Analysis of Algorithms

Running Time Calculations
With C language examples

The Model

- In order to analyze algorithms in our formal framework, we need a model of computation.
- We assume infinite memory. This won't take into account effects like page faults.
- Our model has the standard repertoire of simple instructions, such as addition, multiplication, comparison, and assignment. We can:
 - Count the number of times all of these operations are performed, or
 - Decide which operation is the most expensive and count that instead.

The Model continued...

- The most important resource to analyze is the running time.
 - Although the compiler and computer affect these results, we will not model them here.
 - Instead we focus primarily on the algorithm (not necessarily the program) and the input to the algorithm. Typically the size of the input (*N*) is the main consideration.

The Model continued...

- We define two functions, $T_{avg}(N)$ and $T_{worst}(N)$ as the average and worst-case running time of the algorithm.
- The average running time is much harder to compute, let alone define.
 - For example, what is the "average" input to the algorithm? This is not always well defined.
- Generally the quantity required is the worst-case time, since this provides a bound for all input.
 - We'll concentrate on this, namely, a "Big-Oh" estimate.

A Simple Example

```
\sum_{i=1}^{N} i^3
```

Time Units to Compute

1 for the assignment.

1 assignment, N+1 tests, and N increments.

N loops of 4 units for an assignment, an addition, and two multiplications.

1 for the return statement.

Total: 1+(1+N+1+N)+4N+1=6N+4=O(N)

Analysis too complex – there are ways to simplify this...

A Simpler Analysis

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} i^3$$

```
Time Units to Compute
```

N loops

Two multiplications per loop

Total: 2N = O(N)

This time we focus on the multiplications, which are the most expensive operation. The Big-Oh is the same.

Another Example

```
Time Units to Compute
```

N loops

Two multiplications and one division.

Total: 3N = O(N)

This time we focus on the multiplications and division, which are the most expensive operations.

Another Example

```
void checkZ()
   unsigned int i, j, temp;
   for (i = 1; i \le N; i++)
    temp = 0;
    for (j = 0; j < M; j++)
       temp = temp * Z[i][j];
    if (temp != n) printf("Error\n");
```

```
Time Units to Compute

N \text{ loops}

M \text{ loops}

One multiplication per loop

Total: NM = O(NM)
```

This time we focus on the multiplications, which are the most expensive operation. Note the nested loops.

General Rules

- The rest of the lecture will provide general rules for analyzing the running time of your code.
 - We will look at intuitive rules.
 - We will also look at more formal rules of how to translate the running time of your code into mathematical expressions.

General Rules: For Loops

The running time of a for loop is at most the running time of the statements inside the for loop (including tests) times the number of iterations.

```
for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
    sum = sum+i;
}
```

• The above example is O(N).

For Loops: Formally

In general, a for loop translates to a summation. The index and bounds of the summation are the same as the index and bounds of the for loop.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1 = N$$

Suppose we count the number of additions that are done. There is 1 addition per iteration of the loop, hence N additions in total.

General Rules: Nested Loops

Analyze these inside out. The total running time of a statement inside a group of nested loops is the running time of the statement multiplied by the product of the sizes of all the loops.

```
for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
    for (int j = 1; j <= M; j++) {
        sum = sum+i+j;
    }
}
```

The above example is O(MN).

Nested Loops: Formally

Nested for loops translate into multiple summations, one for each for loop.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{M} 2 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} 2M = 2MN$$

Again, count the number of additions. The outer (inner) summation is for the outer (inner) for loop.

General Rules: Consecutive Statements

Consecutive statements: These just add (which means that the maximum is the one that counts).

```
for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
    sum = sum+i;
}
for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
    for (int j = 1; j <= N; j++) {
        sum = sum+i+j;
    }
}</pre>
```

• The above example is $O(N^2 + N) = O(N^2)$.

Consecutive Statements: Formally

Add the running times of the separate blocks of your code

```
for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
    sum = sum+i;
}
for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
    for (int j = 1; j <= N; j++) {
        sum = sum+i+j;
    }
}</pre>
```

$$\left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1\right] + \left[\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} 2\right] = N + 2N^{2}$$

General Rules: Conditionals

If (test) s1 else s2: The running time is never more than the running time of the test plus the larger of the running times of s1 and s2.

```
if (test == 1) {
    for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
        sum = sum+i;
}}
else for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
        for (int j = 1; j <= N; j++) {
            sum = sum+i+j;
}}</pre>
```

• The above example is $O(N^2)$.

Conditionals: Formally

If (test) s1 else s2: Compute the maximum of the running time for s1 and s2.

```
if (test == 1) {
    for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
        sum = sum+i;
}}
else for (int i = 1; i <= N; i++) {
        for (int j = 1; j <= N; j++) {
            sum = sum+i+j;
}}</pre>
```

$$\max\left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} 1, \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} 2\right) = \max(N, 2N^{2}) = 2N^{2}$$

General Rules: Conditionals + Loops

If you have a conditional inside a loop, things can get more hairy:

```
for (int i = 1; i <= N*N; i++) {
    if (i%N == 0) {
        foo();
    }
```

Count the number of times that foo() is called. It looks O(N²), right? But it isn't. Why?

Conditionals + Loops: Formally

The conditional can dramatically reduce the number of times the code is actually called.

```
for (int i = 1; i \le N*N; i++) {
    if (i\%N == 0) {
        foo();
    }
}
```

Foo() is called only when i is a multiple of N, from N to N*N. So, really, this is only N times.

General Rules: Recursion

Basic strategy: analyze from the inside (or deepest part) first and work outwards. If there are function calls, these must be analyzed first. This even works for recursive functions:
Time Units to Compute

```
long factorial (int n) {
  if (n <= 1)
    return 1;
  else
    return n * factorial(n-1);
}</pre>
```

```
1 for the multiplication statement.
```

1 for the multiplication statement. What about the function call?

This clearly looks like a linear-time algorithm, right? In other words, the function will be called recursively N times.

Recursion: Formally

Recursive functions are described with "recurrence relations". These are too difficult for us now – we'll look at these formally later in the class. But, let's examine some simpler ones now (like factorial):

```
long factorial (int n) {
  if (n <= 1)
  return 1;
  else
  return n * factorial(n-1);
}

T(N)

2 + T
```

$$T(N) = 1 + T(N-1) =$$

 $2 + T(N-2) =$
 $3 + T(N-3) = ... = N$

Let the running time of factorial(N) = T(N), and count the number of multiplications that are done.

Another Recursive Example: Fibonacci

```
long F(int n) {
   if (n <= 1)
     return 1;
   else
     return F(n-1)+F(n-2);
}</pre>
```

```
Time Units to Compute

-----

1 for the comparison.

1 for the addition.

What about the function calls?
```

Let the running time of F(N) = T(N). Then T(N) = T(N-1) + T(N-2) + 2. Can we give a lower bound on T(N) from this? Note that T(N) > T(N-1) + T(N-2).

$$F(0) = 1$$
, $F(1) = 1$, $F(2) = 2$, $F(3) = 3$, $F(4) = 5$, $F(5) = 8$, $F(6) = 13$,...

Fibonacci Analysis

Let F(N) be the Nth Fibonacci number. We can prove that (1) $T(N) \ge F(N)$ and (2) $F(N) \ge (3/2)^N$. Thus $T(N) \ge (3/2)^N$, which means the running time grows exponentially. This is quite bad.

Recall Proof by Induction

- Proof by (strong) induction:
 - Show theorem true for trivial case(s). Then, assuming theorem true up to case *N*, show true for *N*+1. Thus true for all *N*.

Proof that T(N) >= F(N)

Base cases:
$$T(0) = 1 \ge F(0) = 1$$
,
 $T(1) = 1 \ge F(1) = 1$.
 $T(2) = 4 \ge F(2) = 2$.

We know that
$$T(N+1) > T(N) + T(N-1)$$

and $F(N+1) = F(N) + F(N-1)$.

Assume theorem holds for all $k, 1 \le k \le N$

Now prove for the N+1 case:

$$T(N+1) > T(N) + T(N-1) \ge F(N) + F(N-1) = F(N+1)$$

Proof that $F(N) >= (3/2)^N$

Base cases:
$$F(5) = 8 \ge (3/2)^5 = 7.6$$
,
 $F(6) = 13 \ge (3/2)^6 = 11.4$.

Assume theorem holds for all $k, 1 \le k \le N$.

Now prove for the N+1 case:

$$F(N+1) = F(N) + F(N-1) \ge (3/2)^{N} + (3/2)^{N-1} =$$

$$(3/2)^{N} (1+(2/3)) = (3/2)^{N} (5/3) >$$

$$(3/2)^{N} (3/2) = (3/2)^{N+1}.$$