

## E0 230 CMO Assignment 2 - 29/09/2025 - 37 points

Prof Chiranjib Bhattacharyya

### Instructions

1. Read all instructions before starting the assignment  
Read them again before submitting the assignment.
2. Submissions that do not adhere to the instructions will be given **zero marks**.
3. This is an **individual assignment**, all work including code should be your own.
4. **Do not use AI generated code.**
5. Any form of academic dishonesty will be treated as per the IISc and CSA academic integrity policy.
6. You will submit a **single** PDF file, and a **single** .py file **and two .txt files for Question 1.2.** for this assignment. Upload the files directly; **do not zip them**.
  - (a) Name the pdf file **CMO2025A2\_vwxyz.pdf** where vwxyz is your five-digit SR number. The pdf file should be generated using the **LaTeX template provided in the Class Materials folder** in the Files Section of the Team. Your pdf should include all values, justifications, and graphs (if any) that you are asked to report.
  - (b) Name the .py file **CMO2025A2\_vwxyz.py** where vwxyz is your five-digit SR number. Your python file should contain all code that you used to solve this assignment. **Only .py files are accepted**; no notebooks.
  - (c) **Name the .txt files plist\_vwxyz.txt and dlist\_vwxyz.txt where vwxyz is your 5-digit SR number.**
7. We will not open or evaluate any other file submitted.
8. Incomplete submissions (without the form, pdf, or .py file) will not be evaluated, 0 marks will be awarded.
9. **This assignment is due by 23:59, 10 October.**
10. Late submissions will incur a 20% penalty per day.

## Oracle Instructions

1. Use the Oracle in a **Unix-like** terminal.
2. Windows users must run it via Windows Subsystem for Linux (WSL).
3. Run all code for this assignment in a virtual environment with Python version 3.10.
4. Unzip the Oracle into the directory you are working in.
5. Do not modify the `oracle_2025A2_py310` folder.
6. Place your `.py` file and the `oracle_2025A2_py310` folder in the same directory. Read the instructions in the `README.md` file inside the `oracle_2025A2_py310` folder.
7. **Set up the Oracle by 23:59, 6 October.**
8. No support will be provided for oracle issues after this deadline.

## QUESTION 1 (15 points)

1. (1+1+3 points) Let  $Q$  be a symmetric positive definite matrix and  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^\top Qx - b^\top x$ . Suppose we generate directions  $\{u_k\}$  using the Gram–Schmidt recursion with the  $Q$ -inner product:

$$d_{k+1} = p_{k+1} - \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{p_{k+1}^\top Q d_i}{d_i^\top Q d_i} d_i,$$

where  $\{p_k\}$  are the raw residuals or search directions.

- (a) Are the vectors  $\{d_k\}$  produced by this recursion  $Q$ -conjugate? Justify briefly (you may assume the standard Gram–Schmidt proof structure).
- (b) What happens when  $Q = I$ ? Comment on what  $\{d_k\}$  become in this special case.
- (c) **Programming.** Query the oracle `f2(srno, True)` to obtain  $A$  (this plays the role of  $Q$ ) and  $b$ . Implement the **Conjugate Directions** method (name it `CD_SOLVE`) starting from  $x_0 = 0$ . Use the eigenvectors of  $A$  as the conjugate directions. At each iteration, compute and **print** the following quantities for the first 7 steps:
  - i. the step size  $\alpha_k$ ,
  - ii. the value  $-\nabla f(x_k)^\top u_k$ ,
  - iii. the corresponding eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ .

**Submit:** Your Python code and the printed values  $(\alpha_k, -\nabla f(x_k)^\top u_k, \lambda_k)$  for the first 7 iterations.

2. (5 points) Query the oracle `f2(srno, True)` to obtain a symmetric positive definite matrix  $A$  (this plays the role of  $Q$ ) and a vector  $b$ . Implement the Conjugate Gradient method (name the function `CG_SOLVE`), starting from  $x_0 = 0$  to solve  $Ax = b$ .

- Your `CG_SOLVE` function must support an additional optional argument `log_directions` (default = `False`).
- When `log_directions=True`, the function should also return the first  $m$  residuals  $r_0, \dots, r_{m-1}$  and the CG search directions  $p_0^{\text{CG}}, \dots, p_{m-1}^{\text{CG}}$ .
- Using the residuals (or the CG raw direction vectors) as the sequence  $\{p_k\}$ , implement the  $Q$ -Gram-Schmidt recursion above (as a function `GS_ORTHOGONALISE`) with  $Q = A$  to produce  $\{d_k\}$ .
- **Submit:** Your Python code (`CG_SOLVE` with the `log_directions` option and `GS_ORTHOGONALISE`), the CG search directions  $\{p_k^{\text{CG}}\}$ , the Gram-Schmidt  $Q$ -orthogonalised vectors  $\{d_k\}$ , and the number  $m$  of directions computed.

**You must submit *two separate .txt files*:**

- (a) `plist_vwxyz.txt` – containing all CG search directions  $p_k^{\text{CG}}$ ,
- (b) `dlist_vwxyz.txt` – containing all Gram-Schmidt  $Q$ -orthogonalised vectors  $d_k$ .

**Each vector must be written one per row (use `np.savetxt` in Python). Do not truncate — print all components of every vector. This is required for grading. Reports without these files (or in the wrong format) will not be evaluated.**

3. (2 points) Normalize each  $d_k$  in the  $A$ -inner product,

$$\tilde{d}_k = \frac{d_k}{\sqrt{d_k^\top A d_k}},$$

and form the matrix  $M$  with entries

$$M_{ij} = \tilde{d}_i^\top A \tilde{d}_j.$$

- **Submit:** the numeric matrix  $M$  (printed in your report).
4. (2 points) Compare the vectors  $\{d_k\}$  produced by  $Q$ -Gram-Schmidt with the CG search directions  $\{p_k^{\text{CG}}\}$ . Compute the  $A$ -inner-product cosine similarity for each corresponding pair:

$$\cos(\theta_k) = \frac{(p_k^{\text{CG}})^\top A d_k}{\sqrt{(p_k^{\text{CG}})^\top A p_k^{\text{CG}}} \sqrt{d_k^\top A d_k}}.$$

- **Submit:** Your code, and the list of cosine similarities  $\cos(\theta_k)$  for  $k = 0, \dots, m-1$ .
5. (1 point) Can you please conclude the purpose of this question in 1 line?

**Oracle:** Import the oracle `f2`. The arguments to be passed (strictly in the given order) are:

1. `srno`: the last five digits of your serial number passed as an integer, and,
2. `True`: which specifies that the oracle will return the data for this question.

The oracle will return:

- A symmetric positive definite (SPD) matrix  $A$ ,
- A right-hand side vector  $b$ .

### Function Specifications (for coding consistency)

- `CD_SOLVE`

- **Function Name:** `CD_SOLVE`

- **Inputs:**

1. `A`: SPD matrix from oracle (NumPy array).
2. `b`: Right-hand side vector (NumPy array).
3. `x0`: Initial point (default = zero vector).
4. `maxiter`: Maximum number of iterations (default = 100).

- **Outputs:**

1. `x`: Final iterate after Conjugate Descent.
2. `alphas`: List of step sizes  $\alpha_k$ .
3. `numerators`: List of values  $-\nabla f(x_k)^\top u_k$ .
4. `lambdas`: Corresponding eigenvalues  $\lambda_k$ .

- `CG_SOLVE`

- **Function Name:** `CG_SOLVE`

- **Inputs:**

1. `A`: SPD matrix from oracle (NumPy array or `LinearOperator`).
2. `b`: Right-hand side vector (NumPy array).
3. `tol`: Convergence tolerance (default =  $10^{-6}$ ).
4. `maxiter`: Maximum number of iterations (default = 10000).
5. `log_directions`: Boolean flag (default = `False`). When set to `True`, the function must additionally return the first  $m$  residuals and search directions.
6. `use_relative_tol`: Boolean flag (default = `False`). When set to `True`, the stopping rule becomes  $\|r_k\|/\|r_0\| < \text{tol}$  (used in Question 2).

- **Outputs (if `log_directions=False`):**

1. `x`: Approximate solution vector.
2. `iters`: Number of iterations taken.
3. `residuals`: Residual norms  $\|r_k\|_2$  at each iteration.

– **Outputs (if `log_directions=True`):**

1. `x`, `iters`, `residuals` (same as above),
2. `residual_list`: First  $m$  residuals  $\{r_0, \dots, r_{m-1}\}$ ,
3. `directions`: First  $m$  CG search directions  $\{p_0^{\text{CG}}, \dots, p_{m-1}^{\text{CG}}\}$ .

• **GS\_ORTHOGONALISE**

– **Function Name:** GS\_ORTHOGONALISE

– **Inputs:**

1. `P`: A list (or array) of vectors  $\{p_0, \dots, p_{m-1}\}$  to be orthogonalised.
2. `Q`: SPD matrix (here use  $A$  from oracle).

– **Outputs:**

1. `D`: The  $Q$ -orthogonalised vectors  $\{d_0, \dots, d_{m-1}\}$ .

Both functions should be in your submission file and callable as:

```
# Conjugate Direction
x_cd, alphas, nums, lambdas = CD_SOLVE(A, b)

# Conjugate Gradient with logging
x, iters, residuals, r_list, p_list = CG_SOLVE(A, b, log_directions=True)

# Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation
D = GS_ORTHOGONALISE(p_list, A)
```

*Remark:*

1. The same function `CG_SOLVE` will be reused in Question 2. For that question, you will simply call it with the default option `log_directions=False`, so make sure you write your implementation cleanly here.
2. Your implementation must treat  $A$  as a black-box operator. Do not assume that  $A$  is indexable; always use matrix–vector products such as `A @ x` or `A.dot(x)`. This ensures your code works both when  $A$  is a NumPy array (as in Question 1) and when  $A$  is a `scipy.sparse.linalg.LinearOperator` (as in Question 2).

## QUESTION 2 (15 points)

1. (6 points) Use the oracle `f5(srno)` to obtain a symmetric positive definite (SPD) matrix  $A$  and a vector  $b$ . Implement the Conjugate Gradient method (starting from  $x_0 = 0$ ) to solve  $Ax = b$ . Plot the residual norm  $\|r_k\|_2$  against the iteration number  $k$  and report the number of iterations required to reduce the relative residual below  $10^{-6}$ .

- **Submit:** Your CG implementation (function `CG_SOLVE`), the residual plot, and the iteration count.
2. (9 points) Now attempt to **improve the speed of convergence**. You may modify the algorithm in any way you think is appropriate. Compare the performance of your modified method against the standard CG implementation.
- **Submit:** Your modified code (function `CG_SOLVE_FAST`), comparison plots of residual norms for both methods, iteration counts, and a short discussion (5–8 sentences) on what you tried and whether it improved convergence.

**Oracle:** Import the oracle `f5`. The argument to be passed is:

1. `srno`: the last five digits of your serial number passed as an integer.

The oracle will return:

- *A*: a 10000×10000 SPD matrix, provided as a `scipy.sparse.linalg.LinearOperator` (not a NumPy array). You cannot access its entries directly. Only matrix–vector products such as `A @ x` or `A.dot(x)` are supported.
- *b*: a right-hand side vector (NumPy array).

### Function Specifications (for coding consistency)

- **Standard CG Implementation (reuse from Q1):**
  - **Function Name:** `CG_SOLVE`
  - *Note:* You must reuse the same `CG_SOLVE` function written for Question 1. For this question, call it with the default option `log_directions=False` and set `use_relative_tol=True` so that the stopping rule uses the relative residual criterion  $\|r_k\|/\|r_0\| < 10^{-6}$ .
- **Improved Implementation:**
  - **Function Name:** `CG_SOLVE_FAST`
  - **Inputs:** Same as `CG_SOLVE`.
  - **Outputs:** Same as `CG_SOLVE`.

Both functions should be in your submission file and callable as:

```
# Question 1 (standard CG with direction logging)
x1, iters1, res1, r_list, p_list = CG_SOLVE(A, b, log_directions=True)
# Question 2 (standard vs improved CG with relative residual criterion)
x2, iters2, res2 = CG_SOLVE(A, b, use_relative_tol=True)
x3, iters3, res3 = CG_SOLVE_FAST(A, b, use_relative_tol=True)
```

*Remark:* Your implementation must treat *A* as a black-box operator. Do not assume that *A* is indexable; always use matrix–vector products such as `A @ x` or `A.dot(x)`. This ensures your code works both when *A* is a NumPy array (as in Question 1) and when *A* is a `scipy.sparse.linalg.LinearOperator` (as in Question 2).

### QUESTION 3 (7 points)

Consider the **Rosenbrock function**:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = (1 - x_1)^2 + 100(x_2 - x_1^2)^2,$$

which has a unique minimiser at  $x^* = (1, 1)$ .

1. (4 points) Implement Newton's method to minimise  $f(x_1, x_2)$  using its analytic gradient and Hessian. Run the algorithm from the following four starting points:

$$(2, 2), \quad (5, 5), \quad (-10, -4), \quad (50, 60).$$

- Plot the error  $\|x_k - x^*\|_2$  versus iteration number  $k$  for each starting point (all four curves in one plot).
  - Produce **four separate contour plots** of the Rosenbrock function (in the region  $[-10, 10]^2$ ), one for each starting point, with the Newton iterates overlaid (use markers to indicate the step sequence).
  - **Submit:** Your Python code, the error plot, and the four contour plots with Newton trajectories.
2. (3 points) Compare the behaviours observed.
    - Which starting points lead to rapid convergence to  $x^*$ ?
    - Which ones fail or diverge?
    - Briefly explain why the starting point plays such a crucial role in Newton's method.
    - **Submit:** A short written explanation (5–6 sentences) interpreting your results.

### Function Specifications (for coding consistency)

- **Newton Implementation:**

- **Function Name:** `NEWTON_SOLVE`

- **Inputs:**

1. `f_grad`: Gradient function of  $f(x)$  (callable).
2. `f_hess`: Hessian function of  $f(x)$  (callable).
3. `x0`: Initial point (NumPy array of length 2).
4. `tol`: Convergence tolerance (default =  $10^{-8}$ ).
5. `maxiter`: Maximum number of iterations (default = 100).

- **Outputs:**

1. `x`: Final iterate.
2. `iters`: Number of iterations performed.
3. `trajectory`: List of iterates (for plotting Newton paths).

Your submission should include:

- The function `NEWTON_SOLVE`,
- A script that calls it from the four specified starting points,
- The error plot, and the four contour plots with Newton trajectories.

\*\*\*\*\*