A few Bayesian lectures for the Uninitiated

Part 5: a mixed-effect model example

Carsten F. Dormann

Biometry & Environmental System Analysis, University of Freiburg

2. April 2022

Mixed effect model

- Mixed effect model ∈ hierarchical models
- hierarchy: some parameters describe the response y, others internal, higher-level unobservables
- PISA school study: all measurements are at the level of the individual pupil, but there are parameters for schools, states and nations in the model
- mixed effect: subjects are random draws from the population of interest — subject-level effects, and population-level effects

$$y = X\beta + Zu + \varepsilon$$
 (random intercept model)

$$y = X\beta + Zu + \varepsilon$$
 (random intercept model)
 $y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_i^2 + \varepsilon_i$

```
y = X\beta + Zu + \varepsilon (random intercept model)

y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_i + \varepsilon_i

y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_{j_i} + \varepsilon_i!!
```

 $y = X\beta + Zu + \varepsilon$ (random intercept model) $y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_2 + \varepsilon_i$ $y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_{j_i} + \varepsilon_i$!! In words: the *i*th data point belongs to a specific *j*th random effect.

$$y = X\beta + Zu + \varepsilon$$
 (random intercept model)
 $y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_i + \varepsilon_i$
 $y_i = X_i\beta + Zu_{j_i} + \varepsilon_i$!!

In words: the *i*th data point belongs to a specific *j*th random effect.

Example: 10 subject were measured 20 times. $j \in \{1, ..., 10\}$, while $i \in \{1, ..., 200\}$.

Z is in this case a dummy matrix with 10 columns, and each column has one estimate for its subject u_j .

The likelihood of y_i is based on the estimate of population-level β , but each subject gets an additional "offset" u.

The likelihood of y_i is based on the estimate of population-level β , but each subject gets an additional "offset" u. These "offsets" u are computed relative to their mean. That mean is the actual regression intercept β_0 .

The likelihood of y_i is based on the estimate of population-level β , but each subject gets an additional "offset" u. These "offsets" u are computed relative to their mean. That mean is the actual regression intercept β_0 . That means, we use the individual-level intercepts u_j to estimate the population-level intercept \bar{u} .

The likelihood of y_i is based on the estimate of population-level β , but each subject gets an additional "offset" u. These "offsets" u are computed relative to their mean. That mean is the actual regression intercept β_0 . That means, we use the individual-level intercepts u_j to estimate the population-level intercept \bar{u} . That is the parameter at the other hierarchical level!

The likelihood of y_i is based on the estimate of population-level β , but each subject gets an additional "offset" u. These "offsets" u are computed relative to their mean. That mean is the actual regression intercept β_0 . That means, we use the individual-level intercepts u_j to estimate the population-level intercept \bar{u} . That is the parameter at the other hierarchical level! Let's write this as equations:

$$y_i \sim N(\mu = \hat{y}_i, \sigma = \hat{s})$$

$$y_i \sim N(\mu = \hat{y}_i, \sigma = \hat{s})$$

 $\hat{y}_i = X_i \beta + Z_i u_{j_i}$

$$y_i \sim N(\mu = \hat{y}_i, \sigma = \hat{s})$$

$$\hat{y}_i = X_i \beta + Z_i u_{j_i}$$

$$u_j \sim N(\mu = \hat{u}, \sigma = \hat{s}_u)$$

$$y_i \sim N(\mu = \hat{y}_i, \sigma = \hat{s})$$

$$\hat{y}_i = X_i \beta + Z_i u_{j_i}$$

$$u_j \sim N(\mu = \hat{u}, \sigma = \hat{s}_u)$$

$$\hat{u} = c$$

$$y_i \sim N(\mu = \hat{y}_i, \sigma = \hat{s})$$

$$\hat{y}_i = X_i \beta + Z_i u_{j_i}$$

$$u_j \sim N(\mu = \hat{u}, \sigma = \hat{s}_u)$$

$$\hat{u} = c$$

Final touch: Bayesian here only means that you have to have a prior for the β s, the σ s, the zs and indeed for c.

In JAGS

```
for (i in 1:N){
    Reaction[i] ~ dnorm(muHat[i], tau)
    # random intercept model:
    muHat[i] <- beta0[subjectNr[i]] + beta1 * Days[i]
}
# priors
for (j in 1:k){
    beta0[j] ~ dnorm(beta00, tau.random) # random intercept
}
beta00 ~ dnorm(300, 0.001) # grand mean
tau ~ dgamma(0.001, 0.001)
tau.random ~ dgamma(0.001, 0.001)</pre>
```

Will this never end?

Next time: State-space models