## Errata to Unitarity of The Modular Tensor Categories Associated to Unitary Vertex Operator Algebras, I

## Bin Gui

## October 19, 2024

- 1. In the published version, the annihilation operator  $\mathcal{Y}_{ii}$  is misprinted as  $\mathcal{Y}_{ii}$ . (See for instance Eq. (1.41) (1.42).) The notations in the preprints are correct.
- 2. The proof of Lem. 3.8-(a) is too frivolous. A more serious argument is as follows. One should first understand in which sense (3.20) holds, i.e.

$$\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{V}} \widehat{f}(s)\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, s)w^{(j)}$$

At the beginning, we only know that it holds when evaluated with any vector of  $W_k$ . Therefore, it holds in the algebraic completion  $\overline{W_k}$  where the RHS converges in the  $\sigma(\overline{W_k}, W_k)$ -topology to the LHS.

Equivalently, if for each  $\lambda \geqslant 0$  we let  $P_{\lambda}$  be the projection of  $\overline{W_k}$  onto its  $L_0$ -weight  $\lambda$  subspace, then

$$P_{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, f) w^{(j)} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_{V}} \widehat{f}(s) P_{\lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, s) w^{(j)}$$

where the RHS is a finite sum. One can also replace  $P_{\lambda}$  with  $P_{\leqslant \lambda}$  where  $P_{\leqslant \lambda} = \sum_{0 \leqslant \mu \leqslant \lambda} P_{\mu}$ , noting that all but finitely many  $\mu$  in  $[0, \lambda]$  satisfy that  $P_{\mu} \neq 0$ . Namely

$$P_{\leqslant \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, f) w^{(j)} = \sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_V} \widehat{f}(s) P_{\leqslant \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, s) w^{(j)}$$
(1)

Now one proves Lem. 3.8-(a) as follows. Similar to (3.21), one shows that

$$\sum_{s \in \mathbb{Z}_V} \|\widehat{f}(s)P_{\leq \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, s)w^{(j)}\|_p \leq M_p \|f\|_{V, |p|+t} \|w^{(j)}\|_{p+r}$$
(2)

for all  $\lambda$ , noting that the LHS is a finite sum. This, together with (1), shows that

$$||P_{\leq \lambda} \mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, f) w^{(j)}||_{p} \leq M_{p} |f|_{V, |p|+t} ||w^{(j)}||_{p+r}$$

Since  $\lambda$  is arbitrary, we conclude that  $\mathcal{Y}_{\alpha}(w^{(i)}, f)w^{(j)}$ , a priori only a vector of  $\overline{W}_k$ , belongs to  $\mathcal{H}_k^p$  (for all p).