**Tradeoff-mediated Drought Legacy in Soil Microbiome**

# **Abstract**

The profound role of soil microbiomes in completing biogeochemical cycling in the Earth System renders pivotal understanding its response to drought of increasing frequency and severity toward evaluating drought-mediated biosphere-atmosphere interactions. Though with over a-half century of extensive research on drought impacts on soil microbiomes, drought legacy, a phenomenon of persistence (or memory) of past disturbance that has been widely discussed in soil microbiome (and broadly across natural systems) and may largely influence microbiome and ecosystem functioning, is still with a yet unresolved explicit mechanism. Here, using a trait-based microbial systems modelling framework with an explicit intra-cellular metabolic allocation of enzyme, osmolyte, and thus emergent yield, we revealed a range of representative drought legacy scenarios from persistent through transient to no legacy at all depending on drought intensity and microbial dispersal. Emerging from the tradeoff between enzyme investment and drought tolerance at both the physiological and community level, these legacy scenarios can be organized into a coherent mechanistic framework based on Y(Yield)-A(Acquisition)-S(Stress). Any factor or process that can influence the physiological tradeoff between resource acquisition (i.e., enzymes) and stress tolerance (e.g., osmolytes) and change the trajectory of a community on the YAS constrained space would alter the property of drought legacy. This overarching mechanistic insight into soil microbiome drought legacy hold tremendous promise to more accurately quantifying soil microbiome resilience and functioning. Meanwhile, this study inspires us to couple microbiome with vegetation with a holistic ecosystem view by capturing major tradeoff dimensions to evaluate drought-biosphere interactions.

**1 Introduction**

Drought of increasing severity and frequency both regionally and worldwide is an important environmental change affecting the biosphere (e.g., **Borsa et al. 2014; Berdugo et al. 2020**). The soil microbiome is a key driver of element cycling in the Earth system (e.g., **Falkowski et al. 2008**), so microbial responses are integral for evaluating drought impacts on the biosphere. Still, these responses are omitted or implicitly treated in global assessments of drought-biosphere interactions (e.g., **Green et al. 2019**).

Over a-half century of research has uncovered a myriad of physio-chemical, physiological, and ecological mechanisms underlying microbial functional responses to drought disturbance in soil environment (e.g., **Birch 1958; Schimel 2007; Manzoni et al. 2012**). Multiple studies have suggested that drought may have lasting effects on the soil microbiome and its functioning, even after drought conditions have ended (e.g., **Evans and Wallenstein** **2012**; **Meisner et al. 2015**; **Hawkes et al. 2017; Martiny et al. 2017; Hinojosa et al. 2019**). This phenomenon, here termed drought legacy, has also been observed across the forest biome and beyond (e.g., **Anderegg et al. 2015; Johnstone et al. 2016; Conradi et al. 2020**). Still, the mechanistic basis for drought legacies remain unclear.

Legacies likely originate from microbiome changes that persist after a drought disturbance. Microbiomes are complex adaptive systems, with their functioning emerging from both individual variation and within-community interactions. Past lab- and field-based efforts explained soil microbiome drought legacies by depicting compositional differences in terms of microbial functional groups with different life-history strategies. Notably, **Hawkes and Keitt (2015)** proposed a mechanism of community shift in relative abundance of moisture generalists vs. specialists, where generalists are functionally more stable than specialists with variation in moisture. This idea was proposed to explain a lack of change in moisture response across sites in Texas, USA, because of observed dominance by generalist taxa resulting from high variation in historical rainfall (**Hawkes et al. 2017; Waring and Hawkes 2018**). Similarly, **Evans and Wallenstein** (**2014**) argued soils with relatively stable moisture history had more moisture-sensitive taxa and hence larger changes in biomass and composition (**Evans and Wallenstein** **2012**).

This pioneering coarse functional group-based depiction of the complex microbiomes presents a gap of explicit underlying physiological basis. Physiologically, it is well established that a microbial cell can direct available resources from producing exoenzymes to acquire resources to produce compounds, e.g., osmolytes, to combat desiccation (e.g., **Schimel 2007**), an intra-cellular metabolic plasticity that displays large inter-cellular variability (e.g., **Manzoni et al. 2012**). A missing of the physiological basis cannot tell how a microbial community can be shaped by drought disturbance from the bottom up and thus how its functional change can persist. This deficiency becomes especially apparent when having many studies at different sites with varying confounding factors reporting legacies of varying magnitudes with differing time frames, especially those that even did not observe a drought legacy at all (e.g., **Rousk et al. 2013; Fuchslueger et al. 2016**). A similar lack of mechanistic understanding also applies to warming-induced legacies in microbiome functioning (e.g., **Karhu et al. 2014**). We need to link individual-level physiological variation to community-level shifts to explain persistence of microbial functioning.

Trait-based quantification of microbiome complexity can bridge the gap between community dynamics and underlying large physiological variability, which would help unravel mechanisms underlying drought legacy. Trait-based community dynamics and ecosystem functioning has long been established in plant communities (e.g., **McGill et al. 2006**). In soil microbiomes, by quantifying the individual-level metabolic variation using physiological traits that reflect and determine demographic variation and tradeoff between individual cells **Malik et al. (2019)** proposeda trait-based framework, Y-A-S (Yield-Acquisition-Stress), covering tradeoffs between the three primary life history strategies. In addition, a manipulative experiment in a grassland ecosystem in Southern Californiaattributed a drought legacy in litter decomposition to bacterial composition change with an alteration of carbohydrate degradation traits (**Martiny et al. 2017**). This study directly shows the potential to establish trait-based linkages between microbial physiology and community shifts underlying legacies.

Based on the trait-based YAS framework, against the need to increase community-level drought tolerance to combat drought pressure tradeoff-mediated physiological adaptation and turnover of individuals comprising a microbial community should drive a community to lower its enzyme investment. Thereby, a drought legacy in organic matter decomposition may arise from the persistence of this functional change in terms of enzyme investment. With this reasoning, environmental factors that shift microbiomes along these tradeoffs could alter the magnitude and duration of drought legacies. For instance, the intensity of drought, which directly modulates intracellular metabolic allocation (**Csonka 1989; Schimel 2007**), may strongly shape the tradeoff and hence affect the legacy. In addition, dispersal of microbes, a pivotal process influencing community composition and dynamics via introducing taxa (e.g., **Fukami 2015; Vila et al. 2019**), may change the community-level tradeoff and hence alter drought legacy (**Hawkes et al. 2017**). Therefore, trait-based tradeoff between drought tolerance and enzyme investment underlying the YAS may govern drought legacies in soil microbiomes.

Theory-driven trait-based modelling is well positioned to complement the current generation of lab- and field-based investigations to test trait-based mechanisms underpinning soil microbiome drought legacies. Trait-based modelling in an individual-based framework is able to bridge across scales from individual cells through communities to the ecosystem level by explicitly simulating intra-cellular metabolic processes, ecological dynamics of microbial communities, and emergent functioning (**Allison 2012**). Such a modelling approach can overcome some of the limitations of aggregated modelling approaches that treat microbes as a single biomass pool or a few discrete functional groups [see a review by **Wieder et al. (2015**)]. In addition, testing the claim that including legacy would be trivial in biogeochemical modelling (**Rousk et al. 2013**) offers a direct stimulus for conducting legacy modelling.

The goal of our study was to analyze the trait-based, tradeoff-mediated mechanisms underpinning soil microbiome drought legacies. Our approach applied a mechanistically and spatially explicit trait- and individual-based soil microbial systems modelling framework—DEMENTpy, that represents tradeoffs among resource acquisition and drought tolerance at physiological and community levels. Specifically, we asked the following questions: 1) How does the magnitude of drought legacy in decomposition vary with drought intensity? 2) How does dispersal of microbes affect the formation of drought legacy? 3) What are the underlying changes in traits of enzyme investment and drought tolerance? And 4) can these changes be put into a coherent mechanistic framework based on YAS? We tackled these questions by applying DEMENTpy to a grassland ecosystem in Southern California. Our study exemplifies how trait-based investigations into microbiome legacies can improve mechanistic understanding of microbial controls on biosphere-atmosphere interactions.

**2 Methods**

## **2.1 Model description**

DEMENTpy (DEcomposition Model of ENzymatic Traits in Python; GitHub Repository: <https://github.com/bioatmosphere/DEMENTpy>) is a spatially and mechanistically explicit trait- and individual-based microbial systems modelling framework built upon its predecessor DEMENT (**Allison 2012; Allison and Goulden 2017; Wang and Allison 2019**). This model randomly initializes a microbial community on a spatial grid based on physiological traits and simulates its dynamics by explicitly modelling demographic processes of cell metabolism and growth, mortality, and reproduction for each population at a daily time step. Driven by temperature and moisture, the community secretes different exoenzymes decomposing different organic compounds. Starting from continuous physiological traits, DEMENTpy bridges across scales from individual through community to systems in soil microbiome (see **Supporting Fig. 1** for model structure). Only community initialization and intra-cellular metabolic allocation are highlighted below. More details with respect to structure, processes, formulae, and parameters are included inthe **Supporting Information.**

With a trait-based approach, DEMENTpy creates a microbial community composed of a large number of hypothetical taxa by randomly drawing values from uniform distributions of microbial traits and assigning them to different taxa (see a list of traits in **Supporting Fig. 1B** and more details in **Supporting Text**). The traits include rates of enzyme production (constitutive and inducible) and rates of osmolyte production (constitutive and inducible). Drought tolerance of each taxon is determined by normalizing the inducible osmolyte rate of production to a value from 0 to 1. This formulation establishes a mechanistic connection between osmolyte production and drought tolerance (**Schimel 2007**) in contrast to the previous model version which instead directly introduced a drought tolerance parameter and imposed a penalty on carbon use efficiency accordingly (**Allison and Goulden 2017**).

DEMENTpy explicitly treats intra-cellular metabolism of ingested monomer carbon derived from explicit exoenzymatic degradation of substrates (**Supporting Fig. 1C**; see **Supporting Text** for substrate degradation and other demographic processes). The metabolic processing of assimilated carbon after growth respiration is directed to produce enzyme (and maintenance respiration) and osmolyte (and maintenance respiration; **Csonka 1989; Witteveen and Visser 1995**), which are treated as simultaneous processes without prescribing an order. After accounting for the constitutive production of enzymes and osmolytes, the carbon left after these inducible processes accumulates toward biomass (denoted as yield). We assume the constitutive osmolyte production rate varies across taxa but independent of water potential, accounting for bacterial/fungal cell’s allocation of biomass to keep a water potential balance across the cell wall (**Csonka 1989**). By contrast, inducible production of osmolytes is subject to constraints from water potential only below a certain threshold. Mortality of microbial cells is simulated both deterministically by accounting for mass balance and stochastically based on death probability constrained by drought tolerance and water potential.

## **2.2 Modelling experiments**

We applied DEMENTpy to the grassland system at Loma Ridge, Southern California (**Allison et al. 2013**) and parameterized the model with 100 different hypothetical bacterial taxa on a 100 by 100 spatial grid with decomposing grass litter containing ten different substrates (see parameter values in **Supporting Table 1** and substrates in **Supporting Table 2**). DEMENTpy was benchmarked with the daily weather data of year 2011, which is treated as the ambient scenario (**Supporting Fig. 2A)**,based on which results presented below were robust to reasonably varying the parameter value range of basal enzyme and osmolyte production rate.

In addition to this ambient scenario, we conducted simulations with manipulated drought to examine drought disturbance to the microbial system with respect to responses and recoveries in three 3 phases (**Supporting Fig. 2**). Two drought scenarios, moderate and severe, were created by reducing the water potential across only the dry season by a factor of four and ten, respectively. After the community establishment phase and a successive drought period, the ambient scenario was re-imposed to examine changes in microbial communities degrading substrates. One set of such simulations without dispersal is referred to as default mode. Another set in dispersal mode with only the ambient and severe drought scenario was run to further examine how dispersal affects drought disturbance response over time.

## **2.3 Simulation protocol and data analysis**

With the model setup as above, we conducted three-phase simulations following the protocol as follows (**Supporting Fig. 2**). After establishing an initial microbial community from a randomly constructed microbial pool on the spatial grid with homogeneously distributed substrates, each simulation was run for 10 years at a daily time step (spin-up: 3 years; disturbance: 3 years; recovery: 4 years). Substrates, monomers, and enzymes were reinitialized uniformly on the spatial grid at the start of each new year to have the same concentrations as the very first year except for the microbial community. In each new year, the microbial community on the spatial grid was also randomly reinitialized with the same microbial biomass pool size. Two different methods were applied to differentiate between the default and dispersal mode: for the default mode, microbial community composition was determined according to the biomass frequency of each taxon on the last day of the previous year (**Supporting Fig. 2C**); in dispersal mode, the taxon frequencies were based on the cumulative biomass of each taxon across the entire previous year (**Supporting Fig. 2D**). In contrast to the default mode, the dispersal mode allows taxa dead during a year to have a chance to be re-introduced into the community at the start of the next year, accounting for dispersal microbes that survive in refugia or that are blown in from nearby wetter areas. These simulations were repeated for each scenario under the two modes (default and dispersal) for 40 times with 40 different random number generation seeds (5 × 40 = 200 runs in total). This sample size was determined by a convergence analysis of DEMENTpy’s stochastic nature (**Supporting Fig. 3**).

All results presented in this work, unless indicated otherwise, were analyses of such an ensemble of 40 runs for each of the five scenarios. A dataset was established from these simulations encompassing taxon traits (enzyme investment and drought tolerance), time-series of taxon-specific allocation to enzymes (inducible plus constitutive), osmolytes (inducible plus constitutive), yield, and biomass in carbon, and time-series of compound-specific and total substrates. Taxon-specific allocation to enzymes, osmolytes, and yield were aggregated to derive the corresponding community-level allocation. With taxon traits and biomass, biomass-weighted community-level traits, enzyme investment and drought tolerance, were calculated (see the **Supporting Text** for calculation method). Because the system largely stabilized in 3 years (**Supporting Fig. 2**), results up to year 9 (3rd year of recovery from drought disturbance) are presented. In addition, 95% confidence intervals were presented in most of the cases except for microbial community composition and community carbon allocation, for which results of only one out of the 40 simulations were shown.

A close up of text on a white background

Description automatically generated

**Fig.1. Microbial community dynamics disturbed by drought of differing severities with and without dispersal.** (**A-C**) Dynamics without dispersal under ambient, moderate, and severe scenario, respectively. (**D, E**) Dynamics with dispersal under ambient and severe scenario, respectively. Colored bands represent different hypothetical taxa in terms of biomass (mg C cm-3) averaged over the 100×100 spatial grid. Data shown are only for years 3, 6 (the 3rd year under drought), and 9 (the 3rd year after drought). See **Supporting Fig. 2** for the full 10-year dynamics under the ambient scenario of both default and dispersal mode.

# **3 Results**

## **3.1 Microbial community dynamics under the ambient drought scenario**

The system became relatively stable after 2 years, with seasonal dynamics in the microbial community repeating across years (**Supporting Fig. 2**). Seasonal dynamics with respect to community composition and biomass reflected a joint control by environment and substrates. Starting from the wet season that was replete with substrates, a microbial community consisting of different taxa established and grew in biomass. As substrates were degraded and depleted, microbial cells began to starve and die. Increasing drought while entering the dry season induced more death. These two processes in combination resulted in the decline of microbial biomass after a biomass peak around 20 mg C cm-3 (**Fig. 1A)** and drove the composition toward taxa with higher drought tolerance and lower enzyme investment (**Supporting Fig. 4A**) and hence community level enzyme investment decreased (**Fig. 2A**) and drought tolerance increased across the dry season (**Fig. 2B**). Similar seasonal and inter-annual dynamics were observed for the community with dispersal but with much higher biomass (peaked around 30 mg C cm-3) and taxonomic diversity (**Fig. 1D; Supporting Fig. 4B; Fig. 2C, D**).

**A close up of a map

Description automatically generated**

**Fig.2 Seasonal dynamics of community-level enzyme investment and drought tolerance of microbial communities under different drought scenarios.** (**A, B**) Enzyme investment and drought tolerance during year 6 (3rd year under drought) and year 9 (3rd year after drought) under three scenarios (ambient, moderate, and severe) without dispersal, respectively. (**C, D**) The same for communities with dispersal under two scenarios (ambient and severe).Dashed lines and color bands are means and 95% confidence intervals (n=40).

## **3.2 Responses to and recoveries from drought disturbance of varying severity**


Variation in drought severity altered the microbial community to varying extents (**Fig. 1B, C**). Total biomass declined significantly, with the severe scenario declining the most by about 50% to a peak less than 10 mg C cm-3. Composition of the communities changed dramatically in terms of taxonomic richness and abundance after 2 years of drought perturbation with differing levels of drought tolerance and enzyme investment. Compared to the ambient scenario, drought tolerance increased significantly across the whole season from as low as only 0.62 to 0.92 of the moderate scenario and to 0.97 of the severe (**Fig. 2A,B**). However, the community enzyme investment only declined significantly in the severe scenario across the dry season and did not change much in the moderate scenario on average besides the later stage in dry season (**Fig. 2A,B**). These trait changes dictated differences in community-level carbon allocation between enzymes and osmolytes and thus yield (**Fig. 3A; Supporting Fig. 5A**). Under the moderate scenario, the percentage of assimilated carbon allocated to osmolytes ranged between 65–85%, compared to the ambient range of 50–70%, whereas enzyme allocation was consistently lower (10% on average) than the ambient (20% on average). However, the resulting yield was basically similar, ranging between 0 - 30%, though a few points in the ambient were higher (reaching at most 40%) early in the drought season. Under the severe scenario, the percentage of osmolytes became even higher and enzymes even lower, and the community yield approached zero more often. Eventually, these differences in community resource allocation between osmolytes and enzymes were manifested in the dampened degradation of substrates over the grid, with the two drought scenarios resulting in different levels of decomposition declines (average of 57.39 and 85.65%, respectively; **Fig. 4A, B**).

A close up of a map

Description automatically generated

**Fig. 3 Ternary plots of community-level allocation of assimilated carbon among enzymes, osmolytes, and yield over time under different drought scenarios. (A, B**) Enzyme-Osmolyte-Yield tradeoff of communities during year 6 (3rd year under drought) and year 9 (3rd year after drought), respectively, of the default mode (without dispersal). (**C, D**) The same for the dispersal mode. The Y (Yield), A (Acquisition), and S (Stress) labeled at corners correspond to yield, enzymes, and osmolytes, respectively. See **Supporting Fig. 5** for a version with points differentiated by drought scenario instead of water potential.

Once the ambient conditions were re-imposed, after 2 years (year 9) new stable microbial communities formed (**Fig. 1B, C** and **Supporting Fig. 2C**). Compared to the ambient scenario, these newly-formed communities had different drought tolerance and enzyme investment (**Fig. 2A, B**). Drought tolerance was significantly higher under both the moderate (0.90) and severe scenario (0.96) than under the ambient, though both became a little lower than the communities realized under drought disturbance. In contrast, enzyme investment under the moderate scenario became similar to the ambient community, with only the severe scenario community remaining significantly different. Only the severe community showed a clearly lower allocation to enzymes than the ambient community across the dry season (**Fig. 3B; Supporting Fig. 5B**). This loss of differences in enzyme investment in the moderate community eventually resulted in only the severe scenario displaying significantly reduced degradation compared to the ambient scenario (by 47.72% on average; **Fig. 4B**), although the magnitude of decline was dampened compared to the antecedent drought period because of the relief of drought pressure (**Fig. 4A**). It is noteworthy that prior to year 9, the degradation changes resulting from the transient communities (year 7) were significant for both drought scenarios (an average decline by 18.00 and 55.52%, respectively).

****

**Fig. 4 Changes in substrates driven by drought.** (**A**)Total substrates on the spatial grid over year 6-9 under three different scenarios (ambient, moderate, and severe) without dispersal. (**B**) The same for simulations with dispersal under ambient and severe scenarios. Dashed lines and colored bands are means and 95% confidence intervals (n = 40), respectively. See **Supporting Fig. 6** for an example illustration of the underlying substrate-specific changes.

## **3.3 Responses to and recoveries from the severe drought disturbance with dispersal**

With dispersal of taxa from the same microbial pool at the beginning of each year, the overall responses to the severe drought disturbance were similar to the default mode, though with differing magnitudes and seasonal patterns. With dispersal the microbial community also saw both lower total biomass and declined taxonomic abundance, particularly significant during the dry season, but remained higher than the default mode (**Fig. 1E**). This stable community realized had significantly different community enzyme investment and drought tolerance from the ambient as well but with a different seasonal pattern compared to the default mode. The enzyme investment sharply declined from a peak of 0.0033 to 0.0020, and the drought tolerance increased sharply from 0.60 to 0.97 across the dry season, increasing their differences from the ambient over time (**Fig. 2C,D**). These changes resulted in the community allocating more assimilated carbon to produce osmolytes and less to enzymes, which resulted in zero yield when drought was most severe during the dry season (**Fig. 3C; Supporting Fig. 5C**). All these changes pointed to significant declines in decomposition of substrates (**Fig. 4C**; an average decline of 56.29% that was lower than the default mode).

However, when ambient conditions were re-imposed, recovery from drought was rapid compared to the default mode. After 2 years, the community became similar to the ambient (**Fig. 1E**), a stark contrast to the default mode (**Fig. 1C**). This compositional similarity coincided with similar community enzyme investment (**Fig. 2C**) and drought tolerance (**Fig. 2D**), which resulted in the same community-level allocation of assimilated carbon among enzymes (30 - 60%), osmolytes (40 - 60%), and thus yield (0 – 30%; **Fig. 3D; Supporting Fig. 5D**). These communities eventually had the almost exactly the same composition and substrates decomposition rates (**Fig. 4D**). In fact, in contrast to the default mode, the transient community did not show significant effects after the 1st year following drought (year 7; **Fig. 4D**). Based on this lack of legacy effects under the severe scenario, we did not find it informative to run simulations of the moderate scenario with dispersal.

# **4 Discussion**

With trait-based modelling in a mechanistically explicit fashion, this study examined the relationships between drought legacy and drought severity and dispersal in simulated litter microbiomes. Manifestation of drought legacy at the system level in terms of litter decomposition was contingent on drought severity and microbial dispersal, ranging from persistent through transient to no legacy at all (**Fig. 4**). Such a set of representative legacy scenarios varying in magnitude and duration emerges from an overarching mechanistic basis—tradeoff between enzyme and drought tolerance in soil microbiome, which can be coherently organized into a YAS-based framework. Elucidating soil microbiome drought legacies contributes to understanding microbial systems resilience. Weaker legacies correspond to higher resilience of soil microbiome functioning in response to historical drought conditions. Our quantification of legacy mechanisms underlying microbial system resilience will help more accurately quantify organic matter decomposition and feedbacks of whole ecosystems to drought in the Earth system.

**Transient legacy under moderate drought**

Clearly, the severity of drought disturbance influences the magnitude and duration of legacy by determining the extent to which a microbial community can adapt (**Fig. 4A**). Our drought disturbance lasted long enough for communities to reach a stable state. However, our simulations represent only a subset of the broad spectrum of actual drought disturbance in terms of frequency, intensity, and duration and were implemented to uncover and demonstrate the underlying mechanisms. By increasing the drought intensity, we revealed legacies from transient to persistent. Weaker disturbances than we analyzed might result in no legacy at all.

Drought disturbance of a relatively low severity had a negative effect on decomposition conferred by the transient community, but eventually the legacy effect disappeared (**Fig. 4A**), a pattern that we dub “transient legacy”. This transient legacy matches the rainfall manipulation experiment with a reciprocal design at our field site, which observed resilience to drought in terms of litter decomposition within three years (**Martiny et al. 2017**). It is noteworthy that our modeled transient legacy arose from a community with the same functioning but different composition and biomass. However, with a total biomass difference as large as 50% (peak biomass under the moderate scenario, but a similar total biomass at the end; **Fig. 1B**), the role of biomass difference can be largely excluded in this eventual functional indifference. This exclusion of biomass change in contributing to legacy formation is consistent with findings from our field experiment (**Martiny et al. 2017**) and a reciprocal transplant study across a climate gradient in Southern California (**Glassman et al. 2018)**. Rather, the eventual loss of legacy fundamentally resulted from the same community enzyme investment (accompanied by a realization of higher community drought tolerance; **Fig. 2A,B**). Such a compositional but not functional change in the new stable system after a disturbance, which has been widely observed across natural systems (e.g., **Ives & Carpenter 2007;** **Fukami 2015**), reflects a broad notion of functional similarity in the soil microbiome (**Allison and Martiny 2008**). It is this transient legacy that dictates the resilience of microbial systems and other natural systems when moderately perturbed, underlying which functional redundancy is one key factor [microbial systems in particular, e.g., **Louca et al. (2018**)].

**Persistent legacy under severe drought**

In contrast, a persistent legacy (**Fig. 4B**) can result from a stronger drought disturbance that pushes the community to reach an even higher drought tolerance by sacrificing more of the investment in enzyme production (including a loss of drought-intolerant taxa), thereby forming a community not only compositionally but also functionally different (**Fig. 2A,B**). A similar long-term legacy in soil heterotrophic respiration was also observed by microcosm and field transplant experiments by **Hawkes et al. (2017)**. This persistent legacy embodies the notion of alternative stable state with different functioning. Similar historical contingency of alternative stable system has been widely reported across natural systems with disturbances of drought and beyond: e.g., in gut microbiota experiencing transient osmotic perturbation (**Tropini et al. 2018**) and in forest biomes across tropical (**Hirota et al. 2011**; **Staver et al. 2011**) and boreal forest (**Herzschuh 2020**), as well as in small pond systems (**Chase 2003**). A persistent legacy means the loss of system resilience with severe disturbance.

**Disappearance of legacy under severe drought with dispersal**

However, dispersal can negate formation of even a transient legacy in organic matter decomposition. By introducing taxa from the same microbial pool in each new year, we found that dispersal with even the severe drought disturbance can rescue dead taxa and completely mitigate the physiological tradeoff-mediated drought selection on a microbial community (**Fig. 1E**) As a result of preserving community drought tolerance and enzyme investment (**Fig. 2C, D; Fig. 3D**), dispersal can overwhelm the drought legacy in organic matter decomposition (**Fig. 4B**). This disappearance of legacy suggests that dispersal can increase microbial systems resilience to drought disturbance (**Allison and Martiny 2008**), forming a stark contrast to the severe drought disturbance without dispersal as discussed above (**Fig. 4B**). We must acknowledge that factors in dispersal influencing resident community are manifold. For instance, timing (i.e., priority effects; **Fukami 2015**) and velocity (**Evans et al. 2020**) both have been suggested to be important. In addition, even an unsuccessful invasion by a single dispersal species with only short-term interactions with the resident community can induce an alternative stable state functioning differently (**Amor et al. 2020**). Therefore, the treatment of dispersal in this study (with a sole purpose of revealing underlying mechanisms) by no means is exclusive. For example, in a field transplant experiment with passive dispersal, **Hawkes et al. (2017)** did not find apparent mitigation of historical rainfall legacy in soil respiration. Responding to huge variation in the dispersal process, a microbial community could instead present compositional and functional changes to varying extents (e.g., **Fukami 2015**) and hence varying magnitudes of legacy in decomposition, ~~which warrant more explorations to enrich dispersal drought legacy.~~

A close up of a map

Description automatically generated

**Fig. 5 A coherent mechanistic framework of drought legacy based on YAS.** Drought legacy is contingent on the trajectory of a microbial community on the Y-A-S space.There will be no legacy if a community does not move at all or move along the thick black line. Instead, if the community moves into and stays in the grey region, persistent legacy will occur. However, if the community eventually leaves the grey region and settles on the thick black line, only transient legacy can occur. In addition, a speculation of another trajectory is included: a community moving into the red region with both increased drought tolerance and enzyme investment. Note that it is for an illustration purpose only that the starting microbial community (full yellow circle) does not necessarily stay in the center of the space.

**A coherent mechanistic framework**

We can coherently organize the above legacy scenarios with clear contrasts (moderate vs. severe drought: transient vs. persistent legacy; without vs. with dispersal under severe drought: persistent legacy vs. no legacy) into a YAS-based framework as illustrated in **Fig. 5**. Originating from intra-cellular metabolic tradeoff between enzyme and osmolyte production, drought legacy in microbiome functioning is eventually determined by the position that a community can reach on its potential space constrained by enzyme investment, drought tolerance, and yield. Based on this framework, any factor that affects the trajectory of a community in Y-A-S strategy space may influence the magnitude and/or duration of the drought legacy. For instance, when drought forces the community to move to a position of higher drought tolerance but eventually similar enzyme investment (e.g., low level drought as shown in the moderate scenario; **Fig. 4A**), a transient legacy occurs. However, when a community moves to a position of higher drought tolerance and lower enzyme investment (e.g., under an intense drought as shown in the severe scenario; **Fig. 4A**), a persistent legacy with impaired capability in degrading substrates can occur. In contrast, when the community does not shift in strategy space (e.g., with dispersal present; **Fig. 4B**), even transient legacies may not occur.

However, mechanisms influencing the tradeoff between enzyme and osmolyte production can be complex and manifold, similar to microbiome tradeoffs in general (e.g., **Berezovsky & Shakhnovich, 2005; Ferenci 2016**); notably, tradeoffs are not necessarily rigid, and positive relationships between traits may also be observed (e.g., **Tikhonov et al. 2020**). Such complexities can be induced by factors including drought intensity, dispersal, and potentially many others, as well as processes including, e.g., metabolic plasticity and evolution. For instance, we speculate a fourth legacy scenario of both increased drought tolerance and enzyme investment that emerges from a loss of enzyme-osmolyte tradeoff under certain conditions, which in theory is possible as long as without breaking the constraint of tradeoff with yield (**Fig. 5**). Therefore, broadening the scope of scenarios examined in this study (as discussed earlier on drought disturbance and dispersal) and relaxing assumptions in DEMENTpy offer natural directions in which our study can be extended for enriching the tradeoff-mediated mechanisms underpinning drought legacy.

**Implications**

In summary, this study revealed a tradeoff-mediated mechanistic framework that can explain drought legacies in soil microbiomes emerging from trait-based microbial community shifts. This mechanistic insight has broad consequences for quantifying ecosystems’ responses and feedbacks to increasing frequency and severity of drought and other environmental changes. An accurate quantification of drought legacy with respect to magnitude and duration would enable a better evaluation of implications of litter and soil organic matter decomposition for whole ecosystem functioning and dynamics. For instance, even a transient legacy of impaired decomposition of litter may enhance carbon sequestration in soil systems at certain temporal scales, but may also allow fuels to accumulate for the next fire season, thereby increasing fire risk (e.g., **Pellegrini et al. 2017**). Additionally, impaired decomposition can inhibit release of nutrients from detritus and thus their return to plants, influencing plant-microbe interactions (e.g., **Legay et al. 2018**). All these and potentially many other cascading changes arising from microbiome legacy would engender more complex feedbacks in ecosystems. Evaluating their implications entails an integrative, holistic view of components in systems across ecosystem and the Earth System scales. To proceed, this study clearly indicates that to establish a predictive science of ecosystems in the context of projected global climate change, considering history as an essential component means that dimensions and spaces of essential tradeoffs distilled from tremendous taxonomic diversity should be incorporated. This trait-based modelling of soil microbiome, together with progress in trait-based insights into vegetation dynamics, offer an inspirational starting point for moving forward in this direction.

# **References**

Allison, S. D. (2012). A trait‐based approach for modelling microbial litter decomposition. Ecology letters, 15, 1058-1070.

Allison, S. D., & Goulden, M. L. (2017). Consequences of drought tolerance traits for microbial decomposition in the DEMENT model. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 107, 104-113.

Allison, S. D., Lu, Y., Weihe, C., Goulden, M. L., Martiny, A. C., Treseder, K. K., & Martiny, J. B. (2013). Microbial abundance and composition influence litter decomposition response to environmental change. Ecology, 94, 714-725.

Anderegg, W. R., Schwalm, C., Biondi, F., Camarero, J. J., Koch, G., Litvak, M., ... & Wolf, A. (2015). Pervasive drought legacies in forest ecosystems and their implications for carbon cycle models. Science, 349, 528-532.

Berdugo, M., Delgado-Baquerizo, M., Soliveres, S., Hernández-Clemente, R., Zhao, Y., Gaitán, J. J., ... & Rillig, M. C. (2020). Global ecosystem thresholds driven by aridity. Science, 367, 787-790.

Berezovsky, I. N., & Shakhnovich, E. I. (2005). Physics and evolution of thermophilic adaptation. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 102, 12742-12747.

Birch, H. F. 1958. The effect of soil drying on humus decomposition and nitrogen availability. Plant and Soil, 10, 9–31.

Borsa, A. A., Agnew, D. C., & Cayan, D. R. (2014). Ongoing drought-induced uplift in the western United States. Science, 345, 1587-1590.

Conradi, T., Van Meerbeek, K., Ordonez, A., & Svenning, J. C. (2020). Biogeographic historical legacies in the net primary productivity of Northern Hemisphere forests. Ecology Letters, 23, 800-810.

Csonka, L. N. (1989). Physiological and genetic responses of bacteria to osmotic stress. Microbiological Reviews, 53, 121-147.

Cuddington, K. (2011). Legacy Effects: The Persistent Impact of Ecological Interactions. Biological Theory, 6, 203–210.

Evans, S.E., Wallenstein, M.D. (2012) Soil microbial community response to drying and rewetting stress: does historical precipitation regime matter? Biogeochemistry, 109**,**101–116.

Evans, S. E., & Wallenstein, M. D. (2014). Climate change alters ecological strategies of soil bacteria. Ecology letters, 17, 155-164.

Evans, S. E., Bell‐Dereske, L. P., Dougherty, K. M., & Kittredge, H. A. (2020). Dispersal alters soil microbial community response to drought. Environmental Microbiology, 22, 905-916.

Falkowski, P. G., Fenchel, T., & Delong, E. F. (2008). The microbial engines that drive Earth's biogeochemical cycles. Science, 320, 1034-1039.

Ferenci, T. (2016). Trade-off mechanisms shaping the diversity of bacteria. Trends in Microbiology, 24, 209-223.

Fuchslueger, L., Bahn, M., Hasibeder, R., Kienzl, S., Fritz, K., Schmitt, M., ... & Richter, A. (2016). Drought history affects grassland plant and microbial carbon turnover during and after a subsequent drought event. Journal of Ecology, 104, 1453-1465.

Fukami, T. (2015). Historical contingency in community assembly: integrating niches, species pools, and priority effects. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 46, 1-23.

Green, J.K., Seneviratne, S.I., Berg, A.M. *et al.* (2019). Large influence of soil moisture on long-term terrestrial carbon uptake. Nature, 565**,**476–479.

Hawkes, C. V., & Keitt, T. H. (2015). Resilience vs. historical contingency in microbial responses to environmental change. Ecology letters, 18, 612-625.

Hawkes, C. V., Waring, B. G., Rocca, J. D., & Kivlin, S. N. (2017). Historical climate controls soil respiration responses to current soil moisture. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 114, 6322-6327.

Herzschuh, U. (2020). Legacy of the Last Glacial on the present‐day distribution of deciduous versus evergreen boreal forests. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 29, 198-206

Hinojosa, M. B., Laudicina, V. A., Parra, A., Albert‐Belda, E., & Moreno, J. M. (2019). Drought and its legacy modulate the post‐fire recovery of soil functionality and microbial community structure in a Mediterranean shrubland. Global Change Biology, 25, 1409-1427.

Ives, A. R., & Carpenter, S. R. (2007). Stability and diversity of ecosystems. Science, 317, 58-62.

Johnstone, J. F., Allen, C. D., Franklin, J. F., Frelich, L. E., Harvey, B. J., Higuera, P. E., ... & Schoennagel, T. (2016). Changing disturbance regimes, ecological memory, and forest resilience. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 14, 369-378.

Karhu, K., Auffret, M., Dungait, J. *et al.* (2014) Temperature sensitivity of soil respiration rates enhanced by microbial community response. Nature, 513, 81–84

Louca, S., Polz, M.F., Mazel, F. et al. (2018). Function and functional redundancy in microbial systems. Nature Ecology Evolution, 2, 936–943.

Malik, A.A., Martiny, J.B.H., Brodie, E.L. *et al.* (2020). Defining trait-based microbial strategies with consequences for soil carbon cycling under climate change. ISME J 14,1–9.

Manzoni, S., Schimel, J. P., & Porporato, A. (2012). Responses of soil microbial communities to water stress: results from a meta‐analysis. Ecology, 93, 930-938.

Martiny, J., Martiny, A., Weihe, C. et al. (2017). Microbial legacies alter decomposition in response to simulated global change. ISME J 11, 490–499.

McGill, B. J., Enquist, B. J., Weiher, E., & Westoby, M. (2006). Rebuilding community ecology from functional traits. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 21, 178-185.

Meisner, A., Rousk, J., & Bååth, E. (2015). Prolonged drought changes the bacterial growth response to rewetting. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 88, 314-322.

Rousk, J., Smith, A. R., & Jones, D. L. (2013). Investigating the long‐term legacy of drought and warming on the soil microbial community across five European shrubland ecosystems. Global Change Biology, 19, 3872-3884.

Schimel, J., Balser, T. C., & Wallenstein, M. (2007). Microbial stress‐response physiology and its implications for ecosystem function. Ecology, 88, 1386-1394.

Wang, B., & Allison, S. D. (2019). Emergent properties of organic matter decomposition by soil enzymes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 136, 107522.

Waring, B., Hawkes, C. V. (2018). Ecological mechanisms underlying soil bacterial responses to rainfall along a steep natural precipitation gradient, FEMS Microbiology Ecology, 94, fiy001.

Vila, J. C., Jones, M. L., Patel, M., Bell, T., & Rosindell, J. (2019). Uncovering the rules of microbial community invasions. Nature Ecology & Evolution, 3, 1162-1171.

Wieder, W. R., Allison, S. D., Davidson, E. A., Georgiou, K., Hararuk, O., He, Y., ... & Todd‐Brown, K., 2015. Explicitly representing soil microbial processes in Earth system models. Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 29, 1782-1800.

# **Acknowledgements**

All data and code underlying the analyses and illustrations in this manuscript are accessible at: <https://github.com/bioatmosphere/microbiome-drought-legacy>. DEMENTpy code is available at <https://github.com/bioatmosphere/DEMENTpy>.

# Supporting Information

**Tradeoff-mediated Drought Legacy in Soil Microbiome**

Bin Wang, Steven D. Allison

# **1 DEMENTpy**

DEMENTpy, a trait-based explicit microbial systems modelling framework both mechanistically and spatially, is an effort of mechanistically updating (see **Supporting Fig. 1** for conceptual structure) and programmatically restructuring (see **Supporting Fig. 7** for programming structure) DEMENT that was initially developed in 2012 (**Allison 2012**). The source code in Python is archived at <https://github.com/bioatmosphere/DEMENTpy>. Processes simulated in DEMENTpy are described below.

### **1.1 Microbial community initialization**

With a trait-based approach, a microbial pool comprises a large number of hypothetical taxa in DEMENTpy is created by randomly drawing values from distributions of various microbial and enzymatic traits (**Supporting Table 1**) and assigning them to different taxa. These hypothetical taxa in the microbial pool with differing combinations of trait values are randomly placed on the spatial grid to form a spatially-explicit microbial community. See animations at <https://bioatmosphere.github.io/DEMENTpy/> to get an intuitive notion of this spatial feature, and an application of this feature to addressing enzymatic heterogeneity scaling in **Wang and Allison (2019)**. Trait distributions are all assumed to follow uniform distributions, except that for simplicity, some traits are assumed to be constants, and values of some traits are derived from established correlations with other traits. These distributions and assumptions are largely informed by field- and lab-based experimental works (**Allison 2012; Allison and Goulden 2017**).

Four of the major traits determining intra-cellular metabolism of enzyme and osmolyte and thus mass balance are rates of enzyme production (constitutive and inducible) and rates of osmolyte production (constitutive and inducible). On top of these rates, taxon-specific number of genes encoding different enzymes and osmolytes are determined randomly under the constraint of systems setup. Therefore, rate and number together determine amounts of enzyme and osmolyte a cell can produce. The rate of production of inducible osmolyte is then normalized to a value from 0 to 1, which is regarded as drought tolerance. Such a treatment of drought tolerance is an update to the previous version which instead directly introduced a drought tolerance parameter and imposed a penalty on carbon use efficiency accordingly (**Allison and Goulden 2017**). Starting from osmolyte production to determine drought tolerance is supposed to be more biologically realistic (**Schimel 2007**). Additionally, a whole set of enzymatic traits including Vmax and Km of both enzyme and transporter is employed to explicitly parameterize a certain number of different enzymes and transporters allowed in a system.

### **1.2 Metabolic production of enzyme and osmolyte**

Different individuals (hypothetical taxa) comprising the microbial community complete their demographic processes of growth, mortality, and reproduction while degrading substrates and ingesting monomers under the influence of temperature and water potential. From these underlying processes emerges dynamics and functioning at both the microbial cell level and the whole system level.

Degradation of substrates are calculated explicitly by using different enzymes with different kinetic properties. One principle during the simulation is that every substrate at least has one enzyme to degrade and vice versa. Different monomers are calculated explicitly by having differing transporters to target them. Transporters of different types and amounts are taxon-specific, which is described immediately below. The governing equation of both substrates’ degradation and monomers’ uptake follows the Michaelis-Menten equation, which is further constrained by temperature (accounting for temperature impacts on enzymatic kinetics) and water potential (accounting for enzymatic kinetics and diffusion declines arising from drought; **Allison and Goulden 2017**):

where *E* and *S* represent enzyme and substrate concentration, respectively, *Vmax* represents the enzyme catalytic constant, *Km* denotes the concentration of *S* at which *V* is one half *Vmax*, *𝛆* is enzymatic activation energy, *R* is universal gas constant, and *k* is a coefficient controlling water potential sensitivity that distinguishes between degradation and uptake.

Intra-cellular production of enzymes and osmolytes are described below in detail with respect to simulation methods and their underlying rationales. Cellular metabolism explicitly deals with both the carbon upon uptake from degraded substrates and the carbon in biomass of microbial cells inducibly and constitutively (**Supporting Fig. 3**). The metabolic processing of assimilated carbon after growth respiration (constrained by a constant) is directed to enzyme (and respiration) and osmolyte production (and respiration; **Csonka 1989; Witteveen and Visser 1995**), which are treated horizontally in the model without prescribing an order. The carbon left after these processes accumulates toward biomass. We assume the constitutive osmolyte production rate (*Osmo\_Con*) varies across taxa without depending on water potential, accounting for bacterial/fungal cell’s allocation of biomass to keep a water potential balance across cell wall (**Csonka 1989**). In contrast, taxon-specific inducible production of osmolytes (*Oind*) is subject to constraints from water potential and is calculated following:

where *Oind*, indexed by taxon i, is the *ith* taxon’s inducible osmolyte production rate, is the daily water potential, *α* is a water potential coefficient , and is a system water potential constant, below which inducible osmolyte production is activated. Though with a differing production rate across taxa, osmolyte in the current version (without further differentiating among different osmolytic compounds) is assumed to hold a constant stoichiometry of C/N = 3, which governs consumption of N in intracellular metabolism. This ratio is based on an average of the three most common osmotic compounds in bacteria (**Csonka 1989**): proline (C5H9NO2), glycine betaine (C5H11NO2), and glutamine (C5H10N2O3).

Arising from metabolic production of enzyme and osmolyte, mortality of microbial cells is simulated both deterministically by accounting for mass balance relative to a threshold and stochastically based on death probability constrained by drought tolerance and water potential. Here the taxon-specific mortality probability (*Mort*) is calculated following:

where *Death\_basal*, indexed by *i*, is the ith taxon’s basal mortality probability, *Death\_rate* is a death probability coefficient controlling water potential sensitivity, *Tol* is *ith* taxon’s drought tolerance, and is a system water potential constant. Microbial cells that are either out of mass balance or randomly killed are designated as dead ones, removed from the microbial community, and added into the substrates pools as dead microbes. Microbial reproduction is simply calculated by splitting microbes into two halves, which disperse to surrounding grid boxes on the spatial grid.

# **2. Calculation of community-level traits**

Community-level enzyme investment (*Ecom*) and drought tolerance (*Dcom*) weighted by biomass are calculated as:

respectively, where *Ei* and *Di* refer to the ith taxon’s enzyme production rate and drought tolerance, respectively, and *Mi* is the relative biomass of the *ith* taxon in the community.

# **References**

Allison, S. D. (2012). A trait‐based approach for modelling microbial litter decomposition. Ecology letters, 15, 1058-1070.

Allison, S. D., & Goulden, M. L. (2017). Consequences of drought tolerance traits for microbial decomposition in the DEMENT model. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 107, 104-113.

Bugmann, H., Fischlin, A., & Kienast, F. (1996). Model convergence and state variable update in forest gap models. Ecological Modelling, 89, 197-208.

Wang, B., & Allison, S. D. (2019). Emergent properties of organic matter decomposition by soil enzymes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 136, 107522.


|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Supporting Table 1** Major microbial and enzyme parameters and their values | | | |
| **Parameter** | **Value** | **Unit** | **Note** |
| max\_size\_b | 2 | mg cm-3 | C quota threshold for bacterial cell division |
| Cfrac\_b | 0.825 | mg mg-1 | Bacterial C fraction |
| Nfrac\_b | 0.16 | mg mg-1 | Bacterial N fraction |
| Pfrac\_b | 0.015 | mg mg-1 | Bacterial P fraction |
| Crange | 0.09 | mg mg-1 | Tolerance on C fraction |
| Nrange | 0.04 | mg mg-1 | Tolerance on N fraction |
| Prange | 0.005 | mg mg-1 | Tolerance on P fraction |
| C\_min | 0.086 | mg cm-3 | threshold C concentration for cell death |
| N\_min | 0.012 | mg cm-3 | threshold P concentration for cell death |
| P\_min | 0.002 | mg cm-3 | threshold C concentration for cell death |
| Uptake\_C\_cost\_min | 0.01 | transporter mg-1 biomass C | Minimun per enzyme C cost as a fraction of uptake |
| Uptake\_C\_cost\_max | 0.1 | transporter mg-1 biomass C | Maximum per enzyme C cost as a fraction of uptake |
| Uptake\_Maint\_cost | 0.01 | mg C transporter-1 day-1 | Respiration cost of uptake transporters |
| Enz\_per\_taxon\_min | 0 |  | Minimum number of enzymes a taxon can produce |
| Enz\_per\_taxon\_max | 40 |  | Maximum number of enzymes a taxon can produce |
| Enz\_Prod\_min | 0.00001 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Minimum per enzyme production cost as a fraction of C uptake rate |
| Enz\_Prod\_max | 0.0001 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Maximum per enzyme producton cost as a fraction of C uptakte rate |
| Constit\_Prod\_min | 0.00001 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Minimum per enzyme production cost as a fraction of biomass C |
| Constit\_Prod\_max | 0.0001 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Maximum per enzyme production cost as a fraction of biomass C |
| Osmo\_per\_taxon\_min | 1 |  | Minimum number of osmolyte a taxon can produce |
| Osmo\_per\_taxon\_max | 1 |  | Maximum number of osmolyte a taxon can produce |
| Osmo\_Consti\_Prod\_min | 0.0000001 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Minimum per osmolyte production cost as a fraction of biomass C |
| Osmo\_Consti\_Prod\_max | 0.000001 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Maximum per osmolyte production cost as a fraction of biomass C |
| Osmo\_Induci\_Prod\_min | 0.01 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Minimum per osmolyte production cost as a fraction of C uptake rate |
| Osmo\_Induci\_Prod\_max | 0.1 | mg C mg-1 day-1 | Maximum per osmolyte production cost as a fraction of C uptake rate |
| CUE\_ref | 0.5 | mg mg-1 | Growth efficiency at the reference temperature |
| CUE\_temp | -0.005 | mg mg-1 | Growth efficiency change with enzyme investment |
| death\_rate\_bac | 0.001 |  | Bacterial death rate |
| basal\_bac | 10 |  | Bacterial basal death probability |
| wp\_th | -2 |  | water potential threshold at which osmolyte is induced |
| alpha | 0.01 |  | Osmolyte production change with water potential |
| Vmax0\_min | 5 | mg substrate mg-1 enzyme day-1 | Minimum Vmax for enzyme |
| Vmax0\_max | 50 | mg substrate mg-1 enzyme day-1 | Maximum Vmax for enzyme |
| Uptake\_Vmax0\_min | 1 | mg substrate mg-1 substrate day-1 | Minimum uptake Vmax |
| Uptake\_Vmax0\_max | 10 | mg substrate mg-1 substrate day-1 | Maximum uptake Vmax |
| Uptake\_Ea\_min | 35 | kj mol-1 | Minimum activation energy for uptake |
| Uptake\_Ea\_max | 35 | kj mol-1 | Maximum activation energy for uptake |
| Km\_min | 0.01 | mg cm-3 | Minimum Km |
| Uptake\_Km\_min | 0.001 | mg cm-3 | Minimum uptake Km |
| Vmax\_Km | 1 | mg enzyme day cm-3 | Slope for Km-Vmax relationship |
| Vmax\_Km\_int | 0 | mg cm-3 | Intercept for Km-Vmax relationship |
| Uptake\_Vmax\_Km | 0.2 | mg biomass day cm-3 | Slope for uptake Km-Vmax relationship |
| Uptake\_Vmax\_Km\_int | 0 | mg cm-3 | Intercept for uptake Km-Vmax relationship |
| Specif\_factor | 1 |  | Efficiency-specificity |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Supporting Table 2** Substrate concentrations initialized in DEMENT simulations (mg cm-3). | | | |
| **Substrate** | **C** | **N** | **P** |
| DeadMic | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| DeadEnz | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cellulose | 146.89 | 0 | 0 |
| Hemicellulose | 85.855 | 0 | 0 |
| Starch | 12.21 | 0 | 0 |
| Chitin | 4.9952 | 0.83254 | 0 |
| Lignin | 48.51 | 0.40425 | 0 |
| Protein1 | 10.6 | 2.09704 | 0 |
| Protein2 | 10.6 | 2.09704 | 0 |
| Protein3 | 10.6 | 2.09704 | 0 |
| OrgP1 | 12.48 | 0 | 0.478469 |
| OrgP2 | 1.8182 | 0.79745 | 0.478469 |


A close up of a map

Description automatically generated


**Supporting Fig. 1 DEMENTpy conceptual structure and underpinning traits and intra-cellular metabolism.**



**Supporting Fig. 2 Environmental forcing and microbial community dynamics.** (**A**) Ambient daily water potential of 2011, with the orange and red line denoting the moderate and severe drought scenario, respectively, which are manipulated values simply by multiplying the water potential across the dry season (from April through September) by 4 and 10, respectively. (**B**) The corresponding daily temperature. (**C, D**) Microbial community dynamics of the default vs. dispersal mode over 10 years under the ambient drought scenario.The simulation experiences three phases as separated by the dashed grey lines: a spin-up phase of three years to realize a relatively stable community; a disturbance phase of imposing different drought scenarios for three years; and a final recovery phase after drought disturbance. Colored bands represent different hypothetical taxa.



**Supporting Fig. 3** **DEMENTpy (v1.0) stochasticity convergence analysis**. Q (quotient) is calculated as (90% percentile -10% percentile)/median with the data of degradation of substrates following Bugmann et al. (1996). Each sample size has 20 replicates that were randomly drawn from a sample pool of 112 runs. This analysis illustrates that a sample size of 40, which starts displaying relatively stabilized and converged variation, may be an appropriate choice considering a tradeoff of reliability vs. consumption of computing resource.

****

**Supporting Fig. 4 Taxonomic changes in traits across dry season. (A)** Taxon-specific traits of drought tolerance and enzyme investment ofa microbial community without dispersal before (blue) and after the dry season (red) under the ambient scenario. (**B**) The same for a microbial community with dispersal. Each point corresponds to a different taxon, and the size is proportional to its biomass.

**A close up of a map

Description automatically generated**

**Supporting Fig. 5 Ternary plots of community-level allocation of assimilated carbon among enzymes, osmolytes, and yield over time under different drought scenarios. (A, B**) Enzyme-Osmolyte-Yield tradeoff of communities during year 6 (3rd year under drought) and year 9 (3rd year after drought), respectively, of the default mode (without dispersal). (**C, D**) The same for the dispersal mode. The Y (Yield), A (Acquisition), and S (Stress) labeled at corners correspond to yield, enzymes, and osmolytes, respectively. Points with negative net biomass gain were omitted.



**Supporting Fig. 6 Substrate-specific dynamics under differing drought scenarios. (A, B, C)** the dynamics under ambient, moderate, and severe scenarios in default mode for year 3 and year 6 (the 3rd year under drought disturbance) through year 9. (**D, E**) The same for the dispersal mode but with only ambient and severe scenarios. Each color band represents one type of 12 different substrates.

A screenshot of a cell phone

Description automatically generated

**Supporting Fig. 7 DEMENTpy programming structure.** DEMENTpy emerges from hierarchically restructuring and mechanistically updating DEMENT programmed in R.