

“Botnet Battlefield”: A Structured Study of Behavioral Interference Between Different Malware Families

Bishwa Hang Rai

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Alexander Pretschner

Advisor: Mr. Tobias Wüchner



Table of contents

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Problem Statement
 - Contribution
- 2 Methodology
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Future Work

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Problem Statement
 - Contribution
- 2 Methodology
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Future Work

Malware

- Malware is a general term to refer any malicious software that corrupts or steals data, or disrupt operations with illegitimate access to computer or computer networks
- It can be classified into self replicating and non-replicating
- Self replicating can make copies of themselves e.g. *Virus and Worms*
- Non-replicating cannot make copies of themselves e.g. *Trojans*

Malware

- Based on its ability to change its structure it can also be broadly classified into Polymorphic and Metamorphic
- Polymorphic uses encryption and code obfuscation (dead-code insertion, subroutine reordering, instruction substitution) techniques
- Metamorphic malware uses only code obfuscation
- Different variants of same malware with same semantics or from same author are regarded as to be from same family.

Growth of Malware

- With the increase in growth of the Internet, many of our daily life activities such as email, banking, bill payment, and social networking are dependent on it.
- Malware authors are introducing new malware on daily basis to steal those valuable data and personal information and sell it illegally in the underground market.
- Annual loss caused by malware in 2006, 2.8 billion dollars in US and 9.3 billion euros in Europe
- Driven by monetary profit, high rise in numbers of new malware with 140 million new malware introduced in 2015 alone

Interference Between Malware Families


- There has been some anecdotal evidences of feud between the malware families
- In 2004, NetSky vs Bagle and MyDoom trying to remove each other along with message of profanity
- In 2010, SpyEye vs Zbot with KillZeus feature
- In 2015, Shifu malware family with AV like feature
- All of these interferences were to negate the presence of another malware
- Increase their own profit taking control of larger share of economy

Problem Statement

- The purpose of our research is to identify the existence of aforementioned behavioral interference between the malware families
- The study will provide novel knowledge for understanding the dynamic aspect of modern malware, the inter-family relations, and their associated underground economy
- This behavior is also a case for environment-sensitive malware
- That is to say malware changing their behavior depending on different factors of their running environment, such as presence or absence of files, programs, or running services

Research Process

- Get wide variety of malware samples
- Use heuristics and clustering to get the candidate pair list
- Run each candidate pair in malware analysis system (Anubis in our case)
- Analyze the log of analysis run to detect behavioral interference



Contribution

Our research will provide the following contributions:

- To the best of our knowledge, we are the first to perform a systematic study of interferences between malware families
- A novel approach to malware clustering based on malware behavior profiles
- An automated system that detects interfering malware samples on a large scale

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Problem Statement
 - Contribution
- 2 **Methodology**
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Future Work

title

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Problem Statement
 - Contribution
- 2 Methodology
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Future Work

title

Outline

- 1 Introduction
 - Background
 - Problem Statement
 - Contribution
- 2 Methodology
- 3 Evaluation
- 4 Conclusion
 - Summary
 - Future Work

Summary

- Behavioral interference between malware families exists
- Malware checks for the presence of resource created by other malware and deletes it
- Our system could detect such interfering malware with average accuracy rate of 14.25%
- In our dataset, Files and Registries were the most interfered resource and Process was the least

Future Work

- Make the experiment more efficient to run multiple times with different parameters
- Research on other different approaches to clustering
- In depth analysis (static) of positive pair to know the true semantics of malware