Ve 280
Programming and Elementary Data Structures

Linked List

Learning Objectives:

Understand what is a linked list and when to use it

Know how to implement a singly-linked list

Understand what is doubly-ended list and when to use it

Outline

- Introduction to Linked List
- Implementation of Linked List
- Double-Ended Linked Lists

Linked Lists

Introduction

- Expandable arrays are only one way to implement storage that can grow and shrink over time.

- Another way is to use a **linked structure**.

- A linked structure is one with a series of zero or more data containers, connected by pointers from one to another, like:

Linked Lists

Introduction

- Expandable arrays are only one way to implement storage that can grow and shrink over time.

- Another way is to use a **linked structure**.

- A linked structure is one with a series of zero or more data containers, connected by pointers from one to another, like:

list ⟶

A linked structure is sort of like a freight train. If you need to carry more freight, you get a new boxcar, connect it to the train, and fill it. When you don't need it any more, you can remove that boxcar from the train.

Linked Lists

Introduction

- Suppose we wanted to implement an abstract data type for a mutable list of integers, represented as a linked structure.

- This ADT will be similar to the `list_t` type from project two, except that `list_t` is **immutable**:
  - Once a `list_t` object was created, no operations on that list would ever change it.

Linked Lists

Introduction

- There are three operations that the list must support:

```
bool isEmpty();
  // EFFECTS: returns true if list is empty,
  //          false otherwise


void insert(int v);
  // MODIFIES: this
  // EFFECTS: inserts v into the front of the list


class listIsEmpty {}; // An exception class
int remove();
  // MODIFIES: this
  // EFFECTS: if list is empty, throw listIsEmpty.
  //          Otherwise, remove and return the first
  //          element of the list
```

Linked Lists

Introduction

- For example, if the list is (1 2 3), and you `remove()`, the list will be changed to (2 3), and `remove` returns 1.

```
int remove();
  // MODIFIES: this
  // EFFECTS: if list is empty, throw listIsEmpty.
  //          Otherwise, remove and return the
  //          first element of the list
```

- If you then `insert(4)`, the list changes to (4 2 3).

```
void insert(int v);
  // MODIFIES: this
  // EFFECTS: inserts v into the front of the list
```

Outline

- Introduction to Linked List
- **Implementation of Linked List**
- Double-Ended Linked Lists

Linked Lists

Implementation

- To implement linked list, we need to pick a concrete representation for the node in the list.

```
struct node {
  node *next;
  int   value;
};
```

list →□→□→□⟶⏚

- The invariants on these fields are:
  - The **value** field holds the integer value of this element of the list.
  - The **next** field points to the next node in the list, or NULL if the node is the last one in the list.
- NULL means "pointing at nothing". Its value is "0", written as:

⟶⏚

Linked Lists

Implementation

- The concrete representation of the list (4 2 3) is:

next:		next:		next:	
value:	4	value:	2	value:	3

- The basic idea of implementation is that each time an `int` is inserted into the list, we'll create a new node to hold it.

- Each time an `int` is removed from the (non-empty) list, we'll save the value of the first node, **destroy** the first node, and return the value.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- We'll use the following (private) data members:

```
class IntList {
  node *first;
 public:
  ...
 };
```

```
struct node {
  node *next;
  int    value;
};
```

- The rep invariant is that "first" points to first node of the sequence of nodes representing this `IntList`, or NULL if the list is empty.

Linked List Traversal

- With the "first" pointer, we can traverse the linked list.

first → □→ □→ □↘

```
int IntList::getSize() {
// Effect: return # of items in this list
  int count = 0;
  node *current = first;
  while(current){
    count++;
    current = current->next;
  }
  return count;
}
```

Traverse through the list.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Here are the public methods we have to implement:

```
class IntList {
  node *first;
 public:
  bool isEmpty();
  void insert(int v);
  int remove();
  IntList();                      // default ctor
  IntList(const IntList& l); // copy ctor
  ~IntList();                     // dtor
  // assignment
  IntList &operator=(const IntList &l);
};
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- We will implement the "operational" methods first, assuming that the representation invariants hold.
- After that, we'll go back and implement the default constructor and the **Big Three** to make sure that:
  - The invariants hold during object creation.
  - All dynamic resources are accounted for.

- A list is empty if there is no node in the list, or `first` is NULL:

```
bool IntList::isEmpty() {
  return !first;
}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- When we insert an integer, we start out with the "first" field pointing to the current list:
  - That list might be empty, or it might not, but in any event "first" **must** point to a valid list thanks to the rep invariant.

- The first thing we need to do is to create a new node to hold the new "first" element:

```
void IntList::insert(int v) {
  node *np = new node;
  ...
}
```

Question: Can we declare a **local** object instead of a **dynamic** one? I.e., declare:
```
node n;
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Next, we need to establish the invariants on the new node.
- This means setting the value field to v, and the next field to the "rest of the list" – this is precisely the start of the current list:

```
void IntList::insert(int v) {
    node *np = new node;
    np->value = v;
    np->next = first;
    ...
}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Finally, we need to reestablish the representation invariant: `first` currently points to the **second** node in the list, and must point to the first node of the new list instead:

```
void IntList::insert(int v) {
    node *np = new node;
    np->value = v;
    np->next = first;
    first = np;
}
```

We have accomplished the work of the method, and all invariants are now true, so we are done.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Finally, we need to reestablish the representation invariant: `first` currently points to the **second** node in the list, and must point to the first node of the new list instead:

```
void IntList::insert(int v) {
    node *np = new node;
    np->value = v;
    np->next = first;
    first = np;
}
```

Notice that this works no matter what the current list is, as long as the invariant holds (see next slides).

Linked Lists

Example

- Suppose we are inserting a 4.
- The list might already have elements:

first — next: / value: 2 → next: / value: 3 → ⏚

- And then the new list is

np — next: / value: 4

first — next: / value: 2 → next: / value: 3 → ⏚

Linked Lists

Example

- Suppose we are inserting a 4.
- The list might be empty:

first

- And the new list is

first

np

next:

value: 4

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Removal is a bit trickier since there are lots of things we need to accomplish, and they have to happen in precisely **the right order**.
- If the first item is removed, this violates the invariant on "first", which we have to fix:

```
int IntList::remove() {
  ...
  first = first->next;
  ...
}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

```
first = first->next;
```

- If we are removing the first node, we must delete it to avoid a memory leak.

- Unfortunately, we **can't** delete it before advancing the "first" pointer (since first->next would then be undefined).

- But, **after** we advance the "first" pointer, the node to be removed is an orphan, and can't be deleted.

- We solve this by introducing a local variable to remember the "old" first node, which we will call the `victim`.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- After creating the `victim`, we can then delete the node **after** it is skipped by first.

```
int IntList::remove() {
    node *victim = first;
    ...
    first = victim->next;
    ...
    delete victim;
    ...
}
```

Note: equivalent to
`first = first->next;`

Linked Lists

Implementation

- However, removing the first node is only half of the work.

- We must also return the value that was stored in the node.

- This is also tricky:
  - We can't return the value first and then delete the node, since then the delete wouldn't happen.
  - Likewise, if we delete the node first, the contained value is lost.

- So, we use **another** local variable, `result,` to remember the result that we will eventually return.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Now that we have the `result` variable, the method becomes:

```cpp
int IntList::remove() {
  node *victim = first;
  int result;
  ...
  first = victim->next;
  result = victim->value;
  delete victim;
  return result;
}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Finally, we need to cope with an empty list, and throw an exception if we have one:

```cpp
int IntList::remove() {
  node *victim = first;
  int result;
  if (isEmpty()) {
    listIsEmpty e;
    throw e;
  }
  first = victim->next;
  result = victim->value;
  delete victim;
  return result;
}
```

Linked Lists

Exercise

- Note that for victim, we initialize it when it is declared, but we don't for `result`.

- **<u>Question</u>**:

  Why didn't we initialize `result` to `victim->value`?

```
int IntList::remove() {
  node *victim = first;
  int result;
  if (isEmpty()) {
    listIsEmpty e;
    throw e;
  }
  first = victim->next;
  result = victim->value;
  delete victim;
  return result;
}
```

Linked Lists

Exercise

- Slightly more efficient (one assignment less when the list is empty!)

```
int IntList::remove() {
  if (isEmpty()) {
    listIsEmpty e;
    throw e;
  }
  node *victim = first;
  first = victim->next;
  int result = victim->value;
  delete victim;
  return result;
}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Now let's work on the maintenance methods:
  - Constructors
  - Assignment operator
  - Destructor
- The default constructor is easy:
  - We just have to establish the representation invariant for an empty list:

```
IntList::IntList()
: first(0)
{}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Likewise, the destructor is easy.

- We have to destroy each node in the list before the list itself is destroyed.

- Actually, we already have a mechanism to destroy a single node – it's a side effect of `remove()`.

- So, we call `remove()` until the list is empty, ignoring `remove()`'s result.

- We put this functionality into another private method, called `removeAll()`.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Here is the destructor and its helper:

```
void IntList::removeAll() {
  while (!isEmpty()) {
    remove();
  }
}

IntList::~IntList() {
  removeAll();
}
```

❓ Do you agree that the copy operation can be performed as follows?

```
int IntList::IntList(const IntList &l): first (0){
  if (!l.first) return
  node *current = l.first;
  while(current){
    insert(current->value);
    current = current->next;
  }
  return count;
}
```

Select all the correct answers.

- **A.** Yes, all the values are copied.
- **B.** No, some values are not copied. 反了
- **C.** No, even if all the values are copied.
- **D.** In fact, sometimes it works.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- The copy constructor is tricky.
- The naive approach would be to walk the list from front to back, and insert each element that we find into the list.
- However, this gives us a list **in reverse order**, because we always insert a new element at the beginning of the list.

- What we would prefer is to be able to walk the list **backward**.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- Since there's no convenient way to walk the list backwards, we'll instead write a helper function that will **recursively** walk the list till the end.

- When we unwind the recursion, we can insert the elements from "back" to "front", which gives us the right answer:

```cpp
void IntList::copyList(node *list) {
  if (!list) return; // Base case

  copyList(list->next);
  insert(list->value);
}
```

copyList is a private member function

Linked Lists

Implementation

```
void IntList::copyList(node *list) {
    if (!list) return; // Base case

    copyList(list->next);
    insert(list->value);
}
```

Assuming the current list is empty

- copyList() must be a private method, since it deals with the concrete representation, not the abstraction.

Linked Lists

Implementation

- With `copyList()`, the copy constructor and assignment operator are pretty easy.

- For the copy constructor, make sure we start with an empty list, and then call `copyList()`:

```
IntList::IntList(const IntList &l)
: first (0)
{
    copyList(l.first);
}
```

Linked Lists

Implementation

- The assignment operator ensures that there is no self-assignment, destroys the current list, then copies the new one:

```
IntList &IntList::operator=
        (const IntList &l) {
  if (this != &l) {
    removeAll();
    copyList(l.first);
  }
  return *this;
}
```

Outline

- Introduction to Linked List

- Implementation of Linked List

- Double-Ended Linked Lists

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- What if we wanted to insert something at the end of the list?
- Intuitively, with the current representation, we need to walk down the list until we found "the last element", and then insert it there.

first ▭→▭→▭→▭ ⏚

- That's not very efficient, because we have to go through every element to insert something at the tail.
- Instead, we'll change our concrete representation to track both the front and the back of our list.

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- The new representational invariant has **two** node pointers:

```
class IntList {
    node *first;
    node *last;
  public:
    …
};
```

- The invariant on `first` is unchanged.
- The invariant on `last` is:
  - `last` points to the last node of the list if it is not empty, and is NULL otherwise.

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- So, in an empty list, both `first` and `last` point to NULL.

- However, if the list is non-empty, they look like this:

- <u>Question</u>: Adding this new data member, what methods should be changed?
  - <u>Answer</u>: remove, insert, and default/copy constructor should be re-written

- In lecture, we'll only write a new method, `insertLast`, which inserts a node at the tail of the linked list

# Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- First, we create the new node, and establish its invariants:

```
void IntList::insertLast(int v) {
    node *np = new node;
    np->next = NULL;
    np->value = v;
    ...
}
```

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- To actually insert, there are two cases:
  - If the list is empty, we need to reestablish the invariants on `first` **and** `last` (the new node is both the first and last node of the list)
  - If the list is **not** empty, there are two broken invariants. The "old" `last->next` element (incorrectly) points to NULL, and the `last` field no longer points to the last element.

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

```
void IntList::insertLast(int v) {
  node *np = new node;
  np->next = NULL;
  np->value = v;
  if (isEmpty()) {
    first = last = np;
  }
  else {
    last->next = np;
    last = np;
  }
}
```

first

last

np

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- This is efficient, but only for insertion.

- **<u>Question</u>**: Is removal **from the end** efficient or not? Why?

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- To make removal from the end efficient, as well, we have to have a **doubly-linked** list, so we can go forward **and** backward.
- To do this, we're going to change the representation again.
- In our new representation, a node is:

```
struct node {
   node *next;
   node *prev;
   int   value;
};
```

- The `next` and `value` fields are the same as before.
- The `prev` field's invariant is:
  - The `prev` field points to the previous node in the list, or NULL if no such node exists (e.g., the current node is the first node).

Linked Lists

Double-ended list

- With this representation, an empty list is unchanged: both "first" and "last" are NULL.

- While the list (2, 3) would look like this:

- We will implement each method in project five.

Which of the following statements are true when comparing doubly-linked lists and singly-linked lists?

Select all the correct answers.

- **A.** Doubly-linked lists allows more operations.
- **B.** Doubly-linked lists make some operations more efficient.
- **C.** Doubly-linked lists make some operations less efficient.
- **D.** Doubly-linked lists double the memory requirement compared to singly-linked list.

Reference

- **Problem Solving with C++ (8th Edition)**, by *Walter Savitch*, Addison Wesley Publishing (2011)
  - Chapter 13.1 Nodes and Linked Lists