



Quantitative Methods in Genetic and Genomics Single Cell Module

Rob Morey
Adapted from
Alex Chaim and Lior Pachter

January 25th, 2021




Why single cell transcriptomics?



Chen X, et al. 2018.
Annu. Rev. Biomed. Data Sci. 1:29–51



Microarrays and bulk RNA sequencing mask the true distribution of gene expression levels across cells.

How do we go from cell to count matrix?




	Cell: 1	2	...	N
GENE 1	1	2		14
GENE 2	4	27		8
GENE 3	0	0		1
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
GENE M	6	2		0

A decade of single-cell RNA-seq




General workflow of a single cell (sc) RNA-Seq experiment




Popular single-cell RNA-seq protocols

	SMART-seq2	CEL-seq2	STRT-seq	Quartz-seq2	MARS-seq	Drop-seq	inDrop	Chromium	Seq-Well	sci-RNA-seq	SPLiT-seq
Single-cell isolation	FACS, microfluidics	FACS, microfluidics	FACS, microfluidics, nanowells	FACS	FACS	Droplet	Droplet	Droplet	Nanowells	Not needed	Not needed
Second strand synthesis	TSO	RNase H and DNA pol I	TSO	PolyA tailing and primer ligation	RNase H and DNA pol I	TSO	RNase H and DNA pol I	TSO	TSO	RNase H and DNA pol I	TSO
Full-length cDNA synthesis?	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes
Barcode addition	Library PCR with barcoded primers	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded TSOs	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded RT primers	Barcoded RT primers and library PCR with barcoded primers	Ligation of barcoded RT primers
Pooling before library?	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Library amplification	PCR	In vitro transcription	PCR	PCR	In vitro transcription	PCR	In vitro transcription	PCR	PCR	PCR	PCR
Gene coverage	Full-length	3'	5'	3'	3'	3'	3'	3'	3'	3'	3'
Number of cells per assay											
	10 ²	10 ²	10 ²	10 ²	10 ²	10 ³	10 ³	10 ³	10 ³	10 ⁴	10 ⁴
	10 ⁵										

Droplet vs. Physical Physical separation of cells in wells



Example: library preparation for SMART-Seq2




▲ **Critical Step** All the experiments must be performed under a UV-sterilized hood with laminar flow, and all the surfaces must be free from RNase to prevent degradation of RNA and from DNA to prevent cross-contamination from previous samples. The hood must be used only for single-cell experiments up to (but excluding) the cDNA amplification step (Step 12). An ideal scenario would be to place the hood in a separate room with a positive air pressure to prevent any contaminants from being carried inside, where they might affect the experiments. The room should be equipped with a garmenting area in which the user changes into a fresh disposable lab coat, hair net, dust mask, shoe covers and vinyl gloves (powder-free).

▲ **Critical Step** Thaw all the reagents in advance and assemble the RT mix while performing denaturation (Step 7) to minimize bias.


▲ **Critical Step** The number of PCR cycles depends on the input amount of RNA. We typically use 18 cycles for single eukaryotic cells to obtain ~1–30 ng of amplified cDNA. The number of cycles can be increased for smaller cells (with less RNA content) or lowered for large cells (with more RNA).

▲ **Critical Step** The number of cycles depends on the amount of DNA used for tagmentation. If we are starting from 100 pg of amplified cDNA, we usually perform 12 PCR cycles. The optimal number of cycles depends on the sample and the experiment. It may be helpful to run a range of cycles to determine the best conditions. Above are cycling guidelines on the basis of the input DNA used for tagmentation.


Droplet vs. Physical Microfluidic methods: Beads, Cells and Droplets



Example: the inDrops protocol




Making hydrogel beads




Library preparation

Drop-Seq

Highly Parallel Genome-wide Expression Profiling of Individual Cells Using Nanoliter Droplets




3' end Capture Beads - UMIs and Bead Barcodes




Cell capture and duplication rates

- The cell capture rate is the probability that a droplet has at least one bead = $1 - e^{-\mu}$
- The cell duplication rate is the rate at which captured single cells are associated with two or more different barcodes, which is equal to $\frac{(1 - e^{-\mu} - \mu e^{-\mu})}{1 - e^{-\mu}}$.
- This leads to a tradeoff:



Drop-Seq implementation



Capture rate < 10%










$$\mathbb{P}(\text{droplet has } k \text{ cells}) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^k}{k!}.$$

- Load **beads** into droplets at Poisson rate

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{droplet has } j \text{ beads}) = \frac{e^{-\mu} \mu^j}{j!}.$$

- Load **cells** into droplets at Poisson rate

Summary of droplet technologies


	inDrop	Drop-seq	10X
Barcoded Primer Bead	 <p>Photocleavable linker T7p PCR Primer Cell Barcode 38-41bp UMI 6bp PolyT</p>	 <p>PCR Primer Cell Barcode 12bp UMI 8bp PolyT 3'</p>	 <p>PCR Primer Cell Barcode 16bp UMI 10bp PolyT 3'</p>
Cell Barcode Capacity	147,456 (384 X 384)	16,777,216 (4^{12})	734,000
Droplet Generation	 <p>beads cells RT/lysis reagents oil 0.5 h</p> <p>Beads: super-Poissonian Cells: Poissonian</p>	 <p>cells beads lysis reagent cells oil 0.3 h</p> <p>Beads: Poissonian Cells: Poissonian</p>	 <p>reagents cells beads cells reagents oil 0.1 h</p> <p>Beads: super-Poissonian Cells: Poissonian</p>
Emulsion	 <p>lysis/reaction mix</p>	 <p>lysis mix</p>	 <p>lysis/reaction mix</p>
Reaction in Droplets	<p>2.5 h</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> cell lysis primer release by UV mRNA capture reverse transcription 	<p>0.3 h</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> cell lysis mRNA capture on beads 	<p>1 h</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> cell lysis primer release by bead dissolving reverse transcription and template switch
Reaction after Demulsification	<p>28 h</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 2nd strand synthesis in vitro transcription RNA fragmentation RT-PCR 	<p>9 h</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> RT and template switch PCR Tn5 fragmentation PCR 	<p>7 h</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> PCR cDNA fragmentation and ligation PCR

Seq-Well abrogates the need for droplet-based microfluidic devices



Seq-Well: portable, low-cost RNA sequencing of single cells at high throughput

NATURE METHODS | VOL.14 NO.4 | APRIL 2017 |


Todd M Gierahn^{1,8}, Marc H Wadsworth II^{2–4,8},
Travis K Hughes^{2–4,8}, Bryan D Bryson^{4,5},
Andrew Butler^{6,7}, Rahul Satija^{6,7}, Sarah Fortune^{4,5},
J Christopher Love^{1,3,4,9} & Alex K Shalek^{2,3,4,9}



Seq-Well workflow in Images





Pre-wash




Post-wash +
shake

Seq-Well workflow in Images



Pre-cell loading



Post-cell loading + shake


Common problems - Technical doublets

- **Technical doublets** arise when two or more cells are captured in a droplet with a single bead. The technical doublet rate is therefore the probability of capturing two or more cells in a droplet given that at least one cell has been captured in a droplet:

$$\frac{(1 - e^{-\lambda} - \lambda e^{-\lambda})}{1 - e^{-\lambda}}.$$

- Note that “overloading” a microfluidics single-cell experiment by loading more cells while keeping flow rates constant will increase the number of technical doublets due to an effective increase in λ and also the number of synthetic doublets due to a decrease in relative barcode diversity.

Doublet detection: the barnyard plot





Which is better – full length or 3' end?

Single cell technologies (3'-end vs full-length)

Table 1. Cost Efficiency Extrapolation for Single-Cell RNA-Seq Experiments

Method	TPR ^a	FDR ^a (%)	Cell per Group ^b	Library Cost (\$)	Minimal Cost ^c (\$)
CEL-seq2/C1	0.8	~6.1	86/100/110	~9	~2,420/2,310/2,250
Drop-seq	0.8	~8.4	99/135/254	~0.1	~1,010/700/690
MARS-seq	0.8	~7.3	110/135/160	~1.3	~1,380/1,030/820
SCRB-seq	0.8	~6.1	64/90/166	~2	~900/810/1,080
Smart-seq/C1	0.8	~4.9	150/172/215	~25	~9,010/9,440/11,290
Smart-seq2 (commercial)	0.8	~5.2	95/105/128	~30	~10,470/11,040/13,160
Smart-seq2 (in-house Tn5)	0.8	~5.2	95/105/128	~3	~1,520/1,160/1,090

See also [Figure 6](#).

^aTrue positive rate and false discovery rate are based on simulations ([Figure 6](#); [Figure S9](#)).

^bSequencing depth of one, 0.5, and 0.25 million reads.

^cAssuming \$5 per one million reads.

Ziegenhain et al., 2017 Molecular Cell

Table 1. Widely Used Single-Cell Sequencing Methods

Sequencing Method	Starting Cell No.	Cell Separation ^j	Notes	Cell Capture	Transcript Capture	Representative Library Prep Cost per Cell ^k
Fluidigm C1 ^b	~1,000 cells	cells capture in size-specific chambers	must know the size of cells of interest; allows for staining and imaging prior to cell rupture	96- or 800-chamber units are available	an average of 6,606 genes/cell (no data on percentage)	\$1.70
DropSeq	~150,000 cells/run	droplet-based separation	remains the most cost-effective and most customizable	~5% of cells per run (approximately 7,000 cells)	~10.7% of the cell's transcripts	\$0.06
Chromium 10X	~1,700 cells/run	droplet-based separation	the most commercially successful method; almost fully automated	~65% of cells per run (approximately 1,000 cells)	~14% of the cell's transcripts	\$0.10
SCI-Seq	~500,000 cells (depends on experimental design)	FACS sorter; cells are never singly isolated	combinatorial indexing of individual methanol-fixed permeable cells	5%–10% of cells	~10%–15% of the cell's transcripts	\$0.05–\$0.14 ^c



All of the methods require the establishment of a cell dissociation technique. The price is highly dependent on the number of cells sequenced, the desired depth of sequencing, and the sequencing platform used. For this table, the prices are at the lower end of the price range for single-cell library prep.

^aAs of July 2018.


^bBased on the 800-chamber medium-size isolation unit.

^cDependent on how many cells are prepped for sequencing and how many doublets are tolerated.


3'-end vs full-length Inter-platform comparison



3'-end vs full-length Inter-platform comparison




3'-end based technologies Post-sequencing



10X now offers 5'-end

Single Cell 3' v2 Gene Expression Library:



Single Cell 5' Gene Expression Library:



3'-end based technologies - Post-sequencing

