

## Memorandum to the Board of Royal Dutch Shell plc Group Investment Proposal

### Summary Information

|                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------|
| Business unit and company       | Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited (SPDC)                                                                                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Group equity interest           | 100% in SPDC, whereas SPDC is the Joint Venture (JV) operator of an unincorporated JV with a 30% interest.                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Other shareholders / partners   | Nigeria National Petroleum Company (NNPC: 55%), Total Exploration and Production Company Nigeria (TEPNL 10%), Nigeria Agip Oil Company (NAOC: 5%)                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Amount                          | US\$105mln Shell share, MOD, 50/50 is requested for approval in this proposal of the 100% JV estimate of US\$165mln. This proposal includes Shell equity share (30%) of US\$50mln and Shell’s MCA commitment on NNPC Share(36.67%) of US\$56mln |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Project                         | Gbaran-Ubie CPF North Bank Shoreline Protection Project                                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Main commitments                | <b>Description</b>                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <b>Complete Budget (100% JV)</b> | <b>Complete Budget (30% Shell Share)</b> | <b>Total NNPC MCA Carry (36.67% Shell Share)</b> | <b>Total Shell Share (Equity + Carry) This proposal</b> |
|                                 | Facilities (F\$mln)                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 151.9                            | 45.6                                     | 55.7                                             | 101.2                                                   |
|                                 | PMT                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 9.7                              | 2.9                                      | 0.0                                              | 2.9                                                     |
|                                 | Total CAPEX (\$ mln)                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 161.5                            | 48.5                                     | 55.7                                             | 104.1                                                   |
|                                 | SCD                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 3.6                              | 1.1                                      | 0.0                                              | 1.1                                                     |
|                                 | Total OPEX (\$ mln)                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 3.6                              | 1.1                                      | 0.0                                              | 1.1                                                     |
|                                 | Total Project (\$ mln)                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | 165.1                            | 49.5                                     | 55.7                                             | 105.2                                                   |
|                                 | Source and form of financing                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | This project is to be financed via the Alternative Funding (AF) mechanism The premise for this proposal is the Modified Carry Agreement (MCA) funding vehicle and the proposal is a part of the Gbaran-Ubie 2 MCA bundle. |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Summary cash flow (Shell Share) | NOT APPLICABLE(Cost Only evaluation)                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |                                  |                                          |                                                  |                                                         |
| Summary economics (Shell Share) | Summary Economics (RV-RT13)                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | NPV7 (USD mln)                   |                                          | RTEP (%)                                         | VIR7                                                    |
|                                 | Base case                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | -24.3                            |                                          | NA                                               | -0.27                                                   |
|                                 | High Capex                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | -29.3                            |                                          | NA                                               | -0.27                                                   |

## Section 1: The Proposal (Management Summary)

### 1.1 Management Summary

This Group Investment Proposal requests approval for funding of US\$105.2mln Shell Share (US\$49.5mln Equity & US\$55.7mln Carry) which is required for the execution of the Gbaran-Ubie CPF North Bank Shoreline Protection Project. This project has the objective of protecting the Gbaran CPF shoreline from ongoing erosion caused by Nun river action; this would ensure continuous operation of the CPF throughout its design life and beyond. The project has a P50 cost estimate 100%JV of \$165.1mln

**RDS Board support for this project was secured in December 2012 as part of the GU2 bundle. Group CFO agreed that separate approvals of the individual GIPs by CEPV are not required as long as the overall headline size stays within the Board mandate. Tables 5&6 in Appendix 2, show that this GIP is aligned with the board approved GFP.**

The project is in the Alternative Funding (AF) tranche in BP13. The JV Partners (NAPIMS & IOCs) have been engaged and are aligned on the cost estimates (facilities and owners cost) and delivery schedule. The funding vehicle is the Modified Carry Agreement (MCA). The project cost and schedule targets are outlined in Table 1 below.

### 1.2 Project Background

The Gbaran Ubie Central Processing Facility (GBU-CPF) is located on the outside bank of a bend on the River Nun, north of Yenagoa (Capital of Bayelsa State). The Nun River is considered to be a direct continuation of the Niger River and is an untrained meandering rainwater discharge river with a sandy river bed which is surrounded by areas covered with clay and silt soils.

As a result of continuous river action, the outer bank of the river (where the GBU-CPF is located) is subject to continuous erosion. The initial plan was to protect the shoreline and riverbed on a portion of the southern section of the GBU CPF shoreline, which involved the installation of Reno mattresses along the shoreline and the adjoining riverbed.

In 2008, a contract was awarded to Pelfaco Nigeria Limited (an indigenous construction company) for this limited scope. However, in August 2010, a section of the Reno mattresses already installed on the GBU-CPF south bank failed and slipped into the Nun River, Investigation following the failure revealed the need to put in place a full protection system for the entire riverbank and bed (north and south of the GBU-CPF jetty) adjoining the GBU-CPF. Furthermore, a P&T study carried out in 2011 indicated that CPF will be impacted in 7 years based on assessed erosion rate of +/- 6m/yr

Consequently, in 2011, the services of an expert company, Nigeria Westminster Dredging and Marine Limited (NWDM) was secured to provide support to the indigenous company as a sub-contractor for the protection of the entire south bank (which was the critical section at that time), using a full rock revetment concept. The rock protection works were completed in September 2012 and 1 year post construction monitoring is currently ongoing.

During the construction works for the south bank, some sections on the north bank became critical, as the Nun river action had continued unabated and already installed facilities by the North bank were threatened. As a result of this, SPDC declared emergency on the North Bank in Q3 2011 and sand bags were dumped at critical sections, as a temporary mitigation action to prevent further deterioration and loss of facilities. This temporary measure has secured the bank till date. Additionally, P&T also carried out a feasibility study on the North bank and the full rock revetment concept was selected as optimum

In August 2012, a Contract and Procurement refresh strategy workshop was held with NAPIMS in attendance, at the workshop, NAPIMS's preferred option due to the urgency of the project was a single source award. On further engagement, it was agreed that SPDC should negotiate with Pelfaco and NWDM using the rates from the south back shore protection contract for full rock revetment.

### 1.3 Targets

The Cost and Schedule targets are contingent upon contract award in February 2015

|                              | P50           | P90 |
|------------------------------|---------------|-----|
| Total Project CAPEX (F\$mln) | 165           | 182 |
| RFSU                         | December 2016 |     |

Table 1: Key Project Cost and Schedule Targets

## Section 2: Value proposition, strategic and financial context

The overriding business driver is to put in place an effective shore protection along the Nun River in order to safeguard the Gbaran Ubie Integrated Oil & Gas facility against erosion and scour effects of the Nun River

### 2.1 Summary Economics

The FID economics evaluation was carried out on a forward-looking basis as a “cost only” using contractors cost provided by the project team. Sensitivity analysis was carried out to determine the values of the project at high CAPEX, 1 year project delay and 1.5% mark up due to BVA (Bench marked verified and approved) issues due to NNPC cost disputes. The evaluation assumed funding under the 2008 Modified Carry Arrangement (MCA) terms. The details of the results are in Table 2

| PV Reference Date: 1/7/2013        | NPV (S/S \$ mln) |       | VIR   | RTEP | UTC (RT \$/bbl or |    | Payout-Time | Maximum    |
|------------------------------------|------------------|-------|-------|------|-------------------|----|-------------|------------|
| Cash flow forward from: 1/1/2013   | 0%               | 7%    | 7%    | %    | 0%                | 7% |             |            |
| <b>Base Case</b>                   |                  |       |       |      |                   |    |             |            |
| RV* (\$90/bbl or \$2.01/mln btu)   | -17.4            | -24.3 | -0.27 | NA   | NA                | NA | NA          | 59.3(2015) |
| <b>Sensitivities (using RV)</b>    |                  |       |       |      |                   |    |             |            |
| High Capex (Prob < 0.10)           |                  | -29.3 | -0.27 |      |                   |    |             |            |
| 1 year Project Delay               |                  | -22.2 | -0.27 |      |                   |    |             |            |
| 1.5% cost markup due to BVA issues |                  | -26.4 | -0.27 |      |                   |    |             |            |

\*Same result applies to SV-RT & HV-RT as there is no revenue stream

Table 2: Summary economics grid for Gbaran Infill Project

| Parameter (Shell Share)       | Unit     | PDR*   | Low | Mid    | High  | Comments                        |
|-------------------------------|----------|--------|-----|--------|-------|---------------------------------|
| Capex (MOD)                   | US\$ mln | 104.0  | NA  | 104.1  | 125.0 | JV & MCA                        |
| Production Volume             | mln boe  | NA     | NA  | NA     | NA    | Cost Only                       |
| Start Up Date                 |          | Mar-16 | NA  | Dec-16 | NA    | updated contract award schedule |
| Opex                          | US\$ mln | 1.1    | NA  | 1.1    | 1.3   | SCD                             |
| Production in first 12 months | mln boe  |        |     | NA     |       | cost only                       |

\*PDR: Project Delivery Report( latest view)

Table 3: Key project Parameters (Shell share) used for the economics analysis

## Section 3: Risks, Opportunities and alternatives

### 3.1 Risks and Mitigation Plans

The project employs a comprehensive Risk and Opportunity Management system, with Risks affecting the Cost and schedule analyzed and worked into the project cost estimate and schedule accordingly. The top project risks and mitigation plans are described below;

| Risks                                                                                             | Mitigations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <b>1. HSSE &amp; SP Risks</b>                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |
| a. Personnel Transfer, Jetty to Boat/barge (Drowning/Man over-board) during waterborne operations | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- On site induction for all personnel.</li> <li>- Deployment of only HUET/swimming-certified persons for water waterborne operations. Enforce buddy system for workers near or on water. Provide effective supervision /monitoring throughout the project phase. Provide life buoys and life lines for every vessel.</li> <li>- Deploy only approved personal floatation devices (PFDs) /work vest.</li> <li>- Provide and test Emergency Response preparedness</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                    |
| b. On Land & water transport (driving /boating)                                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Carryout regular Craft/vehicles inspection and Maintain Craft/vehicle to manufacturers recommendations to avoid malfunction/breakdown</li> <li>- Enforce driver/quarter master education program (DEP/MCA) to assure competence. Equipment selection to suit flood level. Carryout campaigns and implement Alcohol and drugs policy to avoid mal-operation due to such influence</li> <li>- Develop / Implement land/marine Journey Mgmt plan/procedures</li> <li>- Routine monitoring of drivers/quartermasters.</li> <li>- Deploy appropriate signage to reduce impact on 3<sup>rd</sup> party</li> </ul> |
| c. Objects Under Tension/loading and offload of rocks                                             | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Develop a maintenance plan for equipment and implement to eliminate equipment breakdown/ failure</li> <li>- Ensure stable base/ structural support, to avoid tilting</li> <li>- Deploy trained /competent operator to man equipments to avoid human error /Mal-operation</li> <li>- Use lifting plan to manage all lifting activities</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |
| d. Weather (on land, water )                                                                      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Avail Weather information (forecast) through the use of sky scanners</li> <li>- Avoid working in thunderstorm and under rain. - Evacuate to safety</li> <li>- Train Personnel in the hazard of weather</li> <li>- Cease all overhead work when wind speed is 40km/h or above</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |
| e. Community unrest /3 <sup>rd</sup> party interference                                           | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Contractors are required to understand the requirements for securing Freedom To Operate (FTO), including labor rates in the host communities prior to bidding</li> <li>- Develop and Implement Project Labor Agreement alongside already existing steady state GMOU to reduce</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|                                                                                                                                                                                                               | <p>community unrest/inference.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Develop and implement a stake holder engagement plan</li> <li>- Maintain a complaint register to track all issues and complaints</li> <li>- Ensure implementation of GMOU with host communities before construction commences.</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| f. Security                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Develop and deploy an approved security management plan that includes; security risk assessment and manning level</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 2. Hazardous waterborne operations which aggravates during high flood and high current seasons                                                                                                                | Robust HSE Plan to be launched at Contract kick off meeting and monthly reviews/audits carried out. Project team to put in place a strong HSE supervisory team.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| 3. Limited dry weather window which if missed pushes construction over to following season thus elongating project completion period                                                                          | <p>Proposed contractor has prior scope and location experience, performance incentive in contract to drive performance would also be included.</p> <p>Additionally, the project team would also ensure close monitoring during project execution</p> <p>Stakeholders critical to the success of the project have been identified and a stakeholder management plan is in place to engage and obtain their buy in and support before and during project execution. In addition, community stakeholders would be managed via the GMoU framework.</p> |
| 4. Pelfaco/NWDM in consortium agreement for the execution of the project, however there is the potential that one of them may not adhere to the terms stipulated in the consortium agreement                  | The terms of the EPC contract provide for enforcement on a joint and several basis against Pelfaco and NWDM                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |
| 5. Poor quality work due to Contractor lacking right resources and experience to perform work in highly turbid water;                                                                                         | Apply learning from the South Bank Protection works which has just been completed include resourcing of dedicated QA/QC personnel, thorough review of all work processes and Quality checks of the rocks at the loading bay and the construction site                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |
| 6. Need for sufficient tax base of SPDC as the MCA recovery mechanism is largely dependent on having a sufficient tax base within SPDC Ltd to absorb the capital allowances associated with the carry amounts | Analysis shows that SPDC Ltd has sufficient tax base to recover the MCAs and achieve the desired IRR                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |
| <b>Opportunities</b>                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| The project will provide an opportunity for skill and capacity transfer to local contractor and personnel                                                                                                     |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |

### 3.2 Alternatives

The Option of using Sheet piling was considered however alternative was not taken as a result of the following reason

1. The use of rock revetment is similar to the design used for the South bank which has been completed
2. Availability of material and installation technology for sheet piles from water are not readily available in Nigeria

## Section 4: Carbon Management

This project is considered carbon neutral as the sources of emissions that come from this project are fugitives from equipment / machinery being used (cars, cranes, barges.etc) and these are negligible

## Section 5: Corporate structure, and governance

The existing corporate structure and governance arrangements of SPDC-JV with SPDC as operator still subsist for this investment.

## Section 6: Functional Support and consistency with Group and Business Standards

This proposal complies with Shell Group Business Principles, policies and standards. Functional support for this proposal is provided by Projects & Technology (P&T), Finance, Social Performance, Contracting & Procurement, HSE, Operations, Legal, Security, Treasury, Controllers and Tax functions.

## Section 7: Project management, monitoring and review

Project Assurance is in place for all work scope and management of change. This is a “P&T executed” project with P&T being accountable for the delivery of technical project integration and execution. A DRB with UI Nigeria and P&T participation is in place.

## Section 8: Budget Provision

It is proposed that at FID, the project budget requirement will be from the alternative funding tranche. In line with current MCA agreements, it is expected that project FID OPEX and project management costs will continue to be funded via the regular JV budgetary process.

## Section 9: Group Financial Reporting Impact

There are no unusual accounting issues related to this GIP. Expenditure related to the project will be accounted for in line with Group Policy. The financial impact of this proposal on Shell Group Financials is as indicated in the table below:

| US\$ mln                      | 2013       | 2014        | 2015        | 2016       | Post 2016  |
|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------------|
| <b>Total Commitment</b>       | <b>7.4</b> | <b>41.7</b> | <b>46.4</b> | <b>9.7</b> | <b>0.0</b> |
| Commitment Phasing - SCD Opex | 0.1        | 0.4         | 0.5         | 0.1        | 0.0        |
| <b>Cash Flow</b>              |            |             |             |            |            |
| Capital expenditure           | 7.3        | 41.3        | 46.0        | 9.6        | 0.0        |
| Cash Flow from Operations     | 1.2        | 7.9         | 16.7        | 18.1       | 47.4       |
| Cash Surplus/(Deficit)*       | -6.1       | -33.4       | -29.2       | 8.5        | 47.4       |
| <b>Profit and Loss</b>        |            |             |             |            |            |
| NIBIAT +/-                    | 0.2        | 1.4         | 2.5         | 1.0        | -17.2      |
| <b>Balance Sheet</b>          |            |             |             |            |            |
| Average Capital Employed      | 3.2        | 23.8        | 57.0        | 69.2       | 12.4       |

**Table 4: Financial implications of the Project**

## Section 10: Disclosure

Material disclosures, if any, will be done in line with the Group Disclosure Guidelines.

## Section 11: Financing

Financing for the main project scopes shall be through the MCA funding mechanism. NNPC approval has been obtained for project scope and cost and the MCA agreement has been signed off with the JV partners.

**Section 12: Taxation**

MCAs are no longer unusual in the oil and gas fiscal structure with the FIRS. SPDC Ltd will ensure that all information required for the tax return and tax audit robustness can be accessed. Relevant tax/fiscal risk is as discussed under Section 3 above.

**Section 13: Key Parameters**

Approval is sought for US\$105mln (Shell Share), for the execution of the CPF North Bank Shoreline Protection Project

**Section 14: Signatures**

This Proposal is submitted for approval.

**Supported by:**

.....  
Bernard Bos  
FM Nigeria & Gabon  
Date.... /... /...

**For Business Approval:**

.....  
Erwin Nijse  
VP Finance Operated  
Date.... /... /...

**For Business Approval:**

.....  
Harry Brekelmans  
EVP UI Operated  
Date.... /... /...



## Appendix 1: Assumptions

### Economics Assumptions

- Education Tax of 2% assessable profit.
- NDDC levy of 3% total expenditure.
- Abandonment estimated as 10% of total RT CAPEX.
- Condensate was treated as oil and taxed under Petroleum Profit Tax - PPT (PPT tax rate of 85%).
- ARPR 31/12/2012 variable OPEX for Gbaran CPF was used.
- SPDC Generic Opex was used for new infrastructure
  - Oil fixed OPEX - 3% of cum. oil CAPEX,
  - Gas fixed OPEX – 3.5% of cum. gas CAPEX

### MCA Assumptions

- Partial recovery of the carry costs through a Tax Relief against Petroleum Profit Tax currently at 85%.
- OPEX and PMT not carried under current MCA arrangement. This difference will be funded through the normal JV base budget cash calls.

**The additional MCA assumptions below would only apply when there is a revenue generating project that would benefit from this project.**

- All project costs on the MCA to be recovered through cost oil.
- Remaining carry costs recovered through Carry Oil (following the fiscal depreciation schedule),
- Share Oil (to be paid as agreed amount over a minimum period) calculated based on the agreed Profit Oil ceiling of IRR 8%.
- Recovery to be completed by 2019 (before expiry of the Oil Mining Lease).

## Appendix 2: Scope & Cost Estimate for the Gbaran CPF North Bank Shoreline Protection Project

### SCOPE

Mobilise all personnel, material and equipment to provide full revetment and bed protection of the Gbaran-Ubie Central Processing Facility North Shoreline of approximately 1000 metres. The scope includes the following:

- Site data revalidation surveys (Bathymetric and Geotechnical)
- Detailed design
- Dredging to prepare the riverbed/bank to receive protection works and for sand filling works
- Procurement, delivery and installation of 2,200 units of 6mx2mx0.23m Maccaferri type Reno Mattresses underlain with approved quality geotextiles materials.
- Procurement, transportation and installation of approx 280,000 MT of rock
- Provision of full and real-time survey for bed / bank preparation, sheet piling and rock placement operations.
- Installation of Small Craft Intrusion Barriers (SCIB) System.
- Provision of project management services.
- 1 year post installation monitoring works



## COST ESTIMATE

The cost estimate (MOD 100% JV) for the full Gbaran CPF North Bank Shoreline Protection Project is as shown below.

| Description                                            | COST PHASING |             |             |             |              |
|--------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
|                                                        | 2013         | 2014        | 2015        | 2016        | Total        |
| Facilities Capex 100% JV (FUS\$m) - less PMT& SCD      | 10.1         | 60.5        | 67.6        | 13.7        | 151.9        |
| <b>Total Capex 100% JV (FUS\$m) - less PMT&amp;SCD</b> | <b>10.1</b>  | <b>60.5</b> | <b>67.6</b> | <b>13.7</b> | <b>151.9</b> |
| PMT 100% JV (FUS\$m)                                   | 1.9          | 3.2         | 3.0         | 1.5         | 9.7          |
| Opex 100% JV (FUS\$m)                                  | 0.3          | 1.4         | 1.6         | 0.3         | 3.6          |
| <b>Total Opex 100% JV</b>                              | <b>2.2</b>   | <b>4.7</b>  | <b>4.6</b>  | <b>1.8</b>  | <b>13.3</b>  |
| <b>Total 100% JV (FUS\$ mln)</b>                       | <b>12.3</b>  | <b>65.1</b> | <b>72.2</b> | <b>15.5</b> | <b>165.1</b> |
| MCA Carry (36.67) + Shell Share (30%)                  | 6.7          | 40.3        | 45.1        | 9.1         | 101.2        |
| Shell Share Equity Opex only (30%)                     | 0.7          | 1.4         | 1.4         | 0.6         | 4.0          |
| <b>Total Shell Share (FUS\$ mln)</b>                   | <b>7.4</b>   | <b>41.7</b> | <b>46.5</b> | <b>9.7</b>  | <b>105.2</b> |

Table 4: Yearly estimated expenditure

| Gbaran Ubie Phase 2 MCA 2 Projects        | 100% SPDC JV | Shell Equity Share | SPDC LTD MCA Share | Total Headline Size |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Bonny NAG Minor Reservoirs Devt.          | 116          | 35                 | 42                 | 77                  |
| Gbaran Ubie 2A (C4+Epu+ Koroama+NB)       | 1018         | 305                | 352                | 657                 |
| Gbaran Ubie 2 B ( Kolo Creek)             | 721          | 216                | 245                | 461                 |
| Soku NAG Compression                      | 92           | 28                 | 33                 | 60                  |
| Soku Pipeline ( All 4 loops)              | 460          | 138                | 159                | 297                 |
| <b>Total – Gbaran Ubie 2 MCA 2 Bundle</b> | <b>2407</b>  | <b>722</b>         | <b>831</b>         | <b>1553</b>         |
| <i>All Values in \$Million</i>            |              |                    |                    |                     |

Table 5: Excerpt from Group Finance Proposal showing projects with the MCA2 Bundle

|                           | BASIS FOR THE GFP |                    |                |                     | BASIS FOR THE NB GIP |                    |                |                     |
|---------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|
|                           | 100% JV cost      | Shell Equity Share | SPDC Ltd Share | Total Headline Size | 100% JV cost (GIP)   | Equity Share (GIP) | SPDC Ltd Share | IP Request - Infill |
| Total Infill project cost | 925               | 277                | 339            | 617                 | 164                  | 49                 | 60             | 110                 |
| Total NB project cost     | 165               | 50                 | 61             | 110                 |                      |                    |                |                     |
| <b>Total cost</b>         | <b>1,090</b>      | <b>327</b>         | <b>400</b>     | <b>727</b>          | <b>164</b>           | <b>49</b>          | <b>60</b>      | <b>110</b>          |
| Pre-FID (JV Funded)       | (55)              | (17)               | (20)           | (37)                |                      | -                  | -              | -                   |
| SCD - Infill              | (14)              | (4)                | (5)            | (9)                 |                      |                    |                | -                   |
| SCD - NB                  | (4)               | (1)                | (1)            | (2)                 | (4)                  |                    | (1)            | (1)                 |
|                           | <b>1,018</b>      | <b>305</b>         | <b>373</b>     | <b>678</b>          | <b>161</b>           | <b>49</b>          | <b>59</b>      | <b>108</b>          |
| PMT Element - Infill      | (45)              |                    | (17)           | (17)                |                      |                    |                | -                   |
| PMT Element - NB          | (8)               |                    | (3)            | (3)                 |                      |                    | (3)            | (3)                 |
|                           |                   |                    | <b>353</b>     | <b>658</b>          | <b>161</b>           | <b>49</b>          | <b>56</b>      | <b>105</b>          |

Table 6: Reconciliation between the GFP and the GIP

## **GLOSSARY**

AF – Alternative Funding

CPF – Central Processing Facility

DRB – Decision Review Board

ESFS – Estimate & Schedule Fact Sheet

GHG – Green House Gas

GMoU – Global Memorandum of Understanding

HEMP – Hazard & Effects Management Process

HSE – Health, Safety & Environment

HV – High Value

IOC – International Oil Companies

MCA – Modified Carry Agreement

MOD – Money of the Day

NAG – Non Associated Gas

NAPIMS – National Petroleum Investment Management Services

NLNG – Nigeria Liquefied Natural Gas Limited

NPV – Net Present Value

PMT – Project Management Cost

PPT – Petroleum Profit Tax

PSV – Project Screening Value

RFSU – Ready For Start Up

RT – Real Term

RTEP – Real Term Earning Power

RV – Ranking Value

SCD – Sustainable Community Development

SV – Screening Value

VIR – Value Investment Ratio