Interactive Theorem Proving in HOL4

Course 11: More Tactics

Dr Chun TIAN chun.tian@anu.edu.au



Acknowledgement of Country

We acknowledge and celebrate the First Australians on whose traditional lands we meet, and pay our respect to the elders past and present.

More information about Acknowledgement of Country can be found here and here



More tactics: irule and drule (1)

irule as a better MATCH_MP_TAC

When applied to a theorem of the form

$$A \vdash \forall x_1 \ldots x_n. s \Rightarrow \forall y_1 \ldots y_m. t \Rightarrow \forall z_1 \ldots z_k. \frac{u}{v}$$

irule produces a tactic that reduces a goal whose conclusion u' is a substitution and/or type instance of u to the corresponding instances of s and of t. Any variables free in s or t but not in u will be existentially quantified in the resulting subgoal.

$$\frac{A \vdash u'}{A \vdash (\exists z.s') \land (\exists w.t')} \text{ irule } (\forall x.s \Rightarrow \forall y.t \Rightarrow u)$$

If without irule

One has to (repeatly) call MP_TAC (Q.SPECL [...] th) to instantiate $x_1 ... x_n$ and then push the remaining part $(s' \Rightarrow \forall y_1 ... y_m. t' \Rightarrow \forall z_1 ... z_k. u')$ into the goal, etc.

3 HOL mini course C. Ti

More tactics: irule and drule (2)

drule

If theorem th is of the form $A \vdash t$, where t is of the form

$$\forall x_1 \dots x_n. P \land \dots \Rightarrow Q$$
 or $\forall x_1 \dots x_n. P \Rightarrow Q$

then drule th looks for an assumption that matches the pattern P in t. It then performs instantiation of th's universally quantified and free variables, transforms any conjunctions on the left into a minimal number of chained implications, and calls MP once to generate a consequent theorem $A \vdash t'$. This theorem is then passed to MP_TAC, turning the goal from g to $t' \Rightarrow g$.

HOL mini course