

# Fractional Factorial Designs

BIOE 498/598

2/24/2020

# The problem with Factorial Designs

Factorial designs are the most efficient designs for estimating effects.

# The problem with Factorial Designs

Factorial designs are the most efficient designs for estimating effects.

Their efficiency **grows** as the number of factors increases.

# The problem with Factorial Designs

Factorial designs are the most efficient designs for estimating effects.

Their efficiency **grows** as the number of factors increases.

Unfortunately, the number of runs also grows. Quickly.

Factors ( $k$ )	Experiments ( $2^k$ )
4	16
5	32
6	64
7	128
8	256
9	512

# How do we conduct experiments with lots of factors?

Most experimenters abandon factorial designs when the number of factors becomes large. Common strategies are to

- ▶ Resort to one-at-a-time designs
- ▶ Select only a subset of factors for a factorial design

# How do we conduct experiments with lots of factors?

Most experimenters abandon factorial designs when the number of factors becomes large. Common strategies are to

- ▶ Resort to one-at-a-time designs
- ▶ Select only a subset of factors for a factorial design

In both cases we lose the efficiency and power of the factorial design.

A better method is to use a *fractional factorial design*.

# Fractional Factorial Designs

A (full) factorial design with  $k$  factors, each with two levels, is called a  $2^k$  design.

We can instead test  $k$  factors using only half of the runs of a  $2^k$  design. This is called a  $2^{k-1}$  fractional design.

# Fractional Factorial Designs

A (full) factorial design with  $k$  factors, each with two levels, is called a  $2^k$  design.

We can instead test  $k$  factors using only half of the runs of a  $2^k$  design. This is called a  $2^{k-1}$  fractional design.

For example:

- ▶ A  $2^4$  design tests four 2-level factors using 16 runs.
- ▶ A  $2^{4-1}$  design tests four 2-level factors using 8 runs.
- ▶ A  $2^3$  design tests three 2-level factors using 8 runs.



# Why do fractional factorial designs work?

Fractional designs are motivated by two guiding principles in statistical modeling:

1. The *effect sparsity principle* states that only a small proportion of the factors in an experiment will have significant effects.
2. The *hierarchical ordering principle* states that lower-order interactions (including primary effects) are more important than higher-order interactions.

Both principles become “more true” as the number of factors increases.

# Why do fractional factorial designs work?

Fractional designs are motivated by two guiding principles in statistical modeling:

1. The *effect sparsity principle* states that only a small proportion of the factors in an experiment will have significant effects.
2. The *hierarchical ordering principle* states that lower-order interactions (including primary effects) are more important than higher-order interactions.

Both principles become “more true” as the number of factors increases.

Fractional designs rely on an assumption that

$$|\text{low-order effects}| \gg |\text{high-order effects}|$$

## Example: the $2^{4-1}$ fractional design

We begin with a  $2^3$  full factorial design (the *base design*).

I	A	B	C	AB	AC	BC	ABC
+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

## Example: the $2^{4-1}$ fractional design

We begin with a  $2^3$  full factorial design (the *base design*).

I	A	B	C	AB	AC	BC	ABC
+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-
+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+
+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+
+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-
+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

This design is orthogonal and the design matrix is full rank. We can't add a column for D without messing up these properties.

## Confounding

If we choose to set D equal to an existing column in our design, we have *confounded* it. Since the factors vary together in our design we cannot estimate their effects separately.

For example, let  $D=ABC$ . Then

$$\beta_{D|ABC} = \beta_D + \beta_{ABC}$$

However, by the hierarchical ordering principle we expect that  $\beta_{ABC} \approx 0 \ll \beta_D$ , so

$$\beta_{D|ABC} = \beta_D$$

The  $2^{4-1}$  fractional design (with D=ABC)

We replace the highest interaction (ABC) with D and fill in the rest of the interactions.

[illegible]

## The $2^{4-1}$ fractional design (with $D=ABC$ )

We replace the highest interaction (ABC) with D and fill in the rest of the interactions.

---

I	A	B	C	AB	AC	BC	D= ABC	AD	BD	CD	ABC	BCD	ABD	ACD	ABCD
+	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	-	-	-	+
+	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+
+	-	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	+	+
+	+	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	-	+	+
+	-	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	+
+	+	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	+
+	-	+	+	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	-	+	+	+
+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+

---

All of the variables are now confounded:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A + BCD & AB + CD \\ B + ACD & AC + BD \\ C + ABD & AD + BC \\ D + ABC & I + ABCD \end{array}$$

# Generator Algebra

Filling in the entire design is impractical, especially for large designs. We can identify the *confounding pattern* (or *alias structure*) using a special type of algebra.

## Generator Algebra Axioms

- ▶  $XX = X^2 = I$  for any factor  $X$ .
- ▶  $IX = X$  for any factor  $X$ .
- ▶ Multiplication commutes, associates, and distributes.



## Generating the $2^{4-1}$ design

We start with the *generator* of the design — the replacement we made to the base design.

$$D = ABC$$

$$D^2 = ABCD$$

$$I = ABCD$$

## Generating the $2^{4-1}$ design

We start with the *generator* of the design — the replacement we made to the base design.

$$D = ABC$$

$$D^2 = ABCD$$

$$I = ABCD$$

This last statement ( $I=ABCD$ ) is called the *defining relation* for the design with generator  $D=ABC$ .

## Generating the $2^{4-1}$ design (continued)

With the defining relation ( $I=ABCD$ ) we can compute the confounding for any variable.

## Generating the $2^{4-1}$ design (continued)

With the defining relation ( $I=ABCD$ ) we can compute the confounding for any variable.

For A:

$$A(I) = A(ABCD)$$

$$A = A^2BCD$$

$$= IBCD$$

$$= BCD$$

## Generating the $2^{4-1}$ design (continued)

With the defining relation ( $I=ABCD$ ) we can compute the confounding for any variable.

For A:

$$A(I) = A(ABCD)$$

$$A = A^2BCD$$

$$= IBCD$$

$$= BCD$$

For the interaction CD:

## Generating the $2^{4-1}$ design (continued)

With the defining relation ( $I=ABCD$ ) we can compute the confounding for any variable.

For A:

$$A(I) = A(ABCD)$$

$$A = A^2BCD$$

$$= IBCD$$

$$= BCD$$

For the interaction CD:

$$CD(I) = CD(ABCD)$$

$$AB = ABC^2D^2$$

$$= AB$$