

Subhajit Banerjee
University of California, Davis
June 1, 2018

1 Problem Statement

In \mathbb{R}^d given a unit-hypercube, i.e., $\Omega := [0, 1]^d$ and a set of linear or nonlinear inequality constraints $\{g_i(x) \geq 0\}_{i=1}^m$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, generate `n_results` number of sample points such that:

$$\forall s \in [1, \dots, \text{n_results}] \text{ and } \forall i \in [1, \dots, m] \quad g_i(\mathbf{x}_s) \geq 0$$

where $\mathbf{x}_s \in \mathbb{R}^d$; i.e., *all* the sampled points should satisfy *all* the given constraints.

2 Solution

First, Let us define the feasible space $\mathcal{C} \subset \Omega$ as

$$\mathcal{C} := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d | g_i(\mathbf{x}_s) \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in [1, \dots, m]\}.$$

The generated samples would only be useful if they *scope-out* as much of the feasible space \mathcal{C} as possible. Random sampling techniques such as *Monte-Carlo sampling*, *Latin hypercube sampling*, etc. generate random samples of parameter values from a multidimensional probability distribution. In our case, these parameters are the componnets $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^d$ of the vector $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x_1, \dots, x_d)$. For higher-dimensional parameter-space ($d \gg 1$), the Monte-Carlo scheme tends to generate clustered data points. This invalidates the scoping-out of \mathcal{C} with the samples. On the contrary Latin hypercube sampling divides the range of each independent parameters ($x_j \in [0, 1]$) equally probable divisions (bins) and the samples are subsequently drawn subjected to the *Latin hypercube requirements*. This leads to more *spread-out* samples $\in \mathcal{C}$. Hence, this is the approach followed to generate the samples for the given problem.

2.1 Algorithm

In 1 we describe the algorithm developed to seek the samples $\mathbf{x}_s \in \mathcal{C}$. This is implemented as the method `constraint_latin_hypercube` of the class `Unithypercube` which is contained in the script `sampler.py`.

Algorithm 1 Constrained Latin Hypercube

```
1: procedure CONSTRAINTLATINHYPERCUBE( $n\_results, \{g_i(\mathbf{x})\}_i^m, max\_it$ )
2:    $sample\_size \leftarrow n\_results$  ▷ sample_size as input to LH sampler
3:    $max\_iter \leftarrow 5$  ▷ Hard-code maximum number of trials as 5
4:   while  $iter \leq max\_iter$  do
5:      $\{\mathbf{x}_s\} = LatinHypercubeSampler(sample\_size)$ 
6:     for  $s \in sample\_size$  do
7:       if  $\{g_i(\mathbf{x}_s)\}_{i=1}^m \geq 0$  then
8:          $true\_sample\_ind(s) = True$ 
9:       else
10:         $true\_sample\_ind(s) = False$ 
11:      end if
12:    end for
13:     $count\_pass \leftarrow \# \text{ of True elements in } true\_sample\_ind$ 
14:    if  $count\_pass \geq n\_results$  then
15:      Found all the samples satisfying the all the constraints Break
16:    else
17:       $sample\_size \leftarrow 1.1 * sample\_size$  ▷ Increase the sample size by 10%
18:       $iter \leftarrow iter + 1$ 
19:    end if
20:  end while
21:  Return  $\{\mathbf{x}_s\}$ 
22: end procedure
```

3 A Second Approach

Another approach, which is proposed here but not implemented is described next. To this end, first let us denote $[z]^- = \max\{0, -z\}$ and define:

$$h(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^m [g_i(\mathbf{x})]^-.$$

Now, for a given initial guess satisfying $\{g_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\}_{i=1}^m$, we seek local minima of $h(\mathbf{x})$. Hence, the samples are given as:

$$\mathbf{x}_s^* = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x}} h(\mathbf{x}).$$

One of the trivial ways to do this, using MATLAB say, is to solve an nonlinear optimization problem (solver: `fmincon`) with objective function $f(\mathbf{x}) = 0$ subject to the constraints $\{g_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0\}_{i=1}^m$.