

# THE SHELL PETROLEUM DEVELOPMENT COMPANY OF NIGERIA LIMITED MAJOR CONTRACTS BOARD SUBMISSION

Part B – Contract Award

Date:	10/01	/2022

Category	Engineering Contract ID: CW604126 +9 others CW604123 + 9 others					
Contract Title:	Call-Off Contra	ct for Engineering	Design a	and Project Support Pure Serv	rices	
HSE Mode & Risk	Mode 1, Mediur	n Risk				
Agenda Item	(TB secretary to complete)					
Proposed Authorized Contract Value (ACV)	F\$219,842,715.88 (\$131,905,629.53 +-N36,493,890,835.98@ \$1 to N415) shared equally to the 20 lowest bidders (ACV is inclusive of 3% HCD of F\$6,403,185.90 (\$3,841,911.54 + N1,062,928,859.30)					
Proposed expenditure while pending NAPIMS approval	<b>F\$ 1,374,016.97</b> for each of the proposed 20 lowest bidders (inclusive of <b>F\$ 40,019.91</b> 3% HCD) for a six-month period					
Start & End dates	Q1 2022 to Q2 Dec 2025 Duration :3yrs / Extension options:1 yr.					

## PURPOSE TO SEEK APPROVAL FROM MCB TO:

#### To inform MCB of:

- a) The outcome of tender process to select technically and lowest commercially acceptable bids for provision of Engineering Design and Project Support (EDPS) Pure Services.
- b) NAPIMS proposed change in number of contracts award from 10 contracts to 20 contracts for a duration of 3yrs + 1yrs extension option.

#### To seek approval from MCB to:

- a) Negotiate vendors bid above 65% of Company Estimate (CE) down to 65% of the CE which is the best commercially acceptable rate based on the recently concluded tender process for provision of EDPS Pure Services.
- b) Award up to 20 discrete contracts (in line with NAPIMS proposed change in number of contracts) for a duration of 3yrs + 1yrs extension option to qualifying bidders listed below that accept the offer of 65% CE.

S/N	Project Support Services	S/N	Engineering Design Services
1	Daiik Engineering Limited	1	Winorac Eng And Tech Services Ltd
2	Concave Energy Services Limited	2	Wilkriss Nig.Ltd
3	Mccxen Limited	3	Sunny-Ebi Multi Systems Limited
4	Seflam SGL Limited	4	Kohasa Engineering Company Limited
5	Arco Integrity Solutions Limited	5	Broadview Engineering Limited
6	PPI Technology and Kog Nig. Ltd	6	International Energy Services Ltd (IESL)
7	Techstone Energy Limited	7	Tebol Energy
8	C.O.U.B.A Services Nigeria Limited	8	Dover Engineering Limited
9	Cardinal Integrated Projects Ent Ltd	9	ILF Eng Nigeria Ltd
10	Chesroc Nig Ltd	10	Amazon Energy Limited

- c) Share cumulative ACV of F\$219,842,715.88 equally among the vendors that accept the offer.
- d) Sign off the negotiation outcome and award recommendation at Line level without recourse to the board if mandate is achieved.
- e) Register successful bidders who are currently not in SPDC's Vendor Management System.
- f) Execute Six months interim agreements with the successful bidders /awardees via eLDL for business continuity pending NAPIMS approval.

	Senior Procurement Manager (SPM)	Contract Owner			
	Reviewed and approved for MCB and confirms:	Reviewed whole submission and confirms support from:			
	Alignment with approved Category Strategy (& Global Category Strategy where applicable)     Compliance with the NOGICD Act & Community Content commitments.	Finance [Okoroigwe, Chimaeze] - for the financial aspects of the submission, including adequate budget cover/JV Partner approval to ensure full cost recovery/approved GIP in place (if applicable)  HSSE [Ijasan, Olere] - HSSE consideration and requirements are met			
Signature	Gregory Akhibi Date: 2022.02.24 10:27:42 +01'00'	Digitally signed			
Name	Gregory Akhibi	Olabanji by Olabanji M. Olabanji Raimi			
Date		M. Raimi Date: 2022:02:24 20:07:01 +01'00'			
	A	pproval			
	Docu	MCB Chairman			
Signature	Schmidt, Laurie				
Name	Schmidt, Laurie Joseph				
Date		01 March 2022   05:52 EST			

**Declaration:** Signatories to this submission acknowledge that they have read and understood the Conflict-of-Interest Policy in SEPGIN and that they do not have any direct or indirect arrangement or relationship with any other person or company that breaches the requirements of that Conflict-of-Interest Policy, or that they have fully disclosed any potential Conflict of Interest to the Contract Owner, CP Manager and the Supply Chain Council/Tenders Board.

Major Contracts Board Submission

## SECTION A: CONFORMANCE TO CONTRACT PLAN (PART A)

#### APPROVAL REFERENCES

S/N	Tender Process	Date Achieved
1	MCB approval of Strategy and Advert	15th Jan 2020
2	NAPIMS approval of Strategy and Advert	2 <sup>nd</sup> Mar 2020
3	NCDMB approval of Nigeria Content Plan	19th Feb 2020
4	NCDMB Advert approval	24th Feb 2020
5	Advert Publication	20th Apr 2020
6	Advert closing Date	18th May 2020
7	Bid list download and NAPIMS /SPDC sign off.	19th May 2020/16th July 2020
8	Technical /Commercial Evaluation Criteria alignment with JV and sign off.	30th Apr 2021
9	SPDC TA approval of Technical and Commercial Evaluation Criteria	17th May 2021
10	Issue Technical and Commercial ITT	13th Jan 2021
11	Technical and Commercial ITT closing Date	14th Apr, 2021
12	Technical bid Opening	20th May 2021
13	NAPIMS/SPDC Technical Evaluation and Reconciliation	15 <sup>th</sup> Jul 2021
14	NCDMB Technical Evaluation Report	14th Aug 2021
15	NAPIMS/SPDC Commercial Evaluation and Reconciliation	9th Nov 2021
16	NCDMB Technical Evaluation Report	9th Dec 2021

#### **BACKGROUND**

#### **Outline of Tender Activities**

- The competitive tender strategy using Double Envelop via NipeX was approved by MCB and NAPIMS on 15th January 2020 and 2nd March 2020 respectively -Ref. Attachments 1a and 1b.
- NCDMB approved the strategy on the 19th of February 2020. Adverts were published in the National Dailies on the 20th April 2020, with a closing date of 18th May 2020. Ref attachment 2. Bid list was downloaded and signed off on 19th May 2020.
- The Technical and Commercial ITT were published in NipeX on the 13th of January 2021 to 350 NJQS active bidders
  who were in product category Product Code 3.01.01(Engineering Support Services/Project Administration Services) with
  14th April 2021 as the closing date of advert.
- The Technical bid evaluation was signed off by SPDC and NAPIMS on 15th July 2021, **Ref. Attachment 3.** NCDMB technical report was approved on the 14th of August 2021, **Ref. Attachment 4.**
- Commercial bid evaluation was signed off by SPDC and NAPIMS on the 9<sup>th</sup> of Nov 2021, **Ref. Attachment 5.** NCDMB commercial report was approved on 9<sup>th</sup> Dec 2021. **Ref. Attachment 6**

#### **CHANGES FROM STRATEGY**

## L. Change in Contract Start Date.

The Contract was initially scheduled to commence in Q2, 2021 for a period of 3 years + 1-year extension option as approved by MCB, **Ref. Attachments 1a**. However, due to external (NAPIMS and NCDMB) delays which affected the tender process timeline, the contract is now estimated to commence by the end of Q1 2022 to Q2 Dec 2025, with option to extend contracts by 1 year.

## 2. Change in NipeX Product Code

NAPIMS in response to Strategy/Advert approval request via NAPIMS letter Ref NAP/2.01/JV. FA/20.210 dated March 2nd, 2020, (Ref; Attachment 1a) changed MCB approved product code from 3.01.02 (Fabrication, Structural design, Civil Engineering / Structural Services to Product Code 3.01.01(Engineering Support Services/Project Administration Services).

## Major Contracts Board Submission

## 3. Change in Contract Scope, contract structure from Manpower to Pure Services and Proposed ACV

NAPIMS was engaged on 11th September 2019 to seek support to increase contract scope to include Expertise Level Engineering scope into the Engineering Design and Project Support Services contracts tender scope, **Ref; Attachment** 7 (MOM with NAPIMS), while MCB on the 17th of August 2020 approved the same increase in scope and conversion to NCDMB compliant Pure Service contracts via addendum to Part A.

In addition, MCB also approved increase in the Estimated Contract Value (ECV) from F\$ 276,408,333.34 to F\$339,219,974.31 to cater for the increase in scope. **Ref: Attachment 8**. However, NAPIMS advised CMT to issue two commercial ITT templates (one in the form of Manpower Service Structure and the second in the form of Pure Services Structure) to enable conversion from Manpower service to Pure services contracts, since the initial NAPIMS and MCB strategy approvals were based on Manpower Services contract structure.

#### 4. Change in number of contract awards

MCB approved award of 10 contracts, 5 contracts for provision of Engineering Design and 5 contracts for Project Support Services (**Ref. Attachments 1a**). However, NAPIMS in a meeting dated 7th September 2021 and 27th October 2021, (**Ref; Attachment 9 and 10**) proposed an increase in total number of contracts to be awarded from 10 contracts to 20 contracts (10 contracts for Provision of Engineering Design services and 10 contracts for Provision of Project Support Services) in view of the termination of 19 Expat Manpower contracts. NAPIMS noted that SPDC had a combined total of 32 contracts (19 Expat Manpower contracts and 13 Engineering Design/Project Support services contracts) before initiation of the replacement tender process, hence the need to maintain reasonable number of contracts and that the revised number of contracts will ensure reduced financial burden on each vendor as NNPC is not prompt on release of fund for execution of contracts.

#### SUMMARY OF VALUE CONTRIBUTION

Not Applicable

#### SECTION B: RESULTS AGAINST AGREED CRITERIA

#### **TECHNICAL EVALUATION**

- Technical and Commercial ITT were issued to 350 bidders and only 133 bidders responded to the ITT.
- From the technical bid evaluation by NAPIMS and SPDC (Ref; attachment 3), 49 bidders scored 60% and above.
- Of the 49 bidders who scored 60% and above in NAPIMS/SPDC Technical Evaluation, 41 met NCDMB requirement (NCDMB report, Ref; Attachment 4) and were recommended to progress to Commercial Bid Opening and Evaluation.

## COMMERCIAL EVALUATION

The summary of the commercial evaluation strategy that was approved by MCB and transmitted to NAPIMS is as follows:

## Award criteria:

- 1. The submitted bids shall be ranked in order of ascending (increasing) price.
- 2. Vendors shall bid for their profit and statutory payments which constitute total markup against barebone cost.
- 3. Vendors that quote below barebone statutory payment will be disqualified.
- 4. Bids above baseline cost (best commercially acceptable rate) and company estimate shall be negotiated to a value not exceeding 100% company estimate (after meeting the condition in item 3).
- 10 contracts (5 contracts for Engineering Design Services and 5 contracts for Project Support Services) shall be awarded with equal ACV split
- 6. The commercial evaluation will be based on Mark-up and statutory payments.

NAPIMS in conveying the strategy approval stipulated award of multiple contracts to technically qualified lowest commercially acceptable responsive bid and splitting of the cumulative ACV equally among awardees. NAPIMS strategy approval also stated that the barebone cost shall form the base line cost for commercial evaluation which shall be agreed and signed off by NAPIMS and SPDC before bid opening and evaluation.

Prior to opening of the commercial bids, NAPIMS and SPDC aligned on the following as basis for the commercial bid analysis, in line with the approved commercial evaluation criteria below:

## Major Contracts Board Submission

- a. The commercial evaluation will be conducted in two stages based on the two commercial ITT templates issued to bidders to quote for both (1st stage) Engineering Design/Project Support Manpower Service and (2nd Stage) Engineering Design/Project Support Pure Services.
- b. Adopt all conditions stated under Award Criteria above.
- c. Minimum (barebone) cumulative quote for statutory payment (PAYE, Statutory / Welfare payments inclusive of profit /mark up element) in the 1<sup>st</sup> stage commercial evaluation shall be 55.75% Ref: Table 1 below for the basis of the statutory payments/ welfare payments and PAYE.

## Table 1

STATUTORY PAYMENTS BREAKDOWN	APPROXIMATE %
GLA	0.75%
NSITF	1.00%
GTPL	0.50%
NHF	2.50%
PENSION (EMPLOYER'S PART)	18.00%
NCD	1.00%
WHT	5.00%
PERMITS INCLUDING (BOSIET/HUET/OSP ETC.)	0.00%
HCD	3.00%
PAYE	24.00%
TOTAL	55.75%

- d. Bidders with quote below the bare bone cost will not be recommended for contract award, as non-compliance to statutory payments will not be encouraged.
- e. First 20 bidders that meet the minimum (barebone) cumulative sum of Statutory Payments+ Welfare Payments + PAYE + Profit of equal or greater than 55.75% (first stage commercial) shall be selected for the second stage commercial evaluation to determine the best commercially acceptable rate for provision of Engineering Design and Project Support Pure Services.
- f. Bids above the best commercially acceptable rate shall be negotiated down to best commercially acceptable rate which should not be greater than 100% of the company estimate. And if the best commercially rate is above 100% company estimate it shall be negotiated down to 100% company estimate.
- g. Second Stage commercial evaluation shall be the selection of the best commercially acceptable rate of Engineering Design/Project Support Pure Services among the 20 selected bidders from the first stage commercial evaluation. The quote determined to be the best commercially acceptable rate from the Pure Service bids, shall be offered to other bidders.
- h. Only bidders who accept NAPIMS/SPDC offer shall be proposed for contract award.

## The 1st Stage Commercial Evaluation

- Commercial ITT was issued to the 41 bidders that passed the technical stage. Their bids were opened on 7<sup>th</sup> September 2021.
- 37 bidders submitted commercial bids. NAPIMS, NCDMB and SPDC conducted commercial bids evaluation independently. NAPIMS/SPDC Commercial evaluation and reconciliation/approval was on 9<sup>th</sup> November 2021, while NCDMB commercial report was approved on 9<sup>th</sup> December 2021.
- The first 20 vendors bid that met 1st Stage Commercial Evaluation criteria (lowest acceptable bids with the minimum (barebone) cumulative sum of Statutory Payments + PAYE + Profit equal or greater than 55.75%) are listed in table 2 below, **Ref Attachment 5** for details.

# Major Contracts Board Submission

Tab	Table 2; Outcome of 1st Stage Commercial Evaluation									
				MANPOWER S	COPE					
	BIDDERS	DESIGN	PROJECT SUPPORT	EXPERTISE LEVEL			OTHER STATUTORIES		TOTAL (PAYE/ OTHER STATUTOR Y/PROFIT)	
S/N	NAPIMS/SPDC	SERVICE (\$)	SERVICES (\$)		TOTAL (\$)	PAYE%	%	%	% Design	
	COMPANY ESTIMATE	79,525,535.08			338,464,627.44	24.00%	31.00%	3.00%	58.00% EDS	P88
	1 DAIIK	82,682,290.32	204,337,343.69	64,261,704.00	351,281,338.00	24.00%	31.00%	1.05%	56.05% Y	Y
	PPI TECHNOLOGY	83,916,515.93		1 1	352,821,294.42	24.00%	31.00%	1.05%	56.05% Y	Y
	CONCAVE	85,124,152.20	210,921,456.83		362,205,160.67	24.00%	31.00%	1.05%	56.05% N	Y
	4 MCCXEN	83,487,489.21	206,325,999.36		354,700,700.57	24.00%	31.00%	1.05%	56.05% N	Y
	SEFLAM	85,942,653.30	212,394,863.92	, ,	365,133,186.13	24.00%	31.00%	1.05%	56.05% Y	Y
à	6 TECHSTONE	84,707,105.40	209,341,387.70		359,883,831.50	24.00%	31.00%	1.06%	56.06% Y	Y
09	ARCO	83,859,229.75	206,683,800.81		355,542,632.51	24.00%	31.00%	1.06%	56.06% N	Y
(2)	8 C.O.U.B.A	84,762,063.16	209,312,490.15	65,826,321.39	359,900,874.70	24.00%	31.00%	1.06%	56.06% N	Y
20	CARDINAL	85,349,434.57	210,928,804.29	TO STATE OF THE STATE OF	362,612,899.14	24.00%	31.00%	1.06%	56.06% Y	Y
	WINORAC ENG.	84,759,334.57	208,739,637.22	65,875,960.80	359,374,932.60	24.00%	31.00%	1.07%	56.07% Y	Y
5.50	1 CHE8ROC	168,728,229.54	416,987,467.53		716,853,316.44	24.00%	31.00%	1.17%	56.17% Y	Y
75.45	2 WILKRISS	156,372,753.83	386,452,698.03	The Control of the Co	664,360,247.06	24.00%	31.00%	1.18%	56.18% Y	N
24500	TEBOL	111,548,107.30	275,675,047.98	86,696,537.26	473,919,692.54	24.00%	31.00%	1.19%	56.19% Y	Y
14	4 SUNNY EBI	74,473,808.91	177,648,673.99	208,300,339.62	460,422,822.52	24.00%	31.00%	1.20%	56.20% Y	Y
1	KOHASA	84,815,187.48	210,380,128.86	65,711,745.22	360,907,061.55	24.00%	28.00%	6.10%	58.10% Y	Y
10	DOVER	80,081,763.07	195,898,507.45	51,623,735.23	327,604,005.75	24.00%	11.00%	24.00%	59.00% Y	Y
1	ILF ENGINEERS	174,705,924.20	360,997,980.33	24,321,024.00	560,024,928.52	24.00%	16.00%	24.00%	64.00% Y	Y
18	BROADVIEW	85,389,648.00	213,375,188.50	66,105,209.85	364,870,046.35	24.00%	33.00%	8.00%	65.00% Y	Y
10	INTERNATIONAL ENERGY	54,213,499.60	124,391,044.03	34,554,427.48	213,158,971.11	24.00%	26.00%	17.00%	67.00% Y	Y
	AMAZON	80,485,522.90	198,734,131.78		328,104,451.44	24.00%	13.00%	32.00%	69.00% Y	Y
	1 CAINERGY	66,219,096.58	149,320,537.42	113 March 1981	279,729,746.63	24.00%	27.00%	20.00%	71.00% Y	Y
7650	DORMAN LONG	71,185,528.83	160,519,577.73	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	300,709,477.63	24.00%	27.00%	20.00%	71.00% Y	Y
1020	BATELITWIN	95,430,642.10	236,458,795.82	100,000,000,000,000	392,459,225.12	24.00%	43.00%	33.00%	100.00% Y	N
750	4 DELTA AFRIK	158,819,952.77	371,319,318.79	The second second	578,339,007.56	24.00%	10.00%	145.00%	179.00% Y	Y
19039	5 WEAM	182,887,450.45	260,683,768.60	" และสร้างกลังกระบบ av "	520,994,081.44	24.00%	40.00%	140.00%	204.00% Y	Y
1000	WESTFIELD	74,316,705.85			298,364,110.99	24.00%	8.00%	21.00%	53.00% Y	N
7000	FRAZIMEX	73,405,312.52			299,615,227.96	24.00%	1.00%	28.00%	53.00% Y	Y
	SARIOSH	68,476,646.93			283,270,278.00	24.00%	8.00%	13.00%	45.00% Y	Y
0000	OSPOIL	68,186,123.64	za frebulikoan eus		278,256,816.24	24.00%	18.90%	1.01%	43.91% N	Y
	BENEK	57,258,794.44	141,680,238.50		237,503,655.66	24.00%	6.00%	10.00%	40.00% Y	N
	1 VAGAN OIL	66,324,296.26			278,327,266.72	24.00%	6.50%	1.09%	31.59% Y	Y
5000	NEMERA	66,410,657.78			278,838,004.78	24.00%	6.00%	1.09%	31.09% Y	Y
1000	3 MOTAK	62,038,211.55			245,530,761.62	12.00%	0.00%	18.00%	30.00% Y	Y
	4 HENRY WILSON	60,957,661.54			243,734,503.03	10.00%	0.00%	16.00%	26.00% Y	N
	MAKAIS SYNERGY	59,214,713.42			238,560,547.00	24.00%	0.00%	1.00%	25.00% Y	N
	6 MONICA	98,909,972.95		N	449,659,129.67	23.00%	0.00%	2.00%	25.00% N	Y
	7 НОЕСНУ	52,170,059.90			212,855,012.72	15.00%	0.00%	1.06%	16.06% Y	N

# The 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage Commercial Evaluation

On conclusion of 2<sup>nd</sup> stage commercial evaluation exercise:

- The lowest bid for provision of Engineering Design/Project Support Pure Services is **F\$ 219, 842,715.88** among the 20 bidders.
- The lowest acceptable bid is 65% of the company estimate (CE).

# Major Contracts Board Submission

Table 3 below lists Engineering Design and Project Support Pure Services bids of the selected bidders from 1st stage Commercial Evaluation.

Table 3 - Outcome of 2<sup>nd</sup> Stage Commercial Evaluation (F\$)

Tab	PURE SERVICE SCOPE							
S/N	BIDDERS COMPANY ESTIMATE	ENGINEERI NG DESIGN SERVICES (F\$) 79,379,721.97	PROJECT SUPPORT (F\$) 196,580,459.02	EXPAT LEVEL SERVICES (F\$) 62,631,710.71	TOTAL BID RECEIVED (F\$) 338,591,891.70	TOTAL (PAYE/ OTHER STATUTO RY/PROFI T) %	RANKING (% of COMPANY ESTIMATE)	
1	INTERNATIONAL ENERGY	48,144,947.12	129,687,800.76	42,009,968.00	219,842,715.88	67%	65%	
2	AMAZON	57,831,915.02	215,639,485.06	35,575,127.05	309,046,527.13	69%	91%	
3	MCCXEN	85,453,718.95	223,498,493.45	78,967,869.76	387,920,082.16	56%	115%	
4	CHESROC	150,648,241.20	93,176,475.06	154,505,342.68	398,330,058.94	56%	118%	
5	PPI TECHNOLOGY	78,992,022.02	252,318,851.92	67,811,025.36	399,121,899.30	56%	118%	
6	DAIIK	82,006,090.32	248,421,751.88	77,891,229.52	408,319,071.72	56%	121%	
7	WINORAC ENG.	80,471,780.02	252,705,943.39	79,737,599.76	412,915,323.18	56%	122%	
8	DOVER	110,602,040.15	249,023,921.79	53,914,181.78	413,540,143.71	59%	122%	
9	ARCO	83,374,376.00	251,274,441.73	79,948,028.16	414,596,845.88	56%	122%	
10	CONCAVE	80,390,482.75	255,758,409.06	80,130,842.48	416,279,734.29	56%	123%	
11	CARDINAL	80,633,012.07	256,435,279.86	80,121,882.20	417,190,174.14	56%	123%	
12	KOHASA	82,154,103.60	247,589,104.58	88,840,768.64	418,583,976.82	58%	124%	
13	C.O.U.B.A	84,459,693.22	254,470,249.73	79,737,599.76	418,667,542.71	56%	124%	
14	TECHSTONE	84,470,005.69	254,505,381.24	79,748,322.16	418,723,709.09	56%	124%	
15	SEFLAM	81,105,472.91	258,217,626.27	80,881,539.45	420,204,638.63	56%	124%	
16	BROADVIEW	82,359,259.03	261,943,888.39	79,692,386.65	423,995,534.06	65%	125%	
17	TEBOL	102,511,427.75	335,150,084.13	103,610,039.95	541,271,551.83	56%	160%	
18	ILF ENGINEERS	163,091,531.54	461,765,673.97	20,436,748.80	645,293,954.31	64%	191%	
19	WILKRISS	143,995,504.73	469,827,262.73	143,507,891.28	757,330,658.74	56%	224%	
20	SUNNY EBI	82,710,756.54	191,713,756.26	1,149,805,220.88	1,424,229,733.68	56%	421%	

# **BASIS OF AWARD & RECOMMENDATION**

Negotiations shall be conducted with all bidders listed in Table 3 above with bids above the best commercially acceptable rate of 65% of CE. and award recommendation shall be proposed to all the bidders that accept SPDC JV Offer. Contracts shall be awarded to the successful bidders post the negotiation exercise based on equal split of the cumulative ACV.

# TRANSITION PLAN / COST OF IMPROVEMENTS (IF APPLICABLE)

Not Applicable.

# APPROVED CONTRACT VALUE

- The cumulative proposed ACV after the tender process is F\$219,842,715.88 (\$131,905,629.53 + N36,493,890,835.98@ \$1 to N415).
- This inclusive of 3% provision for Human Capacity Development F\$6,403,185.90 (\$3,841, 911.54 + N1,062,928,859.30)
- ACV will be split equally among all the bidders that accept SPDC JV offer, thus:

PER CONTRACT	USD	NGN	F\$@415
Work execution	6,403,185.90	1,771,548,098.83	10,671,976.50
HCD Provision (3%) 192,095.58		53,146,442.97	320,159.29
	6,595,281.48	1,824,694,541.80	10,992,135.79

Note: Each contract shall have ACV of F\$ 10,992,135.79 if all the 20 bidders accept NAPIMS/SPDC offer of 65% of CE.

Major Contracts Board Submission

COMPLIANCE TO MODEL TERMS & CONDITIONS						
The contract terms and conditions are based or	n model contract library terms.					
GOVERNMENT INTERMEDIARY (GI)	ELEMENT					
GI elements present in work scope?	YES	⊠NO				
If yes, GI terms & conditions included in contract?	YES	⊠ NO				
IDD STATUS						
Status of all the 20 bidders listed in table 3 that passed 1st and 2nd stage commercial evaluation is green						
SECTION C: POST AWARD CONTRACT MANAGEMENT						
KEY PERFORMANCE INDEX (KPI)						

Business Objective	KPI	Measure	Target	Frequency Measured
High Quality of Service Delivery	Compliance to the defined service requirements as defined in Contract	Output of service provided vs specified output	Output satisfying the agreed parameters of the Scope of Work	Per Service Request
Prompt Adherence to welfare policy	% of Service Personnel promptly paid their salaries and pensions remitted, with valid HMO.	1. Date of payment. 2. % of personnel paid 3. % Valid HMO	1. Payment by 25th of Every Month 2. 100% of Personnel paid. 3. 100% valid HMO	Monthly
Provision of Required PPE and IT tools	All required tools to effectively provide services made available to personnel	Quality and effectiveness of tools	Must meet all specifications	Yearly
Compliance with Contract HSSE Mode Requirements	Zero Fatality, Zero LTI, Zero IOGP LSR violations, HUET/BOSIET compliance, 100% Medical fitness to work Certification, etc.	Compliance with set targets	Achieve Goal Zero	Per Service Requested

# **CONTRACT MANAGEMENT PLAN (CMP)**

Contract segmentation is operational hence not applicable

## **HSE PLAN**

Contract will be managed under the supervision and instruction of SPDC and in accordance with the HSSE Management System developed by SPDC which will, as a minimum, incorporate all relevant HSSE Standards as determined by SPDC from time to time. ("Company HSSE Management System").

The HSE Plan will be prepared, agreed and signed off with the contractor post award.

## NIGERIAN CONTENT EXECUTION PLAN

# Nigerian Content Development (NCD)

*Schedule A	Schedule (Target)	Current In- Country Capacity	Measurement Metrics	**Nigeria Content Plan to Close Gaps
Project Administration services / Project Management	80%	80%	Man-Hours	Identify competence gaps of the Nigerian Engineers and develop programme within the contract provision to bridge identified competence gaps
FEED and Detailed Engineering on Onshore/shallow Offshore Facilities	90%	75%	Man-Hours	Identify competence gaps of the Nigerian Engineers and develop programme within the contract provision to bridge identified competence gaps Enforce sub-contracting provision in contract and encourage local vendors to partner with major Vendors

# COMMUNITY CONTENT DEVELOPMENT

- a) Human Capital Development (HCD)will be handled through SPDC HCD pool fund.
- b) 3% provision for HCD has been included in the proposed ACV.

Major Contracts Board Submission

# COMMUNITY CONTENT NIGER DELTA CONTENT EXECUTION PLAN

All vendors shall employ at least 30% of qualified personnel from its area of operations in line with GMoU terms on recruitment of skilled workforce

#### RISK ASSESSMENT

Risk Description	Likelihood	Impact	Mitigating Actions	Action Owne
	(H / M / L)	(H / M / L)		
Contractor's resourcing of incompetent personnel may result in poor quality jobs, injuries and damage to assets	L	М	Define basic competency requirements and carry out checks prior to work commencement. Scope shall be reduced, or work suspended for poor performing contractors	Contract Holder
Delay in Mobilization due to funding challenge	М	Н	Ensure budget availability, get approval for full work scope, and issue PO before work commences	Contract Owner, Contract Holder
Security incidents may lead to injuries, fatalities and damage to Shell reputation	М	М	All projects shall have an approved security plan. No mobilization to site if conditions are unsafe	Service Users/CH
Use of incompetent contractors may result in poor service delivery, delays and consequent losses to Shell	L	М	Conduct robust technical evaluation to ensure selection of competent/capable contractors.  Practical and achievable KPIs shall be included in the contracts.  Competent and qualified supervisory personnel.	СМТ
Work Hazards during site construction and work supervision may result in injury to personnel and damage to SPDC reputation/assets	L	М	Site induction, pre-site entering permit/briefing, toolbox meeting, use of PPE, supervision, no work during adverse weather conditions. Use of intrinsically safe cameras	Site Supervis
Deployment of equipment, vehicles/Marine Vessels that are unfit for SPDC operations may result in accidents and delays in getting to site and associated financial losses	L	L	All equipment, vehicles/ Marine vessels to be pre-mobbed before usage in Shell Projects	СН
Non-compliance with company business ethics (SGBP) may lead to reputational damage and financial loss.	L	М	1. Use Manual of authority for approval of Purchase Orders. 2. SGBP discussion as part of contract kick off and contract review meetings with contractors.	СМТ
Delayed payment to vendor	M	М	Prioritise vendor payments in view of criticality of service	СН

# ATTACHMENT:

Attachment 1a and 1b	Attachment 2	Attachment 3
SPDC and NAPIMS Strategy approvals	NCDMB Approval of Advert	NAPMIS_SPDC Tech Bid Evaluation Sign off.
Attachmement 1a Attachmement 1b MCB strategy approvNAPIMS strategy app	Advert Cert - PROVISION OF ENGIN	NAPIMS _SPDC Technical Eva sign off.
Attachment 4	Attachment 5	Attachment 6
NCDMB Tech Report	NAPIMS-SPDC Commercial report (1st Stage Commercial Evaluation)	NCDMB Commercial Evaluation Report
NCDMB Teach Report SPDC-PROVIS	Adobe Acrobat Document	Adobe Acrobat  Document

# Major Contracts Board Submission

Attachment 7	Attachment 8	Attachment 9
MOM with NAPIMS on scope increase.	MCB approval to increase scope.	MOM with NAPIMS on increased number of contracts.
PDF		PDF .
Mom Napims SPDC	FW CLOSE OUT OF F	Commerical
Inclusion of Nigerian I	MCB DIRECTIVES ON	Evalutation Report_ N
Attachment 10		
MOM of Pre bid opening		
PDF		
MOM OF		
COMMERCIAL BID OF		