

# 24500 HW4

Bin Yu

Feb 6, 2025

## Question 1

From the formula of block matrix, if we have a partitioned matrix

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx} & \Sigma_{xy} \\ \Sigma_{yx} & \Sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix}.$$

A standard result for its inverse (assuming the necessary inverses exist) is

$$\Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} & -\Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \\ -\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} & \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} + \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Sigma_{xx.y} = \Sigma_{xx} - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}.$$

Let

$$\begin{pmatrix} X \\ Y \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_x \\ \mu_y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx} & \Sigma_{xy} \\ \Sigma_{yx} & \Sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

That is,  $(X, Y)$  is jointly normal with mean vector  $\mu = (\mu_x, \mu_y)$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$  as above.

Marginally,

$$X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x, \Sigma_{xx}), \quad Y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_y, \Sigma_{yy}).$$

The joint density is

$$f_{X,Y}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{\frac{n}{2}} |\Sigma|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} x - \mu_x \\ y - \mu_y \end{pmatrix}^T \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x - \mu_x \\ y - \mu_y \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

The conditional density of  $X$  given  $Y = y$  is

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)}.$$

and the marginal density of  $Y$  as

$$f_Y(y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (y - \mu_y)^T \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)\right).$$

Hence,

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) = \frac{f_{X,Y}(x, y)}{f_Y(y)} \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} [(x - \mu_x), (y - \mu_y)] \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x - \mu_x \\ y - \mu_y \end{pmatrix} + \frac{1}{2} (y - \mu_y)^T \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)\right).$$

Calculate the exponential term and factor out  $-\frac{1}{2}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( [(x - \mu_x), (y - \mu_y)] \Sigma^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} x - \mu_x \\ y - \mu_y \end{pmatrix} - (y - \mu_y)^T \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y) \right) \\
&= (x - \mu_x)^T \Sigma_{(x,x)}^{-1} (x - \mu_x) + (x - \mu_x)^T \Sigma_{(x,y)}^{-1} (y - \mu_y) + (y - \mu_y)^T \Sigma_{(y,x)}^{-1} (x - \mu_x) + (y - \mu_y)^T [\Sigma_{(y,y)}^{-1} - \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}] (y - \mu_y). \\
&= (x - \mu_x)^T \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} (x - \mu_x) + (x - \mu_x)^T (-\Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y) + (y - \mu_y)^T (-\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1}) (x - \mu_x) \\
&\quad + (y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} + \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} - \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y) \\
&= (x - \mu_x)^T \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} (x - \mu_x) + (x - \mu_x)^T (-\Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y) + (y - \mu_y)^T (-\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1}) (x - \mu_x) \\
&\quad + (y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y) \\
&= (x - \mu_x)^T \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} [(x - \mu_x) - (\Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y)] + (y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1}) [(\Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y) - (x - \mu_x)] \\
&= [(x - \mu_x)^T - (y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx})] \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} [(x - \mu_x) - (\Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}) (y - \mu_y)]
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Sigma_{yx}^T = \Sigma_{xy}, \quad (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1})^T = \Sigma_{yy}^{-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad (AB)^T = B^T A^T.$$

Specifically,

$$\begin{aligned}
(y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}) &= [(\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx})^T (y - \mu_y)]^T && \text{(since it is a scalar, equals its transpose)} \\
&= [\Sigma_{yx}^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1})^T (y - \mu_y)]^T && \text{(transpose of a product reverses the order)} \\
&= [\Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T && \text{(using } \Sigma_{yx}^T = \Sigma_{xy} \text{ and } \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \text{ is symmetric)} \\
&= [\Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(x - \mu_x)^T - (y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}) = (x - \mu_x)^T - [\Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T.$$

For vectors  $a$  and  $b$ , we know  $a^T - b^T = (a - b)^T$ . Hence the above difference can be written as

$$[(x - \mu_x) - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\{ (x - \mu_x)^T - (y - \mu_y)^T (\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}) \right\} \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} \left\{ (x - \mu_x) - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y) \right\} \\
&= [x - \mu_x - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} [x - \mu_x - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)].
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$f_{X|Y}(x | y) \propto \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} [x - \mu_x - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} [x - \mu_x - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]\right),$$

we see that the exponent is the usual quadratic form

$$-\frac{1}{2} [x - \mu_x - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)]^T \Sigma_{xx.y}^{-1} [x - \mu_x - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y)].$$

This indicates that  $f(x | y)$  has the kernel of a multivariate normal density in  $x$  with shifted mean

$$\mu_x + \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y) \quad \text{and covariance} \quad \Sigma_{xx.y} = \Sigma_{xx} - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}.$$

Hence, putting the normalizing constant back in, we conclude

$$(X | Y = y) \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x + \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y), \Sigma_{xx} - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}).$$

In other words, the conditional distribution  $X | Y = y$  is still Gaussian.

## Question 2

(a)

We have  $E[X_1] = \mu, E[\bar{X}] = \mu$ .

For the variance and covariance:

$$\text{Var}(X_1) = 1.$$

with  $X_i$  i.i.d. having variance 1:

$$\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(X_i) = \frac{1}{n^2} \cdot n \cdot 1 = \frac{1}{n}.$$

For covariance, we can use the linear property of covariance:

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, \bar{X}) = \text{Cov}\left(X_1, \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n X_j\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j).$$

Since  $X_1$  is independent of  $X_j$  for any  $j \neq 1$ , we have  $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_j) = 0$  if  $j \neq 1$ . Meanwhile,  $\text{Cov}(X_1, X_1) = \text{Var}(X_1) = 1$ .

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \text{Cov}(X_1, X_j) = \frac{1}{n} (\text{Var}(X_1) + 0 + \dots + 0) = \frac{1}{n} (1) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

$$\text{Cov}(X_1, \bar{X}) = \frac{1}{n}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \bar{X} \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu \\ \mu \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1/n \\ 1/n & 1/n \end{pmatrix}\right).$$

Apply the conditional-Gaussian formula:

$$X | Y = y \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu_x + \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} (y - \mu_y), \Sigma_{xx} - \Sigma_{xy} \Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \Sigma_{yx}).$$

$\mu_x = \mu_y = \mu, \Sigma_{xy} = \frac{1}{n}, \Sigma_{yy} = \frac{1}{n}$ , etc., yields

$$E(X_1 | \bar{X} = y) = \mu + \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{\frac{1}{n}} (y - \mu) = y.$$

$$E(X_1 | \bar{X}) = \bar{X}.$$

(b)

By linearity of conditional expectation,

$$E\left(\frac{X_1+X_2}{2} \mid \bar{X}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \left( E(X_1 \mid \bar{X}) + E(X_2 \mid \bar{X}) \right).$$

Since  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are iid, the calculation of  $E[X_i \mid \bar{X}]$  holds for other  $i$  using the same method.

$$E(X_1 \mid \bar{X}) = E(X_2 \mid \bar{X}) = \bar{X}.$$

Hence

$$E\left(\frac{X_1+X_2}{2} \mid \bar{X}\right) = \frac{1}{2} (\bar{X} + \bar{X}) = \bar{X}.$$

(c)

By linearity and symmetry,

$$E\left(\frac{X_1+X_2+X_3}{3} \mid \bar{X}\right) = \frac{1}{3} (E(X_1 \mid \bar{X}) + E(X_2 \mid \bar{X}) + E(X_3 \mid \bar{X})) = \frac{1}{3} (\bar{X} + \bar{X} + \bar{X}) = \bar{X}.$$

(d)

From parts (a)–(c), we see a recurring pattern:

$$E\left(\frac{X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_k}{k} \mid \bar{X}\right) = \bar{X}.$$

Therefore, once we condition on the sample mean  $\bar{X}$ , the variables  $X_i$  become exchangeable with the same conditional mean, hence the conditional expectation of any average (or sum) of them is the same  $\bar{X}$ .

### Question 3

Define the sample mean and sample variance as

$$\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \quad S^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2.$$

According to the formula (2) in class:

$$\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2.$$

When the null hypothesis is of the form

$$H_0 : \sigma^2 \leq 1 \quad \text{vs.} \quad H_1 : \sigma^2 > 1,$$

Testing Statistics:

$$T = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2.$$

We only need to consider  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , since:

- If  $\sigma^2 = 1$ , then  $(n-1)S^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2$ .

- If  $\sigma^2 < 1$ ,  $(n-1)S^2$  would be smaller on average, so a rejection threshold designed for  $\sigma^2 = 1$  is guaranteed to keep the type-I error at or below the nominal  $\alpha$  level.

Therefore, the type I error can be written as:

$$P[(n-1)S^2 > \chi_{n-1, 1-\alpha}^2 \mid \sigma^2 = 1] = \alpha.$$

Since  $H_1$  asserts  $\sigma^2 > 1$ , we expect  $S^2$  to be large when  $\sigma^2$  is actually above 1. The rejection region is:

$$\text{Reject } H_0 \text{ if } (n-1)S^2 > \chi_{n-1, 1-\alpha}^2,$$

As a result, if

$$S^2 > \frac{\chi_{n-1, 1-\alpha}^2}{n-1}.$$

reject the null, otherwise, accept the null.

## Question 4

$$H_0 : \mu \leq 0 \text{ versus } H_1 : \mu > 0.$$

Test statistic:

$$T(X) = \sqrt{n} \frac{\bar{X}}{\sigma}.$$

Under  $H_0$ , for  $\mu = 0$   $T(X)$  is  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ . For  $\mu < 0$ ,  $T(X)$  is smaller (shifted to the left).

We define

$$p(X) = \Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma}\right),$$

, where  $\Phi$  is the standard normal CDF, since our goal is to reject the null when the test-statistic is small.

Take any  $\mu \leq 0$ . Then

$$P_\mu\{p(X) \leq \alpha\} = P_\mu\left\{\Phi\left(-\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma}\right) \leq \alpha\right\}.$$

Since  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is strictly increasing, the event inside is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma} &\leq z_\alpha \\ \frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma} &\geq -z_\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

where  $z_\alpha = \Phi^{-1}(\alpha)$ .

Let

$$Z = \frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{X} - \mu)}{\sigma}.$$

Then  $Z \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$  under the parameter  $\mu$ :

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma} = Z + \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}.$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(\frac{\sqrt{n}\bar{X}}{\sigma} \geq -z_\alpha\right) = P\left(Z + \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma} \geq -z_\alpha\right) = P\left(Z \geq -z_\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}\right).$$

Since  $\mu \leq 0$ , the shift  $\frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}$  is nonpositive:

$$-z_\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma} \leq -z_\alpha.$$

Therefore

$$P_\mu\left(Z \geq -z_\alpha - \frac{\sqrt{n}\mu}{\sigma}\right) \leq P_\mu\left(Z \geq -z_\alpha\right) = P\left(Z \leq z_\alpha\right) = \Phi(z_\alpha) = \alpha.$$

Thus

$$P_\mu\{p(X) \leq \alpha\} \leq \alpha \quad \text{for all } \mu \leq 0.$$

In general, the p-value  $p(X) = \Phi(-T(X))$  does not follow a Uniform(0, 1) distribution whenever  $\mu < 0$ . Because if  $\mu = 0$ , we can show

$$P_{\mu=0}\{p(X) \leq \alpha\} = \alpha,$$

But the inequality  $P_\mu\{p(X) \leq \alpha\} \leq \alpha$  would be strict if  $\mu \neq 0$ . Hence the p-value distribution is not uniform over  $[0, 1]$  on the entire null parameter space.

## Question 5

(a)

Define the indicator variable

$$I_j = \mathbf{1}\{\mu_j \notin [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}]\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then the total number of uncovered parameters is

$$M = \sum_{j=1}^m I_j.$$

By linearity of expectation,

$$E[M] = \sum_{j=1}^m E[I_j].$$

where  $E[I_j] = P(\mu_j \notin [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}])$ .

Since each individual CI covers its parameter with probability  $1 - \alpha$ :

$$P(\mu_j \in [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}]) = 1 - \alpha \implies P(\mu_j \notin [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}]) = \alpha.$$

$$E[I_j] = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad E[M] = \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha = m\alpha.$$

(b)

Stricter CI:s

$$\{\mu_1 \in [\hat{\mu}_{1,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{1,\text{right}}], \dots, \mu_m \in [\hat{\mu}_{m,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{m,\text{right}}]\} \iff \bigcap_{j=1}^m \{\mu_j \in [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}]\}.$$

We want  $P(\bigcap_{j=1}^m E_j) \geq 1 - \alpha$ , where  $E_j$  is the event “ $\mu_j$  is in its CI.”

Using the usual union bound inequality:

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m E_j\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m E_j^c\right) \geq 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m P(E_j^c).$$

Hence, if we make each CI narrower:

$$P(\mu_j \in [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}]) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{m}$$

instead of  $1 - \alpha$ , then

$$P(E_j^c) = \frac{\alpha}{m}, \quad \text{and thus} \quad \sum_{j=1}^m P(E_j^c) = m \frac{\alpha}{m} = \alpha.$$

Therefore

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m E_j\right) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

$X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, I_m)$ , each observation is an  $m$ -dimensional vector, and they are i.i.d. with  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, I_m)$ :

$$\hat{\mu}_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_{i,j}$$

Since each  $X_{i,j}$  is  $\mathcal{N}(\mu_j, 1)$  and they are i.i.d., it follows that

$$\hat{\mu}_j \sim \mathcal{N}\left(\mu_j, \frac{1}{n}\right).$$

If a random variable  $Z$  is  $\mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ , then

$$P(|Z| \leq z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}}) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{m},$$

where  $z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}}$  is the  $(1 - \frac{\alpha}{2m})$ -quantile of the standard normal distribution.

$$\frac{\hat{\mu}_j - \mu_j}{1/\sqrt{n}} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1).$$

Thus

$$P\left(|\hat{\mu}_j - \mu_j| \leq z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}\right) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{m}.$$

$$P\left(\mu_j \in \left[\hat{\mu}_j - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\mu}_j + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}\right]\right) = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{m}.$$

Hence we define the following confidence interval for  $\mu_j$ :

$$[\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}] = \left[\hat{\mu}_j - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\mu}_j + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}\right].$$

Each such interval has coverage  $1 - \frac{\alpha}{m}$ .

We want all  $m$  parameters  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  to be contained in their respective intervals simultaneously with probability at least  $1 - \alpha$ :

$$E_j = \{\mu_j \in [\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}]\}.$$

Then

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m E_j\right) = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m E_j^c\right).$$

By the union bound,

$$P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^m E_j^c\right) \leq \sum_{j=1}^m P(E_j^c).$$

$$P(E_j^c) = \frac{\alpha}{m}.$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^m P(E_j^c) = m \times \frac{\alpha}{m} = \alpha.$$

Therefore,

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^m E_j\right) \geq 1 - \alpha.$$

This shows that the probability of covering all coordinates  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$  simultaneously is at least  $1 - \alpha$ . Therefore, the CI is:

$$[\hat{\mu}_{j,\text{left}}, \hat{\mu}_{j,\text{right}}] = \left[ \hat{\mu}_j - z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}}, \hat{\mu}_j + z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2m}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{n}} \right].$$