# NuRV 1.9.0 User Manual

Alessandro Cimatti, Chun Tian, Stefano Tonetta

Fondazione Bruno Kessler Via Sommarive 18, 38123 Povo (TN), Italy

Email: nurv@fbk.eu

This document is part of the distribution package of NuRV. Copyright© 2020-2022 Fondazione Bruno Kessler (FBK), Italy.

# **Contents**

1.2 Input language       5         1.3 Running NuRV       5         2 Offline Monitoring       6         4 Code Generation       9         4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels       9         4.2. Code generation in C       10         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       12         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       15         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       22         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       21	1	Introduction	3
1.3 Running NuRV  2 Offline Monitoring  3 Online Monitoring  4 Code Generation 4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels 4.2 Code generation in C 4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C 4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor 12 4.3 Code generation in C++ 4.4 Code generation in Common Lisp 14 5 Code generation in Forlog 15 6 Code generation in Prolog 16 7 Code generation in Prolog 17 8 Monitor Server 18 9 A tutorial of monitor server 19 10 A Tutorial of monitor server 10 A Tutorial of monitor server 10 A Tutorial of			5
2 Offline Monitoring       8         3 Online Monitoring       8         4 Code Generation       9         4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels       9         4.2 Code generation in C       11         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       13         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       15         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       15         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       22         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       22			
3 Online Monitoring       8         4 Code Generation       9         4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels       9         4.2 Code generation in C       16         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       15         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       16         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       15         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       15         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32		1.3 Running NuRV	5
4 Code Generation       9         4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels       9         4.2 Code generation in C       10         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       12         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       26         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       22         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       26         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in certain NuRV variants	2	Offline Monitoring	6
4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels       5         4.2 Code generation in C       10         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       13         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       16         4.5 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       15         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       15         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       26         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       33         7.1 Commands availabl	3	Online Monitoring	8
4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels       5         4.2 Code generation in C       10         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       13         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       16         4.5 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       15         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       15         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       26         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       33         7.1 Commands availabl	4	Code Generation	9
4.2. Code generation in C       16         4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       15         4.3 Code generation in C++       16         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       22         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       27         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       33         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         8ibliography		4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels	9
4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C       12         4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       13         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLWM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       22         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       22         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       28         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       33         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         8ibliography       35 <td></td> <td></td> <td>10</td>			10
4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor       12         4.3 Code generation in C++       14         4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       15         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       26         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       22         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       22         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       28         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       33         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         8ibliography       35			12
4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         Bibliography       35			13
4.4 Code generation in Java       14         4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6 Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         Bibliography       35		4.3 Code generation in C++	14
4.5       Code generation in Common Lisp       15         4.6       Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7       Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8       Code generation in SMV       16         5       Monitor Server       18         5.1       Introduction       18         5.2       Additional software dependencies       19         5.3       A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4       The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       26         5.5       Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1       Preliminaries       22         5.5.2       C       22         5.5.3       C++       22         5.5.4       Java       22         5.5.5       Common Lisp       26         5.5.6       Python       26         6       RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1       Example       28         7       Commands       32         7.1       Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2       Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         8       Bibliography       35			14
4.6       Code generation in Prolog       15         4.7       Code generation in LLVM IR       16         4.8       Code generation in SMV       16         5       Monitor Server       18         5.1       Introduction       18         5.2       Additional software dependencies       19         5.3       A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4       The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5       Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1       Preliminaries       21         5.5.2       C       22         5.5.3       C++       22         5.5.4       Java       22         5.5.5       Common Lisp       22         5.5.6       Python       26         6       RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1       Example       28         7       Commands       32         7.1       Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2       Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         8ibliography       35			15
4.8 Code generation in SMV       16         5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       25         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       28         7.1 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			15
5 Monitor Server       18         5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       25         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       26         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       3         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       3         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       3         8ibliography       35		· ·	16
5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       23         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         Bibliography       35		4.8 Code generation in SMV	16
5.1 Introduction       18         5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       23         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         Bibliography       35	5	Monitor Server	18
5.2 Additional software dependencies       19         5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         8ibliography       35	J		
5.3 A tutorial of monitor server       19         5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       22         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         8ibliography       35			
5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)       20         5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       22         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         8ibliography       35		•	
5.5 Client programming in various programming languages       21         5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       23         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			
5.5.1 Preliminaries       21         5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       23         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			
5.5.2 C       22         5.5.3 C++       23         5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         Bibliography       35			
5.5.3       C++       23         5.5.4       Java       24         5.5.5       Common Lisp       25         5.5.6       Python       26         6       RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1       Example       28         7       Commands       32         7.1       Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2       Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			
5.5.4 Java       24         5.5.5 Common Lisp       25         5.5.6 Python       26         6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			
5.5.5       Common Lisp       25         5.5.6       Python       26         6       RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1       Example       28         7       Commands       32         7.1       Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2       Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			
5.5.6       Python       26         6       RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1       Example       28         7       Commands       32         7.1       Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2       Commands available in certain NuRV variants       34         Bibliography       35			
6 RV on Infinite-State Systems       28         6.1 Example       28         7 Commands       32         7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants       32         7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants       32         Bibliography       35		1	_
6.1 Example		3.3.0 Tyulon	20
7 Commands 7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants	6		28
7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants		6.1 Example	28
7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants	7		32
Bibliography 35			32
		7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants	34
A LTL natterns	Bi	bliography	35
	Δ	LTL natterns	36

# **Chapter 1**

# Introduction

NuRV [CTT19b] is an implementation of Assumption-Based Runtime Verification (ABRV) [CTT19a].

The input of ABRV is a model K as assumptions on the behavior of the system under scrutiny (SUS), plus one or more monitoring properties  $\varphi$ . The output of ABRV is a monitor  $\mathcal{M}_{\varphi}^{K}$ , which further takes a finite trace u from the SUS and outputs verdicts for each input state of u. The workflow of ABRV is shown in Fig. 1.1. NuRV currently supports monitoring properties expressed in extensions of Linear Temporal Logic (LTL).




Figure 1.1: Assumption-Based Runtime Verification

The detailed functionalities of NuRV can be described by the classification of RV tools according to the taxonomy proposed in [FKRT18], as shown in Table 1.1 and 1.2.

NuRV can generate embedded standalone monitor code in various graph and programming languages, including Dot, C, C++, Java and Common Lisp. In addition, the monitor can be generated as SMV models, whose correctness and other properties can be further verified in NuSMV or NUXMV.

From the end-users' point of view, NuRV extends NUXMV with the following new commands:

- build\_monitor: build the symbolic monitor for a given LTL property;
- verify\_property: verify a currently loaded trace in the symbolic monitor;
- heartbeat: verify one input state in the symbolic monitor (online monitoring);
- generate\_monitor: generate standalone monitors in a target language.

The commands build\_monitor and verify\_property together implemented the offline monitoring algorithm described in [CTT19a]. The command generate\_monitor further generates explicit-state monitors in various languages from the symbolic monitor built by the command build\_monitor. These commands must work with other NUXMV commands [BCC<sup>+</sup>19] to be useful.

- read\_model: reads a SMV file into NUXMV;
- flatten\_hierarchy: flattens the hierarchy of modules;
- encode\_variables: builds the BDD variables necessary to compile the model into a BDD;

Concepts	Branches	Classification of NuRV
Specification	data	propositional
	output	verdict, stream
	time (logical)	total order (linear time)
	time (physical)	$\mathbb{N}$ (discrete time)
	modality	all (future, past, current)
	paradigm	all (declarative, operational)
Monitor	decision procedure	automata-based
	generation	all (implicit, explicit)
	execution	all (interpreted, direct)
Deployment	stage	all (online, offline)
	synchronisation	synchronous
	architecture	centralised
	placement	all (inline, outline)
	instrumentation	none
Reaction	active	none
	passive	specification output
Trace	information	all (events, states)
	sampling	all (event-triggered, time-triggered)
	evaluation	points
	precision	all (precise, imprecise)
	model	infinite (LTL), finite (LTL $_3$ , ptLTL)

Table 1.1: Classification of NuRV according to the taxonomy [FKRT18]

Concepts	BDD-based (offline)	BDD-based (online)	Code generation
Specification: output	verdict	stream	both (verdict, stream)
Monitor: generation	implicit	implicit	explicit
Monitor: executation	interpreted	interpreted	direct
Deployment: stage	offline	online	both (online, offline)
Deployment: placement	outline	outline	inline

Table 1.2: Distinguished features of three NuRV modes

- build\_flat\_model: compiles the flattened hierarchy into a Scalar FSM;
- build\_model: compiles the flattened hierarchy into a BDD;
- add\_property: adds an (LTL) property to the list of properties;
- read\_trace: loads a previously saved trace (in XML format).

In model checking scenario, the commands read\_model, flatten\_hierarchy, encode\_variables, build\_flat\_model and build\_model essentially initialize the system model for further verification. If all these commands take default parameters (while the input model is given in other ways, e.g. by environment variable or command line argument), the user could instead use a single command go, which is equivalent to the command sequence 4–8. The command add\_property can be used to add new LTL properties, with each of them a monitor can be built and associated by calling the command build\_monitor. (An alternative way of adding properties is to put them into SMV files as LTL specifications, i.e. LTLSPEC [BCC<sup>+</sup>19].)

The command read\_trace can be used for loading offline traces into NUXMV for offline monitoring. In NUXMV, a trace consists of an initial state, optionally followed by a sequence of state-inputs pairs corresponding to a possible execution of the model. However, in RV scenario we treat the model as an assumption which estimates the SUS, thus the trace may goes outside of the model if being simulated on the model. The only requirement for the successful loading of a trace, is that all variables used in the trace file (in NUXMV's XML format) must be defined in the model. If a variable is not mentioned in any state of the input trace, it is assumed that its value is not observed in that state. In this way, partial observed traces can still be monitored.

### 1.1 New features in NuRV 1.9.0

- 1. Scalar API for code generation in Python (beside in C).
- 2. Symbolic finite- or infinite-state monitors calling NuRV as a shared/dynamic library.

### 1.2 Input language

NuRV supports the same input language (SMV language and LTL specifications) inherited from NUXMV (and then NuSMV). Such "languages" include:

- The SMV language for defining RV assumptions, either finite-state or infinite-state;
- The LTL specifications used for LTL-based Runtime Verification;
- The XML-based trace format (used by NuSMV for expressing counterexamples) for offline monitoring;
- The input order file for monitor code generation.

However, not all language features from nuXmv/NuSMV are confirmed working or being tested in NuRV. Linear Temporal Logic (LTL) can be used to specify complex ordering relationship between events. In Appendix A, Dwyer's LTL patterns are given in NuSMV's LTL syntax.

## 1.3 Running NuRV

NuRV inherites the running modes of NuSMV and nuXmv (see [BCC<sup>+</sup>19] for more details.)

The main interaction mode of NuRV is through an interactive shell. In this mode NuRV enters a read-eval-print loop. The user can activate the various NUSMV computation steps as system commands with different options. These steps can therefore be invoked separately, possibly undone or repeated under different modalities.

The interactive shell of NuRV is activated from the system prompt as follows ('NuRV>' is the default NuRV shell prompt): <sup>1</sup>

```
$ NuRV -int <RET>
NuRV>
```

When the -int option is not specified, NuRVruns as a batch program performing (some of) the steps in a fixed sequence.

\$ NuRV [command line options] smv-file <RET>

The model described in *smv-file* is processed as RV assumptions. Even without assumption, this file serves as the alphabet in which the monitoring properties are expressed. Then, if *smv-file* contains LTL formulas (given in LTLSPEC sections), these properties can be directly referenced by RV commands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>To disable the banner, use additionally -quiet when calling NuRV.

# Chapter 2

# **Offline Monitoring**

In offline monitoring, one or more traces must be loaded into NuRV's trace manager by the command read\_trace. Then user can use the command verify\_property to check if a loaded trace is verified or violated against an LTL property.

For RV on finite-state systems, it is also possible to generate monitor code into various programming languages (see Chapter 4 for more details). Then it is up to the user to use such generated monitors in a online or offline manner. However, essentially the generated monitor are online monitors taking input states one by one.

```
MODULE main

VAR

p: boolean;
q: boolean;

INVAR

p!=q

LTLSPEC

p U q
```

Figure 2.1: The SMV file disjoint.smv for  $p \cup q$  (assuming  $p \neq q$ )

The SMV model file including the LTL property is given in Fig. 2.1. Suppose we wanted to monitor a trace  $u = \{p, \neg q\}\{p, \neg q\}\{p, \neg q\}\{\neg p, q\}\{\neg p, q\}\{\neg p, q\}\}$ , that is, for the first 3 states p is true (and q is false), then q becomes true (and p becomes false). The following XML file (saved as trace.xml, for example) should be prepared (or generated by the user using other programs) as the trace:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<counter-example type="0" id="1" desc="LTL Counterexample">
    <node>
        <state id="1">
            <value variable="p">TRUE</value>
            <value variable="q">FALSE</value>
        </state>
    </node>
    <node>
        <state id="2">
            <value variable="p">TRUE</value>
            <value variable="q">FALSE</value>
        </state>
    </node>
    <node>
        <state id="3">
            <value variable="p">TRUE</value>
            <value variable="q">FALSE</value>
        </state>
    </node>
```

```
<node>
        <state id="4">
            <value variable="p">FALSE</value>
            <value variable="q">TRUE</value>
    </node>
    <node>
        <state id="5">
            <value variable="p">FALSE</value>
            <value variable="q">TRUE</value>
        </state>
    </node>
    <node>
        <state id="6">
            <value variable="p">FALSE</value>
            <value variable="q">TRUE</value>
        </state>
    </node>
</counter-example>
```

The following batch command (saved as offline.cmd) will load the trace and call verify\_property to verify the trace:

```
go
build_monitor -n 0
read_trace trace.xml
verify_property -n 0 1
quit
```

Now NuRV can be called in this way:

```
$ NuRV -quiet -source offline.cmd disjoint.smv <RET>
```

The above command will output the following results (beside a message saying the trace has been correctly loaded and stored) indicating the verdict? for the first 3 states and  $T^a$  for the rest states: (To write monitoring results into a file, use -o command-line option with verify\_property. Also note that the output is 0-indexed while the input trace XML is 1-indexed.)

unknown
 unknown
 true
 true
 true

Note that, starting from version 1.7.0, the first column of the output of command verify\_property has been changed to be aligned with trace manager, i.e. the state indexes of loaded traces now starts from 1.

# **Chapter 3**

# **Online Monitoring**

In online monitoring, the synthesized runtime monitor takes a single input state and immediately output a verdict corresponding to the input state. The related NuRV command is heartbeat. (For the "real" online monitor which can be called from remote, see Section 5.) The monitor maintains it internal states (aka belief states) for handling future inputs. The monitor can be softly or hardly reset when taking an input state.

For RV on finite-state systems, it is also possible to generate monitor code into various programming languages (see Chapter 4 for more details). Then it is up to the user to use such generated monitors in a online or offline manner. However, essentially the generated monitor are online monitors taking input states one by one.

As an example of online monitoring (using the same setting given in Fig. 2.1), the following batch command (saved as online.cmd) can be used for demo purposes:

```
go
build_monitor -n 0
heartbeat -n 0 -c "p"
heartbeat -n 0 -c "p"
heartbeat -n 0 -c "p"
heartbeat -n 0 -c "q"
heartbeat -n 0 -c "q"
heartbeat -n 0 -c "q"
quit
```

If one calls NuRV in the following way:

```
$ NuRV -quiet -source online.cmd disjoint.smv <RET>
```

It will output the following results indicating the command output of each heartbeat commands: (However, in practice the commands should be understood as receiving at runtime from the system under scrutiny.)

```
unknown
unknown
true
true
true
```

Currently the online monitoring support of NuRV can only be used for debugging or manual testing purposes (of the monitors), because interactively calling heartbeat commands is not very useful when NuRV is actually used as online monitors. In future versions NuRV may provide a network-based monitor server so that external callers may call heartbeat commands, among other commands, remotely.

# **Chapter 4**

# **Code Generation**

NuRV supports code generation of runtime monitors in Propositional LTL under finite-state assumptions. The generated monitor code, whenever in programming languages, can be regarded as online monitors which can also be used in offline manners. (Any online monitor is also an offline monitor, but not vice versa.)

The monitor is deterministic. It is straightforward to generate progarm code equivalent to the monitor FSM. The idea is to update the current monitor location according to input state and possible reset signal, and return the monitor outputs stored at each location.

The code generation facility gets major updates in NuRV 1.8.0 with the following changes and new features:

- 1. Extended API with support of arbitrary number of Boolean variables/bits.
- 2. Two new target languages: Prolog and LLVM IR.
- 3. Structure-based API supporting scalar variables (for C target language only).

The code generation facility gets major updates in NuRV 1.9.0 with the following changes and new features:

- 1. Structure-based API supporting scalar variables for Python target language.
- 2. Generating "symbolic" monitors calling NuRV itself as a shared/dynamic library (currently on non-Windows platforms only). (See the -S and -x option of generate\_monitor).
- 3. The options -C and -c have been moved from generate\_monitor to build\_monitor to better support observable expressions.

## 4.1 Code generation in DOT language; monitor levels

The DOT language of open-source software Graphiviz can be used to describe explicit-state monitors synthezied by NuRV.

The code generation of monitors in DOT language (by using command-line option -L "c" when calling generate\_monitor) can be used for paper representations of runtime monitors, also for understanding the structure of generated monitor code in other languages.

For the efficiency of generated monitors in different scenarios, NuRV supports different levels of explicit-state monitors, controlled by the command-line option -L of generate\_monitor:

- Level 1: the monitor synthesis stops at all conclusive states;
- Level 2: the monitor synthesis explores all states;
- Level 3: the monitor synthesis explores all states and reset states;
- Level 4: the monitor always resets before taking next inputs.

Level 1 monitors are usually very small, but still fully functional when the monitor is never reset and the underlying assumption is never violated. (In this case the monitor is monotonic and never outputs  $\times$ .) Also, comparison tests to other RV tools are usually done by level 1 monitors, as they give exactly the same outputs in comparion with LTL3 monitors. Level 2 monitors are full automata whose language is exactly the same as the language of the monitor specification (filtered or restricted by the assumption). Level 3 monitors are full-featured monitors supporting arbitrary resets, supporting all features of the ABRV framework. In addition, level 4 monitors consider the special use scenario in which the monitor is repeatedly reset before each new input state. This scenario is particularly useful for monitoring ptLTL.

In the example below, the first three levels of monitors for LTL property  $p \mathbf{U} q$  (assuming  $p \neq q$ ) are generated. We use the same SMV file as in Fig. 2.1. To generate three DOT files pUq\_L1.dot, pUq\_L2.dot and pUq\_L3.dot corresponding to the monitors of  $p \mathbf{U} q$  (assuming  $p \neq q$ ) at different levels, the following batch command can be used:

```
go build_monitor -n 0 generate_monitor -n 0 -L "dot" -l 1 -o "pUq_L1" generate_monitor -n 0 -L "dot" -l 2 -o "pUq_L2" generate_monitor -n 0 -L "dot" -l 3 -o "pUq_L3" quit
```

The generated monitors, when converting to PDF by the dot command (provided by Graphviz) are shown in Fig. 4.1. The monitors generated by NuRV can be understood as finite-state machines with locations numbered from 1 (the initial location). By taking input states (e.g. p & !q, encoded as integers), the current location changes. Each location holds at most three data: (i) the ID of current location, (ii) the monitor verdict, and (iii) the ID of location for (soft) resets. (Only level 3 monitors have reset data.)




Figure 4.1: LTL monitors of p U q (level 1–3), assuming  $p \neq q$ 

## 4.2 Code generation in C

The monitor code generated in C (by using command-line option -L "c" in generate\_monitor) has the following (old) signature:

```
int /* [out] (0 = unknown, 1 = true, 2 = false, 3 = out-of-model) */
    monitor
    (long /* state [in] */,
        int /* reset [in] (0 = none, 1 = hard, 2 = soft) */,
        int* /* current_loc: [in/out] */);
```

Note that the maximum number of observable bits is limited by C long type, which has at most 31 valid bits in portable code. Starting from NuRV 1.8.0, the following *new* signature is also generated with first parameter long states extended to an array of longs which can support arbitrary number of underlying bits:

The two types RV\_value and RV\_reset involved in the above signature are enumerations with underlying values compatible with the old interface:

```
typedef enum {
  RV_UNKNOWN = 0, RV_TRUE = 1, RV_FALSE = 2, RV_ERROR = 3,
  RV_INVALID_ARG = 4, RV_INVALID_LOC = 5
} RV_value;

typedef enum {
  NO_RESET = 0, HARD_RESET = 1, SOFT_RESET = 2
} RV_reset;
```

The function name (*monitor* here) is given by the user when calling the NuRV command generate\_monitor. (Note that, in the new signature, a suffix \_ex is attached to the monitor function name.)

The generated monitor fucntions takes three (or four) parameters:

- 1. state (or states): an encoded long integer (or an array of long integers) representing the current input state of the trace,
- 2. width: in the new signature, this is the length of states supplied by the user.
- 3. reset, an integer representing the possible reset signal, and
- 4. current\_loc: a pointer of integer holding the internal state of the monitor.

It is the caller's responsibility to allocate an integer and provide the pointer to the monitor (otherwise the function returns -1 or RV\_INVALID\_LOC indicating *invalid locations*).

NuRV supports two different encodings for state (or states) (depending on the presense of the command-line option -p when calling generate\_monitor):

- 1. *Static* partial observability: state denotes a full assignment of the observables, encoded in binary bits: 0 for *false*  $(\bot)$ , 1 for *true*  $(\top)$ ;
- 2. *Dynamic* partial observability: state denotes a ternary number, whose each ternary bit represents 3 possible values of an observable variable: 0 for unknown (?), 1 for true ( $\top$ ) and 2 for false ( $\bot$ ).

Note that the symbolic monitoring algorithm can take in general input states expressed in Boolean formulae (e.g., if the observables are p and q, our monitor may take an input state "p xor q", either p or q is true but not both), but this is not supported by the generated code.

BDD operations are implemented by the BDD manager. Their performance strongly depends on the variable ordering used in the BDD construction. This can be controlled by setting an input\_order\_file in NUXMV. The input of generated monitor code requires an encoding of BDDs into long intergers according to this file. This encoding is done from the least to the most significant bit. For instance, if the observables are p and q with the same order, an binary encoding for the state  $\{p=\top, q=\bot\}$  would be  $(01)_2=1$ , and a ternary encoding for the same state would be  $(21)_3=7$ . The design purpose is to make sure that the comparison of two encoded states can be as fast as possible.

In the new signature, an array of long integers states with the length of array, width, are supplied by the caller. To correctly fill up this array, it is important for the caller to know the following information:

- 1. How many bits are encoded into a single long integer?
  - This value is given by a per-monitor generated C macro *monitor\_segment*, whose default value is 31 but may be changed to be flexible in the future.
- 2. How many long integers are necessary?

The minimal value of width is given by a C macro *monitor*\_width. Note that providing a bigger array will not cause any issue as the generated monitor code simply won't read those extra elements. On the other hand, an input array whose length is smaller than *monitor*\_width will immediately cause the monitor to return RV\_INVALID\_ARG (invalid arguments).

#### 4.2.1 Structure-based interface of monitors in C

This is a major new feature in NuRV 1.8.0. Besides Boolean variables, the SMV language also supports finite-domain integers and fixed-length machine words, which is called *scalar variables*. In the BDD-based symbolic monitoring, scalar variables can be freely used in the model and monitoring properties, because internally they are encoded as Boolean bits. (In the SMT-based monitoring, scalar variables are directly handled by the underlying SMT solvers.)

However, when generating standalone monitor code, it is almost impossible for the end user to do the bit encodings for scalar variables, because the mapping between a scalar variable and its underlying bits is totally an internal matter of NuRV without clear patterns. Even with only Boolean variables in the model, the encoding of Boolean values into state (or states) should be a task that can be automated during the code generation process.

Support there are 4 Boolean variables p,q,r,s in the model, now NuRV also generate the following *scalar* signature:

```
/* input data */
typedef struct {
  short p; /* 0: false, other: true */
  short q; /* 0: false, other: true */
  short r; /* 0: false, other: true */
  short s; /* 0: false, other: true */
} monitor_input_t;
/* input masks (0: not observable, 1: observable) */
typedef struct {
  unsigned int p : 1;
  unsigned int q: 1;
  unsigned int r : 1;
  unsigned int s : 1;
} monitor_mask_t;
/* 3. scalar API */
RV_value monitor_scalar
  (monitor_input_t *input,
   monitor_mask_t *masks,
   RV_reset reset,
   int *current_loc);
```

Now, instead of encoding the values of p, q, r, s into long integers, now the end user only need to allocate a structure monitor\_input\_t and set the Boolean values directly as slots of this structure. (Note that each Boolean variable is mapped to a C short value.)

Remark 4.1. (The other structure monitor\_mask\_t is intended to support partial observability of values in the structure monitor\_input\_t, i.e. a input value is considered observable whenever the corresponding slot in monitor\_mask\_t is non-zero. Currently this is not implemented yet, and user can just supply NULL as the value of masks.)

In another case, the model contains the following variables:

VAR

```
i : 140 .. 160;
k : {a, b, 0, 1};
l : {b, c};
```

where a, b and c are constant symbols. NuRV may generate the following code where m1 is the monitor name:

```
/* constants used in the model */
enum {
 b = 2,
  a = 3
  С
   = 4
};
/* input data */
typedef struct {
  int i;
  int k;
  int 1;
} m1_input_t;
/* input masks (0: not observable, 1: observable) */
typedef struct {
  unsigned int i : 1;
  unsigned int k : 1;
  unsigned int 1: 1;
} m1_mask_t;
/* 3. scalar API */
RV_value m1_scalar
  (m1_input_t *input,
   m1_mask_t *masks,
   RV_reset reset,
   int *current_loc);
```

Then the caller may, for example, set i = 150, k = b, l = b when calling the generated monitor code. The encoding of scalar varibles into underlying Boolean bits are done automatically by the generated monitor code. BDD input ordering file is not needed if the caller only uses the scalar API.

#### 4.2.2 Observable expressions in generated monitor

One major problem of using scalar variables in generated monitor code is that there are usually *too many* underlying Boolean bits. For example, a finite domain integer having values from 0 to 1024 will involved 10 underlying Boolean bits, and in the worst case each single value of this integer may correspond to one possible monitor input (transition arc) in the generated explicit-state monitor. With several scalar variables it is easy to cause a blow up in the size of generated code.

To overcome the potential blow up in the size of generated monitor code. NuRV now supports setting observable expressions during the monitor code generation. For example, if the monitoring property is  $(i < 150) \mathbf{U} (i >= 150)$ , while i is a finite domain integer having a large range of values, without further constraints in the model the generated monitor could be already accurate if (i < 150) and (i >= 150) were considered as atomic propositions, and the generated monitor should have the same size as the one generated from  $p \mathbf{U} q$  where p and q are Boolean variables. However, the generated monitor should still accept the original values of i, and the calculation of (i < 150) and (i >= 150) should be done in the generated code, to re-contruct the underlying internal bits. The propositions (i < 150) and (i >= 150) can be considered as observable expressions. (It is user's responsibility to supply a good list of observable expressions to have the generated monitors accurate enough.)

To generate monitor with *observable expressions*, a new parameter -C is added into the command generate\_monitor. -C takes a file name, in which each line is an observable expression. See Section 7 for more details.

## 4.3 Code generation in C++

Since NuRV 1.8.0, the monitor code generated in C++ (by using command-line option -L "cpp" when calling generate\_monitor) has class headers like the following:

```
class monitor : base_monitor {
public:
    monitor() { current_loc = 1; }
    int run(long state, int reset); // old API
    RV_value run(vector<long> &states, RV_reset reset); // new API
private:
    ...
}
```

Both old and new APIs are supported. For the new API, a vector of long values are supplied to support arbitrary number of Boolean bits.

All generated monitor C++ classes inherit a common base class called base\_monitor:

```
class base_monitor {
protected:
   int current_loc;
public:
   virtual RV_value run(vector<long> &states, RV_reset reset) {
     return RV_ERROR;
   };
};
```

The presense of a share base class allows calling different monitors from the (virtual) method calls of the base class (polymorphism). The involved types RV\_value and RV\_reset have the same definitions as the generated code in C.

The generated C++ code is compatible with C++98, C++11 and later C++ standards.

## 4.4 Code generation in Java

Since NuRV 1.8.0, the monitor code generated in Java (by using command-line option -L "java" when calling generate\_monitor) has the following structure:

The default Java package name eu.fbk.rvsymth can be changed by -m parameter of the command generate\_monitor.

A base class BaseMonitor is generated in a separate code file BaseMonitor.java in the same directory with the following contents:

Two helper classes RV\_reset and RV\_value are also generated in separate code files. The generated Java code is tested on JDK 8 and should work in more recent JDK versions.

## 4.5 Code generation in Common Lisp

Since NuRV 1.8.0. the monitor code generated in Common Lisp (by using command-line option -L "lisp" when calling generate\_monitor) has the following structure:

```
;;; base monitor class
(defclass base-monitor ()
  ((current-loc :type fixnum :accessor current-loc :initform 1)
   (reset-table :type simple-vector :reader reset-table)
   (trans-table :type simple-vector :reader trans-table))
  (:documentation "NuRV base monitor class"))
;;; generic function
(defgeneric run (instance states reset)
  (:documentation "monitor entry function"))
;;; monitor class
(defclass monitor (base-monitor)
  ()
  (:documentation "NuRV monitor class"))
;;; old API
(defmethod run ((instance monitor) (state fixnum) (reset symbol))
  . . . )
;;; new API
(defmethod run ((instance monitor) (states sequence) (reset symbol))
```

## 4.6 Code generation in Prolog

Since NuRV 1.8.0. the monitor code can be generated in Prolog (by using command-line option -L "prolog" when calling generate\_monitor) as a module:

```
:- module(rvsynth, [monitor/5, monitor_old/5]).
```

where monitor is the monitor function name given by the caller, and rvsynth is the default module name. It's better to understand the detailed interface by actually reading the generated Prolog code.

## 4.7 Code generation in LLVM IR

Since NuRV 1.8.0. the monitor code can be generated in LLVM IR (by using command-line option -L "11vm" when calling generate\_monitor). This is done by outputting LLVM IR code in plain text without linking any library from LLVM. The resulting LLVM IR code does not call any external function.

Essentially the API is the same as the generated C code, except that all involved long values in the C code are fixed as signed 32-bit integers in LLVM IR code. Both old and new APIs are supported. Below are the code pieces showing the beginning part of external functions:

```
; main function (old API)
define external i32 @monitor
   (i32 %state_in, ; [in]
   i32 %reset,
                      ; [in]
    i32* %current_loc) ; [in/out]
{
}
; main function (new API)
define external i32 @monitor_ex
   ([1 x i32] * %states, ; [in]
   i32
              %width,
                           ; [in]
              %reset,
                          ; [in]
    i32
    i32*
              %current_loc) ; [in/out]
{
    . . .
}
```

There's no separate header generated. The headers generated for C code can be used in a compatible way.

LLVM IR is assembly-like, simplier to parse and analyze (by using libraries from the LLVM project, thus is considered as a good *intermediate* language for exchanging purposes. In theory, end users can write their own translators to translated from LLVM IR to any other programming languages.

## 4.8 Code generation in SMV

The monitor code generated in SMV (by using command-line option -L "smv" when calling generate\_monitor) are mainly for model checking purposes (to verify the correctness of the monitor itself). The following SMV file represents the monitor of

```
MODULE monitor (p, q, _reset)
VAR.
  _loc
           : 0 .. 4;
  _rloc
          : 0 .. 4;
          : { true, false, unknown, error };
  _out
DEFINE
           := ((_loc = 4) | (_loc = 3) | FALSE);
  _true
           := (FALSE);
  _unknown := ((_loc = 2) | (_loc = 1) | FALSE);
  _error := (_loc = 0);
          := _true | _false | _unknown;
  _valid
  _concl
          := _true | _false;
ASSIGN
  _out := case
    _true : true; _false : false; _unknown : unknown; TRUE : error;
  esac:
  _rloc := _reset ? case
    (_loc = 4) : 1; (_loc = 3) : 1; (_loc = 2) : 1; (_loc = 1) : 1; TRUE : 0;
```

```
esac : _loc;
init(_loc) := 1;
next(_loc) := case
   ((_rloc = 4) & (!p & q)): 3;
   ((_rloc = 4) & (p & !q)): 4;
   ((_rloc = 3) & (!p & q)): 3;
   ((_rloc = 3) & (p & !q)): 4;
   ((_rloc = 3) & (p & !q)): 4;
   ((_rloc = 2) & (!p & q)): 3;
   ((_rloc = 2) & (p & !q)): 2;
   ((_rloc = 1) & (!p & q)): 3;
   ((_rloc = 1) & (p & !q)): 2;
   TRUE : 0;
   esac;

INVARSPEC
   count(_true, _false, _unknown, _error) = 1;
```

# Chapter 5

# **Monitor Server**

NuRV supports network-based online monitoring (i.e. monitor server) since version 1.7.0.

### 5.1 Introduction

Starting from version 1.7.0, NuRV supports a network-based "monitor server" mode. After executing a command, NuRV stops the interactive shell and starts to listen on network so that user code can remotely execute the heartbeat command (not directly but in an equivalent way) for online monitoring. (In some senses this is the "real" online monitoring, although it is in theory possible to run NuRV as an interactive session inside another program.)

NuRV supports multiple clients connecting to multiple servers. Here each "monitor server" is a NuRV running process in which multiple LTL properties are added with their corresponding runtime monitors built by build\_monitor command. Note that a single NuRV process can indeed provide multiple monitors corresponding to different LTL properties, however all these monitors must share the same ground model as the RV assumptions. Thus the ability of letting a single monitor client to connect to multiple NuRV processes (without extra programming overheads) is necessary in certain applications. <sup>1</sup>

The following further descriptions may settle the potential concern on the scalability:

- Multiple NuRV processes (monitor server) can run together, on same or different machines, without any concern on the potential conflict of listening ports. In another words, multiple NuRV processes can start up in any order (even after the clients, as long as they are not queried yet). However, each NuRV processes must have a unique "instance name". (This should be a common need, as otherwise there's no way to know who has what properties being monitored.)
- All monitor servers register their names in a small central server daemon (the name service) provided by third-party software (with multiple choices), just like Internet DNS (domain name system).
- One or multiple monitor clients can connect to these monitor servers by only their instance names. Client programming has the same complexity for one server or multiple servers, because clients only need to know the location (address and port, etc.) of the central name server. (We provide sample client code in C, C++, Java, Lisp and Python.)

Technically speaking, the monitor server currently supported by NuRV is based on a *synchronous*, *push model*: the monitor clients are responsible to activately send observations to the monitor server, and such sending operations must wait until the server finished the calculations, i.e. the execution of underlying monitoring algorithms.<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>By running multiple NuRV processes one can also benefit from multiple CPUs in the host machine, as NuRV does not support multithreading in its core monitoring algorithms.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>In the future, NuRV may additionally support the *asynchronous*, *push model*. This is particularily important when users want to send a single observation to get the verdicts of many or all monitors, since checking the monitoring results for many properties may take a long time while the client cannot wait.

## 5.2 Additional software dependencies

The monitor server functionality is provided by another execution NuRV\_orbit, which currently is only available on Mac OS X (only for x86\_64), Linux and Solaris. NuRV\_orbit has all functionalities of NuRV, plus the monitor server support. The reason we provide two executions is that:

- 1. The additional software (by dynamically linking) required by NuRV\_orbit may not be available in some versions of operating systems of end users;
- 2. In the future, we may provide more execution variants linking different libraries.

On Linux (e.g. Debian and Ubuntu),  $NuRV_orbit$  requires a package called orbit2. On Mac OS X, it requires the same package from MacPorts  $^3$ .

To use the monitor server, third-party naming service is required. This service is provided by many packages. The following 3 software are confirmed working:

- Java SE (JDK) 1.8 (execution name: tnameserv);
- Linux package orbit2-nameserver (execution name: orbit-name-server-2);
- Linux/Mac<sup>4</sup> package omniorb (execution name: omniNames).

#### 5.3 A tutorial of monitor server

Let's continue the online monitoring example in Chapter 3 (with the SMV file disjoint.smv found in Chapter 2) and turn NuRV into a monitor server. <sup>5</sup>

- 1. Open a Terminal window and start the third-party name service from JDK 1.8: (JDK prior to 1.8 also provides it.)
  - \$ tnameserv

The above command will print a long string starting with "IOR:" (which stands for an *Interoperable Object Reference*) and listen on a TCP port.

2. Open another Terminal window and start NuRV (the variant with monitor server support) in the same directory with disjoint.smv <sup>6</sup> (otherwise a new LTL property can be added by the command add\_property):

```
$ NuRV_orbit -int disjoint.smv
```

Keep in mind that an LTL property p U q has been defined already and stored at index 0 of property manager. Following Chapter 3, the following NuRV commands builds the internal monitor for it:

```
NuRV> go
NuRV> build_monitor -n 0
```

3. Start the monitor server by executing the command monitor\_server with the IOR string returned by tnameserv in the first step: (you must substitute "IOR:..." with the actual (long) string returned by tnameserv)

```
NuRV> monitor_server -N IOR:...
```

<sup>3</sup>https://www.macports.org

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>On Mac OS X, the package is provided by MacPorts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>This tutorial is tested on Mac OS X 10.15. The same steps should work on all supported versions of NuRV on Mac OS X and Linux. Currently NuRV does not support monitor server on MS Windows.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>This SMV file is also in the directory client/c of the shipped common files.

The above command should return something like "Binding service reference at name service against id: NuRV/Monitor/Service". Note that this monitor server is uniquely identified by "NuRV/Monitor/Service". <sup>7</sup> (Note: use Ctrl+C to terminate the monitor server and return to the shell prompt.)

4. Make sure Homebrew <sup>8</sup> or MacPorts packages orbit and pkg-config are installed in your Mac system. Go to directory client/c and execute make to build the C-based monitor client:

```
$ make
```

If everything goes correctly, at the end there will be an execution monitor\_client being built. It hardcoded a monitor client which sends the trace pppqqq (3 times p and 3 times q, just like the sample in Chapter 3) to the monitor server. Again, this client program must know the location of the name server (by knowing the IOR string): (once again, you must substitute "IOR:..." with the actual (long) string returned by tnameserv in the first step)

```
$ ./monitor_client -ORBInitRef NameService=IOR:...
```

If everything goes fine, you should see the following outputs by the above monitor client. The first line is a reminder of its usage, and next line says that it has found the monitor server identified by the name "NuRV/Monitor/Service". And the rest is the monitoring outputs (3 times "unknown" and 3 times "true"):

```
*** Usage: ./monitor-client -ORBInitRef NameService=IOR:...

Resolving service reference from name-service with id "NuRV/Monitor/Service" unknown unknown unknown true true true
```

The business logic of the monitor client can be found near the end of the C code file monitor-client.c (see Section 5.5.2 for more details of the sample code and other files in the same directory.)

## 5.4 The "simple" monitor interface (IDL definition)

It can be undersood that, the monitor server running inside NuRV is an instance (or object) of a class, which has the following interface given in an Interface Definition Language (IDL):

```
#pragma prefix "eu.fbk"

module Monitor {
    #pragma version Monitor 1.0

enum Verdict {RV_True, RV_False, RV_Unknown, RV_Error};
    #pragma version Verdict 1.0

interface MonitorService {
    #pragma version MonitorService 1.0

// this "any" index can only be string or long
    Verdict heartbeat (in any index, in string state);
    #pragma version heartbeat 1.0
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>The first two parts of the ID are always "NuRV/Monitor", while the third part "Service" can be changed by using command option −i. When starting multiple monitor servers (i.e. multiple NuRV processes, each of them should have different IDs.

<sup>8</sup>https://brew.sh

```
oneway void reset (in any index, in boolean hard_p);
    #pragma version reset 1.0
};
```

This class/interface is called MonitorService, which currently has two methods: heartbeat and reset. (It is safe to completely ignore those "progma" lines in the above IDL definition, as they are totally internal matters.) The method heartbeat is for sending an observation to the monitor. It takes two parameters: an integer- or string-valued index of LTL properties (each LTL property corresponds one internal monitor, to be created by the command build\_monitor), and a string-valued state as a logical expression following NuSMV syntax representing the current observation (e.g. "p & !q" means  $p \land \neg q$ ). The return value is in an enumeration type Verdict which has four possible values: RV\_True, RV\_False, RV\_Unknown, and RV\_Error. The method reset is for resetting the monitor. It is a "oneway" method, which immediately returns without any return value. Beside the same index parameter for identifying the internal monitor (or property), the Boolean parameter hard\_p is used for choosing between hard and soft resets: if this parameter is true, then it is a hard reset, otherwise it is a soft reset.

The job of a monitor client is to mapping this monitor service instance from remote (i.e. the process space of monitor server) to local. The monitor server(s), after startup, will register their instance to the central third-party naming service, while the monitor client(s) also find the needed monitor service from the same naming service. Obviously each such monitor service instance should have a unique name. This name is by default "NuRV/Monitor/Service" and can be customized by the -i parameter of the command monitor\_server (see Chapter 7 for more details.)

Once the monitor client succeed in mapping the monitor service instance to its local process space, it will behave just like a normal object in its own (object-oriented) programming language (for non-OO languages like C, the method calls are simulated by normal C functions on instance pointers).

The present interface is "simple" in the sense that any method call of heartbeat must wait until the related monitoring computation finished on the server side. In another words, this is a *synchronous* interface.<sup>9</sup>

### 5.5 Client programming in various programming languages

In this section we describe the monitor client programming in five supported programming languages: C, C++, Java, Common Lisp and Python. All involved sample client code can be found in NuRV common files shipped with the main executions.

NOTE: starting from version 1.7.0, NuRV ships with two execution files on platforms with monitor server supports: NuRV[.exe] and NuRV\_orbit, only the latter supports monitor server. Using the command monitor\_server on the normal NuRV[.exe] will cause the program immediately quit. (Currently NuRV\_orbit.exe is not available on MS Windows, but this is not due to any essential technical difficulities.)

#### 5.5.1 Preliminaries

By default, the monitor server only listen on Unix domain sockets and can only be connected from monitor clients written in C (see Section 5.5.2 for more details). To enable the monitor server listening on TCP/IP (or even IPv6) ports, a file named ".orbitrc" must be created and put into the home directory with the following contents:

```
ORBIIOPUSock=1
ORBIIOPIPv4=1
ORBIIOPIPv6=0
```

For instance, the above recommended config file enables Unix domain sockets, TCP/IPv4 but keeps TCP/IPv6 disabled.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>In the future, NuRV may support a *synchronous* interface: the method calls immediately returns, while the monitor server will later contact the monitor client (which must have an internal event loop to listen for such contacts) with the monitoring results returned.

#### 5.5.2 C

C is the native language in which NuRV and its monitor server support is written. When using the C-based monitor client on the same machine with the monitor server, client and server does not need TCP/IP at all: instead they can communicate directly by Unix domain sockets.

The C-based monitor client requires linking a library called orbit2, which can be easily installed on many Linux and FreeBSD systems. On Mac OS X, users can install it from Homebrew, Fink or MacPorts. The sample client code finds the needed library by pkg-config, which therefore must be installed together by the same packaging system. Any client code must include three C headers:

```
#include <orbit/orbit.h>
#include "monitor.h"
#include "toolkit.h" /* ie. etk_abort_if_exception() */
```

The header file orbit/orbit.h is provided by the orbit2 package. The header file monitor.h is generated from the IDL interface, together with monitor-common.c and monitor-stubs.c. (End users do not need to re-generate them and can just copy the already generated interface code for their own uses.) The header file toolkit.h, together with toolkit.c, are small toolkit files for the ease use of orbit2. Users can freely use them too.

The only manually written code file is thus only monitor-client.c. The following global variables are needed for holding the connection information.

```
static CORBA_ORB global_orb = CORBA_OBJECT_NIL; /* global orb */
static Monitor_MonitorService service = CORBA_OBJECT_NIL;
static CORBA_Environment ev[1];
```

Note that the variable service of the type Monitor\_MonitorService. Each variable of this type holds one monitor server. If a single monitor client needs to connect to multiple monitor servers (by running multiple NuRV processes), multiple variables (or an array) of this type must be used.

The following main stages are needed for a typical monitor client programming:

1. *Initialization*. The string "orbit-local-orb" can be arbitrary.

```
CORBA_exception_init(ev);
global_orb = CORBA_ORB_init(&argc, argv, "orbit-local-orb", ev);
```

2. *Binding the name service*. The sample code connects to the default monitor service name ("NuRV/Monitor/Service" by default. Change the code if the monitor server is started with different instance names.)

```
CosNaming_NamingContext name_service = CORBA_OBJECT_NIL;
gchar *id[] = {"NuRV", "Monitor", "Service", NULL};

name_service = etk_get_name_service (global_orb, ev);
service = (Monitor_MonitorService) etk_name_service_resolve (name_service, id, ev);
```

3. *Sending observations to the monitor.* The following code prepare the monitor index at 0, and the variables holding two observations "p" and "q":

```
CORBA_long id = 0;
CORBA_any index;
index._type = TC_CORBA_long;
index._value = &id;
CORBA_char *state_p = "p";
CORBA_char *state_q = "q";
```

Then the following code can be used for sending an observation to the monitor:

```
Monitor_Verdict res;
res = Monitor_MonitorService_heartbeat (service, &index, state_p, ev);
```

4. *Processing the monitor verdicts*. The following same code pieces can translated the values of the enum type Monitor\_Verdict into different string-based outputs (and print out them):

```
switch (res) {
case Monitor_RV_True:
    g_print("true\n");
    break;
case Monitor_RV_False:
    g_print("false\n");
    break;
case Monitor_RV_Unknown:
    g_print("unknown\n");
    break;
default:
    g_print("error\n");
}
```

5. Resetting the monitor. The following code does a hard reset to the monitor at the previous index:

```
CORBA_boolean hard_p = CORBA_TRUE;
Monitor_MonitorService_reset (service, &index, hard_p, ev);
```

6. Uninitialization and cleanup.

```
CORBA_Object_release(service, ev);
if (orb != CORBA_OBJECT_NIL) {
   CORBA_ORB_destroy(orb, ev);
}
```

More details can be found in "ORBit Beginners Documentation V1.6" available on Internet.

#### 5.5.3 C++

The sample C++ client code provided at client/cpp requires a library called omniorb, which is provided by most Linux distributions. On Mac, bot MacPorts and Homebrew provide them.

Monitor client code in C++ is more natural than the above code in C, in the sense that the monitor service is represented by a real C++ object. Below we quickly give the relevant code pieces corresponding to each stages: (Check the actual sample code for C++ exception handling. Also note that the monitor server must enable TCP/IPv4.)

1. Initialization. (The C++ header file monitor.hh is generated from the IDL file.)

```
#include "monitor.hh"

CORBA::ORB_ptr orb = CORBA::ORB_init(argc, argv, "omniORB4");
```

2. Binding the name service.

```
CORBA::Object_var obj = orb->resolve_initial_references("NameService");
CosNaming::NamingContext_var rootContext = CosNaming::NamingContext::_narrow(obj);

CosNaming::Name name;
   name.length(3);
   name[0].id = (const char*) "NuRV"; // string copied
   name[0].kind = (const char*) ""; // string copied
   name[1].id = (const char*) "Monitor";
   name[1].kind = (const char*) "";
   name[2].id = (const char*) "Service";
   name[2].kind = (const char*) "";

CORBA::Object_var obj2 = rootContext->resolve(name);
Monitor::MonitorService_var service = Monitor::MonitorService::_narrow(obj2);
```

3. Sending the observations.

```
CORBA::String_var state = (const char*) "p";
CORBA::Any index;
CORBA::Long l = 0;
index <<= l;
Monitor::Verdict res = service->heartbeat (index, state);
```

4. Processing the monitor verdicts.

```
string result;
switch (res) {
case Monitor::RV_True:
   result = "true";
   break;
case Monitor::RV_False:
   result = "false";
   break;
case Monitor::RV_Unknown:
   result = "unknown";
   break;
default:
   result = "error";
}
```

5. Resetting the monitor.

```
CORBA::Boolean hard_p = false;
service->reset (index, hard_p);
```

6. Ending the client.

```
orb->destroy();
```

More details can be found in omniORB 4 documents at http://omniorb.sourceforge.net/docs.html.

#### 5.5.4 Java

The Java client code (at client/java, as an Eclipse project) only supports JDK before or equals to 1.8, in which JDK directly provides the needed libraries (Thus no third-party JAR is needed). The monitor interface IDL is translated to some Java classes under the prefix eu.fbk.monitor.

Java program musst have a entry/main class. The connection information is held in its public static member variable:

```
public static org.omg.CORBA.ORB orb = null;
```

1. Initialization.

```
Properties props = new Properties();
String ior = "IOR:...";
props.put("org.omg.CORBA.ORBInitRef", "NameService=" + ior);
orb = org.omg.CORBA.ORB.init(args, props);
```

2. Binding name service.

```
org.omg.CORBA.Object ncRef = orb.resolve_initial_references("NameService");
org.omg.CosNaming.NamingContext nc =
    org.omg.CosNaming.NamingContextHelper.narrow(ncRef);
org.omg.CosNaming.NameComponent[] monitorName =
```

```
new org.omg.CosNaming.NameComponent[3];
  monitorName[0] = new org.omg.CosNaming.NameComponent("NuRV", "");
  monitorName[1] = new org.omg.CosNaming.NameComponent("Monitor", "");
  monitorName[2] = new org.omg.CosNaming.NameComponent("Service", "");
  org.omg.CORBA.Object monitorRef = nc.resolve(monitorName);
  MonitorService service = MonitorServiceHelper.narrow(monitorRef);
3. Sending the observation.
  org.omg.CORBA.Any index = orb.create_any();
  index.insert_long(0); // monitor 0
  Verdict res = service.heartbeat(index, "TRUE");
4. Processing the outputs.
  String result = new String();
  if (res == Verdict.RV_True) {
      result = "true";
  } else if (res == Verdict.RV_False) {
      result = "false";
  } else if (res == Verdict.RV_Unknown) {
      result = "unknown";
  } else { // res == Monitor.Verdict.RV_Error
      result = "error";
5. Resetting the monitor.
  service.reset(index, false);
6. Shutdown.
  orb.shutdown(true);
  orb.destroy();
```

More details can be found in JDK 1.8 documentation.

#### 5.5.5 Common Lisp

The Common Lisp client code is based on LispWorks Enterprise Edition. The interface IDL file is part of the running program without any pre-translation.

1. Initialization. (Suppose the IOR string is stored in a variable \*ior\*.)

```
(defvar *client-orb* nil) ; ORB
(defvar *name-service* nil) ; NS
(defvar *service* nil) ; Monitor:Service instance
(setq *client-orb* (op:orb_init nil "LispWorks ORB"))
```

2. Binding the name service.

```
(corba:set-pluggable-module-details "NameService" :ior-string *ior*)
(defun get-name-service (orb)
  (let ((ref (op:resolve_initial_references orb "NameService")))
     (when ref
            (op:narrow 'CosNaming:NamingContext ref))))
(setq *name-service* (get-name-service *client-orb*))
```

```
(defun name-components (names)
    (mapcar #'(lambda (name) (CosNaming:NameComponent :id name :kind "")) names))
  (setq *monitor-name* (name-components '("NuRV" "Monitor" "Service")))
  (defun resolve-object (name)
    (unless *name-service*
      (warn "No name service found")
      (return-from resolve-object nil))
    (handler-case
        (op:resolve *name-service* name)
      (CosNaming: NamingContext/NotFound nil)))
  (setq *service*
        (op:narrow 'Monitor:MonitorService (resolve-object *monitor-name*))))
3. Sending the observation.
  (defgeneric monitor (index))
  (defmethod monitor ((index integer))
    (corba:any :any-typecode corba:_tc_long :any-value index))
  (defmethod monitor ((index string))
    (corba:any :any-typecode corba:_tc_string :any-value index))
  (op:heartbeat *service* (monitor 0) "p & q"); 0 is the id of an LTL property
  (op:heartbeat *service* (monitor "p0") "!p"); "p0" is the name of an LTL property
4. Resetting the monitor.
  (op:reset *service* (monitor 0) nil) ; nil means soft reset (hard_p = false)
```

### **5.5.6** Python

The Python client code requires a Python package py-omniORBpy which can be found in MacPorts. Similar packages (but with different names) are available on Linux, searching keywords "py" + "omniorb". The monitor interface IDL is directly read by Python code, some minor stub Python code are also generated from the IDL file. (They are accessible by Python code import Monitor.)

1. Initialization.

service = obj.\_narrow(Monitor.MonitorService)

obj = rootContext.resolve(name)

3. Sending the observation (and reset):

```
service.heartbeat(to_any(0), "p & q")
service.reset(to_any("p0"))
```

More details can be found in omniORB 4 Python documents at http://omniorb.sourceforge.net/docs.html.

# **Chapter 6**

# **RV** on Infinite-State Systems

NuRV supports infinite-state monitoring [CTT21] since version 1.5.0.

For LTL properties using infinite-domain variables such as integers or real numbers, the RV problem without assumption can be resolved as in the Boolean case. Although non-Boolean variables are involved, the monitoring property is essentially still propositional. For example, to monitor  $\mathbf{G}$  ( $i \le 5$ ), where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$  is unbounded, we can instead synthesize a monitor from  $\mathbf{G}$  p where p is a Boolean variable. Then, at runtime, it only remains to convert the original input traces about i into equivalent traces about p by the formula  $p = (i \le 5)$ . The situation is the same for real variables.

The ABRV algorithm for infinite-state systems is implemented by leveraging NUXMV's functionality of verifying LTL properties on infinite-state models using IC3IA engine [CGMT14], which depends on the MathSAT5 SMT solver [CGSS13] for infinite-state models. The QE procedure is also provided by MathSAT. Most NuRV features, such as partial observability and resets, are also supported for infinite-state systems, while the explicit-state code generation is not supported.

Starting from version 1.6.0, NuRV adopts a new technique called *Incremental Bounded Model Checking*. and other optimizations. This results to further performance improvements (at least *10x* faster).

To support RV on infinite-state systems, the following changes must be made when using NuRV:

- Use go\_msat instead of go to prepare the models (as RV assumptions);
- Use -x when calling build\_monitor 1, verify\_property and heartbeat commands.

## 6.1 Example

In this section, we describe a use case of ABRV with an infinite-state assumption using a simple example of a temperature controller. Consider a system that heats the water in a tank until reaching the temperature of 100. The temperature is represented by a real variable t. The internal state of the system, which may be heating or not, is represented by the Boolean variable t. The command to switch on the heating system is represented by t0, while t1 represents a fault that switches off the system permanently. Let us define a system model t2 with the following formulas:

- Initial condition: t = 0 (the temperature is initially 0)
- Transition conditions (implicitly conjoined):
  - $t' \ge 0 \land t' \le 100$  (the temperature always remains between 0 and 100)
  - $h \rightarrow ((t=100 \land t'=100) \lor (10 \le t'-t \le 20))$  (if the system is heating, the temperature increases by a rate between 10 and 20 or remains 100 if it already reached that temperature)
  - ¬h → ((t = 0 ∧ t' = 0) ∨ (-20 ≤ t' t ≤ -10)) (if the system is not heating, the temperature decreases by a rate between -20 and -10 or remains 0 if it already reached that temperature)

 $<sup>^1</sup>$ This step is optional for BDD-based monitors as the monitors will be built automatically when necessary. For RV on infinite-state systems, calling build\_monitor is now a must before further RV commands, because an important parameter, the maximal bound k for BMC solvers, must be specified here.

- $-h \rightarrow (h' \leftrightarrow \neg f)$  (if the system is heating, it remains so unless there is a fault)
- $-(\neg h) \rightarrow (h' \leftrightarrow (s \land \neg f))$  (if the system is not heating and is not faulty can be switched on with the command s)
- $f \rightarrow f'$  (the fault is permanent)

Suppose that we can only observe the temperature and the switching command, and that we want to monitor the following property:  $\varphi_1 = \mathbf{G}(s \to \mathbf{F}(t=100))$ , i.e., whenever the heating system is switched on, the temperature will eventually reach the temperature of 100. The assumption can be exploited by the ABRV monitor to deduce for example that whenever the temperature decreases, there was a fault and so the temperature will never reach the desired level. Thus, the monitor can detect the violation of a property that, without assumption, would not be monitorable.

More specifically, consider the finite trace of observations  $u = \{t \mapsto 0, s \mapsto \top\}, \{t \mapsto 20, s \mapsto \bot\}, \{t \mapsto 10, s \mapsto \top\}$ . Since, without considering the assumption, there is a continuation of u satisfying  $\varphi_1$  and one violating  $\varphi_1$ , a standard RV monitor is inconclusive (the output is ?). Considering K as assumption, all traces of K compatible with u violate  $\varphi$ . Thus,  $[u, 0 \models \varphi_1]_4^K = \bot^a$ .

Suppose instead the we monitor the stronger property:  $\mathbf{G}(s \to \mathbf{F}^{\leq 7}(t=100))$ , i.e, whenever the heating system is switched on, the temperature will reach the temperature of 100 within 7 steps. In this case, from the assumption on the rates of the temperature, the ABRV monitor can deduce that after a number of steps, if the temperature is still low, it will not reach the level in time. For example, if after 4 steps, the temperature is still less than 40, even with the maximum rate, it will not reach 100 in other 3 steps. Thus, at runtime, the monitor can say that the property is violated 3 steps in advance.

The following SMV file (saved as example\_temp.smv) implements the above RV assumption:

```
MODULE main
VAR heating: boolean;
VAR temp: real;
VAR switch_on: boolean;
VAR fault_heat: boolean;
TNTT
temp=0
INVAR.
temp >= 0 \& temp <= 100
heating -> ((temp=100 & next(temp)=100) | (next(temp)>=temp + 10 & next(temp)<=temp + 20))
(!heating) -> ((temp=0 & next(temp)=0) | (next(temp)<=temp - 10 & next(temp)>=temp - 20))
TRANS
heating -> (next(heating)=!fault_heat)
(!heating) -> (next(heating)=(switch_on & !fault_heat))
TRANS
fault_heat -> next(fault_heat)
  The above mentioned sample trace can be implemented below (saved as trace.xml):
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<counter-example type="0" id="1" desc="LTL Counterexample">
  <node><state id="1">
    <value variable="temp">0</value>
    <value variable="switch_on">TRUE</value>
  </state></node>
  <node><state id="2">
    <value variable="temp">20</value>
```

```
<value variable="switch_on">FALSE</value>
  </state></node>
  <node><state id="3">
    <value variable="temp">10</value>
    <value variable="switch_on">TRUE</value>
  </state></node>
</counter-example>
  The next batch command, saved in test.cmd, first defines two new LTL properties and then verify them
againt the above trace:
go_msat
add_property -l -n "p1" -p "G (switch_on -> F (temp=100))"
add_property -1 -n "p2" -p "G (switch_on -> F [0,7] (temp=100))"
read_trace trace.xml
show_traces 1
show_property -P "p1"
echo Monitoring p1 ...
verify_property -x -P "p1" 1
show_property -P "p2"
echo Monitoring p2 ...
verify_property -x -P "p2" 1
quit
  Below are outputs of the above batch commands:
Trace is stored at 1 index
   Trace Description: LTL Counterexample
Trace Type: Counterexample
 -> State: 1.1 <-
   temp = 0
   switch_on = TRUE
 -> State: 1.2 <-
   temp = 20
   switch_on = FALSE
 -> State: 1.3 <-
   temp = 10
   switch_on = TRUE
**** PROPERTY LIST [ Type, Status, Counter-example Number, Name ] ****
----- PROPERTY LIST ------
005 : G (switch_on -> F temp = 100)
  [LTL
                Unchecked
                             N/A
                                     p1]
Monitoring p1 ...
2, unknown
3, unknown
Maximum bound reached
4, false
**** PROPERTY LIST [ Type, Status, Counter-example Number, Name ] ****
----- PROPERTY LIST
006 : G (switch_on \rightarrow F [0,7] temp = 100)
  [LTL
                Unchecked
                              N/A
Monitoring p2 ...
2, unknown
3, unknown
```

4, false

# **Chapter 7**

# **Commands**

### 7.1 Commands available in all NuRV variants

The command verify\_property can be used as an offline monitor: it takes input from a trace, and output runtime verification results on each state of the trace, outputting 4-valued results: *true*, *false*, *unknown* and *error* (*out-of-model*). The output can be written into a file, in CSV-based text format.

The command build\_monitor and generate\_monitor together synthesize the symbolic runtime monitor into explicit-state monitor program in C, Lisp or SMV. The C and Lisp versions can be compiled into monitor program modules as part of a large runtime monitoring solution. The SMV version is intented for model checking on the correctness of runtime monitors.

**verify\_property** - Verify a property against a trace using runtime verification techniques

Command

verify\_property [-h] [-n number | -P "name"] | [-p "formula [IN context]"] [-r]
[-x] [-e number] [-o filename] trace\_number[.from\_state[:[to\_state]]]

Verifies the specified property taken from the property list, or adds the new specified property and verifies it.

#### **Command Options:**

Prints the command usage. -h Verifies the property with index number in the prop--n number erty database. -P "name" Verifies the property named "name" in the property database. Verifies the formula specified on the command-line. -p "formula [IN context]" context is the module instance name where the variables in formula must be evaluated. Always reset (ptLTL special mode). -r -o filename Save verification results to the file given by filename. RV on infinite-state systems. -xEngine of RV for infinite-state systems (0: simple, 1: -e number optimized, 2: incremental (default)) trace\_number The (ordinal) identifier number of the trace to be

verified.

from\_state Denotes left end of the trace slice to be verified.

to\_state Denotes right end of the trace slice to be verified.

#### **build\_monitor** - Builds a runtime monitor for a ltl-expr property

Command

build\_monitor [-h] [-x] [-k number] [-c "constr"] [-C file] [[-n number | -P
"name"] | [-p "formula [IN context]"]]

#### **Command Options:**

-h Prints the command usage.

-n number Build monitor for the property with index number in

the property database.

-P name Build monitor for the property named name in the

property database.

-p "formula Build monitor for the formula specified on the command-line. context is the module instance name

where the variables in formula must be evaluated.

-x RV on infinite-state systems.

-k number The max\_k (maximal search bound) of Bounded

Model Checking.

-c "constr" A Boolean expression of observables as a cube of

Boolean variables.

-C filename A file listing each observables (scalar variable or

Boolean expression)

Remark 7.1. Each line of the file supplied by the parameter -C can be either a proposition (i.e. expression of type Boolean) or a single scalar variable. In the latter case, all underlying bits of the scalar variable are considered observable. If -c is also specified, it is combined with observable propositions given by -C.

#### **generate\_monitor** - Generate standalone explicit-state monitor code.

Command

```
generate_monitor [-h] [-x] [-S] [-n number | -P "name"] [-l level] [-L "lang"] [-v "vars"] [-p] [-f "function"] [-m "module"] -o filename
```

#### Command Options:

-h Prints the command usage.

-n number Outputs the monitor for property with index number

in the property database.

-P name Outputs the monitor for property named name in the

property database.

-1 number Monitor construction level (1-4). Default: 3.

-L lang-v "vars"Target language for code generation.-v be of all variables in the model.

-p Partial observability support (powerset construction)
 -x RV on infinite-state systems. Use with -S to gene

RV on infinite-state systems. Use with -S to generate symbolic infinite-state monitors calling NuRV as a

ate symbolic illimite-state monitors can

shared/dynamic library.

-S Generating symbolic monitors calling NuRV as a

shared/dynamic library.

-f "function" Name of entry function/class in the target language.
 -m "module" Name of module/package in the target language.
 -o filename The filename of generated monitor code (usually also

the name of entry function)

#### **heartbeat** - Send one input state to the monitor (online monitoring).

Command

heartbeat [-h] [-x] [-n number] [-P "name"] [-c "constr" | -s trace.state] [-r] [-R]

### **Command Options:**

-h Prints the command usage.

-n number outputs the monitor for property with index number in

the property database.

-P name outputs the monitor for property named name in the

property database.

-s trace.state Pick an input state from the trace manager.

-r Soft reset the monitor before processing the current

input state.

-R Hard reset the monitor before processing the current

input state.

-x RV on infinite-state systems.

### 7.2 Commands available in certain NuRV variants

The following command is only available in NuRV with network support:

 ${\bf monitor\_server} \text{ -} \textit{Start an online, CORBA-based monitor server}$ 

Command

monitor\_server [-h] [-N IOR] [-i instance]

**Command Options:** 

-h Prints the command usage.

-N IOR IOR string of CORBA name service (default: from the

nameservice variable)

-i instance monitor instance name (default: Service)

# **Bibliography**

- [BCC<sup>+</sup>19] Marco Bozzano, Roberto Cavada, Alessandro Cimatti, Michele Dorigatti, Alberto Griggio, Alessandro Mariotti, Andrea Micheli, Sergio Mover, Marco Roveri, and Stefano Tonetta. *nuXmv* 2.0.0 *User Manual*, 2019.
- [CGMT14] Alessandro Cimatti, Alberto Griggio, Sergio Mover, and Stefano Tonetta. IC3 Modulo Theories via Implicit Predicate Abstraction. In Erika Ábrahám and Klaus Havelund, editors, *TACAS*, volume 8413 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 46–61. Springer, 2014.
- [CGSS13] Alessandro Cimatti, Alberto Griggio, Bastiaan Joost Schaafsma, and Roberto Sebastiani. The Math-SAT5 SMT Solver. In LNCS 7795 - Tools and Algorithms for the Construction and Analysis of Systems (TACAS 2013), pages 93–107. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, February 2013.
- [CTT19a] Alessandro Cimatti, Chun Tian, and Stefano Tonetta. Assumption-Based Runtime Verification with Partial Observability and Resets. In Bernd Finkbeiner and Leonardo Mariani, editors, *LNCS 11757 Runtime Verification (RV 2019)*, pages 165–184. Springer International Publishing, Porto, Portugal, October 2019.
- [CTT19b] Alessandro Cimatti, Chun Tian, and Stefano Tonetta. NuRV: A nuXmv Extension for Runtime Verification. In Bernd Finkbeiner and Leonardo Mariani, editors, *LNCS 11757 Runtime Verification (RV 2019)*, pages 382–392. Springer International Publishing, Porto, Portugal, October 2019.
- [CTT21] Alessandro Cimatti, Chun Tian, and Stefano Tonetta. Assumption-Based Runtime Verification of Infinite-State Systems. To be published by Runtime Verification 2021 (RV '21), 2021.
- [DAC99] Matthew B Dwyer, George S Avrunin, and James C Corbett. Patterns in Property Specifications for Finite-State Verification. In *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference on Software Engineering*, pages 411–420, New York, USA, 1999. ACM Press.
- [FKRT18] Yliès Falcone, Srdjan Krstic, Giles Reger, and Dmitriy Traytel. A Taxonomy for Classifying Runtime Verification Tools. In Christian Colombo and Martin Leucker, editors, *LNCS 11237 Runtime Verification (RV 2018)*, pages 241–262. Springer, Cham, 2018.

# Appendix A

# LTL patterns

Dwyer's LTL patterns [DAC99] in NuSMV's LTL syntax are given in Table A.1 and A.2.

Table A.1: Dwyer's LTL patterns

ID	Pattern	LTL
0	p is false (Globally)	G (!p)
1	p is false (Before $r$ )	F r -> (!p U r)
2	p is false (After $q$ )	G (q -> G (!p))
3	p is false (Between $q$ and $r$ )	G ((q & !r & F r) -> (!p U r))
4	p is false (After $q$ until $r$ )	G (q & !r -> ((G !p)   (!p U r)))
5	p becomes true (Globally)	F p
6	p becomes true (Before $r$ )	(G !r)   (!r U (p & !r))
7	p becomes true (After $q$ )	G (!q)   F (q & F p)
8	p becomes true (Between $q$ and $r$ )	G (q & !r -> ((G !r)   (!r U (p & !r))))
9	p becomes true (After $q$ until $r$ )	G (q & !r -> (!r U (p & !r)))
10	trans to $p$ occ. $\leq 2$ (Globally)	G (!p)   (!p U (G p   (p U (G (!p)
		(!p U (G p   (p U (G (!p))))))))
11	trans to $p$ occ. $\leq 2$ (Before $r$ )	Fr -> ((!p & !r) U (r   ((p & !r) U (r
		((!p & !r) U (r   ((p & !r) U (r   (!p U r))))))))
12	trans to $p$ occ. $\leq 2$ (After $q$ )	F q -> (!q U (q & (G (!p)   (!p U (G p
		(p U (G (!p)   (!p U (G p   (p U (G !p))))))))))
13	trans to $p$ occ. $\leq 2$ (Betw. $q$ and $r$ )	G ((q & F r) -> ((!p & !r) U (r   ((p & !r) U (r
		((!p & !r) U (r   ((p & !r) U (r   (!p U r))))))))
14	trans to $p$ occ. $\leq 2$ (After $q$ until $r$ )	G (q -> ((!p & !r) U (r   ((p & !r) U (r   ((!p & !r) U
		(r   ((p & !r) U (r   (G (!p)   (!p U r))   G p)))))))))
15	p is true (Globally)	G p
16	p is true (Before $r$ )	F r -> (p U r)
17	p is true (After $q$ )	$G (q \rightarrow G p)$
18	p is true (Between $q$ and $r$ )	G ((q & !r & F r) -> (p U r))
_19	p is true (After $q$ until $r$ )	G (q & !r -> (G p   (p U r)))
20	s precedes $p$ (Globally)	G (!p)   (!p U s)
21	$s  ext{ precedes } p  ext{ (Before } r)$	F r -> (!p U (s   r))
22	s  precedes  p  (After  q)	G (!q)   F (q & (G (!p)   (!p U s)))
23	s precedes $p$ (Between $q$ and $r$ )	G ((q & !r & F r) -> (!p U (s   r)))
_24	s  precedes  p  (After  q  until  r)	G (q & !r -> (G (!p)   (!p U (s   r))))

Table A.2: Dwyer's LTL patterns (continued)

D   Pattern
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
31
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
46 $s, t$ responds to p (Before $r$ ) 47 $s, t$ responds to p (After $q$ )  Fr -> (p -> (!r U (s & !r & X (!r U t)))) U r  G (q -> G (p -> (s & X (F t))))
47 $s, t$ responds to p (After q) G (q -> G (p -> (s & X (F t))))
48 $s, t$ responds to p (Between $q$ and $r$ ) $G$ ((q & F r) ->
(p -> (!r U (s & !r & X (!r U t)))) U r)
49 $s, t$ responds to p (After q until r) $G (q \rightarrow (p \rightarrow (!r U (s \& !r \& X (!r U t))))$
U (r   G (p -> (s & X (F t)))))
50 $s, t \text{ w/o } z \text{ resp. to } p \text{ (Globally)}$ G (p -> F (s & !z & X (!z U t)))
51 $s, t$ w/o z resp. to p (Before $r$ )
(p -> (!r U (s & !r & !z & X ((!r & !z) U t)))) U r
52 $s, t$ w/o z resp. to p (After $q$ ) G (q -> G (p -> (s & !z & X (!z U t))))
53 $s, t$ w/o z resp. to p (Betw. $q$ and $r$ ) $G$ ((q & F r) -> (p -> (!r U
(s & !r & !z & X ((!r & !z) U t)))) U r)
54 $s, t$ w/o z resp. to p (After $q$ until $r$ ) $G$ (q -> (p -> (!r U (s & !r & !z & X ((!r & !z)
U t)))) U (r   G (p -> (s & !z & X (!z U t)))))

# **Command Index**

build\_monitor, 33 generate\_monitor, 33 heartbeat, 34 monitor\_server, 34 verify\_property, 32