

# Exercise Set: Functional Dependencies and BCNF

DBMS Course

September 6, 2024

**Problem 1.** You are given a few relation schemas and sets of FDs that hold on them. For each given schema and set of FDs on them, do the following:

1. Indicate all keys.
2. Indicate all BCNF violations. [*Do not forget to consider FDs that are not in the given set, but follow from them.*]
3. Decompose the relations, as necessary, into collections of relations that are in BCNF. Give brief arguments at each step.

1.  $R(A, B, C, D)$  with FDs  $AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow D$  and  $D \rightarrow A$ .
2.  $R(A, B, C, D)$  with FDs  $B \rightarrow C$  and  $B \rightarrow D$ .
3.  $R(A, B, C, D)$  with FDs  $AB \rightarrow C, BC \rightarrow D, CD \rightarrow A$  and  $AD \rightarrow B$ .

**Problem 2.** Suppose  $R$  is a schema with a set  $F$  of FDs that hold on them. Suppose we check each FD that belongs to  $F$  as to whether it satisfies the BCNF condition. If every FD in  $F$  passes this check, then is it true that  $R$  under  $F$  is in BCNF? Prove or disprove (disprove by showing a counter example). Solve the same problem for 3NF check.

**Problem 3.** Consider the basic step in BCNF decomposition of a relation schema  $R$ . A non-trivial FD  $X \rightarrow A$  is found to violate the BCNF condition. There are two options: (i) Choose  $X \cup A$  as one relation schema and  $R - \{A\}$  to be another relation schema. (ii) A second option to choose  $X^+$  as one relation schema and  $(R - X^+) \cup X$  as another schema.

1. Are they both correct? Prove or disprove.
2. Design an example to illustrate (for e.g., let  $R(A, B, C, D)$  be a schema with FD's  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow C$ . In (i) we can decompose  $R$  according to  $A \rightarrow B$  or to  $A \rightarrow C$ . Do we ultimately get the same result if we expand the BCND violation to  $A \rightarrow BC$ .

**Problem 4.** Let  $R$  be the example in the above problem, but let the FDs be  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $B \rightarrow C$ .

1. Compare decomposition using  $A \rightarrow B$  first against decomposing by  $A \rightarrow BC$  first.

2. Compare decomposition using  $B \rightarrow C$  first against decomposing by  $A \rightarrow BC$  first.

**Problem 5.** Suppose we have a relation schema  $R(A, B, C)$  with FD  $A \rightarrow B$  and we decide to decompose this schema into  $S(A, B)$  and  $T(B, C)$ . Give an example of a relation  $r(R)$  whose projection into  $S$  and  $T$  and subsequent rejoining does not yield the same relation instance. (i.e., the join is not lossless).

**Problem 6.** Consider the relation  $R(A, B, C, D)$  with FD  $B \rightarrow AD$ . A proposed decomposition is  $\{A, B\}, \{B, C\}$  and  $\{C, D\}$ . Is this decomposition lossless? Prove either way.

**Problem 7.** Let  $R(A, B, C, D, E)$  be decomposed into relations that are each set of three attributes:  $\{A, B, C\}, \{B, C, D\}, \{A, C, E\}$ . (i) For each of the following FDs, use the chase test to tell whether the decomposition of  $R$  is lossless. (ii) For those that are not lossless, give an example of an instance of  $R$  that, upon projection into the decomposed relation, yields more than  $R$  when re-joined.

1.  $B \rightarrow E$  and  $CE \rightarrow A$ .
2.  $AC \rightarrow E$  and  $BC \rightarrow D$ .

**Problem 8.** For each of the sets of FDs in the above problem, is the decomposition dependency preserving?

**Problem 9.** For each of the relation schemas and sets of FDs of Problem 1:

1. Indicate all the 3NF violations.
2. Decompose the relations, as necessary, into collections of relations that are in 3NF.

**Problem 10.** Consider the relation  $Courses(C, T, H, R, S, G)$  whose attributes may be thought informally as course, teacher, hour, room, student and grade. Let the set of FDs for  $Courses$  be

$$C \rightarrow T, HR \rightarrow C, HT \rightarrow R, HS \rightarrow R, \text{ and } CS \rightarrow G \quad .$$

1. What are all the keys for  $Courses$ ?
2. Verify that the given FD set is a minimal basis.
3. Use the 3NF synthesis algorithm to find a lossless join, dependency-preserving decomposition of  $R$  into 3NF relations? Are any of the relations not in BCNF?

**Problem 11.** Consider the relation  $R(A, B, C, D, E)$  with FDs  $AB \rightarrow C, C \rightarrow B$  and  $A \rightarrow D$ .

1. Is it a minimal basis?
2. If we partition into  $\{A, B, C\}, \{B, C\}$  and  $\{A, D\}$ , is it lossless?
3. Give a 3NF lossless join, dependency preserving decomposition.

**Problem 12.** Let  $R = \{A, B, C\}$  and  $S = \{A, B\}$  and  $T = \{B, C\}$  be the decomposition of  $R$ . Given  $r(R)$ , let  $s(S) = \pi_S(r)$  and  $t(T) = \pi_T(r)$ . We are given the condition that for any value  $b \in \pi_B(R)$ , one of the following two conditions hold:

$$(i) \quad |\sigma_{B=b}(S)| = 1, \text{ or, } (ii) \quad |\sigma_{B=b}(T)| = 1 \quad .$$

Is the decomposition lossless?