

# Linear Models: Interactions

BIOE 498/598 PJ

Spring 2021

# What is an interaction?

Imagine we're modeling the response ( $y$ ) from two input variables,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The simplest model is

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$$

# What is an interaction?

Imagine we're modeling the response ( $y$ ) from two input variables,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The simplest model is

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$$

The coefficient  $\beta_1$  measures the effect of  $x_1$  and  $\beta_2$  measures the effect of  $x_2$ . These effects are **independent**.

# What is an interaction?

Imagine we're modeling the response ( $y$ ) from two input variables,  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . The simplest model is

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \epsilon$$

The coefficient  $\beta_1$  measures the effect of  $x_1$  and  $\beta_2$  measures the effect of  $x_2$ . These effects are **independent**.

What if there is another effect that depends on both  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ? This is an **interaction** between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ .

## How do we model interactions?

We model the interaction of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  using the product of these variables.

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_{12} x_1 x_2 + \epsilon$$

The coefficient  $\beta_{12}$  is the effect size of the interaction.

# How do we model interactions?

We model the interaction of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  using the product of these variables.

$$y = \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_{12} x_1 x_2 + \epsilon$$

The coefficient  $\beta_{12}$  is the effect size of the interaction.

Why do we multiply  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ? There are at least two ways to interpret this term.

# The coded factor interpretation

Often we set up design matrices using **coded variables**. If we're testing the variable at two levels, we code the variable as “on/off” ( $\{0, 1\}$ ) or “low/high” ( $\{-1, +1\}$ ).

# The coded factor interpretation

Often we set up design matrices using **coded variables**. If we're testing the variable at two levels, we code the variable as “on/off” ( $\{0, 1\}$ ) or “low/high” ( $\{-1, +1\}$ ).

on/off  $\rightarrow$  interaction when both “on”

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_1 x_2$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1



# The coded factor interpretation

Often we set up design matrices using **coded variables**. If we're testing the variable at two levels, we code the variable as "on/off" ( $\{0, 1\}$ ) or "low/high" ( $\{-1, +1\}$ ).

on/off  $\rightarrow$  interaction when both "on"

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_1 x_2$
0	0	0
0	1	0
1	0	0
1	1	1

high/low  $\rightarrow$  interaction when both "high" or both "low"

$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_1 x_2$
-1	-1	+1
-1	+1	-1
+1	-1	-1
+1	+1	+1

## The augmented slope interpretation

We can also interpret the interaction as one variable changing the effect of the other variable.

$$\begin{aligned}y &= \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2(x_1)x_2 + \epsilon \\&= \beta_1 x_1 + (\beta_2 + \beta_{12}x_1)x_2 + \epsilon \\&= \beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2 + \beta_{12}x_1 x_2 + \epsilon\end{aligned}$$

## Interactions with lm

Recall the data frame from our blood pressure clinical trial:

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
##   BPchange treated male
##   <dbl> <lgl>    <lgl>
## 1  -0.525 TRUE     FALSE
## 2   4.17  TRUE     FALSE
## 3   6.03  TRUE      TRUE
## 4  -1.40  TRUE     FALSE
## 5   0.493 TRUE     FALSE
## 6  12.9   FALSE    TRUE
```

## Adding an interaction term to our model

```
##
```

```
## Call:
```

```
## lm(formula = BPchange ~ treated + male + treated:male, data =
```

```
##
```

```
## Residuals:
```

```
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
```

```
## -20.465  -4.407   2.309   5.887  18.738
```

```
##
```

```
## Coefficients:
```

```
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
```

```
## (Intercept)      12.319       7.895   1.560   0.145
```

```
## treatedTRUE      -10.090       9.116  -1.107   0.290
```

```
## maleTRUE         14.898       9.116   1.634   0.128
```

```
## treatedTRUE:maleTRUE  1.049      12.893   0.081   0.936
```

```
##
```

```
## Residual standard error: 11.17 on 12 degrees of freedom
```

```
## Multiple R-squared:  0.5606, Adjusted R-squared:  0.4507
```

```
## F-statistic: 5.102 on 3 and 12 DF,  p-value: 0.01666
```

## A shortcut for adding interactions and main effects

```
##  
## Call:  
## lm(formula = BPchange ~ treated * male, data = bp_data)  
##  
## Residuals:  
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max   
## -20.465  -4.407   2.309   5.887  18.738   
##  
## Coefficients:  
##              Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)      
## (Intercept)      12.319       7.895   1.560   0.145      
## treatedTRUE      -10.090       9.116  -1.107   0.290      
## maleTRUE         14.898       9.116   1.634   0.128      
## treatedTRUE:maleTRUE  1.049      12.893   0.081   0.936      
##  
## Residual standard error: 11.17 on 12 degrees of freedom  
## Multiple R-squared:  0.5606, Adjusted R-squared:  0.4507   
## F-statistic: 5.102 on 3 and 12 DF,  p-value: 0.01666
```

## A shortcut for adding interactions and main effects

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = y ~ x1 * x2 * x3)
##
## Residuals:
```

	1	2	3	4	5	6
##	-0.053712	0.130828	0.062348	-0.331228	0.004367	0.059872
##	8	9	10			
##	0.184778	-0.123954	0.023741			

```
##
## Coefficients:
```

	Estimate	Std. Error	t value	Pr(> t )
## (Intercept)	-11.02	9.52	-1.158	0.367
## x1	12.04	10.54	1.142	0.372
## x2	17.03	17.79	0.957	0.440
## x3	20.78	21.16	0.982	0.430
## x1:x2	-17.16	20.71	-0.828	0.495
## x1:x3	-20.57	23.86	-0.862	0.479
## x2:x3	-31.54	36.32	-0.868	0.477
## x1:x2:x3	30.02	42.31	0.710	0.551

```
##
```

How many interactions are there?

term	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$
$\beta_0$	0	0	0
$\beta_1 x_1$	1	0	0
$\beta_2 x_2$	0	1	0
$\beta_3 x_3$	0	0	1
$\beta_{12} x_1 x_2$	1	1	0
$\beta_{13} x_1 x_3$	1	0	1
$\beta_{23} x_2 x_3$	0	1	1
$\beta_{123} x_1 x_2 x_3$	1	1	1

## How many interactions are there?

term	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$
$\beta_0$	0	0	0
$\beta_1 x_1$	1	0	0
$\beta_2 x_2$	0	1	0
$\beta_3 x_3$	0	0	1
$\beta_{12} x_1 x_2$	1	1	0
$\beta_{13} x_1 x_3$	1	0	1
$\beta_{23} x_2 x_3$	0	1	1
$\beta_{123} x_1 x_2 x_3$	1	1	1

A model with  $n$  factors has  $2^n$  possible terms;  $2^n - n - 1$  of these are interactions.



# Hierarchical ordering to the rescue

## **Hierarchical Ordering principle**

- ▶ Lower order effects are more likely to be important than higher order effects.
- ▶ Effects of the same order are equally likely to be important.

## How many interactions are there?

$n$	intercept	main effects	TWI	higher-order
1	1	1	0	0
2	1	2	1	0
3	1	3	3	1
4	1	4	6	5
5	1	5	10	16
6	1	6	15	42
7	1	7	21	99
8	1	8	28	219
9	1	9	36	466
10	1	10	45	968

## How many interactions are there?

$n$	intercept	main effects	TWI	higher-order
1	1	1	0	0
2	1	2	1	0
3	1	3	3	1
4	1	4	6	5
5	1	5	10	16
6	1	6	15	42
7	1	7	21	99
8	1	8	28	219
9	1	9	36	466
10	1	10	45	968

We will design experiments that focus on main effects and two-way interactions.

# Hierarchical ordering to the rescue

## **Hierarchical Ordering Principle**

- ▶ Lower-order effects are more likely to be important than higher-order effects.
- ▶ Effects of the same order are equally likely to be important.

If we neglect an important higher-order term, the effects can appear anywhere in our model!

We can design the experiment to constrain where higher-order effects appear.

# Things to remember about interactions

- ▶ Interaction are modeled as the product of variables.
- ▶ The interaction effect is “above and beyond” the independent effects (synergy/super-additivity, antagonism/sub-additivity).
- ▶ Higher-order interactions are possible (e.g.  $x_1x_2x_3$ ), but these are rare.
- ▶ Proper experiment design is needed when "ignoring" higher-order interactions.