Introduction to Bulk RNAseq data analysis

QC of Aligned Reads - exercise solutions

1. A quick look at the alignment metrics with samtools

Exercise 1

1. Use the samtools flagstat command to generate alignment metrics for the sorted bam file you created in the previous practical.

samtools flagstat bam/SRR7657883.sorted.bam

```
64434897 + 0 in total (QC-passed reads + QC-failed reads)
4193507 + 0 secondary
0 + 0 supplementary
0 + 0 duplicates
61244035 + 0 mapped (95.05% : N/A)
60241390 + 0 paired in sequencing
30120695 + 0 read1
30120695 + 0 read2
54707584 + 0 properly paired (90.81% : N/A)
55067498 + 0 with itself and mate mapped
1983030 + 0 singletons (3.29% : N/A)
161370 + 0 with mate mapped to a different chr
139571 + 0 with mate mapped to a different chr (mapQ>=5)
```

Q) What percentage of the reads have aligned to the genome?

95.05% of reads have been mapped to the reference genome.

2. More detailed metrics with Picard Tools

2.1 Duplication metrics


Exercise 2.1

1. Run Picard's MarkDuplicates tool on the sorted bam file using the following command:

- \Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.mkdup.bam The new bam file with duplicated marked
- $\Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.mkdup.bai$ The index for the new bam file
- $\Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.mkdup_metrics.txt$ The duplication metrics

Note: The \ at the end of each line tells the terminal that when you press Enter, you have not yet finished typing the command. You can if you wish, type the whole command on a single line, omitting the \ - The command is written across multiple lines here just to make it easier to read.

Q. What is the duplication rate for this bam file? You'll need to look at the metrics file. The easiest way is to open in a spreadsheet. On the course machines we have LibreOffice Calc. You can find this in the launcher bar at the bottom of the desktop.



~19%. Note that although the column headers for Picard say "PERCENT" or "PCT" the number is in fact the decimal fraction and need to be multiplied by 100 for percent. Just an odd quirk of Picard.

2.2 Alignment metrics

Exercise 2.2

- 1. Run Picard's CollectAlignmentSummaryMetrics tool on the chr14 sorted bam providing the following options.
 - $\bullet\,$ INPUT The sorted chr 14 only bam file
 - OUTPUT bam/SRR7657883.chr14.alignment_metrics.txt
 - REFERENCE_SEQUENCE-references/Mus_musculus.GRCm38.dna_sm.primary_assembly.fa

```
java -jar picard/picard.jar CollectAlignmentSummaryMetrics \
    INPUT=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.sorted.bam \
    OUTPUT=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.alignment_metrics.txt \
    REFERENCE_SEQUENCE=references/Mus_musculus.GRCm38.dna_sm.primary_assembly.fa
```

 $\Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.alignment_metrics.txt$ - The alignment metrics

2.3 Insert Size metrics

Exercise 2.3


- 1. Run Picard's CollectInsertSizeMetrics tool on the chr1 sorted bam providing the following options.
 - INPUT The sorted chr 1 only bam file
 - OUTPUT bam/SRR7657883.chr1.insert size.txt
 - HISTOGRAM FILE bam/SRR7657883.chr1.insert size.pdf

```
java -jar picard/picard.jar CollectInsertSizeMetrics \
    INPUT=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.sorted.bam \
    OUTPUT=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.insert_size.txt \
    HISTOGRAM_FILE=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.insert_size.pdf
```

- $\Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.insert_size.txt$ The insert size metrics
- $\Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.insert_size.pdf$ The PDF with a plot showing the insert size distribution

Open the PDF and look at the distribution fragment lengths (insert sizes) in the library.





Q. Considering this data is from paired 150 base reads, what are the implications of the fragment length distributions.

As we have PE 150 reads, the total amount of sequencing from each fragment is 300 bases. Looking at the distribution only \sim 25% of the fragments have lengths greater than 300 bases. This means that for about 80% of the fragments the reads are overlapping. From the perspective of our gene expression analysis, this doesn't matter, however, from a design perspective it means that we have unnecessarily sequenced a lot of bases twice and he more sequencing we carry out, the more expensive the study is. It would have been optimal to use a shorter read length.

2.4 RNA alignment metrics

Exercise 2.4

- 1. Run Picard's CollectRnaSeqMetrics tool on the sorted bam file providing the following options:
 - INPUT The sorted bam file
 - OUTPUT bam/SRR7657883.chr14.RNA_metrics.txt
 - REF FLAT the RefFlat reference file

• STRAND - NONE

```
java -jar picard/picard.jar CollectRnaSeqMetrics \
    INPUT=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.sorted.bam \
    REF_FLAT=references/Mus_musculus.GRCm38.102.txt \
    OUTPUT=bam/SRR7657883.chr14.RNA_metrics.txt \
    STRAND=NONE
```

 $\Rightarrow bam/SRR7657883.chr14.RNA_metrics.txt$ - The RNAseq metrics

The results of this analysis are best viewed graphically, we will do this in the next exercise.

3. Visualising QC results with MultiQC

Exercise 3.1

1. Run multique on the bam directory:

```
multiqc -n Alignment_QC_Report.html -o bam bam
```

- \bullet -n a name for the report
- -o the directory in which to place the report
- 2. Open the html report that was generated by multiqc and inspect the QC plots The easiest way to do this is type xdg-open multiqc_report.html, which will open the report in a web browser.

Exercise 3.2

In the metrics directory you should find Picard metrics for all of the samples.

1. Run multige on the contents of the metrics directory.

```
multiqc -z -n Alignment_QC_Report.html -o metrics metrics
```

- ⇒ metrics/Alignment QC Report.html
- 2. Open the html report that was generated by multique and inspect the QC plots
- Q. Are there any bam files that look problematic?

SRR7657893 has low alignment rate, an insert size profile that is skewed to left with a median at \sim 180 bp and a transcript coverage profile that shows a strong 3' bias. This suggests that the RNA in the this sample has been degraded. NOTE: This sample is not real - we have mocked up the metrics files for the purpose of illustrating a poor quality data set.