## Lesson 7-Key!

## YOUR NAME

#### 2023-11-28

## Learning goals

- Using R's built-in loop functions: apply, lapply and contrasting them with for loops
- Conditional statements
- Investigate sampling error
- Visualize confidence intervals.
- Adding error bars to plots

## Apply and lapply

Last week you learned about loops, which are standard in all programming languages. However, R has some built in functions that perform similar operations and are a bit more simple to use. They belong to the "apply" family. Here are some differences between apply and lapply Differences between lapply and apply: Data Types:

- lapply works on lists and vectors.
- apply works on matrices, arrays, and data.frames.

## Return Type:

- lapply always returns a list.
- apply can return a vector, matrix, or array, depending on the function being applied and the input's dimensions.

#### Use Case:

- Use lapply when you have a list or vector and you want to apply a function to each of its elements.
- Use apply when you have a matrix or array or data.frame and you want to apply a function across its
  rows or columns.

There are many other functions in the apply family but we are just focusing on these two. I recommend typing? apply and? lapply into the console before starting. The second argument, margin, can be tricky.

```
mat <- matrix(1:12, nrow=3)
column_sums <- apply(mat, 2, sum)
print(column_sums)</pre>
```

1. Let's start super basic. Say you have a matrix mat <- matrix(1:12, nrow=3). You want to find the sum of each column. paste the matrix first then use apply to find the sum. Name it something then print the result

```
## [1] 6 15 24 33
```

2. Use lapply to find how many characters are in each name. Save as an object and then print. To count characters, you can use the nchar function. Here are your names, get counting names\_list <-list("Alessandra", "Penelope", "Meigui", "Tatiana", "Keisuke", "Nadia", "Amy")

```
## [[1]]
## [1] 10
##
## [[2]]
## [1] 8
##
## [[3]]
## [1] 6
##
## [[4]]
## [1] 7
##
## [[5]]
## [1] 7
##
## [[6]]
## [1] 5
##
## [[7]]
## [1] 3
```

What is different about this output? Correct, it's a list!

**3.** Comparing apply and for loops You're a teacher and you've just given your students a test. The test scores are stored in a matrix where each row represents a student and each column represents a test question. Calculate the average score for each student using both apply and a for loop. Your matrix is called scores and has all the information you need.

```
## Math English Biology History
## Alice 85 90 78 88
## Bob 92 80 95 90
## Charlie 60 55 78 84
```

First use the new functions you learned to find the average test score for each student.

```
"'{r. ex3.1 } #use apply averages_apply <- apply(scores, 1, mean)
```

Now use a for loop. Remember to create blank variables first to store your information. You can call th

```
"""
#first count the number of scores in scores and save it as num_students
num_students <- nrow(scores)
#create blank variable called averages. Make it numeric with as many elements as num_students
averages <- numeric(num_students)
#create your for loop to iteratively calculate the mean score for each student and store it in different
for (i in 1:num_students) {
   averages[i] <- mean(scores[i,])
}
#name the object averages using the rownames of object scores
names(averages) <- rownames(scores)</pre>
```

- Which of these was easier for you? Which student is doing the best?
- Which class do you think is hardest? Lets do the process again to find the average (mean) for each class

First use apply and save the results in an object called class\_averages\_apply:

```
"'{r. ex3.3 } #create object class_averages_apply <- apply(scores, 2, mean) #print your object
```

Then use a `for` loop and save the results in an object called `class\_averages\_loop`:

```
```r
```

#first count the number of subjects in the scores matrix and save it as num\_subjects:
num\_subjects <- ncol(scores)</pre>

#create blank variable called class\_averages\_loop. Make it numeric with as many elements as num\_subject class\_averages\_loop <- numeric(num\_subjects)

```
#create your for loop to iteratively calculate the mean score per subject
for (j in 1:num_subjects) {
   class_averages_loop[j] <- mean(scores[,j])
}
#name the object class_averages_loop using the colnames of object scores
names(class_averages_loop) <- colnames(scores)
#print your object</pre>
```

And now you understand the joy of the apply family!

### **Conditional Statements**

Conditional Statements are a key part of any programming language.

#### 1. if Statement:

The if statement evaluates a condition, and if that condition is TRUE, it executes the code inside the statement. Change x to a few different numbers to see how the output changes!

```
x <- 10

if (x > 5) {
   print("x is greater than 5")
}
```

```
## [1] "x is greater than 5"
```

In the above code, since x is indeed greater than 5, the message "x is greater than 5" will be printed.

Change x to a few different numbers to see how the output changes!

### 2. if-else Statement:

There might be cases where you want to execute one set of instructions if the condition is TRUE and another set if it's FALSE. This is where the if-else statement comes in.

```
if (x > 5) {
  print("x is greater than 5")
} else {
  print("x is not greater than 5")
}
```

```
## [1] "x is not greater than 5"
```

You can keep adding else statements!

#### 3. if-else if-else Ladder:

For multiple conditions, we can use an if-else ladder.

```
if (x > 10) {
  print("x is greater than 10")
} else if (x > 5) {
  print("x is greater than 5 but less than or equal to 10")
} else {
  print("x is less than or equal to 5")
}
```

#### ## [1] "x is less than or equal to 5"

And so on and so on. This is really useful for making code do complicated things!

Change x to a few different numbers to see how the output changes!

4. Write a basic if statement that prints "this number is positive" when that is true. Try some numbers!

```
number <-3
if (number > 0) {
  print("The number is positive.")
}
```

#### ## [1] "The number is positive."

Now add an else if statement that tells you if the number is negative, and an else that tells you if its 0.

```
if (number > 0) {
  print("The number is positive.")
} else if (number < 0) {
  print("The number is negative.")
} else {
  print("The number is zero.")
}</pre>
```

#### ## [1] "The number is positive."

Amazing! You now understand basic conditionals! Let's combine this with loops. Here is an example of a loop that contains an if statement Lets say you have a bunch of numbers and you want to know how many are positive.

This loop adds one to the count for each positive number.

```
# I gave you a bunch of random numbers.
numbers <- c(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10)
# start your code here
# create a counter variable called sum_even

sum_even <- 0
for (num in numbers) {
  if (num %% 2 == 0) {
    sum_even <- sum_even + num
  }
}</pre>
```

5. Write a loop telling me the sum of all the even numbers.

## More work in sampling

Now we are going to return to our example from last class, the human genes data set. We are going to graph more sampling distributions and add error bars to some plots.

6. Last class we compared the means of differently sized samples. Generally, the larger your sample, the closer it will be to the true population mean. The human gene data set is a bit weird though. Because it has a few genes that are huge, a large sample size might be more likely to include one of those giant genes and mess up your mean.

For a real experiment you would just deal with that. For our purposes, you should filter the data set to only include genes smaller than 15000 base pairs. Load in your data and do this. You can keep it's name the same

Note: This issue with the long genes is explained in the key for lab 6.

```
#load the readr library
library(readr)

#read in human_genes.csv into human_genes using read_csv.
human_genes <- read_csv("human_genes.csv")</pre>
```

```
## Rows: 22385 Columns: 4
## -- Column specification -------
## Delimiter: ","
## chr (3): gene, name, description
## dbl (1): size
##
## i Use `spec()` to retrieve the full column specification for this data.
## i Specify the column types or set `show_col_types = FALSE` to quiet this message.
##filter dataset to only contain genes of size <=15000 and save it as human genes2</pre>
```

human\_genes2 <- human\_genes %>% filter(size <= 15000)</pre>

Run the loop you wrote last week and investigate the means now that we have filtered the data. This was the loop where you took 100 samples of three different sizes: 10, 100, 1000, with replacement, and calculated their means. Do this again here with this filtered dataset and look at sample sizes of 10, 50, and 500. What do you see?

```
#calculate the mean gene length for human_genes
mean_all_genes_15000<-mean(human_genes$size)
#create empty numeric vectors with 1000 positions for the means. Call them
→ mean_values_10, mean_values_50, mean_values_500
mean_values_10 <- numeric(1000)</pre>
mean_values_50 <- numeric(1000)</pre>
mean_values_500 <- numeric(1000)</pre>
#start your loop here. Take samples with replacement, sizes 10, 50, 500 and take the
\rightarrow median of those samples
for (i in 1:1000) {
 mean_values_10[i] <- mean(sample(human_genes2$size, 10, replace = TRUE))</pre>
 mean values 50[i] <- mean(sample(human genes2$size, 50, replace = TRUE))
 mean_values_500[i] <- mean(sample(human_genes2$size, 500, replace = TRUE))</pre>
}
#take the mean of means (the grand mean) of these three vectors and save them to a new
→ vector called grand means
grand_means<-c(mean(mean_values_10), mean(mean_values_50), mean(mean_values_500))
#compare these three means with the real mean of the filtered dataset
abs(mean_all_genes_15000-grand_means)
```

## [1] 128.81269 94.17623 102.58659

## 7. What's up with these samples?

1. Start by finding the standard error of the mean, which is your the standard deviation of the samapling distribution. Your three sampling distributions were created above and are called mean\_values\_10, mean\_values\_50, and mean\_values 500.

```
#call them sem_10, sem_50, sem_500

sem_10<-sd(mean_values_10)
sem_50<-sd(mean_values_50)
sem_500<-sd(mean_values_500)</pre>
```

Congratulations! You just found the standard error by taking the standard deviation of the sampling distributions!

Now, think about which one of them should have the lowest SEM? Now check if that's true. Does it match your expectations?

2. Now lets find the 95% confidence intervals. Type ?quantile into your console to learn how to use this neat function. Hint: We want 95% of the data to be within the intervals, so what should the quantiles be?

```
#call them CI_10, CI_500, CI_500
CI_10 <- quantile(mean_values_10, probs = c(0.025, 0.975))
CI_50 <- quantile(mean_values_50, probs = c(0.025, 0.975))
CI_500 <- quantile(mean_values_500, probs = c(0.025, 0.975))
```

Now we have these confidence intervals, and you didn't need a crazy formula or assumptions about the mean being normally distributed! You simply took samples with replacement and calculated your confidence intervals empirically - aka, you used bootstrapping!

8. Lets add the CIs to a graph! Lets first make a data frame of all the information we will need for the chart. You need the following columns: SampleSize, MeanValues, UpperCI and LowerCI. You can use the ones you've calculated above.

```
#create a data.frame called df containing the columns listed above.

df <- data.frame(
   SampleSize = c(10, 50, 500),
   MeanValues = c(mean(mean_values_10), mean(mean_values_50), mean(mean_values_500)),
   CI_lower = c(CI_10[1], CI_50[1], CI_500[1]),
   CI_upper = c(CI_10[2], CI_50[2], CI_500[2])
)</pre>
```

Amazing! Now make a strip chart using this data frame. Don't worry about CI yet. Just make a simple plot using geom\_point() for each of the means, grouped by sample size. Add labels though. See the expected output document for inspiration.


```
#first, make sure SampleSize is treated as a factor

#now create your plot code here and save it as p1
p1<-ggplot(df, aes(x = as.factor(SampleSize), y = MeanValues)) +
    #geom_bar(stat = "summary", fun = "mean", fill = "skyblue", position =
    position_dodge(width = 0.9)) +
        geom_point(size=2)+</pre>
```

```
labs(
   title = "Grand means from sampling distributions of three sample sizes",
   x = "Sample Size",
   y = "Mean Value",
   fill = "Sample Size"
) +
   theme_minimal()

#print p1, aka, your plot
print(p1)
```


## Grand means from sampling distributions of three sample sizes



Gorgeous. Now add your error bars. Use <code>geom\_errorbar</code> to see the difference in those sample sizes. Add <code>geom\_errorbar</code> after <code>geom\_point()</code>. Inside aes(), use ymin and ymax with the lower and upper CIs, respectively.

```
#print p1, aka, your plot
print(p2)
```





Those are super different! As you can see, larger sample sizes create narrower confidence intervals. This is **CRUCIAL** for your own data analysis.


**9. Another graph** Above we plotted only the grand means of the sampling distributions. But what do these sample distributions look like compared to a normal distribution? R has a built in plot (gasp it's not ggplot2) called a density plot that will show us. First, use the density function to find the density of each of your sample means. Name them something you'll remember. PS: ggplot also has an option for density plots.

```
dense10<-density(mean_values_10)
dense50<-density(mean_values_50)
dense500<-density(mean_values_500)</pre>
```


Now use the R function "plot" to plot each of these.

```
plot10<-plot(dense10, main = "Density Plot of Sample Means, n=10", xlab = "Sample Mean")</pre>
```

## Density Plot of Sample Means, n=10




# Density Plot of Sample Means, n=100



```
plot500<-plot(dense500, main = "Density Plot of Sample Means, n=500", xlab = "Sample \rightarrow Mean")
```

# Density Plot of Sample Means, n=500



You see as the n increases they get more normal!

The end!