

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2011-08-25 Document: ISO/TC 59/SC 13 ISO/CD 29481-2 N 267

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
DK	A.2	dateLamu		Variable not following the principle as the rest of the variables	dateLaMu	Agree with comment; change is made; this will also apply to variable "userlamu"
DK	A.3	Line 3		Link not accessible www.visi.nl/schemas/20071218	Author has to find the right link	Agree with comment. The link is changed according to the suggestions of GB03. Furthermore we would like to add that it is not necessary that the physical link is available
DK	A.4.1	p. 28		Start date long time ago 201-01-23	2007-01-23	Agree with comment; change is made
DK	A.4.14	Text		Text referring to Dutch zip codes	Remove text or include the concept in the example	Agree with comment; text is removed
DK	A.6.1	Text		basePoint is included without being used	Remove basePoint or specify why it is included	Agree with comment; basePoint is removed
DK	A.6.22	Text		Description of result is result	Make a meaningful explanation of result	Agree with comment; explanation is added
DK	A.6.23	Text		Boolean value indicating that the current message should be send by now	Boolean value indicating whether the message has been sent or not	Agree with comment; change is made
DK	B.3.4	Text		#id is using a different id than the other ids	Id	Agree with comment; change is made
DK	B.3.5	Text		#id is using a different id than the other ids	Id	Agree with comment; change is made
DK	B.4.1	Text		Adapt the concept of an automatically generated Globally unique identifier	Globally unique identifier	The ID value should be unique within the XML document.

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
						Consequently a simple serial number would already satisfy this condition. As a result there is no convincing reason to limit the ID values to GUID's only (although most implementations do apply GUID's). Mark that the XML ( <a href="http://www.w3.org/XML/">http://www.w3.org/XML/</a> ) standard requires an ID to start with a letter or '_'. Note is added.
DK	B.5.4	Text		Meaningless "Date ..."	Add text about the date	Agree with comment; text is added
DK	B.5.9 and B.5.13	Text		Is there an overlap between B.5.9 "Version of a document or file" and B.5.13 "Version of the file an increasing integer number or the date".	- Verify structure is ok -	The standard gives the freedom to distinguish between document versions and file versions. Note is added
DK	B.5.14	Text		Bevat de opdracht x	Contains the assignment x?	Agree with comment; change is made
DK	B.5.19	Text		Mispelling ths	the	Agree with comment; change is made
DK	C.2.1	First paragraph		"Should not start with a digit" this makes it impossible to use the IFD methodology that is	Make the id compatible with ISO 12006-3	IFD has nothing to do with interaction models.

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2011-08-25 Document: **ISO/TC 59/SC 13 ISO/CD 29481-2 N 267**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
				defined in ISO 12006-3		The IFD ID is a string and is thus not limited by the XML standard. The ID IDM-2 is a real XML ID for which there are many more restrictions. This ID only has meaning within a message and interaction will never be used to IFD object of using it. In short, no problem
DK				Entities and properties are not compatible with ISO 16739	Make definition compatible with ISO 16739	The scopes are not similar. ISO 16739 Industry Foundation Classes (IFC) deals with Building Information Modelling, IDM-2 describes interaction modeling.
DK				Potential overlap with ISO 10303 239	Clarify that there is no overlap with ISO 10303 239	Agree; a statement is added to chapter "Introduction"
GB				The UK is generally in agreement with the technical content of this document, but there are significant editorial issues to be addressed before the UK can vote positively at the Formal vote stage.		The document will be reviewed before DIS submission.
GB	3.7			Can you do this in an ISO standard?	VISI should not be referred to in an ISO	Agree with comment;

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					standard, but a more generic wording should be used. Proprietary systems/standards should not be referred to in ISO standards	change is made
GB	Whole doc			References to VISI to be removed/edited	(1) a historical note and definition (p9 and s3.7 and bibliography). Acceptable. (2) As the name of the Express schema. (p22 and p56). Suggestion is "ISO29481_Part_2A" and ISO29481_Part_2B" (3) As the root name of the xsd schema. Suggest is "ISO29481_Part_2A". (p26) (4) As the Name of a toolkit used in development. (p26) . Suggestion is that this line should be deleted. (5) As the Target Namespace. (p26). This is an arbitrary URL and can be changed to a neutral name . Suggest "http://www.ISO29481_Part_2A.com/schemas" (6) in the schema definitions it is endemic as the name of an XML 'namespace'. A neutral namespace name which is arbitrary within the file should be used. Suggestion is "iso29481p2a" (7) as an example test regime etc p43, p45 Suggest drop 'visi' Accepting (2) and (3) and (5) will cause incompatibility with existing implementations, and for that reason	Agree with comment; change is made. (The existing implementations will follow this change)

1 **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2011-08-25 Document: ISO/TC 59/SC 13 ISO/CD 29481-2 N 267

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
MB <sup>1</sup>	Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)	Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)	Type of com- ment <sup>2</sup>	Comment (justification for change) by the MB	Proposed change by the MB	Secretariat observations on each comment submitted
					may be unreasonable.	
GB	4	All		This clause is informative and should be in an informative annex	Move to informative annex	This clause gives an overview of the standard; for this reason some parts are informative and are necessary for understanding. On the other hand it is normative with respect to aspects of the interaction map and the interaction framework. So we feel that its better to keep the clause in the main body.
GB	4			This is very discursive and not really standards material at all – it's a bit of a guide to or a textbook, really. The phrase “there is a need for” recurs in clause 4. Its not at all clear to me what the normative requirements of this standard are. May be that is too bad, but this is not in the format or style of most ISO standards.		See previous point. The formulations can be improved. On some points, the formulations are adapted to reflected more strongly the character of requirements. The phrase “there is a need for” is removed.
GB	5			In the first three paragraphs of clause 5 it is not at all clear what is being standardised. There are no requirements, just examples in the annexes. How would a schema be assessed		The text is edited on some places; the word Shall is used to emphasize the

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.

# Template for comments and secretariat observations

Date: 2011-08-25 Document: **ISO/TC 59/SC 13 ISO/CD 29481-2 N 267**

1	2	(3)	4	5	(6)	(7)
<b>MB<sup>1</sup></b>	<b>Clause No./ Subclause No./ Annex (e.g. 3.1)</b>	<b>Paragraph/ Figure/Table/ Note (e.g. Table 1)</b>	<b>Type of com- ment<sup>2</sup></b>	<b>Comment (justification for change) by the MB</b>	<b>Proposed change by the MB</b>	<b>Secretariat observations on each comment submitted</b>
				for compliance against this? It is all a bit loose – Wonder how someone not already in the know about this stuff could actually use this standard.		character of requirement
GB	5.1	First para		Reword this paragraph: This clause is included to define the format of an interaction framework through a description of the interaction framework schema. Note: Clause 4 explains that, in order to support software solutions, every interaction framework must comply to the interaction framework schema.	Reword: This clause is included to define the format of an interaction framework through a description of the interaction framework schema. Note: Clause 4 explains that, in order to support software solutions, every interaction framework must comply to the interaction framework schema.	Agree with comment; change is made

<sup>1</sup> **MB** = Member body (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by \*\*)

<sup>2</sup> **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

**NOTE** Columns 1, 2, 4, 5 are compulsory.