

EXAMPLE PROBLEM :-

Obj. Func. :- Max. $Z = -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 4x_1 + 6x_2$

Subject TO. :- $x_1 + x_2 \leq 2$ — (I)

$2x_1 + 3x_2 \leq 12$ — (II)

BOUNDS :- $x_1, x_2 \geq 0$

SOLUTION :-

We will solve this optimization problem using the KKT's four conditions :-

① Stationarity :-

$$\nabla f_j(x^*) - \sum \lambda_i \nabla h^i(x^*) = 0$$

② Complementary slackness :-

$$\lambda_i h^i(x^*) = 0$$

③ Primal feasibility :-

$$h^i(x^*) \leq 0$$

④ Dual feasibility :-

$$\lambda^i \geq 0$$

Where, $f(x)$ is the objective function, λ^i 's are the Lagrangian multipliers, $h^i(x)$ represents both the inequality and equality constraints.

We will solve the above problem using the four KKT's condition :-

$$\text{Let } f(x) = -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 4x_1 + 6x_2$$

$$h^1(x) = x_1 + x_2 - 2$$

$$h^2(x) = 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12$$

from condition - (1) :-

$$\nabla f; - \sum \lambda_i \nabla h^i = 0$$

Partial Derivative w.r.t x_1 :-

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \{ -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 4x_1 + 6x_2 \} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \{ \lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 2) \} \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \{ \lambda_2 (2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12) \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{-2x_1 + 4 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0} \longrightarrow (1a)$$

Similarly,

Partial Derivative w.r.t x_2 :-

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \{ -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 4x_1 + 6x_2 \} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \{ \lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 2) \} \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \{ \lambda_2 (2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12) \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{-2x_2 + 6 - \lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 = 0} \longrightarrow (1b)$$

Similarly,

Partial Derivative w.r.t x_3 :-

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \{ -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 4x_1 + 6x_2 \} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \{ \lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 2) \} \\ - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \{ \lambda_2 (2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12) \} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow -2x_3 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_3 = 0} \longrightarrow (1c)$$

Condition 2 :-

$$\lambda_i h_i = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_1 (x_1 + x_2 - 2) = 0} \longrightarrow (2a)$$

$$\boxed{\lambda_2 (2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12) = 0} \longrightarrow (2b)$$

Condition 3 :-

$$h_i \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow h^1(x) \leq 0 ; h^2(x) \leq 0$$

$$\boxed{x_1 + x_2 - 2 \leq 0} \longrightarrow (3a)$$

$$\boxed{2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12 \leq 0} \longrightarrow (3b)$$

Condition 4 :-

$$\lambda_i \geq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\lambda_1 \geq 0} \longrightarrow (4a)$$

$$\boxed{\lambda_2 \geq 0} \longrightarrow (4b)$$

Using the above equations, we have to find the values of x_1 , x_2 , λ_1 & λ_2 .

CASE 1 :- $\lambda_1 = 0$ & $\lambda_2 = 0$ [Let].

From equation - (1a) :-

$$-2x_1 + 4 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_1 = 2}$$

From equation - (1b) :-

$$-2x_2 + 6 = 0 \Rightarrow \boxed{x_2 = 3}$$

Now,
we have to check whether these values of x_1, x_2 are acceptable —

putting the values of x_1 & x_2 in (3a) —

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 2 + 3 - 2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 3 \not\leq 0 \quad \text{[But LHS is not satisfying RHS].}$$

\therefore our assumption that $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 \geq 0$ is incorrect.

CASE - 23 — let $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ and $\lambda_2 \neq 0$.

from eq- (2a) & (2b), since λ_1 & λ_2 are not equal to zero, then,

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2 = 0 \text{ and}$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12 = 0$$

now, solving for x_1 & x_2 —

$$\begin{array}{r} 2x_1 + 2x_2 - 4 = 0 \\ 2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12 = 0 \\ \hline -x_2 + 8 = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow \boxed{x_2 = 8}$$

now,

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 + 8 - 2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x_1 = -6}$$

putting x_1 & x_2 in eq- (1a) & (1b).

$$-2x_1 + 4 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 12 + 4 - \lambda_1 - 2\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 = 16$$

$$-2x_2 + 6 - \lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow -16 + 6 - \lambda_1 - 3\lambda_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = -10$$

solving for λ_1 & λ_2 —

$$\begin{array}{r} \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 = 16 \\ \lambda_1 + 3\lambda_2 = -10 \\ \hline -\lambda_2 = 26 \end{array}$$

$$-\lambda_2 = 26 \Rightarrow \lambda_2 = -26$$

But from condition $\rightarrow (4b)$, $\lambda_2 > 0$.

\therefore Our assumption that $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ & $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ is incorrect.

CASE - 3 :-

Let $\lambda_1 = 0$ & $\lambda_2 \neq 0$

from eq - (2b),

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12 = 0 \quad \text{--- (I)}$$

from eq - (1a),

$$-2x_1 + 4 - 2\lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (II)}$$

from eq - (1b),

$$-2x_2 + 6 - 3\lambda_2 = 0 \quad \text{--- (III)}$$

Solving for x_1, x_2 & λ_2 .

$$2\lambda_2 = -2x_1 + 4$$

$$\lambda_2 = -x_1 + 2$$

$$3\lambda_2 = -2x_2 + 6$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\frac{2}{3}x_2 + 2$$

$$\therefore -x_1 + 2 = -\frac{2}{3}x_2 + 2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_1 = \frac{2}{3}x_2$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \cancel{4x_1} + 3 \cdot \frac{4}{3}x_2 + 3x_2 = 12$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{(4+9)}{3}x_2 = 12$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 = \frac{36}{13}$$

$$x_1 = \frac{2}{3} \times \frac{36}{13} = \frac{24}{13}$$

So, $\boxed{x_1 = \frac{24}{13}}$, $\boxed{x_2 = \frac{36}{13}}$

Putting these in eqn- (3a),

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{24}{13} + \frac{36}{13} - 2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{24 + 36 - 26}{13} \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{34}{13} \not\leq 0 \quad \{\therefore \text{Not satisfying}\}$$

\therefore Our assumption that $\hat{a}_1 = 0$ & $\hat{a}_2 \neq 0$ is incorrect.

CASE 4 :- $\hat{a}_1 \neq 0$, $\hat{a}_2 = 0$ (Let)

from eqn- (2a),

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2 = 0 \quad \dots (i)$$

from eqn- (1a),

$$-2x_1 + 4 - \hat{a}_1 = 0 \quad \dots (ii)$$

from eqn- (1b),

$$-2x_2 + 6 - \hat{a}_1 = 0 \quad \dots (iii)$$

Solving, for x_1 , x_2 & \hat{a}_1 ,

$$-2x_1 + 4 = -2x_2 + 6$$

$$\Rightarrow -2x_1 + 2x_2 = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow x_2 - x_1 = 1$$

$$x_1 + x_2 = 2$$

$$2x_2 = 3$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x_2 = \frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\therefore x_2 - x_1 = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{x_1 = \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\hat{a}_1 = -2x_1 + 4$$

$$= -1 + 4 = 3$$

So,

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$x_2 = \frac{3}{2}$$

$$x_3 = 3$$

$$x_4 = 0$$

Putting these in eq - (3a) & (3b),

$$x_1 + x_2 - 2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{1}{2} + \frac{3}{2} - 2 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq 0 \quad \text{[satisfied]}$$

$$2x_1 + 3x_2 - 12 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 1 + \frac{9}{2} - 12 \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{2 + 9 - 24}{2} \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{-13}{2} \leq 0 \quad \text{[satisfied]}.$$

Also,

eq - (4a) & (4b) are also satisfied.

\therefore Our assumption is correct.

$$\therefore f(x) \Rightarrow Z = -x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 + 4x_1 + 6x_2$$

$$= -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{9}{4} - 0 + 2 + 9$$

$$= \frac{-10}{4} + 11$$

$$= -\frac{5}{2} + 11 = \underline{\underline{\frac{17}{2}}}$$