

CSCE 771: Computer Processing of Natural Language

Lecture 8: (NLP) Evaluation, Semantics

PROF. BIPLAV SRIVASTAVA, AI INSTITUTE

12TH SEPTEMBER, 2024

Carolinian Creed: “I will practice personal and academic integrity.”

Acknowledgement: Used materials by
Jurafsky & Martin,

Organization of Lecture 8

- Opening Segment

- Announcements

- Main Lecture



- Concluding Segment

- About Next Lecture – Lecture 9

Main Section

- Review quiz
- Review parsing
- Introduce evaluation metrics in NLP context
- Review projects

Sep 24 (Tu)	Language Model – PyTorch, BERT, {Resume data, two tasks} – Guest Lecture
Sep 26 (Th)	Language Model – Finetuning, Mamba - Guest Lecture
Oct 1 (Tu)	Language model – comparing arch, finetuning - Guest Lecture
Oct 3 (Th)	Language model – comparison of results, discussion, ongoing trends– Guest Lecture

Announcements

GUEST LECTURES ON
LANGUAGE MODELS


Recap of Lecture 7

- We discussed statistical parsing - Probabilistic grammars
 - assign a probability to a sentence or string of words
 - In a probabilistic context-free grammar (PCFG), every rule is annotated with the probability of that rule being chosen assuming conditional independence.
 - The probability of a sentence is computed by multiplying the probabilities of each rule in the parse of the sentence.
 - We looked at Stanford parser
- We had Quiz 1


Review of Quiz 1

Main Lecture

Review Parsing



NLP Evaluation




Metric Types

- **Effectiveness**: what the user of a system sees, primarily cares about

Extrinsic evaluation (esp. downstream applications)

- **Efficiency**: what the executor in a system sees, primarily cares about

Intrinsic evaluation



Example: Detecting Spam in Email

- **Effectiveness**: what the user of a system sees, primarily cares about
 - *How many spams identified?*
 - *How many spams missed?*
- **Efficiency**: what the executor in a system sees, primarily cares about
 - *How fast were spams detected?*
 - *How much memory was used per million emails processed ?*

Metrics: Accuracy, Precision, Recall

Actual Class	Predicted class		
		Class = Yes	Class = No
	Class = Yes	True Positive	False Negative
	Class = No	False Positive	True Negative

Accuracy =
$$\frac{(TP+TN)}{(TP+FP+FN+TN)}$$

Precision =
$$\frac{(TP)}{(TP+FP)}$$

Recall =
$$\frac{(TP)}{(TP+FN)}$$

F1 Score: *Harmonic Mean*

$$1/F1 = 1/Precision + 1/Recall$$

$$F1 = \frac{2 * (Recall * Precision)}{(Recall + Precision)}$$

Evaluating Parsers - PARSEVAL

Degree to which the constituents in the hypothesis parse tree look like the constituents in a hand-labeled, gold-reference parse like PENN TreeBank

Overall measure is by F1 score

$$F_1 = \frac{2PR}{P + R}$$

labeled recall: $= \frac{\text{\# of correct constituents in hypothesis parse of } s}{\text{\# of correct constituents in reference parse of } s}$

labeled precision: $= \frac{\text{\# of correct constituents in hypothesis parse of } s}{\text{\# of total constituents in hypothesis parse of } s}$

From Jurafsky & Martin

Average Performance With Multiple Classes

- Setting

- Class A: 1 TP and 1 FP
- Class B: 10 TP and 90 FP
- Class C: 1 TP and 1 FP
- Class D: 1 TP and 1 FP

$$\text{Precision} = \frac{(\text{TP})}{(\text{TP} + \text{FP})}$$

- Average precision = ?

- Macro and micro average

- A macro-average will compute the metric independently for each class and then take the average (hence treating all classes equally)
- A micro-average will aggregate the contributions of all classes to compute the average metric.

A macro-average will then compute: $Pr = \frac{0.5 + 0.1 + 0.5 + 0.5}{4} = 0.4$

A micro-average will compute: $Pr = \frac{1 + 10 + 1 + 1}{2 + 100 + 2 + 2} = 0.123$


Source and credit: <https://datascience.stackexchange.com/questions/15989/micro-average-vs-macro-average-performance-in-a-multiclass-classification-settin>

Code Sample – Metrics Calculation

Notebook:


<https://github.com/biplav-s/course-nl-f22/blob/main/sample-code/l8-review-evalmetrics/Metric%20Calculations.ipynb>

Semantics



- **Discourse:** study of group of sentences
- **Pragmatics:** how context contributes to meaning of sentences
- **Semantics:** meaning of words and combinations of words
- **Syntax:** rules for combining and using words/ phonemes.
- **Lexemes:** a set of words that are related through inflection (fly: verb, fly: noun)
- **Morphology**—rules that govern morphemes - the minimal meaningful units of language (lemmas and affixes)
- **Orthography:** convention for writing a language. E.g., spelling
- **Phonology:** organization of speech sound (i.e., phoneme)
- **Phonetics:** study of how sound is made and received

Semantics, Parsing and Representation



Semantics

- ***lexical semantics***: studies word meanings and word relations, and
- ***formal semantics***: studies the logical aspects of meaning, such as sense, reference, implication, and logical form
- ***conceptual semantics***: studies the cognitive structure of meaning

Source: Jurafsky & Martin,
Wikipedia (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semantics>)

From Text to Meaning

- Shallow semantics
 - Input: text
 - Output: *lexical semantics*
- Deep semantics
 - Input: text
 - Output: *formal semantics*

Source: Abstract Meaning Representation for Sembanking,
<https://amr.isi.edu/a.pdf>

LOGIC format:

$\exists w, b, g:$
 $\text{instance}(w, \text{want-01}) \wedge \text{instance}(g, \text{go-01}) \wedge$
 $\text{instance}(b, \text{boy}) \wedge \text{arg0}(w, b) \wedge$
 $\text{arg1}(w, g) \wedge \text{arg0}(g, b)$

AMR format (based on PENMAN):

```
(w / want-01
 :arg0 (b / boy)
 :arg1 (g / go-01
       :arg0 b))
```

GRAPH format:




Figure 1: Equivalent formats for representing the meaning of “The boy wants to go”.

Review: Common Definitions

- **Corpus** (plural corpora): a computer-readable corpora collection of text or speech.
- **Lemma**: A lemma is a set of lexical forms having the same stem, the same major part-of-speech, and the same word sense. [Example: Cat and cats have same lemma.](#)
- **Word form**: The word form is the full inflected or derived form of the word. [Example: Cat and cats have different word forms.](#)
- **Word type**: Types are the number of distinct words in a corpus. if the set of words is V , the number of types is the word token vocabulary size $|V|$.
- **Word tokens**: The total number N of running words in the sentence / document of interest.
- **Code switching**: use multiple languages in a code switching single communicative act – [Example: Hindlish \(Hindi English\), Spanish \(Spanish English\)](#)

“They picnicked by [the](#) pool, then lay back on [the](#) grass and looked at [the](#) stars.”

- 16 tokens, 14 word types

Source: Jurafsky & Martin

Lexical Semantics

- Lemma
 - Sing, Mouse
- Word form
 - Sing, sang, sung
 - Mouse, mice
- Word sense
 - Mouse: a rodent
 - Mouse: an electronic pointing device

A lemma having many senses is called **Polysemous**

Synonymous and Similar Words

- **Synonym** - one word has a sense whose meaning is identical to a sense of another word
 - Two words are **synonymous** if they are **substitutable** one for the other in any sentence **without changing the truth conditions of the sentence, the situations in which the sentence would be true**
 - **Propositional meaning** – synonym words have the same propositional meaning (truth preserving)
- **Principle of contrast** – An assumption in linguistics is that difference in linguistic form (e.g., word form) is always associated with at least some difference in meaning
 - Water and H₂O are truth preserving but used in different context
 - Synonym words are used for approximate synonymy. Then, how similar are the words?

Source: Jurafsky & Martin

Word Similarity - SimLex-999

- Captures similarity between word pairs, mining the opinions of 500 annotators via Amazon Mechanical Turk on a scale of 1 to 10

Note: *similarity*, rather than *relatedness* or *association*

- Contains
 - 666 Noun-Noun pairs,
 - 222 Verb-Verb pairs
 - 111 Adjective-Adjective pairs

vanish	disappear	9.8
behave	obey	7.3
belief	impression	5.95
muscle	bone	3.65
modest	flexible	0.98
hole	agreement	0.3

Source: Jurafsky & Martin

- **Usage:** Evaluation of learning based approaches for finding word similarity by correlation

[SimLex-999: Evaluating Semantic Models with \(Genuine\) Similarity Estimation](#). 2014. Felix Hill, Roi Reichart and Anna Korhonen. *Computational Linguistics*. 2015
Website: <https://fh295.github.io/simlex.html>

Meaning (Semantics) versus Structure (Lexical)

Pair	Simlex-999 rating	WordSim-353 rating
<i>coast - shore</i>	9.00	9.10
<i>clothes - closet</i>	1.96	8.00

Example courtesy: <https://fh295.github.io/simlex.html>

Word Relatedness/ Association

- **Semantic Field:** related words from the same particular domain and bear structured relations with each other.
 - Example 1: cup, coffee
 - Example 2: scalpel, surgeon
 - Usually determined by experts in a field
- **Word Association Test/ Task:** how word meaning is stored in memory
 - Have people respond to word associations as a game; e.g., say the first word that comes to mind when one says “Doctor”
 - Applications
 - Used in marketing
 - Also evaluation of learning procedures discovering meaning (e.g., word embedding)

Source: Jurafsky & Martin

Sources:

- <https://psychology.jrank.org/pages/656/Word-Association-Test.html>,
- Establishing the Reliability of Word Association Data for Investigating Individual and Group Differences , Tess Fitzpatrick, David Playfoot, Alison Wray, Margaret J. Wright *Applied Linguistics*, Volume 36, Issue 1, February 2015, Pages 23–50, <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/amt020>

Discovering Word Relatedness

- **Topic model:** a statistical notion of related words in a document. Hope is that meaningful topics will be from the same semantic field, but there is no guarantee
- Key idea
 - Topic: group of words
 - Counting words and grouping similar word patterns to infer topics within unstructured data.
 - Assumptions
 - Distributional hypothesis: similar topics make use of similar words
 - Statistical mixture hypothesis: documents talk about several topics
 - Perform unsupervised analysis/ clustering: given a corpus and number of topics (k), find k topics that are representative of key ideas in the corpus

References:

- Blog: <https://monkeylearn.com/blog/introduction-to-topic-modeling/>
- Tool: Gensim
- Paper: <https://www.jmlr.org/papers/volume3/blei03a/blei03a.pdf>

Course Project

Discussion: Course Project

Theme: Analyze quality of official information available for elections in 2024 [in a state]

- Take information available from
 - Official site: State Election Commissions
 - Respected non-profits: League of Women Voters
- Analyze information
 - State-level: Analyze quality of questions, answers, answers-to-questions
 - Comparatively: above along all states (being done by students)
- Benchmark and report
 - Compare analysis with LLM
 - Prepare report

- Process and analyze using NLP
 - Extract entities
 - Assess quality – metrics
 - Content – *Englishness*
 - Content – *Domain* -- election
 - ... other NLP tasks
 - Analyze and communicate overall

Major dates for project check

- Sep 10: written – project outline
- Oct 8: in class
- Oct 31: in class // LLM
- Dec 5: in class // Comparative

Review current states chosen by others

Project Discussion

1. Go to Google spreadsheet against your name
2. Enter the state you will focus on for course project

1. Create a private Github repository called “CSCE771-Fall2024-<studentname>-Repo”. Share with Instructor (biplav-s) and TA (vr25)
2. Create Google folder called “CSCE771-Fall2024-<studentname>-SharedInfo”. Share with Instructor (prof.biplav@gmail.com) and TA (rawtevipula25@gmail.com)
3. Create a Google doc in your Google repo called “Project Plan” and have the following by Friday (Aug 30, 2024)

Timeline

1. Title: [Analyze quality of official information available for elections in 2024](#) in <state>
2. Data need:
 1. Official: state’s election commission
 2. LWV: <https://www.vote411.org/>
3. Methods:
4. Evaluation:
5. Milestones
 - Sep 10: written and feedback
 - Oct 8: in class
 - Oct 31: in class
 - Dec 5: in class

Obtaining Election Data

Here are a few things to do:

A) **Official data** backed by laws: state election commission

- a) Find the state's election commission
- b) Find the Q/As they provide. They may be as FAQs or on different web pages.
- c) Collect the Q/A programmatically

B) **Secondary data** sources: non-profit

- a) Find Q/As from Vote 411 which is supported by the non-profit: LWV.

For reference, for SC,

A) Official - <https://scvotes.gov/voters/voter-faq/>

B) Secondary - <https://www.vote411.org/south-carolina>

For extraction, one or more approaches:

- Manually annotating
- BeautifulSoup,
- Tika
- or other open source libraries.

Discussion: Course Project

- **Expectations**

- Apply methods learned in class or of interest to a problem of interest
- Be goal oriented: aim to finish, be proactive, be innovative
- Do top-class work: code, writeup, presentation

- **Typical pitfalls**

- Not detailing out the project, assuming data
- Not spending enough time

- **What will be awarded**

- Results and efforts (balance)
- Challenge level of problem

Review current states chosen by others

Course Project – Deadlines and Penalty Rubric

- Penalty
 - Missing milestones: [-10%]
 - Maximum: [-40%]
- Bonus possible
 - if two or more states considered
 -

Timeline

1. Title: Analyze quality of official information available for elections in 2024 in <state>
2. Data need:
 1. Official: state's election commission
 2. LWV: <https://www.vote411.org/>
3. Methods:
4. Evaluation:
5. Milestones
 - Sep 10: written and feedback
 - Oct 8: in class
 - Oct 31: in class
 - Dec 5: in class

Lecture 8: Concluding Comments

- We looked at evaluation measures
 - accuracy, precision, recall, F1
 - Macro and micro averages
- We also started to look at semantics

Concluding Segment


About Next Lecture – Lecture 9

Lecture 9: Semantics, ML

- Complete semantics discussion
- Discuss ML for NLP

4	Aug 29 (Th)	NLP Tasks, Case Study – Business Application		
5	Sep 3 (Tu)	Parsing, Paper 1 discussion; project topics review		Practice exercise
6	Sep 5 (Th)	Project topics review, statistic Parsing		
7	Sep 10 (Tu)	Statistical parsing, QUIZ		Quiz 1, Project Check
8	Sep 12 (Th)	Evaluation, Semantics		Coding running example
9	Sep 17 (Tu)	Semantics, Machine Learning for NLP, Evaluation - Metrics		Code: scikit fl score package, Code: ConceptIO
10	Sep 19 (Th)	Towards Language Model: Vector embeddings, Embeddings, CNN/ RNN		Code: embedding, genism word vector, tf-idf

Summary of NLP Analysis



- **Discourse:** study of group of sentences
- **Pragmatics:** how context contributes to meaning of sentences
- **Semantics:** meaning of words and combinations of words
- **Syntax:** rules for combining and using words/ phonemes.
- **Lexemes:** a set of words that are related through inflection (fly: verb, fly: noun)
- **Morphology**—rules that govern morphemes - the minimal meaningful units of language (lemmas and affixes)
- **Orthography:** convention for writing a language. E.g., spelling
- **Phonology:** organization of speech sound (i.e., phoneme)
- **Phonetics:** study of how sound is made and received