

MAJOR CONTRACTS BOARD SUBMISSION

Confidential Part B – Contract Award Date: 14/07/2021

| Pipeline and Flowlines Mtce | Contract ID: | CW327597 | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Construction, Replacement & Rep | pairs of Flowlines in | SPDC – Swamp | | | | |
| HIGH & MODE-1 | IIGH & MODE-1 | | | | | |
| (TB secretary to complete) | (TB secretary to complete) | | | | | |
| FUSD 29,583,550.80 (US\$ 18,3 and NGN 4,584,515,669.40 | | | <u>Click</u> Tactical | | | |
| FUSD 2,113,110.77 (US\$ 1,313 | ,751.78 and NGN : | 327,465,404.96) | ' | | | |
| 01/08/2021 - 31/07/2026 | Dui | ation | 5yrs | | | |
| | Ext | ension options | 2yr | | | |
| | Construction, Replacement & Replacement & Replacement & Modern (TB secretary to complete) FUSD 29,583,550.80 (US\$ 18,3 and NGN 4,584,515,669.40 FUSD 2,113,110.77 (US\$ 1,313 | Construction, Replacement & Repairs of Flowlines in HIGH & MODE-1 (TB secretary to complete) FUSD 29,583,550.80 (US\$ 18,392,524.90 and NGN 4,584,515,669.40 FUSD 2,113,110.77 (US\$ 1,313,751.78 and NGN 3,01/08/2021 - 31/07/2026 | Construction, Replacement & Repairs of Flowlines in SPDC – Swamp HIGH & MODE-1 (TB secretary to complete) FUSD 29,583,550.80 (US\$ 18,392,524.90 Segmentation (Content of the property of th | | | |

To seek approval from MCB for the following:

 Award subject contracts to the following bidders at the most competitive negotiated rates representing 35% of current rates as follows:

| | | Total ACV (FUSD) | | Total ACV (FUSD) | LDL ACV (FUSD) (6 |
|---|---------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | % split | (5years) | Total ACV (FUSD) (2years) | (5+2years) | months) |
| 1 AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION NIG | 20 | 4,226,221.54 | 1,690,488.62 | 5,916,710.16 | 422,622.15 |
| 2 ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMITED | 10 | 2,113,110.77 | 845,244.31 | 2,958,355.08 | 211,311.08 |
| 3 SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 10 | 2,113,110.77 | 845,244.31 | 2,958,355.08 | 211,311.08 |
| 4 DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 8 | 1,690,488.62 | 676,195.45 | 2,366,684.06 | 169,048.86 |
| 5 ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 8 | 1,690,488.62 | 676,195.45 | 2,366,684.06 | 169,048.86 |
| 6 ROADLAMP LIMITED | 8 | 1,690,488.62 | 676,195.45 | 2,366,684.06 | 169,048.86 |
| 7 HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 8 | 1,690,488.62 | 676,195.45 | 2,366,684.06 | 169,048.86 |
| 8 HENSTEEL ENG. AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES | 8 | 1,690,488.62 | 676,195.45 | 2,366,684.06 | 169,048.86 |
| 9 ARIOSH LIMITED | 5 | 1,056,555.39 | 422,622.15 | 1,479,177.54 | 105,655.54 |
| 10 TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 1,056,555.39 | 422,622.15 | 1,479,177.54 | 105,655.54 |
| 11 MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 1,056,555.39 | 422,622.15 | 1,479,177.54 | 105,655.54 |
| 12 KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 5 | 1,056,555.39 | 422,622.15 | 1,479,177.54 | 105,655.54 |
| | | 21,131,107.71 | 8,452,443.09 | 29,583,550.80 | 2,113,110.77 |

- Increase number of awards from 8 contracts to 12 contracts and contract duration from 3 years plus 1-year optional extension to 5 years plus 2 years optional extension in alignment with NAPIMS steers.
- Execute Interim Agreements with the Twelve bidders at approx. 10% of their respective ceilings (i.e. combined eLDL of FUSD 2,113,110.77 for a period of 6 months pending NAPIMS formal approval and subject to eLDL approval.

Stakeholders Endorsement:

| | Senior Procurement Manager (SPM) | Contract Owner |
|-----------|--|--|
| | Reviewed and approved for MCB and confirms: 1. Alignment with approved Category Strategy (& | Reviewed whole submission and confirms support from: Finance [Marcel Ebem] - for the financial aspects of the submission, |
| | Global Category Strategy where applicable) 2. Compliance with the NOGICD Act & Community Content commitments. | including adequate budget cover/JV Partner approval to ensure full cost recovery/approved GIP in place (if applicable) HSSE [Val Okpala] - HSSE consideration and requirements are met |
| Signature | | |
| Name | Chioma Okpoechi | Adebayo Karunwi |
| Date | | |

| Approval | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Signature Name | Scott, lan | | | | |
| Name | DED30811EC31434 | | | | |
| Date | | | | | |

Declaration:

Signatories to this submission acknowledge that they have read and understood the Conflict of Interest Policy in SEPCiN and that they do not have any direct or indirect arrangement or relationship with any other person or company that breaches the requirements of that Conflict of Interest Policy, or that they have fully disclosed any potential Conflict of Interest to the Contract Owner, CP Manager and the Supply Chain Council/Tenders Board.

SECTION A: CONFORMANCE TO CONTRACT PLAN (PART A)

Major Contracts Board Submission

APPROVAL REFERENCES

| Tender Activity | Approval Date |
|--|---------------|
| MCB Approval of strategy | 15/08/2019 |
| NAPIMS approval of strategy and advertisement | 18/10/2019 |
| MCB Approval of strategy Part A1 Addendum 1 | 19/12/2019 |
| MCB Delegated Approval of strategy Part A1 Addendum 2 | 19/02/2020 |
| Advert Close date | 14/02/2020 |
| SPDC/NAPIMS endorsement of Technical Evaluation Result – Part A2 | 29/09/2020 |
| NCDMB Technical Report | 19/10/2020 |
| Line/SCM Approval of Technical Evaluation Result | 26/10/2020 |
| Commercial Bids evaluation concluded and aligned with NAPIMS | 09/12/2020 |
| NCDMB Commercial Report | 22/12/2020 |

BACKGROUND

- 15/08/2019: The contract strategy approved by SPDC Attachment 1
- 18/10/2019: The contract strategy approved by NAPIMS Attachment 2.
- 19/12/2019: Addendum to Part A1 approved by SPDC to align with changes in NAPIMS strategy approval. Attachment 3.
- 02/04/2020: Double Envelop ITT was published to One Hundred and seventy-six (176) bidders. Bid close date of 14/05/2020 was extended to 28/5/2020 as a result of requests from bidders due to COVID-19 challenges.
- 09/04/2020: Pre-Tender meeting held Attachment 4
- 29/09/2020: The joint (SPDC/NAPIMS) Technical evaluation was finalised.
- 19/10/2020: NCDMB Technical report was obtained.
- A total of 42 (Forty-two) bidders passed the joint NAPIMS & SPDC technical evaluation (desktop verification) (Attachment 5);
 while 80 (Eighty) complied with NCDMB requirements. Attachment 6
- Thirty-seven (37) bidders qualified to progress to commercial stage having passed both the joint NAPIMS & SPDC technical evaluation (desktop verification) and complied with NCDMB requirement.
- 12/11/2020: Commercial Tender of the Thirty-seven (37) technically qualified bidders was opened and downloaded in Nipex 09/12/2020: Commercial evaluation was completed, reconciled and signed off with NAPIMS.

CHANGES FROM STRATEGY

- The contract was originally scheduled to commence in Q2 2020, however as a result of delays encountered during the tendering process, the contract is now to commence in Q3 2021.
- ✓ The following changes are also proposed to align with NAPIMS strategy changes:
 - Revision of contract duration from '3 years + 1 year extension' to '5 years + 2 years extension' and
 - Revision of number of contract awards from 8 contracts to 12 contracts
 - Revision of award split ratio from 20:16:12:12:10:10:10 for 8 contracts to 20:10:10:8:8:8:8:5:5:5:5 for 12 contracts
 - Award of Swamp Asset contracts to the Twelve lowest bidders and to the next lowest bidder in the Land Asset contracts
 where a vendor was successful in both Swamp and Land contract.

See attached Minutes of Meeting with NAPIMS - Attachment 10

SUMMARY OF VALUE CONTRIBUTION

| | Benefit | Losses |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Safety | N/A | N/A |
| Production – Oil ('000 b/d) | N/A | N/A |
| Production – Gas (mscf/d) | N/A | N/A |
| Reserves (mboe/d) | N/A | N/A |
| Flares reductions (mscf/d) | N/A | N/A |
| Cost Saving | F\$55.3M (FUSD7,899,452.47 per year) | |

Savings recorded:

Major Contracts Board Submission

The OP20 budget for subject services is based on current contract rates. The vendors quote is computed to represent 14% of the company estimate at bid opening and 35% of current contract rates. Consequently, there is a projected 65% savings estimated over the contract life of 5+2 years option to extend.

| Estimate based on current rate@ exchange rate of NGN409.66 = 1\$ | | Savings | |
|--|--------------------|--|--|
| FUSD 84,879,718.12 | FUSD 29,583,550.80 | FUSD 55,296,167.32 (FUSD7,899,452.47 per year) | |

SECTION B: RESULTS AGAINST AGREED CRITERIA

TECHNICAL EVALUATION

- The Double Envelop Tender was published to only one Hundred and Seventy-four (174) bidders because 2 of the approved bidders (EPOXY SERVICES LIMITED and ETOPO CONTROL SERVICES NIGERIA LIMITED nos 45 and 50 on the approved bid list), were not invited due to RED IDD status.
- Upon bid opening, it was observed that there were one Hundred and Seventy-six (176) bidders invited.
- The bid download revealed that two bidders, GRAMEN PETROSERVE (NIG) LTD and VAGAN OIL & GAS LIMITED were
 invited and they both submitted bids.
- GRAMEN PETROSERVE (NIG) LTD is on the bid list download with expired status, while VAGAN OIL & GAS LIMITED is not on the approved bid list.
- SPDC and NAPIMS agreed to omit the bids submitted by GRAMEN PETROSERVE (NIG) LTD and VAGAN OIL & GAS LIMITED
 in the evaluation
- One Hundred and six (106) bidders responded out of the One Hundred and Seventy-four (174) valid invited bidders
- A total of 42 (Forty-two) bidders passed the joint NAPIMS & SPDC technical evaluation (desktop verification) (Attachment 5);
 while 80 (Eighty) complied with NCDMB requirements. Attachment 6
- However, only Thirty-seven (37) bidders qualified to progress to commercial stage having passed both the joint NAPIMS & SPDC technical evaluation (desktop verification) and complied with NCDMB requirement.

COMMERCIAL EVALUATION

- Three Bidders (Eliata Oil and Gas Ltd; Steve Integrated Tech Services Ltd and Strides Energy and Maritime Ltd) did not successfully load their commercial Tender in the Nipex Portal.
- Thirty-Four (34) bidders submitted bids that ranged between 14% 354,840% of the company estimate at bid opening-Attachment 8.
- A and N ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION NIG LTD emerged the lowest bidder with a quote of **FUSD 10,406,087.52** for contract duration of 3 years +1 year extension option representing 14% of the company estimate at bid opening.
- To determine the credibility of the lowest bidders quote, and also considering that the OP20 budget is based on the current rates and that the services are being provided with the current contract rates, the CMT opted to benchmark the commercial outcome with the current rates and quantities that align with current reality.
- The outcome of the benchmark with current rates showed an improvement of the lowest bidder's rate from 14% of estimate to 35% of the current rates. The benchmark outcome based on the current rates and increased quantities has been reconciled and endorsed by NAPIMS Attachment 8A
- A side by side comparison of the commercial evaluation at bid opening and the current rate benchmark analysis is as shown below and details are in **Attachment 8B**:

Major Contracts Board Submission

| | | and ranking based on o | ompany | | and ranking based on a | rrent rates |
|---|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|
| | | ate at bid opening | % of | | ptimised quantities | % of |
| | TOTAL ACV for 3+1 year | TOTAL ACV for 5+2 year | Company Estimate | TOTAL ACV for 3+1 year | TOTAL ACV for 5+2 years | Current rate |
| COMPANY ESTIMATE | 75,700,146.29 | 132,475,256.00 | 100% | 50,092,724.52 | 87,662,267.90 | 100% |
| 1 AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTR. NIG LTD | 10,406,087.52 | 18,210,653.16 | 14% | 17,413,105.84 | 30,472,935.22 | 35% |
| 2 ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMITED | 14,117,333.59 | 24,705,333.79 | 19% | 22,979,974.96 | 40,214,956.17 | 46% |
| 3 SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 16,468,611.18 | 28,820,069.56 | 22% | 26,506,891.33 | 46,387,059.83 | 53% |
| 4 DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 16,485,488.38 | 28,849,604.67 | 22% | 26,532,207.14 | 46,431,362.50 | 53% |
| 5 ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 17,616,818.29 | 30,829,432.01 | 23% | 28,229,202.00 | 49,401,103.51 | 56% |
| 6 ROADLAMP LIMITED | 17,644,398.77 | 30,877,697.85 | 23% | 28,270,572.73 | 49,473,502.27 | 56% |
| 7 HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 18,445,324.58 | 32,279,318.02 | 24% | 29,471,961.44 | 51,575,932.52 | 59% |
| 8 HENSTEEL ENG. & CONSTR. SERVICES LTD | 18,461,879.48 | 32,308,289.09 | 24% | 29,496,793.78 | 51,619,389.12 | 59% |
| 9 ARIOSH LIMITED | 19,673,163.25 | 34,428,035.68 | 26% | 31,313,719.43 | 54,799,009.01 | 63% |
| 10 TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 19,875,504.40 | 34,782,132.70 | 26% | 31,617,231.17 | 55,330,154.55 | 63% |
| 11 MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 23,728,579.47 | 41,525,014.08 | 31% | 37,396,843.78 | 65,444,476.61 | 75% |
| 12 KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 24,735,545.44 | 43,287,204.52 | 33% | 38,907,292.73 | 68,087,762.27 | 78% |
| 13 WIRELINK SERVICES NIGERIA LIMITED | 26,060,790.03 | 45,606,382.56 | 34% | 40,895,159.61 | 71,566,529.33 | 82% |
| 14 SEVOIL INTERNATIONAL (OIL AND GAS) LTD | 27,279,410.88 | 47,738,969.03 | 36% | 42,723,090.88 | 74,765,409.04 | 85% |
| 15 MANSID (NIG) LTD | 27,535,652.67 | 48,187,392.17 | 36% | 43,107,453.57 | 75,438,043.74 | 86% |
| 16 MIDEN SYSTEMS LIMITED | 28,516,987.24 | 49,904,727.67 | 38% | 44,579,455.42 | 78,014,046.99 | 89% |
| 17 ANCHOR-MARIC NIGERIA LTD | 29,369,030.80 | 51,395,803.91 | 39% | 45,857,520.77 | 80,250,661.35 | 92% |
| 18 SEFLAM SGL LIMITED | 35,099,537.21 | 61,424,190.12 | 46% | 54,453,280.38 | 95,293,240.67 | 109% |
| 19 GAMSTEC INTEGRATED SERVICES LTD | 40,290,030.93 | 70,507,554.12 | 53% | 62,239,020.95 | 108,918,286.67 | 124% |
| 20 TOMBA RESOURCES NIGERIA LTD | 46,670,638.50 | 81,673,617.38 | 62% | 71,809,932.32 | 125,667,381.56 | 143% |
| 21 HOLLIFIELD INDUSTRIES LIMITED | 59,947,383.57 | 104,907,921.25 | 79% | 91,725,049.92 | 160,518,837.36 | 183% |
| 22 TOPLINE NIG LTD | 78,324,555.45 | 137,067,972.04 | 103% | 119,290,807.74 | 208,758,913.55 | 238% |
| 23 KILO GLOBAL INTEGRATED SERV LTD | 82,963,989.94 | 145,186,982.39 | 110% | 126,249,959.47 | 220,937,429.08 | 252% |
| 24 CLE-PARINNA INT'L LTD | 87,530,070.99 | 153,177,624.24 | 116% | 133,099,081.06 | 232,923,391.85 | 266% |
| 25 AMOTOI GLOBAL SERVICES LIMITED | 88,727,133.71 | 155,272,483.99 | 117% | 134,894,675.13 | 236,065,681.47 | 269% |
| 26 OILSERV LIMITED | 92,247,242.23 | 161,432,673.91 | 122% | 140,174,837.92 | 245,305,966.36 | 280% |
| 27 PATLEY INTERNATIONAL CONCEPT LTD | 116,504,937.42 | 203,883,640.48 | 154% | 176,561,380.69 | 308,982,416.21 | 352% |
| 28 ASBURY INTERNATIONAL LTD | 117,958,551.09 | 206,427,464.40 | 156% | 178,741,801.20 | 312,798,152.09 | 357% |
| 29 AMBAN NIGERIA LTD | 144,879,015.54 | 253,538,277.19 | 191% | 219,122,497.87 | 383,464,371.28 | 437% |
| 30 BAYWOOD CONTINENTAL LTD | 201,318,358.01 | 352,307,126.53 | 266% | 303,781,511.59 | 531,617,645.28 | 606% |
| 31 HYDRODIVE NIGERIA LTD | 333,503,944.96 | 583,631,903.68 | 441% | 502,059,892.01 | 878,604,811.02 | 1002% |
| 32 FENOG NIGERIA LIMITED | 639,769,415.21 | 1,119,596,476.62 | 845% | 961,458,097.38 | 1,682,551,670.42 | 1919% |
| 33 MAMTECH SERVICE LTD | 674,257,608.57 | 1,179,950,815.00 | 891% | 1,013,190,387.42 | 1,773,083,177.98 | 2023% |
| 34 BABLINK RESOURCES NIGERIA LTD | 268,614,660,139.88 | 470,075,655,244.79 | 354840% | 402,923,794,184.39 | 705,116,639,822.68 | 804356% |
| 35 ELIATA OIL AND GAS LTD | NO BID | NO BID | | No Bid | ,,, | |
| 36 STEVE INTEGRATED TECH SERV LTD | NO BID | NO BID | | No Bid | | |
| 37 STRIDES ENERGY AND MARITIME Ltd | NO BID | NO BID | | No Bid | | |

- Eliata Oil and Gas Ltd wrote a protest letter requesting for a probe into the Tender evaluation Attachment 14
- The bidder, Eliata Oil and Gas Ltd passed the technical evaluation and progressed to the commercial stage of the Tender.
- No commercial documents were submitted by the bidder in Nipex for evaluation. This was confirmed by the Joint SPDC and NAPIMS evaluation team.
- The Nipex Support team have also confirmed that the documents with 0kb size cannot be opened Attachment 15
- Consequently, no commercial result is available for Eliata Oil and Gas Ltd, and the other two bidders, Steve Integrated Tech Serv and Strides Energy And Maritime Ltd.
- CP Compliance & Assurance and Legal have been engaged. Legal has supported that the award recommendation progresses and a response to the protest letter issued. **Attachment 16**

BID CREDIBILITY CHECK AND ASSURANCE:

Post Tender negotiation and Bid clarification

An analysis of the tendered rates of the lowest bidder revealed that the rates are highly skewed ranging from 0.3% - 8,364% of the individual service rates of the company estimate. A review against current contract rates also revealed a range of 0.03% - 22,653% of the current rates. 24% of the tendered rates are above 100% of the current rates and majority of these are frequently call-out items.

SPDC engaged NAPIMS on the outcome of the bid analysis and highlighted the implications of retaining the skewed rates as is and sought their support to conduct a Post Tender negotiation with the Lowest bidder to redistribute their tendered rates by reducing the high rates and increasing the low rates while ensuring that no rate will be more than 100% of current contract rates, bearing in

Major Contracts Board Submission

mind that most of the service lines work in packages, and this without changing the overall outcome and ranking. There was an increase in the notional quantities, in a prorated manner, to account for contract duration increase. See Attachment 8B. NAPIMS supported this approach and insisted that the negotiated/redistribution should not change the total tendered contract value of the lowest bidder. The signed Minutes of Meeting is attached – **Attachment 10**.

Bid clarification was conducted with the lowest bidder and subsequently with the other eleven (11) recommended bidders to confirm their understanding of the scope and their ability to provide the required services at the negotiated rates without requesting for a rate variation within the contract duration. The lowest bidder and the other 11 (eleven) recommended bidders have all responded with their confirmation – **Attachment 17.**

Sensitivity Analysis:

- A review of the line item details shows that 30% of the negotiated rates are =<50% of the current rates, while, 70% are between 50 -100% of the current rates. This has helped essentially to mitigate, to a reasonable extent, the risk of artificially low and high bids and the potential of vendors rejecting Purchase Orders at the execution phase.
- Although the overall benchmark against current rates is 35%, a sensitivity analysis reveals that the cost of constructing 1km of a
 4" Flowline is 54% of the current rate Ref sheet 7 of Attachment 8B.
- Seven (7) of the Twelve (12) recommended contractors are incumbent contractors and already have a good understanding and working experience of our terrain.
- The increase in the number of bidders from eight (8) to twelve (12) creates additional opportunity and flexibility in the event of poor performance or Purchase Order rejection.

Enhanced Post-Award Contract Management:

- Though a tactical contract, quarterly performance review will be conducted to manage performance.
- Robust performance indicators (KPIs) shall be included as part of the contract and monitored.
- Rigorous Premobilisation and Kick-off meetings.
- Increased frequency of Management Facility Engagement (MFE) to identify and close identified gaps.
- Optimized work allocation per contractor leveraging on vendor capability.
- Seek approval to prioritize vendors payment within 45 days to sustain vendor cash flow where required.
- We shall exercise our right under the contract to terminate as a result of non-performance if required.

BASIS OF AWARD & RECOMMENDATION

Award is made based on lowest estimated contract value derived by applying negotiated rates to notional quantities based on historical spend profile. No bidder is awarded more than one contract in the Land and Swamp contracts.

As a result of the recent divestment of the OML 17 Land asset which provides more opportunities in the Swamp Asset, NAPIMS did not support the strategy that in the event a bidder emerges lowest in both Land and Swamp asset, only one contract with the higher value shall be awarded. Based on the above, all vendors that emerged lowest in both Land and Swamp asset are proposed for award of contract in the Swamp Asset.

AWARD PROPOSAL:

| | Bidder | Rank | Award Split |
|----|---|------|-------------|
| 1 | AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTION NIG LTD | 1st | 20 |
| 2 | ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMITED | 2nd | 10 |
| 3 | SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 3rd | 10 |
| 4 | DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 4th | 8 |
| 5 | ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 5th | 8 |
| 6 | ROADLAMP LIMITED | 6th | 8 |
| 7 | HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 7th | 8 |
| 8 | HENSTEEL ENG. AND CONSTRUCTION SERVICES LTD | 8th | 8 |
| 9 | ARIOSH LIMITED | 9th | 5 |
| 10 | TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 10th | 5 |
| 11 | MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 11th | 5 |
| 12 | KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 12th | 5 |

^{**} Vendors 1, 3,4,5,6,7,9,& 11 emerged among the lowest bidders in the Land Tender, but will be awarded contracts only in the swamp Asset.

AWARD ACV PER VENDOR

Major Contracts Board Submission

| | | | Total ACV (FUSD) | | Total ACV (FUSD) | LDL ACV (FUSD) (6 |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------|------------------|---------------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | | % split | (5years) | Total ACV (FUSD) (2years) | (5+2years) | months) |
| 1 | AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTI | 20 | 4,353,276.44 | 1,741,310.58 | 6,094,587.02 | 435,327.64 |
| 2 | ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMI | 10 | 2,176,638.22 | 870,655.29 | 3,047,293.51 | 217,663.82 |
| 3 | SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 10 | 2,176,638.22 | 870,655.29 | 3,047,293.51 | 217,663.82 |
| 4 | DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 8 | 1,741,310.58 | 696,524.23 | 2,437,834.81 | 174,131.06 |
| 5 | ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 8 | 1,741,310.58 | 696,524.23 | 2,437,834.81 | 174,131.06 |
| 6 | ROADLAMP LIMITED | 8 | 1,741,310.58 | 696,524.23 | 2,437,834.81 | 174,131.06 |
| 7 | HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 8 | 1,741,310.58 | 696,524.23 | 2,437,834.81 | 174,131.06 |
| 8 | HENSTEEL ENG. AND CONSTRUCTION SE | 8 | 1,741,310.58 | 696,524.23 | 2,437,834.81 | 174,131.06 |
| 9 | ARIOSH LIMITED | 5 | 1,088,319.11 | 435,327.64 | 1,523,646.75 | 108,831.91 |
| 10 | TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 1,088,319.11 | 435,327.64 | 1,523,646.75 | 108,831.91 |
| 11 | MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 1,088,319.11 | 435,327.64 | 1,523,646.75 | 108,831.91 |
| 12 | KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 5 | 1,088,319.11 | 435,327.64 | 1,523,646.75 | 108,831.91 |
| | | | 21,766,382.21 | 8,706,552.88 | 30,472,935.10 | 2,176,638.22 |

AWARD ACV (5 YEARS) SHOWING CURRENCY SPLIT

| | | | Total ACV (USD) (5 | Total ACV (NGN) (5 | Total ACV (FUSD) |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | % split | years) | years) | (5 years) |
| 1 | AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTI | 20 | 2,627,503.56 | 654,930,809.91 | 4,353,276.44 |
| 2 | ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMI | 10 | 1,313,751.78 | 327,465,404.96 | 2,176,638.22 |
| 3 | SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 10 | 1,313,751.78 | 327,465,404.96 | 2,176,638.22 |
| 4 | DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 8 | 1,051,001.42 | 261,972,323.97 | 1,741,310.58 |
| 5 | ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 8 | 1,051,001.42 | 261,972,323.97 | 1,741,310.58 |
| 6 | ROADLAMP LIMITED | 8 | 1,051,001.42 | 261,972,323.97 | 1,741,310.58 |
| 7 | HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 8 | 1,051,001.42 | 261,972,323.97 | 1,741,310.58 |
| 8 | HENSTEEL ENG. AND CONSTRUCTION SE | 8 | 1,051,001.42 | 261,972,323.97 | 1,741,310.58 |
| 9 | ARIOSH LIMITED | 5 | 656,875.89 | 163,732,702.48 | 1,088,319.11 |
| 10 | TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 656,875.89 | 163,732,702.48 | 1,088,319.11 |
| 11 | MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 656,875.89 | 163,732,702.48 | 1,088,319.11 |
| 12 | KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 5 | 656,875.89 | 163,732,702.48 | 1,088,319.11 |
| | | | 13,137,517.79 | 3,274,654,049.57 | 21,766,382.21 |

AWARD ACV (2 YEARS optional extension) SHOWING CURRENCY SPLIT

| | | | Total ACV (USD) (2 | | Total ACV (FUSD) |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|---------------------------|------------------|
| | | % split | years) | Total ACV (NGN) (2 years) | (2 years) |
| 1 | AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTI | 20 | 1,051,001.42 | 261,972,323.97 | 1,741,310.58 |
| 2 | ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMI | 10 | 525,500.71 | 130,986,161.98 | 870,655.29 |
| 3 | SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 10 | 525,500.71 | 130,986,161.98 | 870,655.29 |
| 4 | DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 8 | 420,400.57 | 104,788,929.59 | 696,524.23 |
| 5 | ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 8 | 420,400.57 | 104,788,929.59 | 696,524.23 |
| 6 | ROADLAMP LIMITED | 8 | 420,400.57 | 104,788,929.59 | 696,524.23 |
| 7 | HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 8 | 420,400.57 | 104,788,929.59 | 696,524.23 |
| 8 | HENSTEEL ENG. AND CONSTRUCTION SE | 8 | 420,400.57 | 104,788,929.59 | 696,524.23 |
| 9 | ARIOSH LIMITED | 5 | 262,750.36 | 65,493,080.99 | 435,327.64 |
| 10 | TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 262,750.36 | 65,493,080.99 | 435,327.64 |
| 11 | MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 262,750.36 | 65,493,080.99 | 435,327.64 |
| 12 | KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 5 | 262,750.36 | 65,493,080.99 | 435,327.64 |
| | | | 5,255,007.11 | 1,309,861,619.83 | 8,706,552.88 |

AWARD ACV (6 months Interim) SHOWING CURRENCY SPLIT

Major Contracts Board Submission

| | | | Total ACV (USD) (6 | Total ACV (NGN) (6 | Total ACV (FUSD) |
|----|-----------------------------------|---------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|
| | | % split | months) | months) | (6 months) |
| 1 | AandN ENGINEERING AND CONSTRUCTI | 20 | 262,750.36 | 65,493,080.99 | 435,327.64 |
| 2 | ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMI | 10 | 131,375.18 | 32,746,540.50 | 217,663.82 |
| 3 | SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | 10 | 131,375.18 | 32,746,540.50 | 217,663.82 |
| 4 | DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 8 | 105,100.14 | 26,197,232.40 | 174,131.06 |
| 5 | ROMEK AND COMPANY LIMITED | 8 | 105,100.14 | 26,197,232.40 | 174,131.06 |
| 6 | ROADLAMP LIMITED | 8 | 105,100.14 | 26,197,232.40 | 174,131.06 |
| 7 | HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 8 | 105,100.14 | 26,197,232.40 | 174,131.06 |
| 8 | HENSTEEL ENG. AND CONSTRUCTION SE | 8 | 105,100.14 | 26,197,232.40 | 174,131.06 |
| 9 | ARIOSH LIMITED | 5 | 65,687.59 | 16,373,270.25 | 108,831.91 |
| 10 | TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 65,687.59 | 16,373,270.25 | 108,831.91 |
| 11 | MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 5 | 65,687.59 | 16,373,270.25 | 108,831.91 |
| 12 | KEMUD INTERNATIONAL LIMITED | 5 | 65,687.59 | 16,373,270.25 | 108,831.91 |
| | | | 1,313,751.78 | 327,465,404.96 | 2,176,638.22 |

TRANSITION PLAN / COST OF IMPROVEMENTS (IF APPLICABLE)

Seven (7) of the Twelve recommended contractors are incumbent and will therefore be readily available to mobilise to site for work execution upon award of the contract. The contractor performance management framework is in place and will be the structure deployed to manage the new vendors. The Contract Management Team (CMT) will develop a change management Plan as part of the Contract Management Plan (CMP) that will capture seamless transition from the current contracts to the new. Internal Kick-off meeting with all critical stakeholders and contract users will be held to align internally on the expectations and requirements of these new contracts. Similar Kick-off meeting (external) will be held with the contractors. SMART Key performance indicators (KPI) to be included in the CMP and tracked periodically in line with the CMP requirements. The incumbent contractors will be demobilised in accordance with the contract terms and contracts closed out in line with the CMCP requirements.

All efforts will be put in to ensure that contractors deliver at the negotiated rates to guarantee the anticipated savings without compromising on safety and quality.

Based on the report from the MICA Team, particular focus was placed on the negotiation of the various labour rates resulting in a 39% reduction in Gap to Potential from current rates (from a total of NGN713,171.25 to NGN436,197.21)

APPROVED CONTRACT VALUE

Twelve (12) contracts are proposed to be awarded at the lowest bidders redistributed/negotiated rates. The contract award value is FUSD 29,583,550.80 (US\$ 18,392,524.90 and NGN 4,584,515,669.40 @ exchange rate of NGN409.66=\$1.00 (revised from FUSD 30,472,935.10 @ exchange rate of NGN379.5=\$1.00) and currency split of 60:40 USD:NGN) for 5 years + 2 years extension option, split in the ratio of 20:10:10:8:8:8:8:8:5:5:5:5.5. A provision for reimbursable was also included in this benchmark as this was erroneously omitted in the company estimate at bid opening. This is to enable the business respond to emergent scopes. This provision will be utilised in line with MCB approved framework.

COMPLIANCE TO MODEL TERMS & CONDITIONS

MCL based and localised standard terms and conditions were used for the specimen contract document attached to the commercial ITT.

GOVERNMENT INTERMEDIARY (GI) ELEMENT GI elements present in work scope? YES NO If yes, GI terms & conditions included in contract? YES NO IDD & HSE CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT STATUS

MCB Submission -Contract Award

Page 7 of 11

Major Contracts Board Submission

The IDD and HSE Capability Assessment status of the recommended bidders is as follows:

IDD STATUS:

All 12 bidders are IDD cleared. See Attachment 11

| 1 A & N Engineering & Construction Nig Ltd | 1/1/2999 |
|--|------------|
| 2 ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMITED | Cleared |
| 3 SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | Cleared |
| 4 DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 31/10/2021 |
| 5 ROMEK & COMPANY | 1/1/2040 |
| 6 ROAD LAMP | Cleared |
| 7 HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 1/1/2999 |
| 8 HENSTEEL ENGR. AND CONST. SERVICES LTD | Cleared |
| 9 Ariosh Limited | 31/12/2023 |
| 10 TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | Cleared |
| 1 1 MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | Cleared |
| 12 KEMUD INTERNATIONAL | Cleared |

HSE CAPABILITY ASSESSMENT:

The HSE capability assessment of one (1) of the Twelve (12) vendors is expired, while two are ongoing—See table below. Contract signing/implementation shall only be progressed following satisfactory conclusion of the HSE Capability Assessment processes for the three (3) vendors—Hoechy Development Limited, Sunup Logistics Ltd and Techstone Energy Limited.

| 1 | A & N Engineering & Construction Nig Ltd | 27-Feb-2023 | VALID |
|----|--|-------------|-----------------|
| 2 | ANASAMI CONSTRUCTION NIGERIA LIMITED | 26-Oct-2021 | VALID |
| 3 | SUNUP LOGISTICS LIMITED | | not in database |
| 4 | DAIIK ENGINEERING LIMITED | 1-Aug-2022 | |
| 5 | ROMEK & COMPANY | 31-Mar-2024 | |
| | ROAD LAMP | 28-Feb-2023 | VALID |
| 7 | HOECHY DEVELOPMENT LIMITED | 14-Jun-2021 | EXPIRED |
| 8 | HENSTEEL ENGR. AND CONST. SERVICES LTD | 8-Apr-2024 | VALID |
| 9 | Ariosh Limited | 30-Apr-2024 | VALID |
| 10 | TECHSTONE ENERGY LIMITED | | not in database |
| 11 | MATIZ ENERGY LIMITED | 5-Dec-2021 | VALID |
| 12 | KEMUD INTERNATIONAL | 17-Dec-2021 | VALID |

NIGERIAN CONTENT COMPLIANCE CERTIFICATE (NCCC)

The NCCC for the Twelve (12) vendors have been reviewed by NCDMB and are been processed for sign-off - Attachment 12

SECTION C: POST AWARD CONTRACT MANAGEMENT

KEY PERFORMANCE INDEX (KPI) (FROM PART A)

| Business Objective | KPI | Measure | Target | Frequency Measured | |
|---------------------------|--|--|-------------|--------------------------------|--|
| HSSE | No harm, no spill | GZ Documentation | 0.00 | Monthly cumulative | |
| HSSE | Adherence to 12 Life Saving Rules | GZ Documentation | 0.00 | Monthly cumulative | |
| HSSE | Spill from construction | 0 bopd | 0.00 | Monthly cumulative | |
| Quality Assurance | Good delivery of Site installation works | Detailed specs of work in contract and Project Inspector deployment at site | No rejects | Daily Daily (site supervision) | |
| Delivery Schedule | Timely Materials Arrival at KI | Time sheet and schedule tracking | On schedule | Weekly | |

Major Contracts Board Submission

| Community disturbance | Peaceful project execution | Secure FTO before contractor mobilisation to site | 0.0 | Daily |
|--------------------------|---|---|---------------|--------------------|
| Quality Assurance | Hook-up of Flowlines after rig exit | | 5 days | Monthly cumulative |
| Training | Training and Assessment/Certificatio n of 6 nos persons | Certification | 6 nos persons | Bi-Annual |

CONTRACT MANAGEMENT PLAN (CMP)

Not applicable for Tactical contract, however, the CMT will implement a light-CMP Post Contract Award

HSE PLAN

Contract HSE plan will be finalised post award.

NIGERIAN CONTENT EXECUTION PLAN

*If winning vendors are more than one, 'a- c' information below should be completed for each vendor.

a. Indicate Schedule target(s) and vendors Nigerian Content pledge (s) e.g.

| Work Category | Schedule Target | **Vendor's NC Pledge | Measuring Metrics | Agreed actions including authorisation to import |
|--|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|
| Construction management & supervision services | 80% | 100% | Man-hour | NA |
| Pipe laying and cable laying services | 50% | 100% | Man-hour | |
| Wellhead services | 85% | 100% | Man-hour | |

b. Nigeria Content Summary Work scope.

Vendor's training plan in alignment with pre-approved Project's Nigerian Content Plan and in line with the human development capacity guide 2014

| Training Type | No of Trainees | Total Man- hours/man- years | Name / Level of Certification |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| As detailed in NCCC | | | |

Training is for National Skill pool per NCDMB database.

All training must be certifiable and in line with NCDMB training guidelines.

- c. Global Sustainable Sourcing opportunity (provide details of the global sourcing opportunity utilised and capacity gap addressed).
- d. Nigerian Content Compliance Certificate required prior to award approval recommendations to NAPIMS
 **Where the NC pledge falls short of set minimum targets by law an authorisation to import may be required for these categories.

COMMUNITY CONTENT NIGER DELTA CONTENT EXECUTION PLAN

For this sub-category, Vendor will align with Community Content Guideline and ensure 100% Unskilled, 50% semi-skilled and 10% skilled labour required are sourced from relevant host community. In addition to the strategy above all past non-specialist activities have been executed with the involvement and participation of communities. Relevant parts of the contract have also been subcontracted to the community contractors that passed through all the necessary prequalification exercise. Consequently, a significant portion (50%) of the ROW Bush clearing and (50%) of the ROW excavation will be subcontracted to the pre-qualified community contractors in line with the FTO agreements and Local

^{**} Describe all the proposed Nigerian Content activities in the ITTs for inclusion in proposed contracts.

Major Contracts Board Submission

Content Plan. This principle will be fully adopted in this new contract for all non-specialist repair works and will be monitored in the post contract award exercises.

RISK ASSESSMENT

1. Contract Risk Assessment:

| Risk Description | Likelihood (H / M / L) | lmpact (H / M / L) | Mitigating Actions | Action Owner |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Poor Funding | Н | Н | Ensure adequate budget availability and approval to execute full scope before contractor engagement, and issue PO before start of work. | Contract Owner, Contract Holder |
| Mobilisation and Demobilisation | М | Н | Ensure use of pre-mobbed equipment Follow approved journey management plan Follow approved security plan | Contract Holder |
| Occurrence of an HSE incident during construction | М | Н | Ensure PTW procedure is adhered to. Ensure use of pre-mobbed equipment | Contract Holder, HSE Adviser |
| Emergence of a bidder with low HSE culture | М | Н | Conduct a robust HSE capability assessment and close out of key improvement actions before mobilisation | Contract Holder, HSE Adviser |
| QA/QC | М | Н | Ensure deployment of competent personnel Follow approved work procedure | Contract Holder |
| Construction | М | Н | Do a dry run of the field activities with technical authorities in review before mobilisation | Contract Owner, Contract Holder |
| Project delay due to Community Disturbance | М | Н | Secure FTO before contractor mobilisation to site | Project Engineer |
| Project delay due to material unavailability | Н | Н | Timely materials sourcing and issuance to contractor before mobilisation to site. | Project Engineer |

2. HSE Risk Assessment:

Contract is Mode 1 High Risk. See Contract HSE Risk Assessment -Attachment 13

ATTACHMENT:

ATTACHMENT 1 - APPROVED PART A1, ADD1 AND ADD 2

ATTACHMENT 2 - NAPIMS APPROVAL OF STRATEGY

ATTACHMENT 3 - APPROVED BID LIST

ATTACHMENT 4 - PRE-TENDER MOM

ATTACHMENT 5 - SPDC/NAPIMS TECHNICAL EVALUATION RESULT

ATTACHMENT 6 - NCDMB TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

ATTACHMENT 7- PART A2 - TECHNICAL EVALUATION APPROVAL

ATTACHMENT 8 - SPDC/NAPIMS COMMERCIAL EVALUATION RESULT

MCB Submission -Contract Award

Page 10 of 11

Prepared by: (CM) Tarere Oji; (CH) Seprebo Sagbe

Major Contracts Board Submission

ATTACHMENT 8 A - SPDC/NAPIMS BENCHMARK EVALUATION RESULT

ATTACHMENT 8B - DETAILED EVALUATION AND BENCHMARK ANALYSIS

ATTACHMENT 9 - NCDMB COMMERCIAL EVALUATION REPORT

ATTACHMENT 10 - MOM WITH NAPIMS ON AWARD STRATEGY

ATTACHMENT 11 - IDD STATUS

ATTACHMENT 12 - NCCC STATUS

ATTACHMENT 13 - CONTRACT HSE RISK ASSESSMENT

ATTACHMENT 14 - ELIATA LETTER

ATTACHMENT 15 - NIPEX CONFIRMATION ON BID SUBMISSION

ATTACHMENT 16 - LEGAL SUPPORT TO PROGRESS AWARD RECOMMENDATION

ATTACHMENT 17 - BID CLARIFICATION