A Model With Clean and Dirty Capital Stocks and and R&D in Green Innovation

Assume there are two sectors, each with AK production technology $(Y_i = A_i K_i, i = d, g)$ and each with its own capital stock that evolves with quadratic adjustments costs and Brownian shocks as follows:

$$dK_d/K_d = \left[\alpha_d + i_d - \frac{\phi_d}{2}i_d^2\right]dt + \sigma_d dW$$
$$dK_g/K_g = \left[\alpha_g + i_g - \frac{\phi_g}{2}i_g^2\right]dt + \sigma_g dW$$

We also assume there is R&D investment that leads to an increased arrival rate of a one time jump in Sector 2 productivity. The arrival rate is denoted as λ_t and evolves as follows

$$d\lambda_t = (\varphi i_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda)\lambda_t dt + \sigma_\lambda dW_t$$

The key difference between the sectors is that production from Sector 1 generates emissions. As a result, the evolution of atmospheric temperature is give by the Matthews Approximation, so that temperature T_t and cumulative carbon emissions are given by

$$dY_t = \beta_f E_t + \varsigma E_t dW_t$$

where β_f is the Matthews parameter and η is the scaling factor converting Sector d outut $Y_d = A_d K_d$ into emissions such that $E_t = \eta A_d K_d$.

Output can be used in for consumption, investment in either capital stock, or for R&D into improving the productivity of Sector g:

$$C = A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_\lambda \lambda$$

We assume exponential-quadratic damages to preferences so that our utility is augmented when accounting for climate damages. Flow utility is a log function over consumption, assuming perfect substitutability over output from the two sectors so that

$$U(C) = \delta \log(A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_\lambda \lambda) - \delta \log N_t$$

where the $\log N_t$ follows from BBH2 as

$$\log N_t = \Gamma(Y)$$

$$\Gamma(y) = \gamma_1 y + \frac{\gamma_2}{2} y^2 + \frac{\gamma_3}{2} \mathbf{1}_{y \ge \bar{y}} (y - \bar{y})^2$$

Taking these pieces together we get the HJB equation

$$\begin{split} \delta V(K_d, K_g, \lambda_t, Y_t, \log N_t) &= \max_{i_g, i_d, i_\lambda} \delta \log (A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_\lambda \lambda) - \delta \log N_t \\ &+ \{\alpha_d + i_d - \frac{\phi_d}{2} i_d^2\} V_d K_d + \{\alpha_g + i_g - \frac{\phi_g}{2} i_g^2\} V_g K_g + \frac{\sigma_d^2 K_d^2}{2} V_{dd} + \frac{\sigma_g^2 K_g^2}{2} V_{gg} \\ &+ \beta_f \eta A_d K_d V_Y + \frac{(\varsigma \underline{E_d})^2}{2} V_{YY} + [\{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 Y_t\} \beta_f \eta A_d K_d + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_2^2 \varsigma^2 \underline{E_d^2}] V_{\log N} + \frac{\varsigma^2 \underline{E_d^2}}{2} V_{\log N \log N} \\ &+ (\varphi i_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda) \lambda_t V_\lambda + \frac{\sigma_\lambda^2}{2} V_{\lambda\lambda} + \lambda_t [V(K_d, K_g, Y_t, N_t, \lambda_t; A_g') - V(K_d, K_g, Y_t, N_t, \lambda_t; A_g)] \end{split}$$

The FOC for investment and R&D are given by

$$0 = -\delta (A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_\lambda \lambda)^{-1} + \{1 - \phi_d i_d\} V_d$$

$$0 = -\delta (A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_\lambda \lambda)^{-1} + \{1 - \phi_g i_g\} V_g$$

$$0 = -\delta (A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_\lambda \lambda)^{-1} + \varphi V_\lambda$$

Using the fact that $\varphi V_{\lambda} = \delta (A_d K_d - i_d K_d + A_g K_g - i_g K_g - i_{\lambda} \lambda)^{-1}$ we can simplify to

$$\begin{split} i_d &= \frac{1}{\phi_d} - \frac{\varphi}{\phi_d} \frac{V_{\lambda}}{V_d} \\ i_g &= \frac{1}{\phi_g} - \frac{\varphi}{\phi_g} \frac{V_{\lambda}}{V_g} \\ i_{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{\lambda} [(A_d - \{\frac{1}{\phi_d} - \frac{\varphi}{\phi_d} \frac{V_{\lambda}}{V_d}\}) K_d + (A_g - \{\frac{1}{\phi_g} - \frac{\varphi}{\phi_g} \frac{V_{\lambda}}{V_g}\}) K_g - \frac{\delta}{\varphi V_{\lambda}}] \end{split}$$

We can analytically simplify out $\log N_t$ to get a simplified HJB

$$\begin{split} \delta v(K_d,K_g,\lambda_t,Y_t) &= \delta \log(A_dK_d - i_dK_d + A_gK_g - i_gK_g - i_\lambda\lambda) \\ &+ \{\alpha_d + i_d - \frac{\phi_d}{2}i_d^2\}v_dK_d + \{\alpha_g + i_g - \frac{\phi_g}{2}i_g^2\}v_gK_g + \frac{\sigma_d^2K_d^2}{2}v_{dd} + \frac{\sigma_g^2K_g^2}{2}v_{gg} \\ &+ \beta_f\eta A_dK_dv_Y + \frac{(\varsigma \underline{E_d})^2}{2}v_{YY} - [\{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2Y_t\}\beta_f\eta A_dK_d + \frac{1}{2}\gamma_2^2\varsigma^2\underline{E_d^2}] \\ &+ (\varphi i_\lambda - \alpha_\lambda)\lambda_tv_\lambda + \frac{\sigma_\lambda^2}{2}v_{\lambda\lambda} + \lambda_t[v(K_d,K_g,Y_t,\lambda_t;\underline{A_g'}) - v(K_d,K_g,Y_t,\lambda_t;A_g)] \end{split}$$

From this we can layer on different forms of uncertainty.