

Normalization or bust

Stuart Gale & Conor McBride

October 13, 2017

Motivation

- Proof of concept for dependently typed/generic system
- No fancy techniques we can't scale up eg type safe terms
- 'Basic' training for me

Simple types synthesized: Syntax, Typing and Reduction

Syntax

Types $\sigma, \tau ::= \iota \mid \sigma \Rightarrow \tau$

Contexts $\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : \sigma$

Terms $f, s, t ::= x \mid f \ s \mid \lambda x : \sigma. t[x]$

Typing

(1/3)

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma(x) = \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash s : \sigma' \quad \sigma = \sigma'}{\Gamma \vdash f \ s : \tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash t[x] : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\sigma. t[x] : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau}$$

Reduction

$$(\lambda x:\sigma. t[x]) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s]$$

Typing

(2/3)

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma(x) = \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash s : \sigma' \quad \sigma = \sigma'}{\Gamma \vdash f \ s : \tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash t[x] : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\sigma. t[x] : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau}$$

Reduction

$$(\lambda x:\sigma. t[x]) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s]$$

Typing

(3/3)

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash t : \tau} \quad \frac{\Gamma(x) = \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash s : \sigma' \quad \sigma = \sigma'}{\Gamma \vdash f \ s : \tau}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\sigma \vdash t[x] : \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x:\sigma. t[x] : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau}$$

Reduction

$$(\lambda x:\sigma. t[x]) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s]$$

Bidirectional changes

Bidirectional syntax changes

<i>Types</i>	$\sigma, \tau ::= \iota \mid \sigma \Rightarrow \tau$
<i>Contexts</i>	$\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : \sigma$

<i>Synthesizable</i>	$e, f ::= x \mid f \ s$
<i>Checkable</i>	$s, t ::= \lambda x. t[x] \mid \underline{e}$

Bidirectional syntax changes

<i>Types</i>	$\sigma, \tau ::= \iota \mid \sigma \Rightarrow \tau$
<i>Contexts</i>	$\Gamma ::= \cdot \mid \Gamma, x : \sigma$
<i>Synthesizable</i>	$e, f ::= x \mid f \ s \mid \textcolor{red}{t} : \textcolor{red}{\tau}$
<i>Checkable</i>	$s, t ::= \lambda x. t[x] \mid \underline{e}$

Syntax in Agda

```
data Ty : Set where
```

```
  base : Ty
```

```
  _=>_ : Ty -> Ty -> Ty
```

```
data Dir : Set where chk syn : Dir
```

```
data Tm (n : Nat) : Dir -> Set where
```

```
  var : (i : Fin n) -> Tm n syn
```

```
  _$ : (f : Tm n syn) (s : Tm n chk) -> Tm n syn
```

```
  _::_ : (t : Tm n chk) (T : Ty) -> Tm n syn
```

```
  [_] : (e : Tm n syn) -> Tm n chk
```

```
  lam : (t : Tm (ns n) chk) -> Tm n chk
```

Bidirectional typing

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash e \in \sigma}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(x) = \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash x \in \sigma} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \sigma \ni s}{\Gamma \vdash s : \sigma \in \sigma}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f \in \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash \sigma \ni s}{\Gamma \vdash f s \in \tau}$$

$$\boxed{\Gamma \vdash \tau \ni t}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \sigma \vdash \tau \ni t[x]}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma \Rightarrow \tau \ni \lambda x. t[x]}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e \in \sigma \quad \sigma = \tau}{\Gamma \vdash \tau \ni \underline{e}}$$

Bidirectional reduction

$$(\lambda x. t[x] : \sigma \Rightarrow \tau) s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s : \sigma] : \tau$$

$$\underline{t} : \tau \rightsquigarrow_v t$$

Example: Omega (1/5)

$$(\lambda x. t[x]:\sigma \Rightarrow \tau) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s:\sigma]:\tau \qquad \underline{t:\tau} \rightsquigarrow_v t$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_0 &= \iota & \Omega &= \underline{(\omega:E_{n+1}) \ \omega} \\ E_{n+1} &= E_n \Rightarrow E_n \\ \omega &= \lambda x. \underline{\underline{x}} \end{aligned}$$

Example: Omega (2/5)

$$(\lambda x. t[x]:\sigma \Rightarrow \tau) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s:\sigma]:\tau \qquad \underline{t:\tau} \rightsquigarrow_v t$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_0 & = \iota \\ E_{n+1} & = E_n \Rightarrow E_n \\ \omega & = \lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \Omega & = \underline{(\omega:E_{n+1}) \ \omega} \\ & = \underline{(\lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}}:E_n \Rightarrow E_n) \ \omega} \end{array}$$

Example: Omega (3/5)

$$(\lambda x. t[x]:\sigma \Rightarrow \tau) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s:\sigma]:\tau \qquad \textcolor{red}{\underline{t:\tau} \rightsquigarrow_v t}$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_0 &= \iota \\ E_{n+1} &= E_n \Rightarrow E_n \\ \omega &= \lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \Omega &= \frac{(\omega:E_{n+1}) \ \omega}{(\lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}}:E_n \Rightarrow E_n) \ \omega} \\ &\rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \frac{\textcolor{red}{(\omega:E_n)} \textcolor{red}{(\omega:E_n)}:E_n}{\underline{\underline{\textcolor{red}{(\omega:E_n)} \textcolor{red}{(\omega:E_n)}:E_n}}} \end{array}$$

Example: Omega (4/5)

$$(\lambda x. t[x]:\sigma \Rightarrow \tau) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s:\sigma]:\tau \qquad \textcolor{red}{t:\tau} \rightsquigarrow_v t$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_0 & = \iota \\ E_{n+1} & = E_n \Rightarrow E_n \\ \omega & = \lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \Omega & = \frac{(\omega:E_{n+1}) \ \omega}{(\lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}}:E_n \Rightarrow E_n) \ \omega} \\ & \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \frac{(\omega:E_n) \ (\omega:E_n):E_n}{\underline{\underline{(\omega:E_n) \ (\omega:E_n):E_n}}} \\ & \rightsquigarrow_v \underline{\underline{(\omega:E_n) \ (\textcolor{red}{\omega:E_n})}} \end{array}$$

Example: Omega (5/5)

$$(\lambda x. t[x]:\sigma \Rightarrow \tau) \ s \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} t[s:\sigma]:\tau \qquad \underline{t:\tau} \rightsquigarrow_v t$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_0 &= \iota \\ E_{n+1} &= E_n \Rightarrow E_n \\ \omega &= \lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{l} \Omega = \underline{(\omega:E_{\textcolor{red}{n}+1}) \ \omega} \\ = \underline{(\lambda x. \underline{\underline{x \ x}}:E_n \Rightarrow E_n) \ \omega} \\ \rightsquigarrow_{\beta} \underline{(\omega:E_n) \ (\omega:E_n):E_n} \\ \rightsquigarrow_v \underline{(\omega:E_n) \ (\omega:E_n)} \\ \rightsquigarrow_v \underline{(\omega:E_{\textcolor{red}{n}}) \ \omega} \end{array}$$

Normalization or bust

βv -normal forms (excluding obviously bad)

```
data Nm (n : Nat) : Dir -> Set where
  var      : (i : Fin n)                                -> Nm n syn
  $ _      : (f : Nm n syn)(s : Nm n chk)                -> Nm n syn
  [_]::_:$ _ : (f : Nm n syn)(T : Ty)(s : Nm n chk)      -> Nm n syn

  lam      : (t : Nm (ns n) chk)                        -> Nm n chk
  [_]      : (e : Nm n syn)                              -> Nm n chk
```

Values

```
Val : Ty -> Nat -> Set
```

```
Go : Ty -> Nat -> Set
```

```
Val T n = (Nm n syn) + Go T n
```

```
Go base    n = Zero
```

```
Go (S => T) n = (m : Nat) ->  
                n <= m ->  
                Val S m ->  
                Maybe (Val T m)
```

```
Cell : Nat -> Set
```

```
Cell n = Sg Ty \ T -> Val T n
```

```
Env : Nat -> Nat -> Set
```

```
Env n m = Vec (Cell m) n
```

```

norm : forall {n} ->
  Cx n -> Tm n syn -> Maybe (Ty * Nm n chk)
norm G e =
  evalSyn (idEnv G) e >=> \ { (T , v) ->
    reifyVal v          >=> \ t ->
    yes (T , t) }

```

```

evalSyn : forall {n m} ->
  (Ga : Env n m)(s : Tm n syn) ->
  Maybe (Cell m)

evalSyn Ga (var i) = yes (Ga ! i)
evalSyn Ga (f $ s) =
  evalSyn Ga f >=> \
  { (base , _) -> no
  ; (S => T , f') -> chkEval Ga S s >=> \ s' ->
    applyVal f' s' >=> \ v ->
      yes (T , v)
  }
evalSyn Ga (t :: T) =
  chkEval Ga T t >=> \ t' ->
  yes (T , t')

```

```

chkEval : forall {n m : Nat} ->
  (Ga : Env n m)(T : Ty)(c : Tm n chk) ->
  Maybe (Val T m)

chkEval Ga T [ e ] =
  evalSyn Ga e >=> \ { (S , v) ->
    S =Ty? T >=> \ { refl -> yes v } }
chkEval Ga base (lam t) = no
chkEval Ga (S => T) (lam t) = yes (inr \ m th s ->
  chkEval (actEnv th Ga -, (S , s)) T t)

```

```

applyVal : forall {n S T} ->
    Val (S => T) n -> Val S n -> Maybe (Val T n)
applyVal (inl f) s = reifyVal s >=> \ s' ->
    yes (inl (f $ s'))
applyVal (inr g) s = g _ iT s

reifyVal : forall {T n} -> Val T n -> Maybe (Nm n chk)
reifyGo   : forall {n}(T : Ty) -> Go T n -> Maybe (Nm n chk)

reifyVal (inl e) = yes [ e ]
reifyVal (inr g) = reifyGo _ g

reifyGo base      ()
reifyGo (S => T) g =
    g _ (o' iT) (inl (var fz)) >=> \ v ->
    reifyVal v >=> \ t ->
    yes (lam t)

```

Reviewing the big picture

- Don't need to statically type check functions to run them
- Well typed programs don't go bust!
- Enough information attached to the terms, via radicals, that dynamic checking is sufficient
- Values say how much computation a stated type will allow, and values are defined by structural recursion on types

Future work

- Done: strong confluence, type preservation, progress
- In the middle of: weak normalization
- To do: strong normalization
- Informative assignment of blame for incorrect terms
- Subtyping
- Extending to fancier (predicative) systems

Questions?