# Iggy - User Guide (version 2.0.1)

Sven Thiele

# What are iggy and opt\_graph?

iggy and optgraph are tools for consistency based analysis of influence graphs and observed systems behavior (signed changes between two measured states). For many (biological) systems are knowledge bases available that describe the interaction of its components in terms of causal networks, boolean networks and influence graphs where edges indicate either positive or negative effect of one node upon another.

iggy implements methods to check the consistency of large-scale data sets and provides explanations for inconsistencies. In practice, this is used to identify unreliable data or to indicate missing reactions. Further, iggy addresses the problem of repairing networks and corresponding yet often discrepant measurements in order to re-establish their mutual consistency and predict unobserved variations even under inconsistency.

opt\_graph confronts interaction graph models with observed systems behavior from multiple experiments. opt\_graph computes networks fitting the observation data by removing (or adding) a minimal number of edges in the given network.

You can download the precompiled binaries for 64bit linux and macos on the release page.

Sample data is available here: demo\_data.tar.gz

# Compile yourself

Clone the git repository:

```
git clone https://github.com/bioasp/iggy.git
cargo build --release
```

The executables can be found under ./target/release/

# Input Model + Data

iggy and opt\_graph work with two kinds of data. The first is representing an interaction graph model. The second is the experimental data, representing experimental condition and observed behavior.

#### Model

The model is represented as file in complex interaction format CIF as shown below. Lines in the CIF file specify a interaction between (multiple) source nodes and one target node.

```
shp2
                            ->
                                grb2_sos
!mtor_inhibitor
                            ->
                                mtor
?jak2_p
                            ->
                                stat5ab_py
!ras_gap&grb2_sos
                                pi3k
akt&erk&mtor&pi3k
                                mtorc1
gab1_bras_py
                            ->
                                ras_gap
gab1_ps&jak2_p&pi3k
                                gab1_bras_py
                            ->
```

In our influence graph models we have simple interactions like: in Line 1 for shp2 increases grb2\_sos and in Line 2 the ! indicates that mtor\_inhibitor tends to decrease mtor. in Line 3 the ? indicates an unknown influence of jak2\_p on stat5ab\_py. Complex interactions can be composed with the & operator to model a combined influence of multiple sources on a tartget. In Line 4 an decrease in ras\_gap with an increase in grb2\_sos tend to increase pi3k.

### Experimental data

The experimental data is given in the file format shown below. Nodes which are perturbed in the experimental condition are denoted as <code>input</code>. The first line of the example below states that <code>depor</code> has been perturbed in the experiment. This means <code>depor</code> has been under the control of the experimentalist and its behavior must therefore not be explained. The behavior of a node can be either <code>+</code>, <code>-</code>, <code>0</code>, <code>notPlus</code>, <code>notMinus</code>. Line 2 states that an <code>increase</code> (<code>+</code>) was obeserved in <code>depor</code>, as it is declared an <code>input</code> this behavior has been caused by the experimentalist. Line 3 states that <code>stat5ab\_py</code> has <code>decreased</code> (<code>-</code>) and line 4 states that <code>ras</code> has <code>not</code> <code>changed</code> (<code>0</code>). Line 5 states that an <code>uncertain</code> <code>decrease</code> (<code>notPlus</code>) has been observed in <code>plcg</code> and line 6 states that an <code>uncertain</code> <code>increase</code> (<code>notMinus</code>) has been observed in <code>mtorc1</code>. Line 7 states that <code>akt</code> is initially on the minimum level, this means it cannot further decrease, and line 8 states that <code>grb2\_sos</code> is initially on the maximum level, this means it cannot further increase.

```
depor
              = input
               = +
depor
stat5ab_py
              = -
              = 0
ras_gap
jak2_p
              = notPlus
mtorc1
              = notMinus
akt
              = MIN
pi3k
               = MAX
```

#### Consistency notions

The Iggy tools implement different constraints that inform the consistency notion under which the analysis are perform. In other words what is considere a consistent behaviour of a system. The defaults are

• All observed changes must be explained by an predecessor.

This is the basic constraint that must always hold.

• All observed changes must be explained by an input.

You can turn this off with the flag --founded constraints off.

0-change must be explained.

You can turn this constraint off with the flag --fwd\_propagation\_off.

Additionaly you can turn on the following constraint:

• An elementary path from an input must exist to explain changes. You can turn this constraint on with the flag --elempath.

With the flag --depmat you can turn on a consistency notion that is used for the *dependency matrix*. This notion includes the *elementary path* constraint.

For more information on the consistency notion see:

- Extended notions of sign consistency to relate experimental data to signaling and regulatory network topologies, Sven Thiele, Luca Cerone, Julio Saez-Rodriguez, Anne Siegel, Carito Guziołowski, and Steffen Klamt, BMC Bioinformatics, 16(345), 2015.
- Sign consistency methods to reason over the transitional behavior of dynamic systems

For more information on the dependency matrix see:

A methodology for the structural and functional analysis of signaling and regulatory networks, Klamt
 S, Saez-Rodriguez J, Lindquist J, Simeoni L, Gilles E., BMC Bioinforma. 2006; 7(1):56.

## Iggy

iggy performs consistency checks for an interaction model and a data profile. It computes explanations (minimal inconsistent cores *mics*) for inconsistencies and suggests repairs for model and data.

The *mics* are connected parts of the model and indicate unreliable data or missing reactions. The repairs re-establish the mutual consistency between model and data, and enable predictions of unobserved behavior even under inconsistency.

The typical usage of iggy is:

```
$ iggy -n network.cif -o observation.obs -l 10 -p
```

For more options you can ask for help as follows:

```
$ iggy -h
iggy 2.0.0
Sven Thiele <sthiele78@gmail.com>
Iggy confronts interaction graph models with observations of (signed) changes
between
two measured states (including uncertain observations). Iggy discovers
inconsistencies
in networks or data, applies minimal repairs, and predicts the behavior for the
```

```
unmeasured species. It distinguishes strong predictions (e.g. increase in a
weak predictions (e.g., the value of a node increases or remains unchanged
USAGE:
    iggy [FLAGS] [OPTIONS] --network <networkfile>
FLAGS:
                                    Declare nodes with indegree 0 as inputs
    -a, --autoinputs
        --depmat
                                    Combine multiple states, a change must be
explained
                                    by an elementary path from an input
        --elempath
                                    Every change must be explained by an
elementary
                                    path from an input
        --founded_constraints_off
                                    Disable foundedness constraints
        --fwd_propagation_off
                                    Disable forward propagation constraints
    -h, --help
                                    Prints help information
                                    Compute minimal inconsistent cores
        --mics
        --scenfit
                                    Compute scenfit of the data, default is
mcos
    -p, --show_predictions
                                    Show predictions
    -V, --version
                                    Prints version information
OPTIONS:
    -1, --show_labelings <max_labelings>
                                           Show max_labelings labelings,
default is OFF,
                                           0=all
                                           Influence graph in CIF format
    -n, --network <networkfile>
                                           Observations in bioquali format
    -o, --observations <observationfile>
```

Compute minimal correction sets (mcos) or scenfit and predictions under inconsistency

iggy implements two measures for inconsistency *minimal correction sets (mcos)* and *scenfit*. While *mcos* measures the minimal number of observations that cannot be explained, *scenfit* measures a minimal number of changes to the model to re-establish the mutual consistency between model and data. The default in iggy is *mcos* but *scenfit* can be used with the option --scenfit.

With the option --show\_labelings, -1 N iggy computes at most N such labelings and repairs that are consistent.

With the flag --show\_predictions, -p iggy computes predictions under inconsistencies. More precisely the behaviors of the system that are invariant also under the minimal repairs.

iggy presents the results of its analysis as text output. The output of iggy can be redirected into a file using the > operator. For example to write the results shown below into the file myfile.txt type:

```
$ iggy -n network.cif -o observations.obs -l 10 -p > myfile.txt
```

In the following we will dissect the output generated by iggy. The first 3 lines of the output state the constraints that have been used to analyze network and data. For our example it is the default setting with the following constraints. For a deeper understanding of these constraints see~\cite{sthiele15}.

```
+ All observed changes must be explained by an predecessor.
+ 0-change must be explained.
+ All observed changes must be explained by an input.
```

Next follow some statistics on the input data. Line 4-5 tells us that the influence graph model given as network.cif consists of 18 species nodes and 4 complex nodes, with 19 edges with activating influence and 6 edges with inhibiting influence and 1 edge with Unknown influence.

Line 9 tells that the experimental data given as observation.obs in itself is consistent, which means it does not contain contradictory observations. Line 11 tells that the experimental conditions has 2 perturbations marked as input nodes, that 4 nodes were observed as increased +, 1 node decreased (-), 7 nodes did not change (0), 1 node were observed with an uncertain decrease (notPlus), 1 node were observed with an uncertain increase (notMinus), 1 node were observed with an minimum level (MIN), 1 node were observed with an maximum level (MAX), 4 nodes were unobserved and the experimental data contained 0 observations of species that are not in the given model.

```
Reading network model from "network.cif".
# Network statistics
    OR nodes (species): 18
    AND nodes (complex regulation): 4
    Activations = 19
    Inhibitions = 6
    Unknowns = 1
Reading observations from "observations.obs".
# Observations statistics
    unobserved nodes
                          : 4
    observed nodes
                          : 18
                            : 2
     inputs
                            : 4
     +
                            : 1
     0
                            : 7
     notPlus
                            : 1
     notMinus
                            : 1
     Min
     Max
     observed not in model: 0
```

Then follow the results of the consistency analysis. Line 14 tells us that network and data are inconsistent and that the size of a *minimal correction set* (mcos) is 2. This means that at least 2 influences need to be added to restore consistency. For a deeper understanding of mcos see~\cite{samaga13a}. Further the output contains at most 10 consistent labeling including correction set. This is because we choose to set the flag --show\_labelings 10. In our example we have 4 possible labelings. Each labeling represents a consistent behavior of the model (given mcos the corrections). Labeling 1, tells it is possible that mek1 *increases* (+), shp2\_ph and mtorc do *not change* (0) and that stat5ab\_py decrease (-). Line 26 tells us that this is a consistent behavior if MTOR would receive a positive influence, which is currently not included in the model. Labeling 3, represents an alternative behavior, here mtorc1 does *increases* (+)). Please note that in this example both labelings are consistent under the same correction set. In another example more than one minimal correction set could exists.

```
The network and data are inconsistent: mcos = 2.
Compute mcos labelings ... done.
Labeling 1:
    mtorc1 = 0
    ras_gap = 0
    shp2 = 0
    gab1\_bras\_py = 0
    jak2_p = 0
    mek1 = +
    erk = +
    brb2 = 0
    akt = 0
    stat5ab_py = -
    brb = -
    gab1_ps = +
    grb2\_sos = 0
    socs1 = 0
    pi3k = 0
    mtor = 0
    mtor_inhibitor = 0
    depor = +
 Repairs:
Labeling 2:
    mtorc1 = 0
    ras_gap = 0
    shp2 = 0
    gab1_bras_py = 0
    jak2_p = 0
    mek1 = +
    erk = +
    brb2 = 0
    akt = 0
    stat5ab_py = -
    brb = +
    gab1_ps = +
    grb2\_sos = 0
```

```
socs1 = 0
    pi3k = 0
    mtor = 0
    mtor_inhibitor = 0
    depor = +
Repairs:
Labeling 3:
    mtorc1 = +
    ras_gap = 0
    shp2 = 0
    gab1\_bras\_py = 0
    jak2_p = 0
    mek1 = +
    erk = +
    brb2 = -
    akt = 0
    stat5ab_py = -
    brb = -
    gab1_ps = +
    grb2\_sos = 0
    socs1 = 0
    pi3k = 0
    mtor = 0
    mtor_inhibitor = 0
    depor = +
Repairs:
Labeling 4:
    mtorc1 = +
    ras_gap = 0
    shp2 = 0
    gab1\_bras\_py = 0
    jak2_p = 0
    mek1 = +
    erk = +
    brb2 = -
    akt = 0
    stat5ab_py = -
    brb = +
    gab1_ps = +
    grb2\_sos = 0
    socs1 = 0
    pi3k = 0
    mtor = 0
    mtor_inhibitor = 0
    depor = +
 Repairs:
```

Finally the prediction results are listed. A prediction is a statement that hold under all labeling under all minimal repairs. For a formal definition of predictions see~\cite{sthiele15}. Here the predictions say that gab1\_ps always increases (+), stat5ab\_py always decreases (-), shp2 always stays unchanged (0), mtorc1 never decreases (notMinus), and brb2 always stays never increases (notPlus),

```
Compute predictions under mcos ... done.
# Predictions:
    mek1 = +
   erk = +
   gab1_ps = +
   depor = +
    stat5ab_py = -
    ras_gap = 0
    shp2 = 0
    gab1\_bras\_py = 0
    jak2_p = 0
    akt = 0
    grb2\_sos = 0
    socs1 = 0
    pi3k = 0
   mtor = 0
   mtor_inhibitor = 0
   brb2 = notPlus
   mtorc1 = notMinus
   brb = CHANGE
   predicted +
                     = 4
   predicted -
                     = 1
   predicted 0
                      = 10
    predicted notPlus = 1
    predicted notMinus = 1
    predicted CHANGE = 1
```

For more information on minimal correction sets *mcos* see:

Detecting and Removing Inconsistencies between Experimental Data and Signaling Network
Topologies Using Integer Linear Programming on Interaction Graphs. Melas IN, Samaga R,
Alexopoulos LG, Klamt S., PLoS Comput Biol. 2013; 9(9):1003204.

Compute minimal inconsistent cores --mics

lggy computes minimal inconsistent cores *mics* for inconsistent model and data. The *mics* are connected parts of the model and indicate unreliable data or missing reactions. To compute the minimal inconsistent cores use the flag --mics as follows:

```
$ iggy -n data/Yeast/yeast_guelzim.cif -o data/Yeast/yeast_snf2.obs --mics
```

```
+ All observed changes must be explained by an predecessor.
+ 0-change must be explained.
+ All observed changes must be explained by an input.
Reading network model from "data/Yeast/yeast_guelzim.cif".
# Network statistics
OR nodes (species): 477
AND nodes (complex regulation): 0
Activations = 665
Inhibitions = 270
Unknowns = 0
Reading observations from "data/Yeast/yeast_snf2.obs".
# Observations statistics
    unobserved nodes : 388
    observed nodes
                       : 574
                          : 0
     inputs
                          : 376
                          : 198
     0
                          : 0
     notPlus
                          : 0
     notMinus
                          : 0
     Min
                          : 0
     Max
                           : 0
     observed not in model: 485
Computing mcos of network and data ... done.
The network and data are inconsistent: mcos = 530.
Computing minimal inconsistent cores (mic's) ... done.
mic 1:
    YAL063C YER065C
mic 2:
    YBR159W YNL009W
mic 3:
    YJL159W YGR108W
mic 4:
    YPR119W YGR108W
mic 5:
    YMR307W YIL013C
mic 6:
    YNL241C YLR109W
```

#### mic 7:

YOLOO6C YMR186W

#### mic 8:

YGR108W YDR224C YAL040C

#### mic 9:

YPL256C YIL072W YNL210W YGR044C YPR119W YJL194W YJL106W YDL179W YOR159C YHR055C YLR131C YDR522C YJR094C YDR523C YHL022C YLR286C YNL327W YMR133W YHR014W YDL127W YKL185W YLR079W YHR053C

#### mic 10:

YPL256C YIL072W YNL210W YGR044C YJL194W YJL106W YDL179W YOR159C YHR055C YLR131C YDR522C YJR094C YDR523C YHL022C YLR286C YNL327W YMR133W YDR224C YHR014W YAL040C YDL127W YKL185W YLR079W YHR053C

#### mic 11:

YPL256C YIL072W YJL159W YNL210W YGR044C YJL194W YJL106W YDL179W YOR159C YHR055C YLR131C YDR522C YJR094C YDR523C YHL022C YLR286C YNL327W YMR133W YHR014W YDL127W YKL185W YLR079W YHR053C

#### mic 12:

YPL256C YMR199W YIL072W YGL089C STA3 YLR452C YNL210W YIL099W YGR044C
YIR019C YJL157C YBR083W YAL038W YCL066W YDR103W YJL106W YLR403W Y0L006C YCL067C
YHR174W YOR159C YDR461W YLR113W YDR522C Y0L086C YJR094C YDR523C YCR012W YHL022C
YCR018C YOR212W YCL027W YOR077W YMR133W YNL145W YHR014W YNL216W YJR004C YGR254W
YGL008C STA2 YCL030C YKL209C STA1 YFL026W YDR007W YHR084W YKL178C YIL015W
YPL187W

### mic 13:

YCR065W YOL116W YKR099W YGL073W YBR279W YGL025C YDR448W YDR392W YIR023W YLR451W YBR112C YBL093C YMR021C YGL237C YMR037C YKL015W YJR060W YGL043W YCR093W YDL106C YGL255W YER108C YHL025W YFL031W YDR123C YDL170W YOR363C YJL176C YIL101C YCR097W YKL062W YHR119W YGL166W YMR043W YOL051W YPL075W YKL038W YOL108C YGL209W YBL021C YPL082C YOR344C YKR206W YER161C YNR052C YER169W YBR289W YDR034C YDR216W YNL314W YGL013C YDR423C YFR034C YDR421W YMR070W YBR049C YBR297W YKL032C YOR290C YGR288W YCR084C YOR358W YMR042W YML007W YHL027W YGL254W YLR098C YOR230W YML099C YOR140W YOL067C YDR176W YDL056W YML010W YER040W YDR043C YHR152W YEL009C YLR014C

#### For more information on minimal inconsistent cores see:

 Detecting Inconsistencies in Large Biological Networks with Answer Set Programming, Martin Gebser, Torsten Schaub, Sven Thiele, and Philippe Veber, Theory and Practice of Logic Programming, 11(2-3), pages 323-360, 2011.

# Opt graph

opt\_graph confronts interaction graph models with observed systems behavior from multiple experiments. opt\_graph computes networks fitting the observation data by removing (or adding) a minimal number of edges in the given network.

### Typical usage is:

```
$ opt_graph -n network.cif -o observations_dir/ --show_repairs 10
```

For more options you can ask for help as follows:

```
$ opt_graph -h
opt_graph 2.0.0
Sven Thiele <sthiele78@gmail.com>
Opt-graph confronts interaction graph models with observations of (signed)
changes between
two measured states. Opt-graph computes networks fitting the observation data
by removing
(or adding) a minimal number of edges in the given network
USAGE:
    opt_graph [FLAGS] [OPTIONS] --network <networkfile> --observations
<observationdir>
FLAGS:
                                    Declare nodes with indegree 0 as inputs
    -a, --autoinputs
                                    Combine multiple states, a change must be
        --depmat
explained by
                                    an elementary path from an input
        --elempath
                                    Every change must be explained by an
elementary path
                                    from an input
        --founded_constraints_off
                                    Disable foundedness constraints
        --fwd_propagation_off
                                    Disable forward propagation constraints
    -h, --help
                                    Prints help information
    -V, --version
                                    Prints version information
OPTIONS:
    -r, --show_repairs <max_repairs>
                                          Show max_repairs repairs, default is
OFF, 0=all
    -n, --network <networkfile>
                                          Influence graph in CIF format
    -o, --observations <observationdir> Directory of observations in bioquali
format
    -m, --repair_mode <repair_mode>
                                          Repair mode: remove = remove edges
(default),
                                                        optgraph = add + remove
edges,
                                                        flip = flip direction
of edges
```

### Example

```
opt_graph -n in_silico_HEK293/v1_comp_BN.cif -o in_silico_HEK293/prior_data -- depmat -r 0 -m optgraph
```

```
+ DepMat combines multiple states.
+ An elementary path from an input must exist to explain changes.
Reading network model from "data/in_silico_HEK293/v1_comp_BN.cif".
# Network statistics
    OR nodes (species): 23
    AND nodes (complex regulation): 12
    Activations: 47
    Inhibitions: 12
    Unknowns: 0
Reading observations from
in_silico_HEK293/prior_data/first_response_mek1_up.txt.
Reading observations from
in_silico_HEK293/prior_data/first_response_pi3k_down.txt.
Reading observations from
in_silico_HEK293/prior_data/first_response_pi3k_mek1_down.txt.
Computing repair through add/removing edges ...
    using greedy method ... done.
The network and data can reach a scenfit of 0.
Repair 1:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: mek1 -> gab1_ps
Repair 2:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: !grb2_sos -> gab1_ps
Repair 3:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: akt -> gab1_ps
Repair 4:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: mtorc2 -> gab1_ps
Repair 5:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: mtorc1 -> gab1_ps
```

```
Repair 6:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: erk -> gab1_ps

Repair 7:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: !gab1_bras_py -> gab1_ps

Repair 8:
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: !mek1 -> shp2
    remove edge: mek1 -> stat5ab_py
    add edge: !ras_gap -> gab1_ps
```

### For more information on *OptGraph* see:

• Designing optimal experiments to discriminate interaction graph models, Sven Thiele, Sandra Heise, Wiebke Hessenkemper, Hannes Bongartz, Melissa Fensky, Fred Schaper, Steffen Klamt, *IEEE/ACM Trans. Comput. Biol. Bioinform*, 16(3), 2019.