

# Random Graph theory

Benjamin Chu

February 27, 2020

Most materials from this note is taken from [1, 3]

## 1 Erdos-Renyi Graph Model

- We use  $G(n, p)$  to denote an undirected (Erdos-Renyi) graph with  $n$  nodes.
- An edge is formed between 2 nodes with probability  $p \in (0, 1)$  **independently** of other edges.
- A graph is **connected** when there is a path between every pair of vertices.

When  $p = p(n)$  is a function of  $n$ , we may be interested in the behavior of  $G(n, p(n))$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

### 1.1 Warm-up

**Q1. What is the probability that a vertex is isolated in  $G(n, p)$ ? Ans:** A given node  $i$  cannot form an edge with each of the remaining  $n - 1$  nodes. Thus the probability is  $(1 - p)^{n-1}$ .

**Q2. What is the probability that node 1 and node 2 are both isolated?** Let  $I_1, I_2$  be the indicator that node 1 and node 2 are isolated. Then  $P(I_1 \cap I_2) = P(I_1)P(I_2 | I_1) = (1 - p)^{n-1} * (1 - p)^{n-2} = (1 - p)^{2n-3}$ .

**Q3. What is the probability that a group of  $k$  nodes do not connect to the rest of the  $n - k$  nodes?** There are  $\binom{n}{k}$  number of ways to choose  $k$  vertices. Each of these cannot form an edge with the remaining  $n - k$  nodes independently with probability  $(1 - p)^{n-k}$ . So overall we have  $(1 - p)^{(n-k)k}$ .

## 2 Sharp Threshold for Connectivity

The first lecture will be a proof of the following result. This proof uses several techniques you learned in this class.

### Theorem 2.1 Erdos-Renyi 1961

Consider a graph  $g \sim G(n, p(n))$  where  $p(n) = \lambda \frac{\ln(n)}{n}$ . Then as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P(g \text{ connected}) &\rightarrow 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 1 \\ P(g \text{ connected}) &\rightarrow 1 & \text{if } \lambda > 1 \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Suppose  $\lambda < 1$ . Since  $P(\text{connected}) = 1 - P(\text{disconnected})$ , we will show  $P(\text{disconnected}) \rightarrow 1$  by showing that **there is at least 1 isolated node**. Define

- $X_n$  to be a random variable that counts the number of isolated nodes
- $I_i$  to be a (Bernoulli) indicator random variable such that  $I_i = 1$  when node  $i$  is isolated and is 0 otherwise
- Let  $p = p(n)$  and  $q = q(n) = (1 - p(n))^{n-1}$  be the probability of a node being isolated

We want to show  $P(X_n > 0) \rightarrow 1$ , or equivalently,  $P(X_n = 0) \rightarrow 0$ . To get a bound on  $P(X_n = 0)$ , we observe:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(X_n) &= E(X_n - E(X_n))^2 \\ &= P(X_n = 0)(0 - E(X_n))^2 + P(X_n = 1)(1 - E(X_n))^2 + \dots \\ &\geq P(X_n = 0)E(X_n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\text{Var}(X_n)}{E(X_n)^2} \geq P(X_n = 0). \quad (2.1)$$

We will now calculate  $\text{Var}(X_n)$  and  $E(X_n)$  explicitly to show that the left hand side of (2.1) goes to 0. By linearity of expectation and applying definition of indicators,

$$E(X_n) = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n E(I_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(I_i) = nq.$$

Since indicators  $I_i$  are **not independent** (why?), we use equation (1.10) in your book [2]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Var}(X_n) &= \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n I_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(I_i) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \text{Cov}(I_i, I_j) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n q(1-q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} [E(I_i I_j) - E(I_i)E(I_j)] \quad (\text{since } \text{Var}(\text{Bernoulli}) = p(1-p)) \\
&= nq(1-q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} [P(I_i \cap I_j) - P(I_i)P(I_j)] \\
&= nq(1-q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} [(1-p)^{n-1}(1-p)^{n-2} - (1-p)^{n-1}(1-p)^{n-1}] \\
&= nq(1-q) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j \neq i} \left[ \frac{q^2}{1-p} - q^2 \right] \\
&= nq(1-q) + n(n-1)q^2 \frac{p}{1-p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\frac{\text{Var}(X_n)}{E(X_n)^2} = \frac{nq(1-q) + n(n-1)q^2 \frac{p}{1-p}}{(nq)^2} = \frac{1-q}{nq} + \frac{n-1}{n} \frac{p}{1-p}.$$

We will now show these terms approach 0 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then eq (2.1) will give us what we need. The first term is dominated by  $nq$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} nq &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n(1-p)^{n-1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\{\ln(n) + (n-1)\ln(1-p)\} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left\{\ln(n) + (n-1)\ln\left(1 - \frac{\lambda \ln(n)}{n}\right)\right\} \\
&\approx \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left\{\ln(n) - \lambda \frac{n-1}{n} \ln(n)\right\} \quad (\ln(1-x) = 1-x + \frac{x^2}{2} - \dots \approx -x + O(x^2) \text{ for small } x) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp\left\{\ln(n) \left(1 - \lambda \frac{n-1}{n}\right)\right\} \\
&= \infty \quad (\text{since } \lambda < 1 \text{ and } n \rightarrow \infty)
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, observe that  $p = \lambda \frac{\ln(n)}{n} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\frac{p}{1-p} \rightarrow 0$  as well. This completes the case for  $\lambda < 1$ .

**Part II.** Now suppose  $\lambda > 1$ . We want to show  $P(\text{connected}) \rightarrow 1$ , or equivalently  $P(\text{disconnected}) \rightarrow 0$ . A graph is disconnected if there is a subgraph of  $k$  nodes that does not connect to any of the other  $n-k$  nodes

(draw a picture). By symmetry, we only have to consider  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor\}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned}
P(\text{disconnected}) &= \bigcup_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} P(\text{some set of } k \text{ nodes not connected to the rest}) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} P(\text{some set of } k \text{ nodes not connected to the rest}) \quad (\text{inclusion-exclusion picture}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} \left[ (1-p)^{(n-k)} \right]^k \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} e^{p(n-k)k} \quad \left( e^{-x} = 1 - x + \frac{x^2}{2} - \dots \approx 1 - x + O(x^2) \text{ for small } x \right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} \exp \left\{ \frac{-\lambda \ln(n)(n-k)k}{n} \right\} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} n^{\frac{-\lambda}{n}(n-k)k} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n^*} \binom{n}{k} n^{\frac{-\lambda}{n}(n-k)k} + \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} n^{\frac{-\lambda}{n}(n-k)k} \quad \left( \text{Choose } n^* \text{ s.t. } \frac{\lambda(n-n^*)}{n} > 1 \iff n^* = \lfloor n(1 - \frac{1}{\lambda}) \rfloor \right)
\end{aligned}$$

For the first term,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{n^*} \binom{n}{k} n^{\frac{-\lambda}{n}(n-k)k} &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n^*} n^k n^{\frac{-\lambda}{n}(n-k)k} = \sum_{k=1}^{n^*} \left[ n^{1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}(n-k)} \right]^k \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n^*} \left[ n^{1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}(n-n^*)} \right]^k \quad (\text{judiciously bound inner } k \text{ with something bigger}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{n^*} r^k \quad \left( \text{define } r = n^{1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}(n-n^*)} \right) \\
&= \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n^*} r^k \right) - 1 \\
&= \frac{r}{1-r} \quad (\text{geometric series; } 1 - \frac{\lambda}{n}(n-n^*) < 0, \text{ so } r < 1) \\
&= \frac{1}{n^{\frac{\lambda}{n}(n-n^*)-1} - 1} \\
&\rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{since } n \rightarrow \infty \text{ and exponent} > 0)
\end{aligned}$$

For the second term, we use a better bound than before (see homework):

$$\binom{n}{k} < \left( \frac{ek}{k} \right)^k.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} n^{\frac{-\lambda}{n}(n-k)k} &\leq \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left(\frac{en}{k}\right)^k n^{\frac{-\lambda(n-k)k}{n}} = \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left[ \frac{en^{1-\frac{\lambda(n-k)}{n}}}{k} \right]^k \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left[ \frac{en^{1-\frac{\lambda(n-\frac{n}{2})}{n}}}{n^*+1} \right]^k \quad (\text{bound inner } k \text{ with something from above}) \\
&= \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left[ \frac{en^{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}}{n(1-\frac{1}{\lambda})+1} \right]^k \leq \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left[ \frac{en^{\frac{-\lambda}{2}}}{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}} \right]^k \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} r^k \quad \left(r = \frac{en^{\frac{-\lambda}{2}}}{1-\frac{1}{\lambda}}, 0 < r < 1 \text{ for large } n\right) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=n^*+1}^{\infty} r^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} r^k - \sum_{k=0}^{n^*} r^k \\
&= \frac{1}{1-r} - \frac{1-r^{n^*+1}}{1-r} \quad (\text{finite geometric series } \sum_{k=0}^m r^k = \frac{1-r^{m+1}}{1-r}) \\
&= \frac{r^{n^*+1}}{1-r} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{since } n^* \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

□

### 3 Problems

In honor of Ken Lange, you are required to do 2 problems. If you do more I will grade your top 2 problems. Every problem is worth the same number of points. If a problem has subproblems, each subproblem is worth the same number of points.

#### Problem 3.1 Bounds of binomial coefficients

For integers  $n$  and  $k$ , prove the following inequalities

$$\frac{n^k}{k^k} \leq \binom{n}{k} \leq \frac{n^k}{k!} < \left(\frac{ne}{k}\right)^k$$

which is used in our proof for theorem 2.1. For the strict inequality, rewrite  $\frac{n^k}{k!} = \left(\frac{n}{k}\right)^k \frac{k^k}{k!}$  and use Taylor expansion on  $e^k$ .

### References

- [1] Acemoglu, D. and Ozdaglar, A. (2009). Lecture 3: Erdos-Renyi graphs and Branching Processes. <http://economics.mit.edu/files/4621>.

- [2] Lange, K. (2010). *Applied probability*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- [3] Ramchandran, K. (2009). Random Graphs. <https://inst.eecs.berkeley.edu/~ee126/sp18/random-graphs.pdf>.