# **Data input**

We continue working with vic elec, provided by tsibbledata.

Again, the dataset definition in the current post looks a bit different from the way it did before; it's the shape of the target that differs. This time, y equals x, shifted to the left by one.

The reason we do this is owed to the way we are going to train the network. With *seq2seq*, people often use a technique called "teacher forcing" where, instead of feeding back its own prediction into the decoder module, you pass it the value it *should* have predicted. To be clear, this is done during training only, and to a configurable degree.

```
n timesteps <- 7 * 24 * 2
n forecast <- n timesteps</pre>
vic_elec_get_year <- function(year, month = NULL) {</pre>
  vic elec %>%
    filter(year(Date) == year, month(Date) == if (is.null(month))
month(Date) else month) %>%
    as tibble() %>%
    select (Demand)
}
elec train <- vic elec get year(2012) %>% as.matrix()
elec valid <- vic elec get year(2013) %>% as.matrix()
elec_test <- vic_elec_get_year(2014, 1) %>% as.matrix()
train_mean <- mean(elec_train)</pre>
train_sd <- sd(elec_train)</pre>
elec dataset <- dataset(</pre>
  name = "elec dataset",
  initialize = function(x, n_timesteps, sample_frac = 1) {
    self$n timesteps <- n timesteps</pre>
    self$x <- torch tensor((x - train mean) / train sd)</pre>
    n <- length(self$x) - self$n timesteps - 1</pre>
    self$starts <- sort(sample.int()</pre>
      size = n * sample frac
    ) )
  },
  .getitem = function(i) {
    start <- self$starts[i]</pre>
```

```
end <- start + self$n_timesteps - 1
lag <- 1

list(
    x = self$x[start:end],
    y = self$x[(start+lag):(end+lag)]$squeeze(2)
)

},

.length = function() {
   length(self$starts)
}</pre>
```

Dataset as well as dataloader instantations then can proceed as before.

```
batch_size <- 32

train_ds <- elec_dataset(elec_train, n_timesteps, sample_frac = 0.5)
train_dl <- train_ds %>% dataloader(batch_size = batch_size, shuffle =
TRUE)

valid_ds <- elec_dataset(elec_valid, n_timesteps, sample_frac = 0.5)
valid_dl <- valid_ds %>% dataloader(batch_size = batch_size)

test_ds <- elec_dataset(elec_test, n_timesteps)
test_dl <- test_ds %>% dataloader(batch_size = 1)
```

## Model

Technically, the model consists of three *modules*: the aforementioned encoder and decoder, and the *seq2seq* module that orchestrates them.

#### **Encoder**

The encoder takes its input and runs it through an RNN. Of the two things returned by a recurrent neural network, outputs and state, so far we've only been using output. This time, we do the opposite: We throw away the outputs, and only return the state.

If the RNN in question is a GRU (and assuming that of the outputs, we take just the final time step, which is what we've been doing throughout), there really is no difference: The final state equals the final output. If it's an LSTM, however, there is a second kind of state, the "cell state". In that case, returning the state instead of the final output will carry more information.

```
encoder_module <- nn_module(
  initialize = function(type, input_size, hidden_size, num_layers = 1,
dropout = 0) {
    self$type <- type
    self$rnn <- if (self$type == "gru") {</pre>
```

```
nn_gru(
        input_size = input_size,
        hidden size = hidden size,
        num_layers = num_layers,
        dropout = dropout,
        batch_first = TRUE
      )
    } else {
      nn_lstm(
        input_size = input_size,
        hidden size = hidden size,
        num layers = num layers,
        dropout = dropout,
        batch first = TRUE
      )
    }
  },
  forward = function(x) {
    x <- self rnn(x)
    # return last states for all layers
    # per layer, a single tensor for GRU, a list of 2 tensors for LSTM
   x < -x[[2]]
    Х
)
```

#### **Decoder**

In the decoder, just like in the encoder, the main component is an RNN. In contrast to previously-shown architectures, though, it does not just return a prediction. It also reports back the RNN's final state.

```
decoder_module <- nn_module(
  initialize = function(type, input_size, hidden_size, num_layers = 1)
{
    self$type <- type

    self$rnn <- if (self$type == "gru") {
        nn_gru(
            input_size = input_size,
            hidden_size = hidden_size,
            num_layers = num_layers,
            batch_first = TRUE
        )</pre>
```

```
} else {
      nn lstm(
        input size = input size,
        hidden_size = hidden_size,
        num layers = num layers,
        batch first = TRUE
      )
    }
    self$linear <- nn linear(hidden size, 1)</pre>
  },
  forward = function(x, state) {
    # input to forward:
    # x is (batch size, 1, 1)
    # state is (1, batch size, hidden size)
    x <- self\$rnn(x, state)
    # break up RNN return values
    # output is (batch size, 1, hidden size)
    # next hidden is
    c(output, next hidden) %<-% x
    output <- output$squeeze(2)</pre>
    output <- self$linear(output)</pre>
    list(output, next hidden)
  }
)
```

### seq2seq module

seq2seq is where the action happens. The plan is to encode once, then call the decoder in a loop.

If you look back to decoder forward (), you see that it takes two arguments: x and state.

Depending on the context,  $\times$  corresponds to one of three things: final input, preceding prediction, or prior ground truth.

- The very first time the decoder is called on an input sequence, x maps to the final input
  value. This is different from a task like machine translation, where you would pass in a
  start token. With time series, though, we'd like to continue where the actual
  measurements stop.
- In further calls, we want the decoder to continue from its most recent prediction. It is only logical, thus, to pass back the preceding forecast.
- That said, in NLP a technique called "teacher forcing" is commonly used to speed up

training. With teacher forcing, instead of the forecast we pass the actual ground truth, the thing the decoder should have predicted. We do that only in a configurable fraction of cases, and – naturally – only while training. The rationale behind this technique is that without this form of re-calibration, consecutive prediction errors can quickly erase any remaining signal.

state, too, is polyvalent. But here, there are just two possibilities: encoder state and decoder state.

- The first time the decoder is called, it is "seeded" with the final state from the encoder. Note how this is *the only time* we make use of the encoding.
- From then on, the decoder's own previous state will be passed. Remember how it returns two values, forecast and state?

```
seq2seq module <- nn module(</pre>
  initialize = function(type, input size, hidden size, n forecast,
num layers = 1, encoder dropout = 0) {
    self$encoder <- encoder module(type = type, input size =</pre>
input size,
                                     hidden size = hidden size,
num_layers, encoder dropout)
    self$decoder <- decoder module(type = type, input size =</pre>
input_size,
                                     hidden size = hidden size,
num layers)
   self$n forecast <- n forecast</pre>
  },
  forward = function(x, y, teacher forcing ratio) {
    # prepare empty output
    outputs <- torch zeros(dim(x)[1], self$n forecast)$to(device =
device)
    # encode current input sequence
    hidden <- self$encoder(x)</pre>
    # prime decoder with final input value and hidden state from the
encoder
    out <- self$decoder(x[ , n_timesteps, , drop = FALSE], hidden)</pre>
    # decompose into predictions and decoder state
    # pred is (batch size, 1)
    # state is (1, batch size, hidden size)
    c(pred, state) %<-% out
    # store first prediction
    outputs[ , 1] <- pred$squeeze(2)</pre>
```

```
# iterate to generate remaining forecasts
    for (t in 2:self$n forecast) {
      # call decoder on either ground truth or previous prediction,
plus previous decoder state
      teacher_forcing <- runif(1) < teacher_forcing_ratio</pre>
      input <- if (teacher forcing == TRUE) y[ , t - 1, drop = FALSE]
else pred
      input <- input$unsqueeze(3)</pre>
      out <- self$decoder(input, state)</pre>
      # again, decompose decoder return values
      c(pred, state) %<-% out
      # and store current prediction
      outputs[ , t] <- pred$squeeze(2)</pre>
    outputs
  }
)
net <- seq2seq_module("gru", input_size = 1, hidden size = 32,</pre>
n forecast = n forecast)
# training RNNs on the GPU currently prints a warning that may clutter
# the console
# see https://github.com/mlverse/torch/issues/461
# alternatively, use
# device <- "cpu"
device <- torch_device(if (cuda_is_available()) "cuda" else "cpu")</pre>
net <- net$to(device = device)</pre>
```

# **Training**

The training procedure is *mainly* unchanged. We do, however, need to decide about teacher\_forcing\_ratio, the proportion of input sequences we want to perform recalibration on. In valid\_batch(), this should always be 0, while in train\_batch(), it's up to us (or rather, experimentation). Here, we set it to 0.3.

```
optimizer <- optim_adam(net$parameters, lr = 0.001)

num_epochs <- 50

train_batch <- function(b, teacher_forcing_ratio) {
   optimizer$zero_grad()
   output <- net(b$x$to(device = device), b$y$to(device = device),
   teacher_forcing_ratio)
   target <- b$y$to(device = device)

loss <- nnf_mse_loss(output, target)</pre>
```

```
loss$backward()
  optimizer$step()
  loss$item()
}
valid_batch <- function(b, teacher_forcing_ratio = 0) {</pre>
  output <- net(b$x$to(device = device), b$y$to(device = device),</pre>
teacher forcing ratio)
  target <- b$y$to(device = device)</pre>
  loss <- nnf mse loss(output, target)</pre>
  loss$item()
}
for (epoch in 1:num epochs) {
  net$train()
  train loss <- c()
  coro::loop(for (b in train dl) {
    loss <-train_batch(b, teacher_forcing_ratio = 0.3)</pre>
    train_loss <- c(train_loss, loss)</pre>
  })
  cat(sprintf("\nEpoch %d, training: loss: %3.5f \n", epoch,
mean(train loss)))
  net$eval()
  valid loss <- c()</pre>
  coro::loop(for (b in valid_dl) {
   loss <- valid batch(b)</pre>
    valid loss <- c(valid loss, loss)</pre>
  })
  cat(sprintf("\nEpoch %d, validation: loss: %3.5f \n", epoch,
mean(valid loss)))
Epoch 1, training: loss: 0.37961
Epoch 1, validation: loss: 1.10699
Epoch 2, training: loss: 0.19355
Epoch 2, validation: loss: 1.26462
# ...
```

7 of 9

```
# ...
Epoch 49, training: loss: 0.03233
Epoch 49, validation: loss: 0.62286
Epoch 50, training: loss: 0.03091
Epoch 50, validation: loss: 0.54457
```

It's interesting to compare performances for different settings of teacher\_forcing\_ratio. With a setting of 0.5, training loss decreases a lot more slowly; the opposite is seen with a setting of 0. Validation loss, however, is not affected significantly.

## **Evaluation**

The code to inspect test-set forecasts is unchanged.

```
net$eval()
test_preds <- vector(mode = "list", length = length(test_dl))</pre>
i <- 1
coro::loop(for (b in test dl) {
  input <- b$x
  output <- net(input$to(device = device))</pre>
  preds <- as.numeric(output)</pre>
  test preds[[i]] <- preds</pre>
  i <<- i + 1
})
vic elec jan 2014 <- vic elec %>%
  filter(year(Date) == 2014, month(Date) == 1)
test pred1 <- test preds[[1]]</pre>
test_pred1 <- c(rep(NA, n_timesteps), test_pred1, rep(NA,</pre>
nrow(vic_elec_jan_2014) - n_timesteps - n_forecast))
test pred2 <- test preds[[408]]</pre>
test pred2 <- c(rep(NA, n timesteps + 407), test pred2, rep(NA,
nrow(vic_elec_jan_2014) - 407 - n_timesteps - n_forecast))
test_pred3 <- test_preds[[817]]</pre>
test pred3 <- c(rep(NA, nrow(vic_elec_jan_2014) - n_forecast),</pre>
test pred3)
preds_ts <- vic_elec_jan_2014 %>%
```

```
select(Demand) %>%
add_column(
    mlp_ex_1 = test_pred1 * train_sd + train_mean,
    mlp_ex_2 = test_pred2 * train_sd + train_mean,
    mlp_ex_3 = test_pred3 * train_sd + train_mean) %>%
    pivot_longer(-Time) %>%
    update_tsibble(key = name)

preds_ts %>%
    autoplot() +
    scale_colour_manual(values = c("#08c5d1", "#00353f", "#ffbf66",
"#d46f4d")) +
    theme_minimal()
```

...