Explore data

Our modeling goal is to predict whether a post office is in Hawaii or not based on the name of the office in this week's #TidyTuesday dataset.

Let's start by reading in the data.

```
library(tidyverse)

post_offices <- read_csv("https://raw.githubusercontent.com/
rfordatascience/tidytuesday/master/data/2021/2021-04-13/post_offices.csv")</pre>
```

How many post offices are there in each state?

```
post offices %>%
 count(state, sort = TRUE)
## # A tibble: 53 x 2
##
  state n
##
## 1 PA
          8534
          7772
## 2 TX
         7432
7085
6547
## 3 KY
## 4 VA
## 5 NC
          6232
## 6 MO
## 7 NY
          6111
          6025
5754
## 8 TN
## 9 GA
## 10 OH
            5537
## # ... with 43 more rows
```

In Hawaii, the names of the post offices are unique, but there are not many at all, compared to the other states.

```
post offices %>%
 filter(state == "HI") %>%
 pull(name)
## [1] "AIEA"
                              "ANAHOLA"
                                                    "CANTON ISLAND"
## [4] "CAPTAIN COOK"
                              "COCONUT ISLAND"
                                                   "ELEELE"
  [7] "EWA"
                              "EWA BEACH"
                                                    "FERNDALE"
## [10] "FORT KAMEHAMEHA"
                            "FORT SHAFTER"
                                                    "GLENWOOD"
## [13] "HAIKU"
                              "HAINA"
                                                    "HAIULA"
## [16] "HAKALAU"
                              "HALAULA"
                                                    "HALAWA"
## [19] "HALEIWA"
                              "HALEIWA"
                                                    "HALIIMAILE
RURAL BR."
## [22] "HAMAKUA"
                              "HAMAKUAPOKO"
                                                   "HAMOA"
                              "HANALEI"
## [25] "HANA"
                                                    "HANAMAULU"
                              "HATANLA"
## [28] "HANAPEPE"
                                                    "HAUULA"
## [31] "HAUULA"
                              "HAWAII NATIONAL PARK" "HAWI"
```

##	[34]	"HEEIA"	"HICKAM FIELD BR."	"HILEA"
##	[37]	"HILO"	"HOLUALOA"	"HOMESTEAD"
##	[40]	"HONALUA"	"HONAUNAU"	"HONOKAA"
##	[43]	"HONOKOHAU"	"HONOKOHUA"	"HONOLUA"
##	[46]	"HONOLULU"	"HONOMU"	"HONOULIULI"
##	[49]	"HONUAPO"	"HOOKENA"	"HOOLEHUA"
##	[52]	"HOOPULOA"	"HUEHUE"	"HUELO"
##	[55]	"KAAAWA"	"KAALAEA"	"KAANAPALI"
##	[58]	"KAHAKULOA"	"KAHANA"	"KAHUKU"
##	[61]	"KAHULUI"	"KAI MALINO"	"KAILUA"
##	[64]	"KAILUA KONA"	"KALAE"	"KALAHEO"
##	[67]	"KALAPANA"	"KALAPANA"	"KALAUPAPA"
##	[70]	"KALAWAO"	"KALOA"	"KAMALO"
##	[73]	"KAMUELA"	"KANEOHE"	"KAPAA"
##	[76]	"KAPAA"	"KAPAAU"	"KAPOHO"
##	[79]	"KAPOLEI"	"KAULAWAI"	"KAUMAKANI"
##	[82]	"KAUNAKAKAI"	"KAUPO"	"KAWAIHAE"
##	[85]	"KAWAILOA"	"KEAAU"	"KEAAU"
##	[88]	"KEAHUA"	"KEALAKEKUA"	"KEALIA"
##	[91]	"KEANAE"	"KEAUHOU"	"KEKAHA"
##	[94]	"KEOKEA"	"KEOMUKU"	"KIHEI"
##	[97]	"KILAUEA"	"KIPAHULU"	"KOHALA"
##	[100]	"KOLOA"	"KONA"	"KUALAPUU"
##	[103]	"KUKUAIAU"	"KUKUIHAELE"	"KUNIA"
##	[106]	"KURTISTOWN"	"LAHAINA"	"LAIE"
##	[109]	"LALAMILO"	"LANAI CITY"	"LANIKAI"
##	[112]	"LAUPAHOEHOE"	"LAWAI"	"LIBBYVILLE"
##	[115]	"LIHUE"	"LUKE FIELD BR."	"MAHUKONA"
##	[118]	"MAKAWAO"	"MAKAWELI"	"MAKENA"
##	[121]	"MAKUA"	"MANA"	"MAUNA LOA"
##	[124]	"MAUNALOA"	"MAUNAWEI"	"MIDWAY ISLAND"
##	[127]	"MOUNTAINVIEW"	"NAALEHU"	"NAHIKU"
##	[130]	"NAHIKU"	"NANAKULI"	"NANAKULI"
##	[133]	"NAPOOPOO"	"NINOLE"	"OLAA"
##	[136]	"OLLA PLANTATION"	"OLOWALU"	"OOKALA"
##	[139]	"OPIHIKAO"	"PAAUHAU"	"PAAUILO"
##	[142]	"PAHALA"	"PAHOA"	"PAIA"
##	[145]	"PAPAALOA"	"PAPAIKOU"	"PAPAIKOU"
##	[148]	"PAUWELA"	"PEAHI"	"PEARL CITY"
##	[151]	"PEARL HARBOR"	"PEARL HARBOR"	"PELEKUNU"
##	[154]	"PEPEEKEO"	"POHOKI"	"PRINCEVILLE"
##	[157]	"PUHI"	"PUKALANI"	"PUKOO"
##	[160]	"PUKOO"	"PUNALUU"	"PUULOA HALE
BR.	. "			
##	[163]	"PUUNENE"	"ROOSEVELT"	"SCHOFIELD
BARRACKS"				
##	[166]	"SPRECKELSVILLE"	"SPRECKELSVILLE"	"SUNSET BEACH"
##	[169]	"ULUPALAKUA"	"ULUPALAKUA"	"VOLCANO"
##	[172]	"VOLCANO HOUSE"	"WAHIAWA"	"WAIAHOLE"
##	[175]	"WAIAKOA"	"WAIALEE"	"WAIALUA"
##	[178]	"WAIANAE"	"WAIHEE"	"WAIHEE"
##	[181]	"WAIKANE"	"WAIKAUPU"	"WAIKOLOA"

```
## [184] "WAILUKU" "WAIMANALO" "WAIMEA"

## [187] "WAIMEA" "WAINIHA" "WAIOHINU"

## [190] "WAIPAHU" "WAIPIO" "WATERTOWN"
```

Build a model

We can start by loading the tidymodels metapackage, splitting our data into training and testing sets, and creating cross-validation samples. Think about this stage as *spending your data budget*.

```
library(tidymodels)
set.seed(123)
po_split <- post_offices %>%
 mutate(state = case when(
   state == "HI" ~ "Hawaii",
   TRUE ~ "Other"
 )) 응>응
 select(name, state) %>%
 initial split(strate = state)
po train <- training(po split)</pre>
po test <- testing(po split)</pre>
set.seed(234)
po_folds <- vfold_cv(po_train, strata = state)</pre>
po_folds
## # 10-fold cross-validation using stratification
## # A tibble: 10 x 2
     splits
##
                              id
##
##
   1 Fold01
   2 Fold02
##
## 3 Fold03
## 4 Fold04
   5 Fold05
##
## 6 Fold06
##
   7 Fold07
## 8 Fold08
## 9 Fold09
## 10 Fold10
```

Next, let's create our feature engineering recipe. Let's tokenize using byte pair encoding; this is an algorithm that iteratively merges frequently occurring subword pairs and gets us information in between character-level and word-level. You can read more about byte pair encoding in this section of *Supervised Machine Learning for Text Analysis in R*.

```
library(textrecipes)
library(themis)

po_rec <- recipe(state ~ name, data = po_train) %>%
    step tokenize(name,
```

```
engine = "tokenizers.bpe",
   training options = list(vocab size = 200)
  ) 응>응
  step tokenfilter(name, max tokens = 200) %>%
  step tf(name) %>%
  step_normalize(all_predictors()) %>%
 step smote(state)
po_rec
## Data Recipe
##
## Inputs:
##
##
     role #variables
##
    outcome
## predictor
                       1
##
## Operations:
##
## Tokenization for name
## Text filtering for name
## Term frequency with name
## Centering and scaling for all predictors()
## SMOTE based on state
```

We also are upsampling this very imbalanced data set via step_smote() from the themis package. The results of this data preprocessing show us the subword features.

```
po_rec %>%
 prep() %>%
 bake(new data = NULL)
## # A tibble: 248,932 x 201
## `tf_name_-` tf_name_'` `tf_name_'S` `tf_name_/`
`tf name &`
##
## 1
        -0.0203 \quad -0.0184 \quad -0.0346 \quad -0.165
                                                 -0.0102
-0.00567
## 2 -0.0203 102. -0.0346
                                   -0.165
                                                -0.0102
-0.00567
## 3
        -0.0203 -0.0184 -0.0346
                                        -0.165
                                                 -0.0102
-0.00567
        -0.0203 \quad -0.0184 \quad -0.0346 \quad -0.165 \quad -0.0102
## 4
-0.00567
## 5
        -0.0203 \quad -0.0184 \quad -0.0346 \quad -0.165
                                                -0.0102
-0.00567
## 6
        -0.0203 -0.0184
                          -0.0346
                                       -0.165
                                                 -0.0102
-0.00567
## 7
        -0.0203 -0.0184 -0.0346 -0.165
                                                 -0.0102
-0.00567
## 8 -0.0203 -0.0184 -0.0346
                                       -0.165 -0.0102
```

```
-0.00567
## 9 -0.0203 -0.0184 -0.0346 -0.165 -0.0102
-0.00567
## 10
         -0.0203 \quad -0.0184 \quad -0.0346 \quad -0.165 \quad -0.0102
-0.00567
\#\# \# ... with 248,922 more rows, and 195 more variables: tf name \hat{\ } ,
      tf name __ , tf name __A , tf name __AL , tf name __B ,
## #
      tf_name__BE , tf_name__BL , tf_name__BR , tf_name__C ,
      tf_name__CENT , tf_name__CH , tf_name__CITY ,
## #
## #
      tf_name__CL , tf_name__CO , tf_name__CREEK ,
## #
      tf_name__D , tf_name__E , tf_name__EL , tf_name__F ,
####
      tf name __G , tf name __GRO , tf name __GROVE ,
## #
      tf name __H , tf name __HILL , tf name __J , tf name __K ,
## #
      tf_name__L , tf_name__LAKE , tf_name__LE , tf_name__M ,
      tf name __MILL , tf name __MILLS , tf name __MO ,
## #
## #
      tf_name__MOUNT , tf_name__N , tf_name__NEW ,
      tf_name__NOR , tf_name__O , tf_name__P , tf_name__PO ,
## #
      tf name _R , tf name _RI , tf name _RO , tf name _S ,
## #
      tf_name__SH , tf_name__SP , tf_name__SPRING ,
## #
## #
      tf name __SPRINGS , tf name __ST , tf name __T ,
## #
      tf name __V , tf name __W , tf name __WEST , tf name __WH ,
      tf name 1 , tf name A , tf name AD , tf name AG ,
####
      tf name AK , tf name AKE , tf name AL , tf name ALE ,
####
## #
      tf name ALL , tf name AM , tf name AN , tf name AND ,
## #
      tf_name_ANT , tf_name_AP , tf_name_AR , tf_name_ARD ,
## #
      tf name ARK , tf name ART , tf name AS , tf name AST ,
## #
      tf_name_AT , tf_name_ATION , tf_name_AW , tf_name_AY ,
## #
      tf name B , tf name BER , tf name BUR , tf name BURG ,
## #
      tf name C , tf name CE , tf name CH , tf name CK ,
      tf name CO , tf name D , tf name E , tf name ED ,
####
####
      tf name EE , tf name EL , tf name ELD , tf name ELL ,
## #
      tf name EN , tf name ENT , tf name ER , tf name ERS ,
      tf name ES , tf name EST , \dots
## #
```

Next let's create a model specification for a linear support vector machine. This is a newer model in parsnip, currently in the development version on GitHub. Linear SVMs are often a good starting choice for text models.

```
svm_spec <- svm_linear() %>%
  set_mode("classification") %>%
  set_engine("LiblineaR")

svm_spec

## Linear Support Vector Machine Specification (classification)
##
## Computational engine: LiblineaR
```

Let's put these together in a workflow.

```
po_wf <- workflow() %>%
  add_recipe(po_rec) %>%
  add_model(svm_spec)
```

```
po_wf
## == Workflow =
## Preprocessor: Recipe
## Model: svm linear()
##
## -- Preprocessor --
## 5 Recipe Steps
##
## ● step tokenize()
## • step_tokenfilter()
## • step tf()
## • step_normalize()
## • step_smote()
##
## -- Model ----
## Linear Support Vector Machine Specification (classification)
## Computational engine: LiblineaR
Now let's fit this workflow (that combines feature engineering with the SVM model) to the
resamples we created earlier. The linear SVM model does not support class probabilities, so we
need to set a custom metric set () that only includes metrics for hard clss probabilities.
set.seed(234)
doParallel::registerDoParallel()
po_rs <- fit_resamples(</pre>
 po wf,
  po folds,
  metrics = metric_set(accuracy, sens, spec)
How did we do?
collect metrics(po rs)
## # A tibble: 3 x 6
   .metric .estimator mean n std err .config
##
```

Not too bad, although you can tell we are doing better on one class than the other.

0.972

Fit and evaluate final model

binary

binary

1 accuracy binary

##

2 sens ## 3 spec

Next, let's fit our model on last time to the whole training set at once (rather than resampled

0.971 10 0.000763 Preprocessor1_Model1 0.755 10 0.0386 Preprocessor1_Model1

10 0.000791 Preprocessor1 Model1

data) and evaluate on the testing set. This is the first time we have touched the testing set.

Our performance on the testing set is about the same as what we found with our resampled data, which is good.

We can explore how the model is doing for both the positive and negative classes with a confusion matrix.

```
collect_predictions(final_fitted) %>%
  conf_mat(state, .pred_class)

## Truth
## Prediction Hawaii Other
## Hawaii 43 1273
## Other 10 40209
```

This just really emphasizes what an imbalanced problem this is, but we can see how well we are doing for the post offices in Hawaii vs. the rest of the country.

We are still in the process of building out support for exploring results for the LiblineaR model (like a tidy() method) but in the meantime, you can get out the linear coefficients manually.

```
po fit <- pull workflow fit(final fitted$.workflow[[1]])</pre>
liblinear_obj <- po_fit$fit$W</pre>
liblinear df <- tibble(</pre>
  term = colnames(liblinear obj),
  estimate = liblinear obj[1, ]
)
liblinear df
## # A tibble: 201 x 2
   term estimate
##
##
## 1 tf name - 0.0814
## 2 tf_name_. -0.0664
## 3 tf_name_' 0.0661
## 4 tf name 'S 0.495
## 5 tf name / -0.00675
## 6 tf_name_& -0.0198
## 7 tf_name_` -0.00264
```

```
## 8 tf_name__ -0.0419

## 9 tf_name__A -0.0868

## 10 tf_name__AL 0.201

## # ... with 191 more rows
```

liblinear df %>%

arrange(-estimate)

Those term items are the subwords that the byte pair encoding algorithm found for this data set. We can <code>arrange()</code> them to see which are most important in each direction.

```
## # A tibble: 201 x 2
##
     term estimate
##
## 1 Bias
                      5.52
## 2 tf_name_G 0.990
## 3 tf_name__D 0.975
## 4 tf_name_RI 0.820
## 5 tf_name__T 0.696
## 6 tf_name_AND 0.643
## 7 tf_name_IR 0.578
## 8 tf name GH 0.561
## 9 tf name VER 0.561
## 10 tf_name_AS 0.559
## # ... with 191 more rows
liblinear_df %>%
  arrange(estimate)
## # A tibble: 201 x 2
## term estimate
##
## 1 tf_name_A -0.407

## 2 tf_name_I -0.339

## 3 tf_name_O -0.330

## 4 tf_name_AN -0.320
## 5 tf_name__H -0.319
## 6 tf_name__P -0.281
## 7 tf name ALE -0.280
## 8 tf name U -0.259
## 9 tf_name_OWN -0.258
## 10 tf name __F -0.222
## # ... with 191 more rows
```

Or we can build a visualization.

```
liblinear_df %>%
  filter(term != "Bias") %>%
  group_by(estimate > 0) %>%
  slice_max(abs(estimate), n = 15) %>%
  ungroup() %>%
  mutate(term = str_remove(term, "tf_name_")) %>%
  ggplot(aes(estimate, fct reorder(term, estimate), fill = estimate >
```

8 of 9

```
0)) +
 geom col(alpha = 0.6) +
 geom text(aes(label = term), family = "IBMPlexSans-Medium") +
 scale_fill_discrete(labels = c("More from Hawaii", "Less from
Hawaii")) +
 scale_y_discrete(breaks = NULL) +
 theme(axis.text.y = element_blank()) +
 labs(
   x = "Coefficient from linear SVM",
   y = NULL,
   fill = NULL,
   title = "Which subwords in a US Post Office name are used more in
Hawaii?",
    subtitle = "Subwords like A, I, O, and AN are the strongest
predictors of a post office being in Hawaii"
 )
```

Which subwords in a US Post Office name are used more in Hawaii?

Subwords like A, I, O, and AN are the strongest predictors of a post office being in Hawaii

