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# Experiment results

I have simulated the average size of minimum spanning tree of four types of complete undirected graphs for number of vertices . I have run 40 trials for each graph when . The results for dimension =1, 2, 3 and 4 are listed in Table 1. The results are also plotted in Figure 1, Figure 2(a), Figure 3(a) and Figure 4(a).

Table Average size of minimum spanning tree for four types of graphs

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| numpoints | d = 1 | d=2 | d=3 | d=4 | numtrials |
| 16 | 1.193 | 2.64 | 4.38 | 6.15 | 40 |
| 32 | 1.156 | 3.83 | 7.09 | 10.22 | 40 |
| 64 | 1.192 | 5.40 | 11.21 | 17.06 | 40 |
| 128 | 1.169 | 7.67 | 17.57 | 28.57 | 40 |
| 256 | 1.191 | 10.69 | 27.57 | 47.12 | 40 |
| 512 | 1.195 | 15.05 | 43.36 | 78.19 | 40 |
| 1024 | 1.202 | 21.12 | 68.03 | 129.96 | 40 |
| 2048 | 1.196 | 29.68 | 107.16 | 216.42 | 20 |
| 4096 | 1.202 | 41.78 | 169.32 | 361.10 | 20 |
| 8192 | 1.204 | 59.01 | 267.31 | 602.56 | 20 |
| 16384 | 1.202 | 83.20 | 422.26 | 1008.71 | 20 |
| 32768 | 1.206 | 117.39 | 669.25 | 1688.58 | 5 |
| 65536 | 1.199 | 165.96 | 1058.60 | 2827.48 | 5 |
| 131072 | 1.202 | 234.62 | 1677.85 | 4739.94 | 5 |
| 262144 | 1.203 | 331.60 | 2658.32 | 7952.42 | 5 |
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As shown in in Figure 1, Figure 2(b), Figure 3(b) and Figure 4(b),

where is the number of vertices in the graph, and the is the dimension of the graph type.

Therefore, my guess of is,

where and are constants.

# Discussion

## Prim vs. Kruskal

I use Prim’s algorithm. In particular, I use adjacency matrix to represent a graph in Prim’s algorithm.

For Prim’s algorithm, if using adjacency matrix searching, the running time is . If using binary heap and adjacency list, the running time is , where is the number of the vertices and is the number of edges. For Kruskal’s algorithm, the running time is . Since we are dealing with complete graph, . Therefore, I choose Prim’s algorithm and adjacency matrix to represent the graph. In this particular case, heap will not help. I simply use two nested for loops which takes .

## Memory problem when is large

When ( corresponds to ) grows larger than 30,000, memory issue becomes a challenge. If we store all the edges in the memory, we need sizeof(float) GB. My laptop memory is 4 GB. It still can handle as I tested, but already takes very long. To solve this problem, I define three types of graphs in C++, AdjacencyMatrixGraph, HashGraph and EuclideanGraph.

AdjacencyMatrixGraph is used for one dimensional random graph when . Instead of storing weights of edges, we only allocate sizeof(float) memory to store edge weights. This is because edge weight of is equal to edge weight of . In addition, there is no edge . When getting and setting the edge weights, we need to define our own function to deal with index (see the code in AdjacencyMatrixGraph class as follows).

AdjacencyMatrixGraph (**int** \_num\_vertices) : Graph (\_num\_vertices){

*// allocate memory in constructor*  
 edge\_weights = (**float**\*)malloc(**sizeof**(**float**) \* (num\_vertices - 1) \* num\_vertices / 2);  
 **...**

}

**float** getEdgeWeights (**int** i, **int** j) {  
 **if** (i > j) {  
 **return** edge\_weights[(i-1) \* i / 2 + j];  
 } **else if** (j > i) {  
 **return** edge\_weights[(j-1) \* j / 2 + i];  
 } **else** {  
 **return** std::numeric\_limits<**float**>::infinity();  
 }  
  
}  
  
**void** setEdgeWeights (**long long int** i, **long long int** j, **float** weight) {  
 **if** (i > j) {  
 edge\_weights[(i-1) \* i / 2 + j] = weight;  
 } **else if** (j > i) {  
 edge\_weights[(j-1) \* j / 2 + i] = weight;  
 }  
}

For one dimensional graph when , we use hash function to generate random edge weights. Therefore, we do not need any memory to store edge weights. For each trial, we assign a random seed to the hash function. Within each trial, we use the hash function to get the edge weights. (See class HashGraph in the main.cpp.)

For other dimensional graphs,