Once built, stakeholders will request ever more features. Without a clear product roadmap and governance model, the tool becomes unmaintainable. Treat it like a product not a one-time project.

🎯

Lack of Contextual Judgment

The tool cannot understand the nuance of a client relationship, a difficult team dynamic, or a politically sensitive escalation. It should surface information, not make judgment calls that require human wisdom.

CONCLUSION

An AI-Powered Decision Support Tool is not only viable it is increasingly necessary for consulting firms managing complex, multi-engagement portfolios. The technology to build it exists today, and the market is moving fast: AI consulting services are growing at

34.2% CAGR (BCC Research), and 71% of organizations are already embedding AI into core business functions (Deloitte, 2024). The real challenges are organizational: data quality, stakeholder buy-in, clear governance, and disciplined scoping.

The firms that will benefit most are those that approach the tool as a *strategic capability*, not a reporting shortcut investing in clean data pipelines, training their teams to work alongside AI, and maintaining human accountability at every decision point the bot informs.

"The tool should make your best Program Managers even better not replace the judgment that makes them valuable."

👤

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Billy Miller
Delivery Leader

Billy is a seasoned consulting leader with 30+ years helping organizations navigate change and deliver meaningful results.

REFERENCES & FURTHER READING

[1] Deloitte (2024). *AI Adoption in Organizations*. Reports that 71% of organizations regularly use generative AI in at least one business function, citing faster data processing and deeper insights as key advantages. Via SmartDev, smartdev.com, 2025.

[2] PwC (2025). *AI-Driven Analytics Report*. Highlights that AI-driven analytics can reduce time-to-market by up to 50% and costs by 30%, with consulting firms applying similar tools to accelerate project delivery. Via SmartDev, smartdev.com, 2025.

[3] BCC Research (2023). *Global AI in Consulting Services Market Report*. Projects the AI consulting market to grow at a CAGR of 34.2% from 2023 to 2028, driven by demand for AI-powered digital transformation. Via SmartDev, smartdev.com, 2025.

[4] Wellingtone (2024). *The State of Project Management Report*. Found that 47% of project management professionals lack access to real-time KPIs, and 50% spend one full day or more per month manually collating project status information. Via Breeze PM, breeze.pm, 2026.

[5] Wrike / Forbes (2024). *Work Management Survey*. Found that 45% of project managers spend more than one day per week manually reporting status updates. Via ProProfs, proprofsproject.com, 2026.

[6] Project Management Institute — PMI (2024). *Pulse of the Profession*. Found that 29% of projects fail due to poor communication and collaboration, and that ineffective communications is the primary contributor to project failure one-third of the time. pmi.org.

[7] Deloitte (2025). *AI-Assisted Project Management Adoption*. Reports that 44% of teams now rely on AI-assisted project management features such as automated alerts or task suggestions. Via ProProfs, proprofsproject.com, 2026.

[8] EY Consulting GmbH / cplace (2025). *The Future of AI in Project Portfolio Management*. Explores how AI technologies are set to revolutionize the way projects are managed, prioritized, and executed covering predictive analytics, intelligent automation, and resource optimization. cplace.com.

[9] Mercer (2024). *AI in Investment Management Survey*. Survey of 150 asset managers finding that more than half of AI-integrated teams report that AI analysis informs rather than determines final decisions a key governance principle applicable to consulting portfolio management. mercer.com.

[10] World Economic Forum (2024). *Explainable AI in Financial Decision-Making*. Highlighted the growing need for transparent, explainable AI in high-stakes decision environments to maintain trust and compliance. weforum.org, via InDepth Research, 2025.

[11] McKinsey & Company (2025). *Cross-Functional AI Consulting Teams*. Reported that cross-functional consulting teams leveraging AI achieved 40% better outcomes than traditional single-provider approaches. Via Medium / Bob Hutchins, 2025.

[12] Microsoft & LinkedIn (2024). *Work Trend Index Annual Report*. Found that knowledge workers spend 60% of their time on "work about work" chasing status updates, unnecessary meetings, and context-switching representing the primary opportunity for AI automation. Via Breeze PM, breeze.pm, 2026.

Note: Statistics cited reflect the most current available research at time of publication (February 2026). Readers are encouraged to verify figures against primary sources as the AI and project management landscapes continue to evolve rapidly.