

The Shell Petroleum Development Company of Nigeria Limited

Investment Proposal

Summary Information

Directorate	Commercial (UIB)																																				
Group Equity Interest	100% in SPDC, whereas SPDC is the Joint Venture (JV) Operator of an unincorporated JV with a 30% interest.																																				
Other Shareholders / Partners	Nigeria National Petroleum Company (NNPC: 55%), Total Exploration & Production Nigeria (TEPNG: 10%), Nigeria Agip Oil Company (NAOC: 5%) in SPDC-JV.																																				
Amount	US\$28.2mln (Shell Share, MOD) representing US\$15.96mln (Shell share, MOD) in cumulative tariff payment and degradation charge, plus US\$12.24mln (Shell share, MOD) previously approved for the provision of Condensate Spiking facilities at Soku Gas Plant.																																				
Project	Soku Condensate Spiking Project – NLNG Tariff payment commitments.																																				
Main Commitments	<table><tr><th>Item</th><th>Previous Approved IP</th><th colspan="2">This Proposal (\$mln)</th><th colspan="2">TOTAL (\$mln)</th></tr><tr><td></td><td>(\$mln)</td><td>JV100 %</td><td>SHELL</td><td>JV100 %</td><td>SHELL</td></tr><tr><td>Facilities</td><td>40.80</td><td>0.00</td><td>0.00</td><td>40.80</td><td>12.24</td></tr><tr><td>NLNG Plant Quality Condensate Degradation claim</td><td>0.00</td><td>16.82</td><td>5.04</td><td>16.82</td><td>5.04</td></tr><tr><td>Soku Condensate Handling Tariff</td><td>0.00</td><td>36.38</td><td>10.92</td><td>36.38</td><td>10.92</td></tr><tr><td>TOTAL</td><td>40.80</td><td>53.20</td><td>15.96</td><td>94.00</td><td>28.20</td></tr></table>	Item	Previous Approved IP	This Proposal (\$mln)		TOTAL (\$mln)			(\$mln)	JV100 %	SHELL	JV100 %	SHELL	Facilities	40.80	0.00	0.00	40.80	12.24	NLNG Plant Quality Condensate Degradation claim	0.00	16.82	5.04	16.82	5.04	Soku Condensate Handling Tariff	0.00	36.38	10.92	36.38	10.92	TOTAL	40.80	53.20	15.96	94.00	28.20
Item	Previous Approved IP	This Proposal (\$mln)		TOTAL (\$mln)																																	
	(\$mln)	JV100 %	SHELL	JV100 %	SHELL																																
Facilities	40.80	0.00	0.00	40.80	12.24																																
NLNG Plant Quality Condensate Degradation claim	0.00	16.82	5.04	16.82	5.04																																
Soku Condensate Handling Tariff	0.00	36.38	10.92	36.38	10.92																																
TOTAL	40.80	53.20	15.96	94.00	28.20																																
Source and Form of Financing	This investment will be financed with JV funding and Shell share of planned expenditure will be met from SPDC’s own cash flow.																																				
Summary Cashflow	<div><p>Soku Condensate Spiking Project Forward Looking Cashflow (Shell Share PSV RV-RT)</p><table><thead><tr><th>Year</th><th>RT Annual Cash Flow 0%</th><th>Cum Cashflow 0%</th><th>Cum Cashflow 7%</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>2011</td><td>1.8</td><td>1.8</td><td>1.8</td></tr><tr><td>2012</td><td>1.0</td><td>2.8</td><td>2.8</td></tr></tbody></table></div>	Year	RT Annual Cash Flow 0%	Cum Cashflow 0%	Cum Cashflow 7%	2011	1.8	1.8	1.8	2012	1.0	2.8	2.8																								
Year	RT Annual Cash Flow 0%	Cum Cashflow 0%	Cum Cashflow 7%																																		
2011	1.8	1.8	1.8																																		
2012	1.0	2.8	2.8																																		
Summary Economics	<table><tr><th>Summary economics (Base case)</th><th>NPV 7% (USD mln)</th><th>VIR 7%</th></tr><tr><td>SV-RT (\$50/bbl RT11)</td><td>1.8</td><td>NA</td></tr><tr><td>RV-RT (\$70/bbl RT11)</td><td>2.8</td><td>NA</td></tr><tr><td>HV-RT (\$90/bbl RT11)</td><td>3.9</td><td>NA</td></tr></table>	Summary economics (Base case)	NPV 7% (USD mln)	VIR 7%	SV-RT (\$50/bbl RT11)	1.8	NA	RV-RT (\$70/bbl RT11)	2.8	NA	HV-RT (\$90/bbl RT11)	3.9	NA																								
Summary economics (Base case)	NPV 7% (USD mln)	VIR 7%																																			
SV-RT (\$50/bbl RT11)	1.8	NA																																			
RV-RT (\$70/bbl RT11)	2.8	NA																																			
HV-RT (\$90/bbl RT11)	3.9	NA																																			

Detailed Information Including Management Summary

Section 1: The Proposal (Management Summary)

This Investment Proposal seeks organizational approval for further appropriation of \$15.96 mln (\$53.20mln, 100% JV), split into \$5.04mln (\$16.82mln, 100% JV) to cover NLNG's Plant Condensate quality degradation claims and \$10.92mln (\$36.38mln, 100% JV) being estimated cumulative tariff charge for the initial 3-year tenure of the Condensate Handling Agreement with NLNG. This proposal is additional to the earlier approval (27April 2009) for a revised funding of \$12.24mln (\$40.8mln 100% JV). Spiking of Soku heavy condensate has since begun (October 2009) based on operational/commercial principles agreed upfront with NLNG and SPDC JV Partners. Presently, there is daily injection of about 18,000 barrels of stabilised condensate from Soku Gas Plant, into GTS 2 (East Gas Gathering System, EGGs) for recovery and processing within NLNG facilities (See Table 5 of Appendix). The recovered condensate is subsequently re-delivered via the NLNG owned 6" line to Bonny Oil and Gas Terminal (BOGT).

Tariff exposure did not feature in the initial IP figures due to ongoing negotiations with NLNG to agree the amount to be charged as well as, requirement for review of the related draft Condensate Handling Agreement (CHA) by SPDC JV Partners. With the successful completion of negotiations and Partners' support of the final draft agreement, NLNG and SPDC (as Operator, on behalf of the JV) are ready to execute the Soku Emergency Condensate Injection and Recovery Agreement, hence the need for this IP. The funding requirement for this final stage of formalization of the commercial underpinning of the condensate injection and recovery scheme via NLNG is as follows:-

Table 1 : Estimation of Degradation & Tariff Charges for the 3 year Agreement.

SN	ITEM	CURRENT PROPOSAL (\$mln)		REMARKS
		JV	SHELL	
1	NLNG Plant Condensate degradation liability	16.82	5.04	Penalty for fouling NLNG Plant condensate. Valid from Oct 09 to April 2010. Amount is for the refund of verified claims suffered by NLNG as a result of injection of Soku heavy condensate.
2	Condensate Handling Tariff.	36.38	10.92	Calculation is based on actual condensate injection from Soku to NLNG for 2009 and 2010 and BP10 plan figures for 2011 and 2012. Funding will follow execution of the Agreement in 2011.
TOTAL		53.20	15.96	

It is noted that an IP for upgrade of the Soku Condensate Injection facilities is also in circulation for approval. This other IP will raise the original facilities IP from \$40.8mln to \$48.00mln (JV 100% and Shell only, \$14.40mln up from earlier \$12.24mln already appropriated and spent) about a 19.6% increase on the earlier approval.

Section 2: Value Proposition and Strategic and Financial Context

- 1) Restoration of 600 – 800MMscf/d gas supply to NLNG from Soku node.
- 2) Recovery of circa 18,000 bbls of condensate daily (that would otherwise have been lost to saboteurs).
- 3) Improvement in environmental performance and reduced condensate theft; saving lives as well as enhance overall integrity of SPDC pipelines.
- 4) Facilitate compliance with Government Flares-out policy for Soku and proximal fields plus consequent protection of crude oil production.
- 5) Reduce cost of line repairs and downtime for Soku Gas Plant operations.

Summary Economics

The evaluation done in October 2009 to support Proposal to Commence Negotiations was on a forward-looking, full life cycle basis to determine the attributable benefits of the project at various tariff levels. Under the pre-injection scenario of evacuation via the Soku condensate line, recovery stood at about 80% on account of criminal bunkering activities with exposure to value erosion arising from the routine HSSE risks and pipeline repair costs, as well as the possible threat to Soku Gas Plant itself.

The evaluation supporting this IP for Soku CHA with NLNG is similarly on a forward-looking basis using the 50/50 cost estimate, the BP10 NFA forecast plus the agreed tariff of \$2.54/bbl (MOD) on the 100% recovered condensate volumes up till the expiration of the current 3 Year CHA in 2012.

Table : 2 - Economic Grid (Shell Share)

PV Reference Date: 1/7/2011	NPV (S/\$ \$ mln)		VIR	RTEP	UTC (RT \$/boe)		Payout- Time (RT)	Maximum Exposure (RT)
Cash flow forward from: 1/1/2011	0%	7%	7%	%	0%	7%	yyyy	mln
Base Case								
SV-RT (\$50/bbl RT11)	1.8	1.8						
RV-RT (\$70/bbl RT11)	2.9	2.8	NA	>50%	13.92	13.81	2011	US\$ 0.16 mln (2011)
HV-RT (\$90/bbl RT11)	4.0	3.9						
Sensitivities (on base case)								
Low Opex (-10%)		2.9	NA					US\$ 0.16 mln (2011)
High Opex (+15%)		2.7	NA					US\$ 0.15 mln (2011)
1.5% FID cost mark up due to BVA issues		2.5	NA					
CHA extension to 2018 (Tariff \$2.54 RT11)		4.8	NA					US\$ 0.16 mln (2011)
Full life cycle (up to end of CHA in 2012)		0.3	0.03					US\$ 8.33 mln (2009)

Table : 3 - Key Project Parameter Data Ranges (Shell Share)

	Unit	Bus Plan	Low	Mid	High	Comments
		BP10				
Capex (MOD)	US\$ mln	NA	NA	NA	NA	
Opex (MOD)	US\$ mln	6.39	5.75	6.39	7.34	Low & High based on Opex sensitivity.
Production volume	Mmbbl	0.47	NA	0.47	NA	
Start up Date	mm/yyyy	Oct-09	NA	Oct-09	NA	

Accrued Charges for 2009 and 2010 are due and payable via SPDC JV monthly NLNG gas invoice offset, following the approval of this IP and execution of the enabling Agreement. Thus, the condensate volumes for the earlier years have been moved forward and used in the economic analysis.

Section 3: Risks, Opportunities and Alternatives

Risks	Mitigation Measures
Security situation in the Niger Delta	<p>In Soku area, the activities of condensate/crude oil thieves and militants are firmly linked to the inherent instability of the region. As a consequence, the following risks have been mapped:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Condensate bunkering/associated criminal activities/HSE/environmental issues, b. Increased pipeline theft as a stand-alone endeavour, and c. Kidnap risk. <p>These threats are presently mitigated through Joint Task Force deployment, increased Early Warning utilising the Security Information Network Centre, increased community surveillance and enforcement of greater access control to facilities.</p>
Political /Community Disturbance	<p>The Soku facilities are located in an area claimed by three major communities (Oluasiri, Elem-Sangama and Soku) in the two adjoining States of Rivers and Bayelsa. General Memoranda of Understanding are in place with all three communities to mitigate this risk.</p>
NAPIMS/DPR Approval	<p>DPR and NAPIMS were engaged on 3rd & 4th September 2008 with further dialogue with NAPIMS on 24th October 2008. This effort was sustained until close out of all activities related to project implementation. For instance DPR and NAPIMS Personnel were in the Spiking Project Team.</p>
Integrity of GTS-2	<p>Process Flow simulations (by Project Team & SGSI) confirmed that circa 30Mbpd can be spiked into the GTS-2 without compromising its integrity. However the frequency of pigging the line has increased in view of increased liquid loading.</p>
Offspec condensate and Tanktops in NLNG	<p>NLNG facilities could be overwhelmed with condensate volumes and/or off-specification condensate injected into the GTS 2 line from Soku node. In addition to regular NLNG export, routine evacuation to BOGT is employed to address this concern.</p>
Taxation of NLNG condensate as Oil	<p>Tax authorities are challenging tax treatment of NLNG's condensate as gas rather than oil. The use of NLNG's slug catcher by SPDC JV is likely to compromise NLNG's defence and strengthen the case to raise condensate taxation to 85% tax rate. Full cycle consideration confirms additional upstream value impact of richer gas supply as well as midstream value from the reopening of Soku Gas Plant and condensate disposal through NLNG. The NLNG disposal option will however be on exception basis (to mitigate inability to export via BOGT) as recovered condensate from Soku is re-injected into the SPDC JV crude stream and disposed as such.</p>

Opportunities

Successful condensate evacuation via GTS-2 offers enormous commercial value and the scheme can be similarly adopted for other plants e.g. Gbaran – Ubie and OKLNG, subject to NLNG capacity to accommodate such additional volumes as well as availability of export sale outlets.

Alternatives

The main alternative of continued evacuation of subject condensate volumes via Soku to Ekulama trunk line negates project objectives and comes with the key risk of daily value loss of circa US\$1.0mln to condensate thieves, in addition to negative impact on gas production, health, environmental and safety hazards plus security exposure around the Soku operational area.

Section 4: Corporate Structure, and Governance

Existing Corporate structure and SPDC-JV arrangements (with SPDC as Operator) serve as vehicle for the investment and operations.

Section 5: Functional Support

Functional support has been received from Legal, Tax, Controller and Economics.

Section 6: Project Management, Monitoring and Review

UIB Commercial Team has concluded CHA negotiation. Commercial Operations Team will administer the contract in liaison with SPDC's Central Production Coordinating Centre.

Section 7: Budget Provision

Plant condensate quality degradation charges as well as the tariff charge for Soku condensate handling, will be offset against SPDC JV monthly gas revenue from NLNG.

Section 8: Group Financial Reporting Impact

The financial impact of this proposal on Shell Group financial is as outlined in the table below:

US\$ Million	Prior Years	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Post 2015
Total Commitment	12.24	7.05	8.91	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Flow							
SCD Expenditure							
Commitment OPEX (Tariff/Degradation Expense)		7.05	8.91				
Capital Expenditure	12.24						
Operating Expenditure		1.02	0.84	1.86	0.77	0.71	1.03
Cash flow From Operations		(0.42)	2.10	5.21	1.29	0.39	0.73
Cash Surplus/(Deficit)		(0.42)	2.10	5.21	1.29	0.39	0.73
Profit and Loss							
NIBIAT +/-		1.15	0.28	0.95	0.38	0.36	0.58
Balance Sheet							
Avg Capital Employed		0.78	0.66	(2.38)	(4.97)	(5.44)	(5.59)

Section 9: Disclosure

Disclosures, if required, will be done in line with existing Group and SPDC policies and guidelines.

Section 10: Financing

All payment obligations under the Soku CHA will be met from SPDC's own cash flow.

Section 11: Taxation

There are no unusual taxation features.

Section 12: Key Parameters

This Investment Proposal seeks approval for additional \$15.96mln (Shell Share) for operating expenses (NLNG condensate quality degradation surcharge and Soku condensate handling tariff payments) over and above the \$12.24 (Shell Share) earlier approved for provision of the condensate spiking facilities. This sum relates to NLNG handling of daily injection of circa 20,000 barrels of stabilised condensate from Soku Gas Plant into the GTS-2 pipeline, for recovery, processing at NLNG Slugcatcher in Bonny and redelivery to SPDC JV's BOGT.

Section 13: Signatures

Supported by:

.....

Peter Robinson
VP Commercial, NBD Sub-Saharan Africa

Date / /

For Business Approval:

.....

Bernard Bos
VP Finance, Africa

Date / /

Initiator:

Ade Dare

Date ... / /

APPENDIX

Economic Assumptions

Base Case

- Condensate was taxed as oil under PPT
- Condensate price of US\$70/bbl RT 11
- Applicable price differentials for SV, RV and HV applied
- Tariff charge of US\$ 2.54/bbl MOD
- Condensate Handling Agreement (CHA) till 2012
- Ullage availability in the GTS-2 line
- ARPR 2010 variable OPEX applied
- NDDC levy 3% of total expenditure
- Education Tax of 2% assessable profit.

Full life cycle

- 50/50 cost estimates of facilities treated as Capex, while tariff and degradation claim are considered Opex
- Condensate was taxed as oil under PPT
- Condensate price of US\$70/bbl RT 11
- Applicable price differentials for SV, RV and HV applied
- Tariff charge of US\$ 2.54/bbl MOD
- Condensate Handling Agreement (CHA) till 2012
- Ullage availability in the GTS-2 line
- ARPR 2010 variable OPEX applied
- SPDC Generic fixed OPEX for new facilities was used.
- NDDC levy 3% of total expenditure.
- Education Tax of 2% assessable profit
- 10% of total project RT CAPEX assumed as abandonment cost in

Sensitivities were carried out to show the impact of

1. Low and high Opex.
2. 1.5% cost mark up due to BVA (Bench marked verified and approved) issues.
3. CHA extension to 2018 (but with a tariff of US\$2.54/bbl RT 11).
4. The project's full life cycle (up to 2012 which is the expiration of the current agreement).

Main Features of the Emergency Condensate Injection Agreement

- 1) SPDC JV surcharge for NLNG Plant condensate export quality degradation pegged at circa \$16.82m. This exposure ended in April 2010, at renewal of NLNG's annual condensate off-take contracts, using revised quality specifications that factored in the effect of SPDC Soku heavy condensate injection.
- 2) Subject CHA will take retroactive effect from October 2009 and run for 3 years, with option to renew by mutual agreement of the Parties. Within this initial timeframe SPDC is expected to come up with a permanent solution for evacuation of its field condensate.

- 3) Current agreement is for the injection and handling of up to 20,000 barrels of condensate per day with option for an additional 10,000 barrels per day (at the sole discretion of NLNG).
- 4) NLNG's tariff offer for handling, processing and transportation using own 6" line between NLNG Industrial Area and SPDC's BOGT was negotiated downwards from \$3.51 to \$2.54 per barrel of stabilised condensate.
- 5) DPR and NAPIMS support for the Condensate Injection Project was conditioned on retention of title to the condensate volumes by SPDC JV (prohibiting export sale via NLNG).
- 6) Temporary Allocation meters (instead of the statutory fiscalised Custody Transfer type) are currently in use at the Injection point in Soku Gas Plant whilst NLNG Mass Balance and Tank Dipping Procedures (approved by DPR) are used to account for volumes returned from NLNG facilities into export stock at BOGT. This accounting deficiency has prompted DPR to request a technical audit visit of the subject facilities.
- 7) Monthly differences between injected and recovered/re-delivered condensate volumes are offset via routine operational reconciliations.
- 8) Revenues due NLNG for the injection scheme are to be offset against SPDC JV regular monthly gas invoices and thus require no budgeting and additional funding.

Benefits Realised:-

- 1) Restored gas supply from Soku Gas Plant after 18 months of closure and under safe operating conditions.
- 2) Reduced condensate theft, fire outbreaks and bunkering incidents around Soku and environs.
- 3) Resulted in cost reduction, ie line repairs and the associated hazards.
- 4) With Soku gas supply restored and Gbaran Gas Plant started up, SPDC is for the first time, in a position to meet its 1,941MMscf/d gas supply commitment to NLNG Trains 1 -6 Agreement.

Challenges :-

- 1) Observed effects of enhanced condensate recovery on the API quality of the mix Bonny light crude is being monitored and managed.
- 2) Management of planned DPR Audit visit vis-a-vis making a case for not spending \$10mln (SPDC cost estimates) on the installation of fiscal meters on this temporary evacuation scheme (whilst option for a permanent solution is being evaluated).
- 3) Attracting capital funding to execute expected permanent condensate evacuation solution may become a challenge in the face of competing alternative uses for scare funds (re UI Cost Ambition).
- 4) Success of Soku experiment has put NLNG under pressure to accommodate other JV Suppliers' similar condensate injection, recovery and processing proposals.

Table 5 : Soku Condensate actual Injection into NLNG Facilities (bbls)

MONTH	VOLUME	NO. DAYS	DAILY AVERAGE
Oct-09	118,427	31	3,820
Nov-09	364,966	30	12,166
Dec-09	190,725	31	6,152
Jan-10	95,377	31	3,077
Feb-10	451,926	28	16,140
Mar-10	512,672	31	16,538
Apr-10	407,536	30	13,585
May-10	463,173	31	14,941
Jun-10	521,433	30	17,381
Jul-10	569,932	31	18,385
Aug-10	584,461	31	18,854
Sep-10	382,500	30	12,750
Oct-10	254,204	31	8,200
Nov-10	447,480	31	14,435
Dec-10	305,982	31	9,870
Jan-11	264,550	31	8,534
TOTAL	5,935,344	489	12,138