Introduction to RNAseq Methods

February 2022

HTS Applications - Overview

DNA Sequencing

- ► Genome Assembly
- ► SNPs/SVs/CNVs
- DNA methylation
- ► DNA-protein interactions (ChIPseq)
- Chromatin Modification (ATAC-seq/ChIPseq)

RNA Sequencing

- Transcriptome Assembly
- Differential Gene Expression
- Fusion Genes
- Splice variants

Single-Cell

RNAseq Workflow

Experimental Design

Library Preparation

Sequencing

Bioinformatics Analysis

Image adapted from: Wang, Z., et al. (2009), Nature Reviews Genetics, 10, 57–63.

Designing the right experiment

A good experiment should:

- ► Have clear objectives
- ► Have sufficient power
- ▶ Be amenable to statisical analysis
- Be reproducible
- More on experimental design later

Designing the right experiment

Practical considerations for RNAseq

- ► Coverage: how many reads?
- ▶ Read length & structure: Long or short reads? Paired or Single end?
- Controlling for batch effects
- Library preparation method: Poly-A, Ribominus, other?

Designing the right experiment - How many reads do we need?

The coverage is defined as:

 $\frac{\textit{Read Length} \times \textit{Number of Reads}}{\textit{Length of Target Sequence}}$

The amount of sequencing needed for a given sample is determined by the goals of the experiment and the nature of the RNA sample.

- ► For a general view of differential expression: 5–25 million reads per sample
- ► For alternative splicing and lowly expressed genes: 30–60 million reads per sample.
- ► In-depth view of the transcriptome/assemble new transcripts: 100–200 million reads
- Targeted RNA expression requires fewer reads.
- miRNA-Seq or Small RNA Analysis require even fewer reads.

Designing the right experiment - Read length

Long or short reads? Paired or Single end?

The answer depends on the experiment:

- ▶ Gene expression typically just a short read e.g. 50/75 bp; SE or PE.
- kmer-based quantification of Gene Expression (Salmon etc.) benefits from PE.
- Transcriptome Analysis longer paired-end reads (such as 2 x 75 bp).
- Small RNA Analysis short single read, e.f. SE50 will need trimming.

Designing the right experiment - Replication

Biological Replication

- Measures the biological variations between individuals
- Accounts for sampling bias

Technical Replication

- Measures the variation in response quantification due to imprecision in the technique
- Accounts for technical noise

Designing the right experiment - Replication

Biological Replication

Each replicate is from an indepent biological individual

- ► In Vivo:
 - Patients
 - Mice
- ► In Vitro:
 - Different cell lines
 - Different passages

Designing the right experiment - Replication

Technical Replication

Replicates are from the same individual but processed separately

- Experimental protocol
- Measurement platform

- Batch effects are sub-groups of measurements that have qualitatively different behavior across conditions and are unrelated to the biological or scientific variables in a study.
- ▶ Batch effects are problematic if they are confounded with the experimental variable.

- Batch effects are sub-groups of measurements that have qualitatively different behavior across conditions and are unrelated to the biological or scientific variables in a study.
- Batch effects are problematic if they are confounded with the experimental variable.
- ▶ Batch effects that are randomly distributed across experimental variables can be controlled for.

- Batch effects are sub-groups of measurements that have qualitatively different behavior across conditions and are unrelated to the biological or scientific variables in a study.
- ▶ Batch effects are problematic if they are confounded with the experimental variable.
- ▶ Batch effects that are randomly distributed across experimental variables can be controlled for.
- Randomise all technical steps in data generation in order to avoid batch effects.

- Batch effects are sub-groups of measurements that have qualitatively different behavior across conditions and are unrelated to the biological or scientific variables in a study.
- ▶ Batch effects are problematic if they are confounded with the experimental variable.
- ▶ Batch effects that are randomly distributed across experimental variables can be controlled for.
- Randomise all technical steps in data generation in order to avoid batch effects.

- Batch effects are sub-groups of measurements that have qualitatively different behavior across conditions and are unrelated to the biological or scientific variables in a study.
- ▶ Batch effects are problematic if they are confounded with the experimental variable.
- ▶ Batch effects that are randomly distributed across experimental variables can be controlled for.
- Randomise all technical steps in data generation in order to avoid batch effects.

- Batch effects are sub-groups of measurements that have qualitatively different behavior across conditions and are unrelated to the biological or scientific variables in a study.
- ▶ Batch effects are problematic if they are confounded with the experimental variable.
- ▶ Batch effects that are randomly distributed across experimental variables can be controlled for.
- Randomise all technical steps in data generation in order to avoid batch effects
- Record everything: Age, sex, litter, cell passage ...

RNAseq Workflow

Experimental Design

Library Preparation

Sequencing

Bioinformatics Analysis

Image adapted from: Wang, Z., et al. (2009), Nature Reviews Genetics, 10, 57–63.

Library preparation

- Ribosomal RNA
- Poly-A transcripts
- Other RNAs e.g. tRNA, miRNA etc.

Total RNA extraction

Library preparation

Poly-A Selection

Poly-A transcripts e.g.:

- ► mRNAs
- immature miRNAs
- snoRNA

Ribominus selection

Poly-A transcripts + Other mRNAs e.g.:

- ► tRNAs
- mature miRNAs
- piRNAs

RNAseq Workflow

Experimental Design

Library Preparation

Sequencing

Bioinformatics Analysis

Image adapted from: Wang, Z., et al. (2009), Nature Reviews Genetics, 10, 57–63.

Sequencing by synthesis

RNAseq Workflow

Experimental Design

Library Preparation

Sequencing

Bioinformatics Analysis

Image adapted from: Wang, Z., et al. (2009), Nature Reviews Genetics, 10, 57–63.

Case Study

Differential Gene Expression Analysis Workflow