# Seasonal changes in digestion in black bears

ALLAN J. BRODY<sup>1</sup> AND MICHAEL R. PELTON

Department of Forestry, Wildlife, and Fisheries, The University of Tennessee, P.O. Box 1071, Knoxville,

TN 37901, U.S.A

Received June 23, 1987

#### Introduction

Winter dormancy in black bears (Ursus americanus) is likely an adaptation to predictable seasonal food shortages. Additionally, embryo development and parturition in bears are physiologically linked to hibernation (Herrero 1978). Fat is the major source of calories during hibernation and bears may lose as much as 25% of their body weight during the winter while maintaining lean body mass (Nelson et al. 1973). Thus, the ability to increase fat reserves before denning is necessary for winter survival and successful reproduction. Fat storage occurs during the fall (the "hyperphagia stage" of Nelson et al. (1983)), and many field studies have documented pronounced seasonal shifts in the diets of free-ranging bears (e.g., Tisch 1961; Hatler 1972; Beeman and Pelton 1980; Eagle and Pelton 1983; Grenfell and Brody 1983). Dietary shifts track plant phenology, and generally involve a transition from green forage and soft mast during spring and summer to hard mast in the fall.

Despite a strong dietary dependence on vegetable matter, bears exhibit only minor dental adaptation to herbivority and have retained the short, unspecialized gut of their carnivorous ancestors. Bunnell and Hamilton (1983) suggest that in grizzly bears (*Ursus arctos*) the evolution of a few morphological adaptations to herbivority combined with the conservation of physiological adaptations to carnivority make possible the rapid weight gains that occur before denning. They assume an evolutionary trade-off between the ability to digest food

Printed in Canada / Imprimé au Canada

rapidly (a trait of carnivores) and the ability to digest low quality food efficiently (a trait of herbivores), and conclude that, in grizzlies, rapid processing at the expense of efficient digestion of fiber allows bears to take advantage of the large amounts of food available during the foraging period. Their experiments demonstrated that the digestive efficiencies of grizzlies are not markedy different from those of obligate carnivores. This note describes an effort to determine the digestive abilities of black bears, and to determine any seasonal differences in those abilities.

### Methods

Six adult bears, ranging from 91 to 178 kg (mean weight = 63.4 kg, SD = 28.6 kg) at the Ober-Gatlinburg Black Bear Habitat in Gatlinburg, Tennessee, were used in digestion trials in August and November 1983. The bears were housed in three enclosures, each holding one male and one female. The normal ration for the bears consisted of dry dog food (Tennessee Farmers Cooperative, Lavergne, TN 37086, U.S.A), fed ad libitum, supplemented by produce discarded by local grocers. For the trials, all produce was withheld and the dog food ration was reduced to approximately 95% of ad libitum consumption for 7 days; portions of three feces in each enclosure were collected on the 7th day.

Fecal and ration samples were frozen until laboratory analysis was performed, at which time the samples from each enclosure in each trial were thawed and dried at 101°C. three subsamples were drawn from the fecal samples from each enclosure. Crude protein content was estimated by the macro-Kjeldahl technique (Association of Official Analytical Chemists 1970) (three replicates per subsample). Gross energy was estimated in an adiabatic oxygen bomb calorimeter (Parr Instrument Company, Moline, IL 61265, U.S.A.) (two replicates per subsample). Acid-insoluble ash content was estimated by the method of Van Soest (1966) (three replicates per subsample).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Present address: Department of Ecology and Behavioral Biology, University of Minnesota, 318 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.

Table 1. Composition of experimental diets and fecal samples from bears, on a dry-weight basis

	Crude protein (%)	Gross energy (kJ/g)	Acid-insoluble ash (%)
This study			
Dog food ration			
August trial	36.7	19.292	1.12
November trial	30.9	19.212	1.22
Fecal samples*			
August mean $(n = 9)$	20.4 (0.60)	17.403 (1.333)	2.58 (0.002)
November mean $(n = 9)$	19.3 (0.76)	15.038 (0.063)	2.72 (0.001)
Grizzly rations used by	(/	(0.000)	2.72 (0.001)
Bunnell and Hamilton (1983)			
Basal ration	36.3	5577	0.11
Basal and beet pulp	21.2	4729	1.42

<sup>\*</sup>SE given in parentheses

TABLE 2. Apparent digestibilities in bears

	Approximate consumption*	Apparent digestibility coefficients†	
		Crude protein	Gross energy
August trial	*1		
Enclosure 1	4.4 (0.33)	0.765	0.645
Enclosure 2	3.3 (0.24)	0.745	0.626
Enclosure 3	2.5 (0.41)	0.764	0.645
August mean	3.4 (0.70)	0.758 (0.0113)	0.638 (0.0110)
November trial			
Enclosure 1	7.5 (0.45)	0.729	0.651
Enclosure 2	5.0 (0.34)	0.708	0.640
Enclosure 3	7.0 (0.21)	0.723	0.656
November mean	6.6 (1.12)	0.720 (0.0108)	0.649 (0.0082)
Grizzlies on basal and beet ration (Bunnell			
and Hamilton 1983)‡		0.751	0.620

<sup>\*</sup>Amount provided - orts, in kg/day for each enclosure. SD given in parentheses.

Apparent digestibilities of crude protein and gross energy were estimated using the indicator method (McCarthy et al. 1974; Bunnell and Hamilton 1983), with acid-insoluble ash serving as the indicator:

apparent digestion = 
$$1 - \frac{\text{(AIA in feed)} \times \text{(Y in feces)}}{\text{(AIA in feces)} \times \text{(Y in feed)}}$$

where AIA is the dry weight proportion of acid-insoluble ash and Y is the dry weight energy content or proportion of crude nitrogen.

## Results and discussion

The dog food ration used in this study was substantially higher in crude protein than the natural food plants normally eaten by black bears, which typically contain 2–19% crude protein (Mealey 1975; Eagle and Pelton 1983). Composition of the ration used in this study (Table 1) was most similar to the "basal and beet pulp" ration used by Bunnell and Hamilton (1983) and the apparent digestibilities (Table 2) were correspondingly similar.

Digestibility of crude protein decreased (paired *t*-test, t = 26.41, P = 0.0014) while the digestibility of gross energy increased (paired *t*-test, t = 4.58, P = 0.0445) from August to

November. Increased food consumption in November implies an increased transit rate which in turn could have caused the decrease in apparent protein digestion (Castle and Castle 1956; Rerat 1978). If transit rate were the only factor affecting seasonal changes in digestibility, however, a simultaneous decrease in apparent gross energy digestion would be expected. Instead, we found an increase in apparent energy digestion, indicating that bears were selectively digesting and (or) absorbing carbohydrates and fats at the expense of protein.

Inhibition of amino acid absorption by carbohydrates has been well documented in several monograstric animals (Alvarado 1971), but there is little evidence that the degree of inhibition is controlled by factors other than concentrations of specific substrates in the gut. This mechanism could operate in the wild, where summer foods are typically much higher in protein than fall foods (Eagle and Pelton 1983), but cannot explain our experimental results because rations in both trials were similar. We suggest that a systemically, possibly hormonally, mediated increase in carbohydrate and fat assimilation and decrease in protein assimilation occurs during the predenning hyperphagic period. If lean body growth ceases in the fall, as data from Nelson et al. (1983) imply, protein

(continued)

<sup>†</sup>SE given in parentheses. ‡Mean values for two bears.

requirements would be reduced and preferential assimilation of dietary substrates most efficiently converted to fat would appear to be adaptive.

This type of hormonal control of assimilation has yet to be documented, but would be consistent with the array of physiological adaptations, particularly those of nitrogen metabolism (Nelson et al. 1973, 1975, 1983), already described in bears.

#### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank J. R. Carmichael and D. S. Carmichael for technical assistance. We benefitted from conversations with T. C. Eagle, R. L. Moser, R. A. Nelson, and W. D. Schmid. Comments from S. Innes and an anonymous reviewer improved an earlier draft of this paper.

- ALVARADO, F. 1971. Interrelation of transport systems for sugars and amino acids in the small intestine. *In* Intestinal transport of electrolytes, amino acids, and sugars. *Edited by* W. M. Armstrong and A. S. Nunn. Charles C. Thomas, Springfield, IL. pp. 281-315.
- Association of Official Analytical Chemists. 1970. Official methods of analysis. 11th ed. Washington, DC.
- BEEMAN, L. E., and Pelton, M. R. 1980. Seasonal foods and feeding ecology of black bears in the Smoky Mountains. Int. Conf. Bear Res. Manage. 4: 141-147.
- Bunnell, F. L., and Hamilton, T. 1983. Forage digestibility and fitness in grizzly bears. Int. Conf. Bear Res. Manage. 5: 179–185.
- Castle, E. J., and Castle, M. E. 1956. The rate of passage of food through the alimentary tract of pigs. J. Agric. Sci. 47: 196–204.
- EAGLE, T. C., and PELTON, M. R. 1983. Seasonal nutrition of black bears in the Great Smoky Mountains National Park. Int. Conf. Bear Res. Manage. 5: 94-101.
- GRENFELL, W. E., and BRODY, A. J. 1983. Seasonal foods of black

- bears in Tahoe National Forest, California. Calif. Fish Game, 69: 132-150.
- HATLER, D. F. 1972. Food habits of black bears in interior Alaska. Can. Field-Nat. 86: 17-31.
- HERRERO, S. 1978. A comparison of some features of the evolution, ecology, and behavior of black and grizzly/brown bears. Carnivore (Seattle), 1: 1-17.
- MCCARTHY, J. F., AHERHE, F. X., and OKAI, D. B. 1974. Use of HCl insoluble ash as an index material for determining apparent digestibility with pigs. Can. J. Anim. Sci. 54: 107-109.
- Mealey, S. P. 1975. The natural food habits of free ranging grizzly bears in Yellowstone National Park, 1973-1974. M.S. thesis, Montana State University, Bozeman.
- Nelson, R. A., Wahner, H. W., Ellefson, J. D., and Zollman, P. E. 1973. Metabolism of bears before, during, and after winter sleep. Am. J. Physiol. 224: 491-496.
- Nelson, R. A., Jones, J. D., Wahner, H. W., McGill, D. B., and Code, C. F. 1975. Nitrogen metabolism in bears: urea metabolism in summer starvation and in winter sleep and role of urinary bladder in water and nitrogen conservation. Mayo Clin. Proc. 50: 141-146.
- Nelson, R. A., Folk, G. E., Jr., Pfeiffer, E. W., Craighead, J. J., Jonkel, C. J., and Steiger, D. L. 1983. Behavior, biochemistry, and hibernation in black, grizzly, and polar bears. Int. Conf. Bear Res. Manage. 5: 284-290.
- RERAT, A. 1978. Digestion and absorption of carbohydrates and nitrogenous matters in the hindgut of the omnivorous nonruminant animal. J. Anim. Sci. 46: 1808-1837.
- Tisch, E. L. 1961. Seasonal food habits of the black bear in the Whitefish Range of northwestern Montana. M.S. thesis, Montana State University, Bozeman.
- Van Soest, P. J. 1966. Non-nutritive residues: a system of analysis for the replacement of crude fiber, J. Assoc. Off. Agric. Chem. 49: 546-551.