

Statistics 522: Sampling and Survey Techniques

Topic 8

Topic Overview

This topic will cover

- Nonresponse
- Missing data
- Design Issues

Unit nonresponse

- The entire observation is missing.
- A person may refuse to be interviewed.
- Could possibly use age, gender, race and other characteristics to adjust for the nonresponse

Item nonresponse

- Some measurements are present for the observation but at least one item is missing.
- A person may refuse to answer a particular question

Wildlife surveys (Missing data)

- Some birds may not be found by the researchers; nonrespondents
- A nest may be raided by predators before the investigator can determine how many eggs were laid; item nonresponse.

Four Approaches

1. Prevent it by design (best).

“Reduce the nonresponse to insignificant levels, so that any remaining nonresponse causes little or no harm to the validity of the inferences.”

2. Take a representative subsample of the nonrespondents.
3. Use a model to predict values for the nonrespondents.

- Implicit adjustment through weights

- Imputation
 - Use auxiliary data
4. Ignore the nonresponse
- Most common
 - Is non-response ignorable?

Effects of ignoring nonresponse

Example 8.1, page 256

- 1969 survey of voting behavior in Norway, e.g. estimate voting rate
- Three phone calls followed by a mail survey
- The nonresponse rate was 9.9%

Voting

- The Norwegian voter register is used to determine who voted.
- 88% of the respondents voted.
- 71% of the nonrespondents voted.
- For persons aged 20-24, it was 81% vs 59%
- Not-at-homes (65% vote) and illness (55% vote) are major causes of nonresponse bias.

Nonresponse in the UK

Lower response rates associated with

- London residents
- Households with no car
- Single people
- Older people
- Divorced and widowed people
- new Commonwealth origin
- lower educational attainment
- self-employed

Increase n ?

- Using a larger sample size without targeting the nonresponse will have no effect on the nonresponse bias
- Recall the Literary Digest Survey of 1936, page 7.
 - 2.4 million people
 - 25% response rate
 - predicted Alf Landon would be president with 55% of the vote

The US Census

- Undercoverage rate varies for different demographic groups.
- Some cities filed a lawsuit in the early 1990's.
- “the debate ... continues”

Ignoring nonresponse

- Implicitly assumes that the nonrespondents are similar to the respondents; similarly for items
- Evidence suggests that this is not true in general.
- Results reported should be taken as estimating the population of respondents.
- Report the nonresponse rate.

Bias

- Think of the population being divided into two strata, respondents and nonrespondents.
- See page 258 for some equations

$$bias = E(\bar{y}_{resp}) - \bar{y}_{pop} \approx \frac{N_{missing}}{N}(\bar{y}_{resp, pop} - \bar{y}_{miss, pop})$$

- Bias increases with nonresponse rate
- Small bias
 - Means are close
 - Small nonresponse rate
- Variance estimate often too small

Design issues

- Nonresponse is a neglected problem.
- Surveys reported in many areas have very low response rates, 10-15%.
- To learn about reasons for nonresponse or sources of error, use designed experiments and quality-improvement methods.

1990 Census

- Attempted to survey each of over 100 million households
- Response rate for the mail survey was 65%
- For households not responding to the mail survey, an attempt at a personal contact was made (very expensive).

An experiment

- Factor A : prenotice letter (Y, N)
- Factor B : stamped return envelope (Y, N)
- Factor C : reminder sent a few days after the first mailing (Y, N)
- Response rate was 50% for NNN (nothing used)
- Rate was 64.3% for YYY (all 3 used)

Factors that can influence response rate and data accuracy

- Survey content
 - personal info
 - helped by reordering
- Time of survey
 - Call during Spring Break?
- Interviewers
- Data-collection method
 - telephone vs mail vs personal
- Questionnaire design
 - appeal to cognitive science

- Respondent burden
- Survey introduction
 - why data used
 - assured confidentiality
- Incentives and *disincentives*
- Follow-up
- The attitude of management toward nonresponse.

Quotes

- Lohr: “The quality of a survey is largely determined at the design stage.”
- Fisher: “To call in the statistician after the experiment is done may be no more than asking him to perform a postmortem examination: he may be able to say what the experiment died of.”

Callbacks

- “Virtually all good surveys rely on callbacks to obtain responses from persons not at home for the first try.
- Analysis of callback data can provide some information about the biases that can be expected from the remaining nonrespondents.”
- Can be more effective to take a subsample of nonrespondents

Example 8.3

- Analysis of callback data from two 1984 Michigan polls on preference for presidential candidates
- Response rate 65%
- 21% responded on first call
- up to 30 additional calls

Results

- Later respondents were more likely to be
 - male
 - older
 - Republican
- First call: 48% Reagan, 45% Mondale
- Entire sample: 59% Reagan, 39% Mondale

Polls

- Some of the variation in results for polls is due to different procedures for follow-up
- We would like to assume that late respondents are like nonrespondents.

Stratification

- Hansen and Hurwitz (1946) proposed subsampling nonrespondents and using *two-phase* or *double sampling*.
- Strata are initial respondents and initial nonrespondents.

Example

- Suppose the initial sample size was 1000.
- Initial respondents were $n_R = 800$, nonrespondents (missing) were $n_M = 200$
- Note that n_R and n_M are random variables.
- Sample vn_M of the nonrespondents.
 - v determined beforehand
- Let \bar{y}_R and \bar{y}_M be the means.

$$\hat{\bar{y}} = \frac{800}{1000}\bar{y}_R + \frac{200}{1000}\bar{y}_M$$

Total and variance

- To estimate the total, multiply by N .
- See page 263 for formula for the variance (based material in Chapter 12)

Perspectives on nonresponse

- Cochran (1977)

“The division into two distinct strata is, of course, an oversimplification. Chance plays a part in determining whether a unit is found and measured in a given number of attempts. In a more complete specification of the problem, we would attach to each unit a probability representing the chance that it would be measured by a given field method if it fell in the sample.”

- “Much of the recent work on estimation in the presence of nonresponse has used the idea expressed in this citation – namely, that the response is stochastic, not deterministic. Under such an outlook, a response distribution is assumed to exist. The known sample selection distribution and the unknown response distribution together form the basis of a randomization theory extended to incorporate nonresponse.”

- Conditions of the survey – Dalenius (1983):

“... it appears utterly unrealistic to postulate fixed ‘response probabilities’ which are independent of the varying circumstances under which an effort is made to elicit a response. In other words, there is no single unique q value, but possibly a wide spectrum of q values.”

- Kalton (1983)

“Sampling practitioners do not believe that the nonresponse models on which their adjustments are based hold exactly: they simply hope that they are improvements on the model of data missing at random.”

Models for nonresponse

Difficulties due to nonresponse

- We do not know how the response sets are generated.
 - Differs from sampling design
 - Must make assumptions: independence or correlation among similar elements
 - Can’t verify these assumptions
- Calculations are more difficult – “heavier”.

Definitions

- *Response model* – set of assumptions about true unknown response distribution.
- *Response distribution* – Underlying probability mechanism which produces nonresponse.

- We need a model to adjust for nonresponse.
- Let ϕ_i be the probability that item i will respond (the *propensity* score).
- Let x_i be the data vector for item i .

Three models

1. Missing completely at random (MCAR)
 - ϕ_i does not depend on x_i .
2. Missing at random given covariates or ignorable nonresponse
3. Nonignorable nonresponse

MCAR

- Missing completely at random
- Data entered incorrectly into computer file and appears as an outlier.
- Lab problem with reagents
- MCAR is implicitly adopted when nonresponse is ignored.

MAR

- Missing at random given covariates.
- ϕ_i the probability that item i will respond can depend on an element of the data vector x_i but not on y_i .
- We can use a model here.
- This is sometimes called *ignorable nonresponse*.
- Ignorable means that the model works.

Nonignorable nonresponse

- The probability of nonresponse ϕ_i
 - depends on y_i
 - cannot be completely explained by the x_i
- NCVS
 - suppose a person victimized by a crime is less likely to respond to the survey

Weights

Weights are the reciprocal of the probabilities of selection.

- for stratification we used $w_i = N_h/n_h$
- for unequal probability sampling we used $w_i = 1/\pi_i$.

Weights for nonresponse

- Let π_i be the probability of selection in the sample.
- Let ϕ_i be the probability that the unit will respond.
- Then $\pi_i\phi_i$ is the probability that the unit is selected and responds
 - This assumes that selection and response are independent

Estimated weights

- We use the data to estimate the probability of response $\hat{\phi}_i$
- Use weights $w_i = 1/(\pi_i\hat{\phi}_i)$
- This method assumes MAR.

Weight class adjustment

- Form subgroups of the population
- For an SRS, use the sample proportion of responses in the subgroup as $\hat{\phi}_i$.
- In general, use the ratio of the sum of the weights for the respondents divided by the sum of the weights for the selected sample (in each subgroup).

$$\tilde{w}_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{\text{classes } c} \frac{w_i I(i \in \text{class } c)}{\hat{\phi}_c} \\ 0 \text{ if nonrespondent} \end{cases}$$

Assumptions

To apply the weight class adjustment, we assume

- the probability of response is the same for all members of the subgroup
- MAR (within each subgroup)

Estimates

- Use the usual formulas with weights $w_i = 1/(\pi_i \hat{\phi}_i)$.
- More detailed formulas are on page 267.

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{t} &= \sum \tilde{w}_i y_i \\ \hat{\bar{y}} &= \frac{\hat{t}}{\sum \tilde{w}_i}\end{aligned}$$

Example 8.5 (NCVS)

- The adjustment for nonresponse is the within-household noninterview adjustment factor (WHHNAF) described in Chapter 7 on page 245
- 24 weighting cells are used
- These are based on demographic variables.

Adjustments

- If the weight adjustment factor is too large (greater than 2)
- Or if the number of respondents in a cell is less than 30
- Cells are combined with neighboring cells

Logistic regression

- Logistic regression (or classification methods) can be used to get estimated probabilities of response for each unit.
- Recommendation is to use these estimated probabilities to construct subgroups with similar probabilities of response.

Post-stratification

- Weight-adjusted classes should be constructed like strata.
- Method is similar to weight class adjustment but population counts are used to adjust the weights.

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{y}_{post} &= \sum \left(\frac{N_h}{N} \right) \bar{y}_{h,R} \\ \bar{y}_{wei} &= \sum \left(\frac{n_h}{n} \right) \bar{y}_{h,R}\end{aligned}$$

- With the weighted estimator N_h is unknown and is estimated by $N(n_h/n)$

Assumptions

- Within each poststratum unit, each unit selected to be in the sample has the same probability of being a respondent.
- Response/nonresponse is independent across units.
- Nonrespondents are like respondents.
- The data are MCAR within each stratum.

Example (NCVS)

- In the second stage poststratification is used to adjust the weights.
- This is SSF (see Chapter 7, page 246)
- 72 post strata are based on age race and sex and the values of N_h are taken from the Bureau of Census counts

Raking adjustments

- Used when poststrata are formed using more than one variable (e.g., race and sex) but only the marginal population totals for each variable are known.
- Iterative adjustment procedure
- See Section 8.5.2.2 on pages 269-271

Other methods

- Estimate the probability of nonresponse by asking the respondent something such as whether or not they were home on the four preceding weeknights.
- Politz-Simmons method
- See Section 8.5.3 on page 271 for details

Final Word of Caution

- Weighting adjustments uses lots of assumptions.
- May be implausible
- Should state assumed model and justify
- Usually used for unit non-response.

Imputation

- Used for item nonresponse
- Values are assigned for missing items
- Often we use a value from a similar item
- Data set should include an indicator for the imputation.
- Goals
 - reduce nonresponse bias
 - create a clean data set

General criteria

- Imputed values should be consistent.
- Should reduce nonresponse bias and preserve relationships between items as far as possible
- Suitable for any pattern of missing items
- Set up ahead of time
- Effects on bias and prediction of the estimate can be evaluated.

Deductive imputation

- Use common sense
- Table 8.3, person 9 responded that she was not a victim of any crime but did not respond to the question about violent crime.
- (Duplicate records)
- Study the data.
- Replace missing values by the last present value for longitudinal studies.

Cell mean imputation

- Divide the sample into subgroups
- Compute the mean for the respondents in each subgroup.
- For non respondents, substitute this mean for the response
- Implicitly assumes MAR (MCAR within the subgroups)

Evaluation

- Mean imputation gives the same estimates for means, totals, and proportions as the weighting class adjustments.
- Variances can be very different.
- Estimation of relationships can be distorted.

Hot-deck imputation

- Sample units are divided into subgroups or classes.
- Replace missing values by values from a similar unit.
- With computer ‘cards’ or sequential files, use the previous record.
- Or randomly select a unit from the same class.
- Or select the ‘closest’ unit

Regression imputation

Use units with complete data for the variables in question to determine a regression equation for estimation of missing values.

Cold-deck imputation

Use values from a previous study to replace missing values.

Substitution

- Used for unit nonresponse
- Randomly select from a predetermined a list of substitutes
- See text for evaluation

Problems with imputation

- Example SRS with 50% nonresponse
- Assume MAR
- Impute the mean of the respondents; replace values with the mean
- What happens to the variance?
- And the standard error?

Multiple imputation

- A possible solution to the variance problem
- Impute values plus random noise
- Do this several times
- Combine results using standard statistical methods
- Most interesting theory

Parametric models for nonresponse

- Model for complete data with nonresponse mechanism
- Advantages
 - flexible, particular when model nonresponse
 - Assumptions must be stated and evaluated.
 - Variance estimation can account for nonresponse.
- Often use likelihood-based methods
- Spotted owls in Washington, Oregon and California
- Read Example 8.10 on pages 278-280

Acceptable response rate

- Hard to get objective measures of bias, but can quantify nonresponse
- However, there are different measures that can be declared.

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{\sum_{response} 1/\text{probability of being sampled}}{\sum_{sample} 1/\text{probability of being sampled}} \\
 & \frac{\text{number of completed responses}}{\text{number of units in sample}} \\
 & \frac{\text{number of completed responses}}{\text{number of units contacted}} \\
 & \frac{\text{completed responses} + \text{ineligible units}}{\text{contacted units}} \\
 & \frac{\text{completed responses}}{\text{contacted units} - (\text{ineligible units})} \\
 & \frac{\text{completed responses}}{\text{contacted units} - (\text{ineligible units}) - \text{refusals}} \\
 & \frac{\sum_{response} x_k}{\sum_{sample} x_k} \\
 & \frac{\sum_{response} x_k / \pi_k}{\sum_{sample} x_k / \pi_k}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Be aware that response rates can be manipulated
- Read Section 8.8
- See recommendations from Maria Gonzales *et al* (1994) on page 282