

SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN

ORIGIN OF LIFE ON EARTH

Author(s): ALONSO RICARDO and JACK W. SZOSTAK

Source: *Scientific American*, Vol. 301, No. 3, SPECIAL ISSUE: UNDERSTANDING ORIGINS (September 2009), pp. 54-61

Published by: Scientific American, a division of Nature America, Inc.

Stable URL: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/10.2307/26001526>

JSTOR is a not-for-profit service that helps scholars, researchers, and students discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content in a trusted digital archive. We use information technology and tools to increase productivity and facilitate new forms of scholarship. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of the Terms & Conditions of Use, available at <https://about.jstor.org/terms>



Scientific American, a division of Nature America, Inc. is collaborating with JSTOR to digitize, preserve and extend access to *Scientific American*

JSTOR

LIFE ON EARTH

BY ALONSO RICARDO AND JACK W. SZOSTAK

EVOLVING ORIGINS

Fresh clues hint at how the first living organisms arose from inanimate matter

Every living cell, even the simplest bacterium, teems with molecular contraptions that would be the envy of any nanotechnologist. As they incessantly shake or spin or crawl around the cell, these machines cut, paste and copy genetic molecules, shuttle nutrients around or turn them into energy, build and repair cellular membranes, relay mechanical, chemical or electrical messages—the list goes on and on, and new discoveries add to it all the time.

It is virtually impossible to imagine how a cell's machines, which are mostly protein-based catalysts called enzymes, could have formed spontaneously as life first arose from nonliving matter around 3.7 billion years ago. To be sure, under the right conditions some building blocks of proteins, the amino acids, form easily from simpler chemicals, as Stanley L. Miller and Harold C. Urey of the University of Chicago discovered in pioneering experiments in the 1950s. But going from there to proteins and enzymes is a different matter.

A cell's protein-making process involves complex enzymes pulling apart the strands of DNA's double helix to extract the information contained in genes (the blueprints for the proteins) and translate it into the finished product. Thus, explaining how life began entails a serious paradox: it seems that it takes proteins—as well as the information now stored in DNA—to make proteins.

On the other hand, the paradox would disappear if the first organisms did not require proteins at all. Recent experiments suggest it would have been possible for genetic molecules similar to DNA or to its close relative RNA to form spontaneously. And because these molecules can curl up in different shapes and act as rudimentary catalysts, they may have become able to copy themselves—to reproduce—without the need for proteins. The earliest forms of life could have been simple membranes made of fatty acids—also structures known to form spontaneously—that enveloped water and these self-replicating genetic molecules. The genetic material would encode the traits that each generation handed down to the next, just as DNA does in all things that are alive today. Fortuitous mutations, appearing at random in the copying process, would then propel evolution, enabling these early cells to adapt to their environment, to compete with one

KEY CONCEPTS

- Researchers have found a way that the genetic molecule RNA could have formed from chemicals present on the early earth.
- Other studies have supported the hypothesis that primitive cells containing molecules similar to RNA could assemble spontaneously, reproduce and evolve, giving rise to all life.
- Scientists are now aiming at creating fully self-replicating artificial organisms in the laboratory—essentially giving life a second start to understand how it could have started the first time. —The Editors

HOLLY LINDEM (photo/illustration); GENE BURKHARDT (styling)



© 2009 SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, INC.

another, and eventually to turn into the life-forms we know.

The actual nature of the first organisms and the exact circumstances of the origin of life may be forever lost to science. But research can at least help us understand what is possible. The ultimate challenge is to construct an artificial organism that can reproduce and evolve. Creating life anew will certainly help us understand how life can start, how likely it is that it exists on other worlds and, ultimately, what life is.

Got to Start Somewhere

One of the most difficult and interesting mysteries surrounding the origin of life is exactly how the genetic material could have formed starting from simpler molecules present on the early earth. Judging from the roles that RNA has in modern cells, it seems likely that RNA appeared before DNA. When modern cells make proteins, they first copy genes from DNA into RNA and then use the RNA as a blueprint to make proteins. This last stage could have existed independently at first. Later on, DNA could have appeared as a more permanent form of storage, thanks to its superior chemical stability.


Investigators have one more reason for thinking that RNA came before DNA. The RNA versions of enzymes, called ribozymes, also serve a pivotal role in modern cells. The structures that translate RNA into proteins are hybrid RNA-protein machines, and it is the RNA in them that does the catalytic work. Thus, each of our cells appears to carry in its ribosomes “fossil” evidence of a primordial RNA world.

Much research, therefore, has focused on understanding the possible origin of RNA. Genetic molecules such as DNA and RNA are polymers (strings of smaller molecules) made of building blocks called nucleotides. In turn, nucleotides have three distinct components: a sugar, a phosphate and a nucleobase. Nucleobases come in four types and constitute the alphabet in which the polymer encodes information. In a DNA nucleotide the nucleobase can be A, G, C or T, standing for the molecules adenine, guanine, cytosine or thymine; in the RNA alphabet the letter U, for uracil, replaces the T [see box above]. The nucleobases are nitrogen-rich compounds that bind to one another according to a simple rule; thus, A pairs with U (or T), and G pairs with C. Such base pairs form the rungs of DNA’s twisted ladder—the familiar double helix—and their exclusive pairings are crucial for faithfully copying the information so a cell can reproduce.

[BUILDING BLOCKS]

FIRST GENETIC MOLECULES

The first entities on earth capable of reproducing and evolving probably carried their genetic information in some molecule similar to RNA, a close relative of DNA. Both DNA and RNA are chains of units called nucleotides (*high-lighted, left*), so a major question is how nucleotides first arose from simpler chemicals. The three components of a nucleotide—a nucleobase, a phosphate and a sugar—can each form spontaneously, but they do not readily join together in the right way (*center*). Recent experiments, however, have shown that at least two types of RNA nucleotides, those containing the nucleobases called C and U, could arise through a different route (*far right*). (In modern organisms, RNA nucleobases come in the four types A, C, G and U, the letters of the genetic alphabet.)



WHAT IS LIFE?

Scientists have long struggled to define “life” in a way that is broad enough to encompass forms not yet discovered. Here are some of the many proposed definitions.

1. Physicist Erwin Schrödinger suggested that a defining property of living systems is that they self-assemble against nature’s tendency toward disorder, or entropy.
2. Chemist Gerald Joyce’s “working definition,” adopted by NASA, is that life is “a self-sustaining chemical system capable of Darwinian evolution.”
3. In the “cybernetic definition” by Bernard Korzeniewski, life is a network of feedback mechanisms.

Meanwhile the phosphate and sugar molecules form the backbone of each strand of DNA or RNA.

Nucleobases can assemble spontaneously, in a series of steps, from cyanide, acetylene and water—simple molecules that were certainly present in the primordial mix of chemicals. Sugars are also easy to assemble from simple starting materials. It has been known for well over 100 years that mixtures of many types of sugar molecules can be obtained by warming an alkaline solution of formaldehyde, which also would have been available on the young planet. The problem, however, is how to obtain the “right” kind of sugar—ribose, in the case of RNA—to make nucleotides. Ribose, along with three closely related sugars, can form from the reaction of two simpler sugars that contain two and three carbon atoms, respectively. Ribose’s ability to form in that way does not solve the problem of how it became abundant on the early earth, however, because it turns out that ribose is unstable and rapidly breaks down in an even mildly alkaline solution. In the past, this observation has led many researchers to conclude that the first genetic mole-


ANDREW SWIFT: SOURCE: “SYNTHESIS OF ACTIVATED PYRIMIDINE RIBONUCLEOTIDES IN PREBIOTICALLY PLAUSIBLE CONDITIONS.” BY MATTHEW W. POWNER, BEATRICE GERLAND AND JOHN D. SUTHERLAND, IN *NATURE*, VOL. 359, MAY 14, 2009

FAILED NUCLEOTIDES

Chemists have long been unable to find a route by which nucleobases, phosphate and ribose (the sugar component of RNA) would naturally combine to generate quantities of RNA nucleotides.

A NEW ROUTE

In the presence of phosphate, the raw materials for nucleobases and ribose first form 2-amino-oxazole, a molecule that contains part of a sugar and part of a C or U nucleobase. Further reactions yield a full ribose-base block and then a full nucleotide. The reactions also produce "wrong" combinations of the original molecules, but after exposure to ultraviolet rays, only the "right" versions—the nucleotides—survive.



cules could not have contained ribose. But one of us (Ricardo) and others have discovered ways in which ribose could have been stabilized.

The phosphate part of nucleotides presents another intriguing puzzle. Phosphorus—the central element of the phosphate group—is abundant in the earth's crust but mostly in minerals that do not dissolve readily in water, where life presumably originated. So it is not obvious how phosphates would have gotten into the prebiotic mix. The high temperatures of volcanic vents can convert phosphate-containing minerals to soluble forms of phosphate, but the amounts released, at least near modern volcanoes, are small. A completely different potential source of phosphorus compounds is schreibersite, a mineral commonly found in certain meteors.

In 2005 Matthew Pasek and Dante Lauretta of the University of Arizona discovered that the corrosion of schreibersite in water releases its phosphorus component. This pathway seems promising because it releases phosphorus in a form that is both much more soluble in water than phosphate and much more reactive with organic (carbon-based) compounds.

▼ **JOHN SUTHERLAND** of the University of Manchester in England and his collaborators solved a long-standing question in prebiotic chemistry this past May by demonstrating that nucleotides can form from spontaneous chemical reactions. He appears below (second from left) with members of his lab.

Some Assembly Required

Given that we have at least an outline of potential pathways leading to the nucleobases, sugars and phosphate, the next logical step would be to properly connect these components. This step, however, is the one that has caused the most intense frustration in prebiotic chemistry research for the past several decades. Simply mixing the three components in water does not lead to the spontaneous formation of a nucleotide—largely because each joining reaction also involves the release of a water molecule, which does not often occur spontaneously in a watery solution. For the needed chemical bonds to form, energy must be supplied, for example, by adding energy-rich compounds that aid in the reaction. Many such compounds may have existed on the early earth. In the laboratory, however, reactions powered by such molecules have proved to be inefficient at best and in most cases completely unsuccessful.

This spring—to the field's great excitement—John Sutherland and his co-workers at the University of Manchester in England announced that they found a much more plausible way that nucleotides could have formed, which also sidesteps the issue of ribose's instability. These creative chemists abandoned the tradition of attempting to make nucleotides by joining a nucleobase, sugar and phosphate. Their approach relies on the same simple starting materials employed previously, such as derivatives of cyanide, acetylene and formaldehyde. But instead of forming nucleobase and ribose separately and then trying to join them, the team mixed the starting ingredients together, along with phosphate. A complex web of reactions—with phosphate acting as a crucial catalyst at several steps along the way—produced a small molecule called 2-amino-oxazole, which can be viewed as a fragment of a sugar joined to a piece of a nucleobase [see box above].

A crucial feature of this small, stable molecule is that it is very volatile. Perhaps small amounts of 2-amino-oxazole formed together with a mix-



ALTERNATIVES TO "RNA FIRST"

PNA FIRST: Peptide nucleic acid is a molecule with nucleobases attached to a proteinlike backbone. Because PNA is simpler and chemically more stable than RNA, some researchers believe it could have been the genetic polymer of the first life-forms on earth.

METABOLISM FIRST: Difficulties in explaining how RNA formed from inanimate matter have led some researchers to theorize that life first appeared as networks of catalysts processing energy.

PANSPERMIA: Because "only" a few hundred million years divide the formation of the earth and the appearance of the first forms of life, some scientists have suggested that the very first organisms on earth may have been visitors from other worlds.

ture of other chemicals in a pond on the early earth; once the water evaporated, the 2-amino-oxazole vaporized, only to condense elsewhere, in a purified form. There it would accumulate as a reservoir of material, ready for further chemical reactions that would form a full sugar and nucleobase attached to each other.

Another important and satisfying aspect of this chain of reactions is that some of the early-stage by-products facilitate transformations at later stages in of the process. Elegant as it is, the pathway does not generate exclusively the "correct" nucleotides: in some cases, the sugar and nucleobase are not joined in the proper spatial arrangement. But amazingly, exposure to ultraviolet light—intense solar UV rays hit shallow waters on the early earth—destroys the "incorrect" nucleotides and leaves behind the "correct" ones. The end result is a remarkably clean route to the C and U nucleotides. Of course, we still need a route to G and A, so challenges remain. But the work by Sutherland's team is a major step toward explaining how a molecule as complex as RNA could have formed on the early earth.

Some Warm, Little Vial

Once we have nucleotides, the final step in the formation of an RNA molecule is polymerization: the sugar of one nucleotide forms a chemical bond with the phosphate of the next, so that nucleotides string themselves together into a chain. Once again, in water the bonds do not form spontaneously and instead require some external energy. By adding various chemicals to a solution of chemically reactive versions of the nucleotides, researchers have been able to produce short chains of RNA, two to 40 nucleotides long. In the late 1990s Jim Ferris and his co-workers at the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute showed that clay minerals enhance the process, producing chains of up to 50 or so nucleotides. (A typical gene today is thousands to millions of nucleotides long.) The minerals' intrinsic ability to bind nucleotides brings reactive molecules close together, thereby facilitating the formation of bonds between them [see box above].


The discovery reinforced the suggestion by some researchers that life may have started on mineral surfaces, perhaps in clay-rich muds at the bottom of pools of water formed by hot springs [see "Life's Rocky Start," by Robert M. Hazen; *SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN*, April 2001].

Certainly finding out how genetic polymers first arose would not by itself solve the problem

[FROM MOLECULES TO ORGANISMS]

ON THE WAY TO LIFE

After chemical reactions created the first genetic building blocks and other organic molecules, geophysical processes brought them to new environments and concentrated them. The chemicals assembled into more complex molecules and then into primitive cells. And some 3.7 billion years ago geophysics may have also nudged these "protocells" to reproduce.



RNA BREEDING GROUNDS

In the water solutions in which they formed, nucleotides would have had little chance of combining into long strands able to store genetic information. But under the right conditions—for example, if molecular adhesion forces brought them close together between microscopic layers of clay (above)—nucleotides might link up into single strands similar to modern RNA.

of the origin of life. To be "alive," organisms must be able to go forth and multiply—a process that includes copying genetic information. In modern cells enzymes, which are protein-based, carry out this copying function.

But genetic polymers, if they are made of the right sequences of nucleotides, can fold into complex shapes and can catalyze chemical reactions, just as today's enzymes do. Hence, it seems plausible that RNA in the very first organisms could have directed its own replication. This notion has inspired several experiments, both at our lab and at David Bartel's lab at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, in which we "evolved" new ribozymes.

We started with trillions of random RNA sequences. Then we selected the ones that had catalytic properties, and we made copies of those. At each round of copying some of the new RNA strands underwent mutations that turned them into more efficient catalysts, and once again we singled those out for the next round of copying. By this directed evolution we were able to produce ribozymes that can catalyze the copying of relatively short strands of other RNAs, although

[THE AUTHORS]

Alonso Ricardo, who was born in Cali, Colombia, is a research associate at the Howard Hughes Medical Institute at Harvard University. He has a long-standing interest in the origin of life and is now studying self-replicating chemical systems.

Jack W. Szostak is professor of genetics at Harvard Medical School and Massachusetts General Hospital. His interest in the laboratory construction of biological structures as a means of testing our understanding of how biology works dates back to the artificial chromosomes he described in the November 1987 *Scientific American*.



Cold side of pond


Warm side of pond

ASSISTED REPRODUCTION

Once released from clay, the newly formed polymers might become engulfed in water-filled sacs as fatty acids spontaneously arranged themselves into membranes. These protocells probably required some external prodding to begin duplicating their genetic material and thus reproducing. In one possible scenario (right), the protocells circulated between the cold and warm sides of a pond, which may have been partially frozen on one side (the early earth was mostly cold) and thawed on the other side by the heat of a volcano.

On the cold side, single RNA strands **1** acted as templates on which new nucleotides formed base pairs (with As pairing with Us and Cs with Gs), resulting in double strands **2**. On the hot side, heat would break the double strands apart **3**. Membranes could also slowly grow **4** until the protocells divided into "daughter" protocells **5**, which could then start the cycle again.

Once reproduction cycles got going, evolution kicked in—driven by random mutations—and at some point the protocells gained the ability to reproduce on their own. Life was born.



they fall far short of being able to copy polymers with their own sequences into progeny RNAs.

Recently the principle of RNA self-replication received a boost from Tracey Lincoln and Gerald Joyce of the Scripps Research Institute, who evolved two RNA ribozymes, each of which could make copies of the other by joining together two shorter RNA strands. Unfortunately, success in the experiments required the presence of preexisting RNA pieces that were far too long and complex to have accumulated spontaneously. Still, the results suggest that RNA has the raw catalytic power to catalyze its own replication.

Is there a simpler alternative? We and others are now exploring chemical ways of copying genetic molecules without the aid of catalysts. In recent experiments, we started with single, "template" strands of DNA. (We used DNA because it is cheaper and easier to work with, but we could just as well have used RNA.) We mixed the templates in a solution containing isolated nucleotides to see if nucleotides would bind to the template through complementary base pairing (A joining to T and C to G) and then polymerize, thus forming a full double strand. This would be

the first step to full replication:


once a double strand had formed, separation of the strands would allow the complement to serve as a template for copying the original strand. With standard DNA or RNA, the process is exceedingly slow. But small changes to the chemical structure of the sugar component—changing one oxygen-hydrogen pair to an amino group (made of nitrogen and hydrogen)—made the polymerization hundreds of times faster, so that complementary strands formed in hours instead of weeks. The new polymer behaved much like classic RNA despite having nitrogen-phosphorus bonds instead of the normal oxygen-phosphorus bonds.

Boundary Issues

If we assume for the moment that the gaps in our understanding of the chemistry of life's origin will someday be filled, we can begin to consider how molecules might have interacted to assemble into the first cell-like structures, or "protocells."

The membranes that envelop all modern cells consist primarily of a lipid bilayer: a double sheet


LIPID MEMBRANES self-assemble from fatty acid molecules dissolved in water. The membranes start out spherical and then grow filaments by absorbing new fatty acids (*micrograph below*). They become long, thin tubes and break up into many smaller spheres. The first protocells may have divided this way.



FROM "COUPLED GROWTH AND DIVISION OF MODEL PROTOCELL MEMBRANES," BY TING F. ZHU AND JACK W. SZOSTAK, IN *JOURNAL OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY*, VOL. 131, NO. 15, APRIL 22, 2009

Journey to the Modern Cell

After life got started, competition among life-forms fueled the drive toward ever more complex organisms. We may never know the exact details of early evolution, but here is a plausible sequence of some of the major events that led from the first protocell to DNA-based cells such as bacteria.





1 EVOLUTION STARTS ▲

The first protocell is just a sac of water and RNA and requires an external stimulus (such as cycles of heat and cold) to reproduce. But it will soon acquire new traits.

2 RNA CATALYSTS ▼

Ribozymes—folded RNA molecules analogous to protein-based enzymes—arise and take on such jobs as speeding up reproduction and strengthening the protocell's membrane. Consequently, protocells begin to reproduce on their own.



3 METABOLISM BEGINS ▲

Other ribozymes catalyze metabolism—chains of chemical reactions that enable protocells to tap into nutrients from the environment.

of such oily molecules as phospholipids and cholesterol. Membranes keep a cell's components physically together and form a barrier to the uncontrolled passage of large molecules. Sophisticated proteins embedded in the membrane act as gatekeepers and pump molecules in and out of the cell, while other proteins assist in the construction and repair of the membrane. How on earth could a rudimentary protocell, lacking protein machinery, carry out these tasks?

Primitive membranes were probably made of simpler molecules, such as fatty acids (which are one component of the more complex phospholipids). Studies in the late 1970s showed that membranes could indeed assemble spontaneously from plain fatty acids, but the general feeling was that these membranes would still pose a formidable barrier to the entry of nucleotides and other complex nutrients into the cell. This notion suggested that cellular metabolism had to develop first, so that cells could synthesize nucleotides for themselves. Work in our lab has shown, however, that molecules as large as nucleotides can in fact easily slip across membranes as long as both nucleotides and membranes are simpler, more "primitive" versions of their modern counterparts.

This finding allowed us to carry out a simple experiment modeling the ability of a protocell to copy its genetic information using environmentally supplied nutrients. We prepared fatty acid-based membrane vesicles containing a short piece of single-stranded DNA. As before, the DNA was meant to serve as a template for a new strand. Next, we exposed these vesicles to chemically re-

active versions of nucleotides. The nucleotides crossed the membrane spontaneously and, once inside the model protocell, lined up on the DNA strand and reacted with one another to generate a complementary strand. The experiment supports the idea that the first protocells contained RNA (or something similar to it) and little else and replicated their genetic material without enzymes.


Let There Be Division

For protocells to start reproducing, they would have had to be able to grow, duplicate their genetic contents and divide into equivalent "daughter" cells. Experiments have shown that primitive vesicles can grow in at least two distinct ways. In pioneering work in the 1990s, Pier Luigi Luisi and his colleagues at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich added fresh fatty acids to the water surrounding such vesicles. In response, the membranes incorporated the fatty acids and grew in surface area. As water and dissolved substances slowly entered the interior, the cell's volume also increased.

A second approach, which was explored in our lab by then graduate student Irene Chen, involved competition between protocells. Model protocells filled with RNA or similar materials became swollen, an osmotic effect resulting from the attempt of water to enter the cell and equalize its concentration inside and outside. The membrane of such swollen vesicles thus came under tension, and this tension drove growth, because adding new molecules relaxes the tension on the membrane, lowering the energy of the system. In fact, swollen vesicles grew

LIFE, REDUX

Scientists who study the origin of life hope to build a self-replicating organism from entirely artificial ingredients. The biggest challenge is to find a genetic molecule capable of copying itself autonomously. The authors and their collaborators are designing and synthesizing chemically modified versions of RNA and DNA in the search for this elusive property. RNA itself is probably not the solution: its double strands, unless they are very short, do not easily separate to become ready for replication.



by stealing fatty acids from relaxed neighboring vesicles, which shrank.

In the past year Ting Zhu, a graduate student in our lab, has observed the growth of model protocells after feeding them fresh fatty acids. To our amazement, the initially spherical vesicles did not grow simply by getting larger. Instead they first extended a thin filament. Over about half an hour, this protruding filament grew longer and thicker, gradually transforming the entire initial vesicle into a long, thin tube. This structure was quite delicate, and gentle shaking (such as might occur as wind generates waves on a pond) caused it to break into a number of smaller, spherical daughter protocells, which then grew larger and repeated the cycle [see micrograph on page 59].

Given the right building blocks, then, the formation of protocells does not seem that difficult: membranes self-assemble, genetic polymers self-assemble, and the two components can be brought together in a variety of ways, for example, if the membranes form around preexisting polymers. These sacs of water and RNA will also grow, absorb new molecules, compete for nutrients, and divide. But to become alive, they would also need to reproduce and evolve. In particular, they need to separate their RNA double strands so each single strand can act as a template for a new double strand that can be handed down to a daughter cell.

This process would not have started on its own, but it could have with a little help. Imagine, for example, a volcanic region on the otherwise cold surface of the early earth (at the time, the sun shone at only 70 percent of its current pow-

er). There could be pools of cold water, perhaps partly covered by ice but kept liquid by hot rocks. The temperature differences would cause convection currents, so that every now and then protocells in the water would be exposed to a burst of heat as they passed near the hot rocks, but they would almost instantly cool down again as the heated water mixed with the bulk of the cold water. The sudden heating would cause a double helix to separate into single strands. Once back in the cool region, new double strands—copies of the original one—could form as the single strands acted as templates [see box on page 59].

As soon as the environment nudged protocells to start reproducing, evolution kicked in. In particular, at some point some of the RNA sequences mutated, becoming ribozymes that sped up the copying of RNA—thus adding a competitive advantage. Eventually ribozymes began to copy RNA without external help.

It is relatively easy to imagine how RNA-based protocells may have then evolved [see box above]. Metabolism could have arisen gradually, as new ribozymes enabled cells to synthesize nutrients internally from simpler and more abundant starting materials. Next, the organisms might have added protein making to their bag of chemical tricks.

With their astonishing versatility, proteins would have then taken over RNA's role in assisting genetic copying and metabolism. Later, the organisms would have "learned" to make DNA, gaining the advantage of possessing a more robust carrier of genetic information. At that point, the RNA world became the DNA world, and life as we know it began.

MORE TO EXPLORE

Synthesizing Life. Jack Szostak, David P. Bartel and P. Luigi Luisi in *Nature*, Vol. 409, pages 387–390; January 2001.

Genesis: The Scientific Quest for Life's Origins. Robert M. Hazen. Joseph Henry, 2005.

The RNA World. Edited by Raymond F. Gesteland, Thomas R. Cech and John F. Atkins. Third edition. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, 2006.

A Simpler Origin for Life. Robert Shapiro in *Scientific American*, Vol. 296, No. 6, pages 46–53; June 2007.

A New Molecule of Life? Peter Nielsen in *Scientific American*, Vol. 299, No. 6, pages 64–71; December 2008.

Exploring Life's Origins. Multimedia project at the Museum of Science. <http://exploringorigins.org>