




# BIMM 143

## Genome Informatics II


### Lecture 15

Barry Grant  
UC San Diego

<http://thegrantlab.org/bimm143>



### Mapping/Alignment




Alignment

Genome sequence: GATAGGTGTGACTACCGCCCATGAAGCGGACTGACTATGAGACGCTGCTAAACCCCGCCGATATATATACGCGACGATGACTATATAGCTCGACTGCCATGACAAAAGTGAAGCCCATATCTGCTGGGTA

### Quantification

Absolute read counts	15	5	15	(35)
Normalized read counts	$RPKM = \frac{totalTranscriptReads}{mappedReads(millions) \times transcriptLength(Kb)}$			(0.7)


### Transcript discovery




Splice variant A




Splice variant B



### Variant discovery



SNP identification: C/T







# Install DESeq2

[Bioconductor Setup Link](#)

```
source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
biocLite()

# For this class, you'll also need DESeq2:
biocLite("DESeq2")
```

## Background to Today's Data

- Data from: Himes *et al.* "RNA-Seq Transcriptome Profiling Identifies CRISPLD2 as a Glucocorticoid Responsive Gene that Modulates Cytokine Function in Airway Smooth Muscle Cells." PLoS ONE. 2014 Jun 13;9(6):e99625.
- Glucocorticoids inhibit inflammatory processes, often used to treat asthma because of their anti-inflammatory effects on airway smooth muscle (ASM) cells.
- RNA-seq to profile gene expression changes in 4 ASM cell lines treated with dexamethasone (a common synthetic glucocorticoid).
- Used Tophat and Cufflinks and found many differentially expressed genes. Focus on CRISPLD2 that encodes a secreted protein involved in lung development
- SNPs in CRISPLD2 in previous GWAS associated with inhaled corticosteroid resistance and bronchodilator response in asthma patients.
- Confirmed the upregulated CRISPLD2 with qPCR and increased protein expression with Western blotting.

# Data pre-processing

- Analyzing RNA-seq data starts with sequencing reads.
- Many different approaches, see references on class website.
- Our workflow (previously done):
  - Reads downloaded from GEO ([GSE:GSE52778](#))
  - Quantify transcript abundance ([kallisto](#)).
  - Summarize to gene-level abundance ([txlimport](#))
- Our starting point is a **count matrix**: each cell indicates the number of reads originating from a particular **gene** (in rows) for each **sample** (in columns).

## Data structure: counts + metadata

### countData

gene	ctrl_1	ctrl_2	exp_1	exp_2
geneA	10	11	56	45
geneB	0	0	128	54
geneC	42	41	59	41
geneD	103	122	1	23
geneE	10	23	14	56
geneF	0	1	2	0
...	...	...	...	...

**countData** is the count matrix  
(number of reads coming from  
each gene for each sample)

### colData


id	treatment	sex	...
ctrl_1	control	male	...
ctrl_2	control	female	...
exp_1	treatment	male	...
exp_2	treatment	female	...

Sample names:  
**ctrl\_1**, **ctrl\_2**, **exp\_1**, **exp\_2**

**colData** describes metadata  
about the *columns* of countData

First column of **colData** must match column names of **countData** (-1st)

## Counting is (relatively) easy:



## Hands-on time!

[https://bioboot.github.io/bimm143\\_F18/lectures/#15](https://bioboot.github.io/bimm143_F18/lectures/#15)

## Count Normalization

Side-note:

- Normalization is required to make comparisons in gene expression
  - Between 2+ genes in one sample
  - Between genes in 2+ samples
- Genes will have more reads mapped in a sample with high coverage than one with low coverage
  - 2x depth  $\approx$  2x expression
- Longer genes will have more reads mapped than shorter genes
  - 2x length  $\approx$  2x more reads

## Normalization: RPKM, FPKM & TPM

Side-note:

- **N.B.** Some tools for differential expression analysis such as edgeR and DESeq2 want raw read counts - i.e. non normalized input!
- However, often for your manuscripts and reports you will want to report normalized counts
- RPKM, FPKM and TPM all aim to normalize for sequencing depth and gene length. For the former:
  - Count up the total reads in a sample and divide that number by 1,000,000 - this is our “per million” scaling.
  - Divide the read counts by the “per million” scaling factor. This normalizes for sequencing depth, giving you reads per million (RPM)
  - Divide the RPM values by the length of the gene, in kilobases. This gives you RPKM.

- FPKM was made for paired-end RNA-seq
- With paired-end RNA-seq, two reads can correspond to a single fragment
- The only difference between RPKM and FPKM is that FPKM takes into account that two reads can map to one fragment (and so it doesn't count this fragment twice).

Side-note:

- **TPM** is very similar to RPKM and FPKM. The only difference is the order of operations:
  - First divide the read counts by the length of each gene in kilobases. This gives you reads per kilobase (RPK).
  - Count up all the RPK values in a sample and divide this number by 1,000,000. This is your “per million” scaling factor.
  - Divide the RPK values by the “per million” scaling factor. This gives you TPM.
- Note, the only difference is that you normalize for gene length first, and then normalize for sequencing depth second.

Side-note:


Side-note:

- When you use TPM, the sum of all TPMs in each sample are the same.
- This makes it easier to compare the proportion of reads that mapped to a gene in each sample.
- In contrast, with RPKM and FPKM, the sum of the normalized reads in each sample may be different, and this makes it harder to compare samples directly.

## Fold change (log ratios)

- To a statistician fold change is sometimes considered meaningless. Fold change can be large (e.g. >>two-fold up- or down-regulation) without being statistically significant (e.g. based on probability values from a t-test or ANOVA).
- To a biologist fold change is almost always considered important for two reasons. First, a very small but statistically significant fold change might not be relevant to a cell's function. Second, it is of interest to know which genes are most dramatically regulated, as these are often thought to reflect changes in biologically meaningful transcripts and/or pathways.

## Volcano plot: significantly regulated genes vs. fold change



- A volcano plot shows fold change (x-axis) versus p value from ANOVA (y-axis). Each point is the expression level of a transcript. Points high up on the y-axis (above the pale green horizontal line) are significantly regulated.

## Recent developments in RNA-Seq

- **Long read sequences:**
  - ➔ PacBio and Oxford Nanopore [[Recent Paper](#)]
- **Single-cell RNA-Seq:** [[Review article](#)]
  - ➔ Observe heterogeneity of cell populations
  - ➔ Detect sub-population
- **Alignment-free quantification:**
  - ➔ Kallisto [[Software link](#)]
  - ➔ Salmon [[Software link](#), [Blog post](#)]

# Public RNA-Seq data sources


- **Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO):**
  - <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/>
  - Both microarray and sequencing data
- **Sequence Read Archive (SRA):**
  - <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra>
  - All sequencing data (not necessarily RNA-Seq)
- **ArrayExpress:**
  - <https://www.ebi.ac.uk/arrayexpress/>
  - European version of GEO
- All of these have links between them

[\[Muddy Point Feedback Link\]](#)

Paired-End Reads



Alignment to the Reference Sequence



Paired-end sequencing enables both ends of the DNA fragment to be sequenced. Because the distance between each paired read is known, alignment algorithms can use this information to map the reads over repetitive regions more precisely. This results in much better alignment of the reads, especially across difficult-to-sequence, repetitive regions of the genome.

Taken From: <https://www.illumina.com/science/technology/next-generation-sequencing/paired-end-vs-single-read-sequencing.html>