HTML: Background and History

Q: Instead of ,
can I create a <highlight> element?

```
 The homework is
  <highlight>due Friday</highlight>.
```


```
highlight {
  background-color: yellow;
}
```

Q: Does this even work?

This renders correctly:




This renders correctly:



But you shouldn't do this!

It is non-standard behavior.

This renders correctly:



What?!?!?

But you shouldn't do this!

It is non-standard behavior.

What?!

- What is "standard" HTML?
- Why does invalid HTML/CSS still work sometimes?
 - If my Java code is wrong, I get a compiler error... If my HTML or CSS is wrong, why don't I get an error?
- Why does it matter that I follow "standard" HTML?

A very brief history of HTML

History



Tim Berners-Lee

- 1989: World Wide Web created (WWW: web pages and the protocol in which they are served HTTP/HTTPS)
- 1994: World Wide Web Consortium created
 - "W3C": Goal to maintain and develop standards about how the web should work
 - Oversees <u>several languages</u>:
 - HTML, CSS, DOM, XML, etc
- 1997: "HTML4" published
 - The first major stable version of HTML

Degrading gracefully


The W3C HTML spec lists several <u>design principles</u>, and one is degrading gracefully:



"An escalator can never break: it can only become stairs"

This is why browsers do a **best-effort** to render non-standard ("invalid") HTML and CSS.

Best-effort rendering



It's also why <highlight> "works", even though it's Invalid HTML.

Why not enforce strict HTML?

It's super weird that:

- Browsers don't fail when given invalid HTML / CSS
- Browsers not only don't fail, but they render invalid
 HTML/CSS seemingly "correctly"

Q: Why doesn't the browser reject poorly written HTML/CSS?

Why not enforce strict HTML?

It's super weird that:

- Browsers don't fail when given invalid HTML / CSS
- Browsers not only don't fail, but they render invalid
 HTML/CSS seemingly "correctly"

Q: Why doesn't the browser reject poorly written HTML/CSS?

A: There was a (failed) attempt to enforce this, but it was too late: the Internet grew too big!

The nerdy, mostly* accurate backstory for HTML today

*I would be more accurate, but it's hard to get valid sources online... so I'm going off of what I can + the lore I've heard while working on a browser.


State of the world, 1997:






Standards say one thing,





Browsers do another thing,



Developers write weird, non-standard code.

State of the world, 1997:





In 1997, things are kind of a mess!




Standard one thing,

another thing,


lopers writerd, non-standard code.

2000ish:




(This was the proposal of XHTML 1.1)

2000ish: (not totally accurate)



2004: WHATWG formed





(break approx. 64 million websites)











Let's work on HTML5

(an imperfect but realistic standard)

Fast forward 2017?!







- W3C gave up XHTML 1.1 in 2007
- W3C and WHATWG are mostly friends (I think), though they are still separate entities
- Can still find some snarky quotes on <u>WHATWG website</u>

"HTML5" vs HTML

W3C maintains **HTML5**:

- More stable version of WHATWG's HTML
- Usually copies what WHATWG does after the dust settles



WHATWG maintains **HTML**: The Living Standard

- No number, no versions
- Updated frequently and being updated today!
- Most browsers implement WHATWG
- This is why I don't say "HTML5"



```
THE LIFE OF PABLO
```

What you need to know

Q: What HTML elements can I choose from?

Check MDN's list of HTML tags

Q: How do I know if an HTML tag (or CSS property, or JS feature) is implemented on all browsers?

Check <u>caniuse.com</u>

Q: Why shouldn't I use non-standard HTML/CSS/JavaScript, even if it works in every browser?

What you need to know

Q: What HTML elements can I choose from?

Check MDN's list of HTML tags

Q: How do I know if an HTML tag (or CSS property, or JS feature) is implemented on all browsers?

- Check <u>caniuse.com</u>

Q: Why shouldn't I use non-standard HTML/CSS/JavaScript, even if it works in every browser?

- Because it won't be guaranteed to work in the future
- Because it won't be guaranteed to work on all "user agents" (not just browsers)

What you need to know

Q: Wouldn't it be super useful to create custom elements?

- Yes! There is a <u>spec for this</u> currently under development.
 - (Note that custom elements are not really meant for our example; custom elements are meant for defining custom behavior and not just style. For defining style, CSS classes/ids are still most appropriate.)

Validate your HTML: http://validator.w3.org/