First let's attach our packages and generate our example data in R.

library(wrapr)

×1

d <- build frame(</pre>

```
"x1" , "x2", "y" |
    1 , 1 , TRUE |
        , 0 , FALSE |
    1 , 0 , TRUE |
    1 , 1 , FALSE |
    0 , 0 , TRUE |
    0 , 1 , TRUE |
    0 , 1 , FALSE |
    0 , 0 , FALSE |
        , 0 , TRUE )
# cat(wrapr::draw frame(d))
knitr::kable(d)
x1 x2 y
 1 1 TRUE
 1 0 FALSE
 1 0 TRUE
 1 1 FALSE
 0 0 TRUE
 0 1 TRUE
 0 1 FALSE
 0 0 FALSE
 0 0 TRUE
Now, we fit our logistic regression model.
model <- glm(y \sim x1 + x2)
            data = d,
            family = binomial())
summary(model)
##
## Call:
## glm(formula = y \sim x1 + x2, family = binomial(), data = d)
## Deviance Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q
                                       Max
## -1.4213 -1.2572 0.9517 1.0996 1.2572
##
## Coefficients:
          Estimate Std. Error z value Pr(>|z|)
## (Intercept) 0.5572 1.0784 0.517 0.605
```

1.3644 -0.272

0.785

-0.3715

```
## x2
                 -0.3715 1.3644 -0.272 0.785
##
## (Dispersion parameter for binomial family taken to be 1)
##
##
       Null deviance: 12.365 on 8 degrees of freedom
## Residual deviance: 12.201 on 6 degrees of freedom
## AIC: 18.201
##
## Number of Fisher Scoring iterations: 4
We land our model predictions as a new column.
d$prediction <- predict(model,
                          newdata = d,
                          type = 'response')
knitr::kable(d)
x1 x2 y
         prediction
 1 1 TRUE 0.4537010
 1 0 FALSE 0.5462990
 1 0 TRUE 0.5462990
 1 1 FALSE 0.4537010
 0 0 TRUE 0.6358007
 0 1 TRUE 0.5462990
 0 1 FALSE 0.5462990
 0 0 FALSE 0.6358007
 0 0 TRUE 0.6358007
We can see this model is calibrated or unbiased in the sense E[prediction] =
E[outcome].
colMeans(d[, qc(y, prediction)]) %.>%
  knitr::kable(.)
        0.555556
prediction 0.555556
And it is even calibrated in the sense we expect for logistic regression, E[prediction * x]
= E[outcome * x] (where x is any explanatory variable).
for(v in qc(x1, x2)) {
 print(paste0(
    v, ' diff: ',
    mean(d[[v]] * d$prediction) - mean(d[[v]] * d$y)))
}
## [1] "x1 diff: 2.77555756156289e-17"
## [1] "x2 diff: 5.55111512312578e-17"
```

However, we can see this model is not "fully calibrated" in an additional sense requiring that

We can re-calibrate such a model (in practice you would want to do this on out of sample data using isotonic regression, or using cross-frame methods to avoid nested model bias).

```
cal_map <- cal$prediction := cal$y
d$calibrated <- cal_map[as.character(d$prediction)]
knitr::kable(d)</pre>
```

x1 x2 y prediction calibrated

```
1 1 TRUE 0.4537010 0.5000000
1 0 FALSE 0.5462990 0.5000000
1 0 TRUE 0.5462990 0.5000000
1 1 FALSE 0.4537010 0.5000000
0 0 TRUE 0.6358007 0.6666667
0 1 TRUE 0.5462990 0.5000000
0 1 FALSE 0.5462990 0.5000000
0 0 FALSE 0.6358007 0.6666667
0 0 TRUE 0.6358007 0.6666667
```

This new calibrated prediction is also calibrated in the standard sense.

```
colMeans(d[, qc(y, prediction, calibrated)]) %.>%
    knitr::kable(.)

x

y     0.5555556
prediction 0.5555556
calibrated 0.5555556
```

And, at least in this case, still obeys the explanatory roll-up conditions.

```
for(v in qc(x1, x2)) {
  print(paste0(
    v, ' diff: ',
    mean(d[[v]] * d$calibrated) - mean(d[[v]] * d$y)))
}
## [1] "x1 diff: 0"
## [1] "x2 diff: 0"
```

The new calibrated predictions are even of lower deviance than the original predictions.

The reason the original logistic model could not make the calibrated predictions is: the calibrated predictions are not a linear function of the explanatory variables in link space.