# [witty title]: Towards a Practical Type System [hopefully replace with programming language] for the Enriched Effects Calculus.

## Abstract

## Introduction

## Motivation

• Motivation for doing the project, reworked from present Literature and Technology Survey.

#### Goals

- Create usable syntax
- User can define and type check terms.
- Provide a practical environment for iterative development.

# Literature and Technology Survey

- Introduction to computational effects
- Algebraic effects
- Monads and transformer stacks
- Type theory
- Linear types
- lambda calculus
- Simply-typed lambda calculus
- Enriched effects calculus
  - Relation to monads
  - comparison to linear Haskell

## Development

#### Tools Used

- Dotty Scala 0.14.0.
- ANTLR 4.
- PPrint from Li Haoyi.

## Requirements Specification

## **Functional Requirements**

## Non-Functional Requirements

• Extensible

- Try to limit special cases.
- Do not expose Internal APIs.
- etc.

## Design and Architecture

- Modular design, separate compiler library to REPL
- Compiler
  - Parser
  - Namer
  - Typer
  - Context
- REPL
  - Environment printing.
  - AST printing.
  - define terms
  - type check terms/source files

#### Iteration of development

- Initially basic effect calculus
- Add Stoup to EC
- Introduce linear types.
- Introduce data declarations.

## Forming a Usable Syntax

 Based from Scala grammar, adapted to Haskell style and addition of EEC terms.

## Comparison of syntax to EEC

- User defined value sum types should be isomorphic to EC+ sums
- User defined computational sum types should be isomorphic to EEC sums.
- User defined types currently must have more than one constructor, so () remains a terminal object, and Void and Void# are the sole types with no members.
- n-ary products isomorphic to nested binary products.
- fst and snd primitives achieved with match expressions.
  - need to show how linearity is preserved

### Syntactic Sugar and Reduction

- arbitrary depth patterns to single depth
- patterns in let expressions
- infix application
- if to case expressions on Booleans

- top level statements map to variable references
- Literals map to 0-ary function symbols.

## Type checking

- Syntax requires checking of declared types.
- Environment contains three maps
  - names to type declarations
  - names to variable declarations
  - names to linear variable declarations
- Parametric polymorphism with sub typing to constrain to computations only.
  - Unification of types, no explicit type parameter syntax.
  - If at point of declaration, no type exists of that name, it is considered a type variable.
- Every time a term with type variables is referenced, unique type variables are generated and then unified.
- Unification algorithm.
- Addition of Stoup constraints required new term introductions.
  - should function declarations be considered as lambdas, when referenced which allows the body to have linear dependencies, or as function symbols, which must have no linear dependencies? this impacts the necessity of introducing ?.
- Compare with Hindley-Milner unification.

## Pattern matching

- generation of templates based on lookup of the type
- special cases for primitives such as Boolean and products.
- comparison to other systems e.g. Liu (2016)
- why do patterns not exist for !A and !A \*: B# types?
  - implicit non termination case.
- as of current, requires type checking.

#### Pattern Matching Algorithm

- Look at scrutiny type, e.g. case e of ... where e: Either (A, B) C
- Build a stack of template patterns required to prove the scrutiny type:
  - Lookup constructor signatures that are mapped to the scrutinee type.
    \* The constructor for any base type is a wildcard sentinel.
  - For each constructor signature, push to function-stack a function that can construct a template for a pattern from the template-stack and
  - The wildcard sentinel will push an Ident template.

push it back to the template-stack.

- Unify the types of the constructor arguments with the current scrutinee type. Recurse on the type arguments.
- Fold over the function-stack to build a stack of final templates.

- Iterate through case clauses, each time a pattern unifies with the top of the stack, pop it off. When a pattern fails to unify with the top of the stack, continue to the next case clause.
  - An Ident pattern unifies with any template.
  - A Literal pattern unifies with no template.
  - All other patterns unify with a template of the same shape.
- After all cases, a match expression that is total with respect to matching implies an empty stack.

# Testing

- Declarative test framework to check:
  - Expressions that should type check.
  - Expressions that are syntactically valid but have no type.
  - Collections of top level definitions in the same context.

## **Examples and Evaluation**

- Isomorphisms from Proposition 4.1 in Egger, Ejlers and Simpson (2014).
- Create some encodings of effects and sample programs to use them.

#### Missed Goals

- Different precedence operators.
- at present any normalising interpreter.

## Conclusions

#### References

Egger, J., Ejlers, R. and Simpson, A., 2014. The enriched effect calculus: syntax and semantics. Journal of Logic and Computation, 24(3), pp.615-654.

Liu, F., 2016, October. A generic algorithm for checking exhaustivity of pattern matching (short paper). In Proceedings of the 2016 7th ACM SIGPLAN Symposium on Scala (pp. 61-64). ACM.