Search for light neutral bosons in the TREK/E36 experiment at J-PARC

Dongwi H. Dongwi (Bishoy)

Online Collaboration Meeting

Hampton University, Hampton VA 23668

June 08, 2020

*This work has been supported by DOE awards DE-SC0003884 and DE-SC0013941

The TREK/E36 Experiment at J-PARC: An Overview

1. Introduction
   - Verification Of The e36g4MC
2. Analysis
   - CsI(Tl) Calibration
   - Generator
3. Upper Limit Extraction
   - A' Search
4. Closing
   - Summary

Neutral Boson Search In Stopped K^+ Decays

K^+ decays ~ 10^{10}

Signal 1: $K^+ \to \pi^+ A'$, $A' \to e^+e^-$

Background: BR($K^+ \to \pi^+ e^+ e^-$) ~ 2.9 x 10^{-7} ~ 2,900 ev.

Signal 2: $K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu A'$, $A' \to e^+e^-$

Background: BR($K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu\ e^+ e^-$) ~ 2.5 x 10^{-5} ~ 250,000 ev.

Add. background from $K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu \pi^0 \to \mu^+ \nu\ e^+ e^- (\gamma)$

π^0 decays 1) 3x10^8 2) 2x10^9

π^0 production: $K^+ \to \mu^+ \nu \pi^0$ (3.3%) $K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$ (21.1%)

Signal 3: $\pi^0 \to \gamma A'$, $A' \to e^+e^-$

Background: BR($\pi^0 \to \gamma\ e^+ e^-$) ~ 1.2% ~ 0.3 (2.3) x$10^7$ ev.



- Can light neutral bosons explain both dark matter and particle physics anomalies (muon magnetic moment, ^{8}Be decay & proton radius)?
- Search for light neutral bosons in channels involving a muon (Signal: 2)



PRD 89, 0350003 (2014)

Tracking Package and The e36g4MC Comparison





TOSCA generated field (P. Monaghan)

- Consistency check: propagation and magnetic field evaluation
- Simulated data: tracks propagated and reconstructed with Kalman Filter (KF)
- Established that KF tracking/propagation fully consistent with G4



Tracking Package and The e36g4MC Comparison





- Energy loss/material budget comparison
- Anode plane → anode wires





Tracking Package and The e36g4MC Comparison



- Energy loss/material budget comparison
- Charged particle momentum at C4
- Applied offset (2.5 MeV) due to incorrect field map
- No detector resolution in the simulation



Tracking Package and The e36g4MC Comparison



Energy Corrected Momentum Distribution



- Energy loss/material budget comparison
- Charged particle momentum at C4
- Applied offset (2.5 MeV) due to incorrect field map
- Energy corrected momentum distribution
- Applied smearing of 2.86 MeV (energy corrected) and 6.01 MeV (C4)
- Smearing value was obtained by fitting a Gaussian to data

Momentum at C4



CsI(Tl) Analysis



- PIN photodiodes: readout the scintillation light of the CsI(Tl) crystals
- PIN diodes and pre-amplifier was assembled in an Al container
- Output signal from pre-amplifier was fed into shaping amplifier with 1 μs shaping time
- VF48 flash ADCs used to record shaping amplifier outputs

J. A. Macdonald (Nucl. Instrum. Meth., A506 2003)

CsI(Tl) Waveform Analysis

- μ rising factor

$$F(t) = \frac{A}{1 - e^{-(t-\tau_0)/\lambda}} \cdot \mathrm{Freq}\left[\frac{t-\tau_0-d}{\mu}\right] \cdot \left(\frac{t-\tau_0}{\tau_1} e^{\left[1-\frac{t-\tau_0}{\tau_1}\right]} + \epsilon \frac{t-\tau_0}{\tau_2} e^{\left[1-\frac{t-\tau_0}{\tau_2}\right]} \right)$$

- λ is slow shape constant
- τ_0 is rise time
- τ_1 decay constant
- τ_2 local decay constant

H. Ito (Nucl. Instrum. Meth., A901 2018)





Pulse Fitting In Action: Pulse Height Distribution







$K_{\mu 2}$ selection criteria

- Require single crystal per event
- First pulse time coincides with the K^+ decay
- Require a second peak

Pulse Fitting In Action: Energy Calibration From $K_{\mu 2}$



Pulse Fitting In Action: Energy Calibration From $K_{\mu 2}$



- $C_i = \frac{dE_{CsI}}{(A-P)_i}$, $i = 1, ..., 768$
- A is the waveform amplitude and P is the baseline
- $T_\mu = dE_{CsI} + CDE_{loss}$
- Correction for energy loss from CD system

Cooker Framework Event Viewer




```
Terminal
File  Edit  View  Search  Terminal  Help
piPecking total Cluster Energy:   0.228424
Angular1 checking (centriod)    (1.6597, 4.40859)
Angular2 checking (centriod)    (0.719948, 3.46884)
Checking pi0 InvMass:        0.123707
Checking cos(theta):         0.32072
Checking vertex opening      -0.900161
Cluster multiplicity:        2

Number of clusters is    :   1
Number of single clusters is:   1
**********************************************************************
```

CsI cluster analysis



CsI Cluster Analysis Cont...





Cluster criterion:$K^+ \rightarrow \pi^+\pi^0$

- High hardware trigger due to high rate
- Several clustering patterns need to be considered
- $N_{crys} \geq 2$ and $N_{crys} = 1$ (single crystal clusters)
- Currently single crystal clusters are considered as well
- Analysis is performed for $\pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$, while allowing for a maximum of 3 cluster
- In case of 3 clusters, sum over combinations in search for which clusters have

$$.90 \leq M_{inv}(\pi^0) \leq .140 \text{ GeV}/c^2$$

CsI $K_{\pi 2}$ Cluster Analysis









- $E_{total}(2\gamma)$: total energy of 2γ clusters
- $\cos(\theta_{\gamma\gamma})$: opening angle of 2γ clusters

Generator Channels

K^+ Channels

Label	Branch	Ratio
0	$K^+ \to e^+\nu$	1.582×10^{-5}
1	$K^+ \to \mu^+\nu$	6.355×10^{-1}
2	$K^+ \to e^+\pi^0\nu$	5.07×10^{-2}
3	$K^+ \to \mu^+\pi^0\nu$	3.352×10^{-2}
4	$K^+ \to e^+\pi^0\pi^0\nu$	2.55×10^{-5}
5	$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-e^+\nu$	4.247×10^{-5}
6	$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^-\mu^+\nu$	1.4×10^{-5}
7	$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0$	2.067×10^{-1}
8	$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^0\pi^0$	1.760×10^{-2}
9	$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	5.583×10^{-2}
10	$K^+ \to \mu^+\nu\gamma$	6.2×10^{-3}
11	$K^+ \to e^+\nu\gamma$	9.4×10^{-6}
12	$K^+ \to \mu^+\pi^0\nu\gamma$	1.25×10^{-5}
13	$K^+ \to \pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\gamma$	1.04×10^{-4}
14	$K^+ \to \mu^+\nu A'$	$\epsilon^2 \times ratio\ of\ channel\ 16$
15	$K^+ \to \pi^+ A'$	$\epsilon^2 \times ratio\ of\ channel\ 17$
16	$K^+ \to \mu^+e^+e^-\nu$	2.5×10^{-5}
17	$K^+ \to \pi^+e^+e^-$	3×10^{-7}

π^0 Channels

Label	Branch	Ratio
0	$\pi^0 \to \gamma\gamma$	9.8823×10^{-1}
1	$\pi^0 \to e^+e^-\gamma$	1.174×10^{-2}
2	$\pi^0 \to \gamma A'$	$\epsilon^2 \times ratio\ of\ channel\ 2$

ROOT based generator

- Interactive: utilizes Messenger Classes
- Allows for selection of decay modes and branching ratios

A′ Mass $m_{A'}$ Distribution





- A' masses generated on interval $20 - 110$ MeV
- $m_{A'}$ reconstructed from e^+e^- clusters in the CsI
- Mean $m_{A'}$ obtained by fitting Gaussian
- Mass window of $\sigma(m_{A'})$ was obtained from fit

A′ Mass $m_{A'}$ Distribution





- A′ masses generated on interval $20 - 110$ MeV
- $m_{A'}$ reconstructed from e^+e^- clusters in the CsI

- Mean $m_{A'}$ obtained by fitting Gaussian
- Mass window of $\sigma(m_{A'})$ was obtained from fit

Number Of Stopped K^+

- Charged particle momentum as evaluated at K^+ stopped vertex within the target volume
- Number of tracked muons $N_{\mu 2}$ obtained from good target events (events with target vertices)
- Acceptance of μ^+, A_μ was calculated from e36g4MC
- Muon momentum selection was based on energy corrected tracks at C4 because target tracks are produced with monochromatic momentum
- Select 1 σ cut around mean P_μ, from $K_{\mu 2}$ decays

$$N_K = \frac{N_{\mu 2}}{Br(\mu 2) PS(\mu) A_\mu LT(\mu)}$$

$Br(\mu 2)$ is the $K_{\mu 2}$ branching ratio, $PS(\mu)$ is the μ prescale factor, A_μ is the muon acceptance and $LT(\mu)$ is the lifetime fraction



Invariant mass spectrum



- Invariant mass spectrum under the 3 cut conditions
- The blue histogram contains all events
- Green histogram has pronounced bump around the π^0 mass
- Interested in the red histogram for the A' search

Acceptances of A′ and Upper Limit Extraction



Upper Limit

- Require 3 charged particles, one of which is tracked, corresponding to 3 TOF1 hits
- $\mathrm{Br}(A') \cdot \varepsilon_s < \frac{2\sqrt{N_{\mu\nu ee}}}{N_K A_{A'} \cdot LT}$
- $A_{A'}$ is acceptance ratio of the A' with a given mass, determined from e36g4MC
- $N_{\mu\nu ee}$: Integrated number of events in a given A' search window
- ε_s : A' signal loss factor
- The search window $\delta(m_{A'})$ is determined fitting a Gaussian around peak of the reconstructed A' mass $m_{A'}$
- Integrating the number of events in a given search window yields the number of background events $N_{\mu\nu ee}$

$K_{\mu 2}$ Contamination Reduction





- Signal Loss $S_L = \frac{S_{cut}}{S_{tot}}$
- S_{cut} is integrated signal that survives cut
- S_{tot} is total integrated signal

Invariant Mass Spectrum M_{ee} After $K_{\mu 2}$ Cut





Background Suppressed Upper Limits



Summary

Summary and Future Work

- Universe is littered with anomalies that must be explained (exciting times!)
- e36g4MC has been developed from ground-up
- K^+ decay generator has been implemented into the e36g4MC
- Energy calibration for CsI(Tl) using both $K_{\mu 2}$ and $K_{\pi 2}$
- CsI cluster finder developed within Cooker analysis framework
- Event viewer implemented
- We have generated various masses for A' and analysis is currently underway
- Signal search for light bosons currently underway

Backup

Energy of $\gamma 1$ and $\gamma 2$





Theta Vs Phi


θ Vs. ϕ
ϕ [rad]
2π
5.236
4.1888
3.1416
2.0944
1.0472
0
0
1.0472
2.0944
π
θ [rad]
6000
5000
4000
3000
2000
1000
0

Angular Correlations: $\theta_{\gamma 1}$ vs. $\theta_{\gamma 2}$