## Fields, Forms and Flows 3/34

## Problem Sheet 3

Due: Wednesday 24 October

To hand in: FFF3: 2, 3, 6(a)(b) FFF34: 2, 3, 7

©University of Bristol 2018. This material is copyright of the University unless explicitly stated otherwise. It is provided exclusively for educational purposes at the University and is to be downloaded or copied for your private study only. Problems marked \* are not examinable (but are still worth trying!)

1. Let

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$ 

Show that

$$e^{tA} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos t & -\sin t \\ \sin t & \cos t \end{pmatrix}.$$

- 2. Action-angle variables for the simple harmonic oscillator.
  - (a) Find the general solution of the simple harmonic oscillator equation,

$$\ddot{x} = -\omega^2 x.$$

(b) Write the simple harmonic oscillator as a first-order autonomous system for z = (q, p), where q = x and  $p = \dot{x}$ , of the form

$$\dot{z} = \mathbb{X}(z).$$

Verify that X is linear.

(c) Consider the change of coordinates

$$Z = (\theta, I) = F(z),$$

where

$$\theta(z) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{\omega q}{p}\right), \quad I(z) = \frac{p^2 + \omega^2 q^2}{2\omega}.$$

The coordinates  $(\theta, I)$  are called *action-angle variables* ( $\theta$  is the angle, and I is the action). Compute the push forward  $\mathbb{Y} = F_* \mathbb{X}$ , and find thereby the first-order system

$$(\dot{\theta}, \dot{I}) = \mathbb{Y}(\theta, I)$$

satisfied by  $(\theta, I)$ . Verify that  $\mathbb{Y}$  is constant.

(d) Solve the preceding system for  $\theta(t)$  and I(t) to obtain the flow  $\Psi_t$  of  $\mathbb{Y}$ ,

1

$$(\theta(t), I(t)) = \Psi_t(\theta_0, I_0).$$

(e) Compute

$$\Phi_t = F^{-1} \circ \Psi_t \circ F,$$

and show this agrees with the solution you calculated in a) above.

- 3. Pushforward example.
  - (a) Let X and Y be open sets in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  given by

$$X = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid u \neq 1\}, \quad Y = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \mid x \neq -1\}.$$

(While it's not necessary, for the sake of clarity we are using different coordinates in X and Y, namely (u, v) for points in X and (x, y) for points in Y.) Show that  $F: X \to Y$  given by

$$F(u,v) = \left(\frac{1+u}{1-u}, \ u+v\right)$$

is a diffeomorphism with inverse  $F^{-1}:Y\to X$  given by

$$F^{-1}(x,y) = \left(\frac{x-1}{x+1}, \ y - \frac{x-1}{x+1}\right).$$

(b) Let  $\mathbb{X}(u,v)=(v,1)$  be a vector field on X. Show that

$$(F_*X)(F(u,v)) = \left(\frac{2v}{(1-u)^2}, v+1\right).$$

Hence show that

$$(F_*X)(x,y) = \left((x+1)^2y/2 - (x^2-1)/2, \ y + \frac{2}{x+1}\right).$$

(c) Let  $\mathbb{Y}(u,v)=(u^2+v^2,uv)$  be another vector field on X. Show that

$$[X, Y](u, v) = ((u+2)v, u+v^2).$$

[Some remarks, just for information: Transformations of the form  $x \mapsto (ax+b)/(cx+d)$  are called fractional linear transformations, or Möbius transformations. They can be extended to maps of complex numbers (in which case a, b, c and d can be complex as well). In fact, by identifying the extended complex plane ( $\mathbb{C}$  along with "the point at infinity") with the two-sphere  $S^2 = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1\}$  via stereographic projection,

$$z \mapsto (x, y, z) = \frac{1}{1 + z\overline{z}} (2\operatorname{Re} z, 2\operatorname{Im} z, 1 - z\overline{z}),$$

these transformations can be regarded as diffeomorphisms on  $S^2$ . As an exercise, you might like to verify that composition of two fractional linear transformations, say  $z \mapsto (az + b)/(cz + d)$  and  $z \mapsto (Az + B)/(Cz + D)$  is another fractional linear transformation  $z \mapsto (\alpha z + \beta)/(\gamma z + \delta)$  whose coefficients are obtained given by

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ c & d \end{array}\right).]$$

4. Starting from the formula  $[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}] = (\mathbb{X} \cdot \nabla)\mathbb{Y} - (\mathbb{Y} \cdot \nabla)\mathbb{X}$ , prove the Jacobi identity in the form

$$[[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}], \mathbb{Z}] + [[\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{X}], \mathbb{Y}] + [[\mathbb{Y}, \mathbb{Z}], \mathbb{X}] = 0$$

(Suggestion: show explicitly that certain pairs of representative terms cancel, and then argue that all terms come in such pairs.)

5. Jacobi bracket for vector fields in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Let  $\mathbf{f}(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{r})$  be vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . Show that

$$[\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g}] = -\mathbf{\nabla} \times (\mathbf{f} \times \mathbf{g}) + (\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{g})\mathbf{f} - (\mathbf{\nabla} \cdot \mathbf{f})\mathbf{g}.$$

- 6. Linear vector fields.
  - (a) Let  $\mathbb{X}(x) = Ax$ ,  $\mathbb{Y}(x) = Bx$ , where A, B are  $n \times n$  matrices. Show that  $[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}] = Cx$ , where C = BA AB.
  - (b) Let F(x) = Sx, where S is an invertible  $n \times n$  matrix, so that F is a diffeomorphism. Verify Proposition 9.2, i.e.

$$F_*[X,Y] = [F_*X, F_*Y]$$

by using the explicit formulas for the push forward and Jacobi bracket of linear vector fields.

(c) The commutator of matrices A and B, denoted [A, B], is defined as

$$[A, B] = AB - BA.$$

Verify that

$$[A, [B, C]] + [B, [C, A]] + [C, [A, B]] = 0.$$

Show that this is equivalent to the Jacobi identity for linear vector fields.

7. Spherical polar coordinates.

A diffeomorphism  $F: U \to V$  can be viewed in two ways. The first, the active point of view, is that F maps points in U to points in V. The second, the passive point of view, is that F describes a change of coordinates. That is, F(x) associates new coordinates, namely  $(F_1(x), \ldots, F_n(x))$ , to a given point x whose old coordinates were just  $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ . We take the passive point of view in this question, which concerns the transformation between cartesian and spherical polar coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

(a) Let R and  $\Theta$  be vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}^3 = \{(x, y, z)\}$  given by

$$\begin{split} R(x,y,z) &= (x,y,z), \\ \Theta(x,y,z) &= (xz,yz,-(x^2+y^2)). \end{split}$$

Show that

$$[R,\Theta] := (R \cdot \nabla)\Theta - (\Theta \cdot \nabla)R = \Theta, \tag{1}$$

where the symbol := means "defined", and

$$\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}\right).$$

(b) Let  $F: \mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$  be given by

$$F(x, y, z) = (r(x, y, z), \theta(x, y, z), \phi(x, y, z)),$$

where

$$r(x, y, z) = (x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2})^{1/2},$$

$$\theta(x, y, z) = \cos^{-1}\left(\frac{z}{(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2})^{1/2}}\right),$$

$$\phi(x, y, z) = \tan^{-1}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right).$$

From the passive point of view, F describes the transformation to spherical polar coordinates. F is not a diffeomorphism (spherical polar coordinates are not good coordinates on all of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  – the singularities lie along the z-axis), but we will ignore this fact here (the formulas we derive will be valid away from the z-axis). Show that

$$F_*R(r, \theta, \phi) = (r, 0, 0),$$
  
 $F_*\Theta(r, \theta, \phi) = (0, r \sin \theta, 0).$ 

Hence show by direct calculation (and thereby confirm the general formula  $[F_*X, F_*Y] = F_*[X, Y]$ ) that

$$[F_*R, F_*\Theta] := (F_*R \cdot \nabla)F_*\Theta - (F_*\Theta \cdot \nabla)F_*R = F_*\Theta, \tag{2}$$

where

$$\nabla = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial r}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right).$$

Remark: Let me try to anticipate and resolve some possible confusion (and hopefully not create confusion where none existed). You might be asking, "Why in (1) do we take  $\nabla = (\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y, \partial/\partial z)$ , while in (2) we take  $\nabla = (\partial/\partial r, \partial/\partial \theta, \partial/\partial \phi)$ ?" In (1),  $\partial R^j/\partial y$  means, "Take the partial derivative of the jth component of R with respect to its second argument, which we choose to call y". In (2),  $\partial (F_*R)^k/\partial \theta$  means, "Take the partial derivative of the kth component of  $F_*R$  with respect to its second argument, which we choose to call  $\theta$ ". This is an illustration of the fact that the formalism we are developing treats all coordinates systems on the same footing; formulas have the same structure in all coordinate systems. Indeed, this is what the formula  $[F_*X, F_*Y] = F_*[X, Y]$  is saying from the passive point of view; the expression for the Jacobi bracket looks the same in all coordinate systems.

8. \* Let

$$\mathbb{X}(x) = \cos x^3, \quad \mathbb{Y}(x) = \sin x^3$$

be vector fields on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that  $\mathbb{X}$  and  $\mathbb{Y}$  are complete, but that  $[\mathbb{X}, \mathbb{Y}]$  is not complete.