

# Fields, Forms and Flows 3/34

## Solution Sheet 7

©University of Bristol 2018. This material is copyright of the University unless explicitly stated otherwise. It is provided exclusively for educational purposes at the University and is to be downloaded or copied for your private study only.

1. There are many ways to do this. The suggestion is to start with  $f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(1)}$ , then move  $f^{(1)}$  to the left by a sequence of transpositions with its neighbours until it gets to the front.  $f^{(1)}$  has to move through 4 factors, so 4 transpositions are required, and we get no net sign:

$$f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(1)} = +f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(4)}.$$

Next, move  $f^{(4)}$  to the left to where it should be, namely just after  $f^{(3)}$ . It has to move through two factors, so two transpositions are required, and there is no sign change.

$$f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(4)} = +f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)}.$$

Finally, swap  $f^{(8)}$  and  $f^{(6)}$  (which produces a sign change) to get

$$f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)} = -f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(8)}.$$

So

$$f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(8)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(1)} = -f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(4)} \wedge f^{(6)} \wedge f^{(8)}.$$

So  $s = -1$ .

2. Let  $a = a_i f^{(i)}$  be a 1-form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $b = \frac{1}{2} b_{jk} f^{(j)} \wedge f^{(k)}$  be a 2-form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$a_i = \begin{cases} \alpha, & i = 1, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad b_{jk} = \begin{cases} \beta, & j = 2, k = 3, \\ -\beta, & j = 3, k = 2, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $c = a \wedge b$ . We have that

$$c_{123} = c(e_{(1)}, e_{(2)}, e_{(3)}) = \frac{1}{2} (a(e_{(1)})b(e_{(2)}, e_{(3)}) - a(e_{(1)})b(e_{(3)}, e_{(2)})) + (\text{terms involving } a(e_{(j)}), j \neq 1)$$

But  $a(e_{(j)})$  vanishes unless  $j = 1$ , so that

$$c_{123} = \frac{1}{2} (\alpha\beta - \alpha(-\beta)) = \alpha\beta.$$

Clearly this is equal to  $a_1 b_{23} = \alpha\beta$ .

On the other hand,

$$c_{231} = c_{123} = \alpha\beta,$$

since 231 is obtained from 123 by an even permutation, and, in general,

$$w_{i_{\sigma(1)} \dots i_{\sigma(k)}} = \text{sgn}(\sigma) w_{i_1 \dots i_k},$$

while  $a_2 b_{31} = 0$ . Thus, in general,

$$c_{ijk} \neq a_i b_{jk}.$$

It is still nevertheless true that

$$\frac{1}{3!} c_{ijk} f^{(i)} \wedge f^{(j)} \wedge f^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2!} a_i b_{jk} f^{(i)} \wedge f^{(j)} \wedge f^{(k)}. \quad (1)$$

The reason is that, in general,  $w_{i_1 \dots i_k} f^{(i_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(i_k)} = 0$  (where a sum over the  $i_j$ 's is implied) does not imply that the coefficients  $w_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  vanish. The basis  $k$ -forms  $f^{(i_1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(i_k)}$  are not linearly independent, although the restricted set of basis  $k$ -forms in which  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq n$  are linearly independent.

3. (a) Let  $a$  be a nonzero  $(n-1)$ -form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let us show that there is at least one basis 1-form  $f^{(r)}$  for which  $f^{(r)} \wedge a \neq 0$ . Introduce the shorthand

$$F^{(j)} = f^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(j-1)} \wedge f^{(j+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(n)}$$

for the basis  $(n-1)$ -form given by the consecutive wedge product of the  $f^{(i)}$ 's with  $f^{(j)}$  omitted. We expand  $a$  as

$$a = a_j F^{(j)}.$$

Then

$$f^{(j)} \wedge a = (-1)^{j-1} a_j f^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(n)},$$

which vanishes if and only if  $a_j = 0$ . Since  $a \neq 0$ , at least one of the  $a_j$ 's mustn't vanish. For definiteness, let's assume

$$a_n \neq 0.$$

Let

$$g^{(j)} = \left( (-1)^{j-1} f^{(j)} - (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{a_n} f^{(n)} \right), \quad 1 \leq j \leq n-1.$$

Then

$$g^{(j)} \wedge a = \left( a_j - \frac{a_j}{a_n} a_n \right) f^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(n)} = 0.$$

The  $g^{(j)}$ 's are clearly linearly independent.

Claim that

$$a = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} a_n g^{(1)} \wedge g^{(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge g^{(n-1)}.$$

Indeed, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} g^{(1)} \wedge g^{(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge g^{(n-1)} &= \left( f^{(1)} - (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a_1}{a_n} f^{(n)} \right) \wedge \left( -f^{(2)} - (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a_2}{a_n} f^{(n)} \right) \wedge \dots \\ &\quad \dots \wedge \left( (-1)^{n-2} f^{(n-1)} - (-1)^{n-1} \frac{a_{n-1}}{a_n} f^{(n)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

All terms in the wedge product which involve two or more factors of  $f^{(n)}$  vanish, so the surviving terms include the one term in which no factor of  $f^{(n)}$  appears, namely

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)} \wedge (-f^{(2)}) \wedge \dots \wedge ((-1)^{n-2} f^{(n-1)}) &= (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} f^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(n-1)} \\ &= (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} F^{(n)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the  $(n-1)$ -terms in which a single factor of  $f^{(n)}$  appears. For example the term in which the  $j$ th factor in the wedge product is taken to be  $f^{(n)}$  (times a scalar factor) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} f^{(1)} \wedge (-f^{(2)}) \wedge \dots \wedge ((-1)^{j-2} f^{(j-1)}) \wedge \left( -(-1)^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{a_n} f^{(n)} \right) \wedge ((-1)^j f^{(j+1)}) \wedge \dots \\ \quad \dots \wedge ((-1)^{n-2} f^{(n-1)}) \\ = -(-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2 + (n-1) - (j-1)} \frac{a_j}{a_n} f^{(1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(j-1)} \wedge f^{(n)} \wedge f^{(j+1)} \wedge \dots \wedge f^{(n-1)} \\ = -(-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2 + (n-1) - (j-1) + (n-j-1)} \frac{a_j}{a_n} F^{(j)} \\ = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} \frac{a_j}{a_n} F^{(j)}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$g^{(1)} \wedge g^{(2)} \wedge \dots \wedge g^{(n-1)} = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} \left( F^{(n)} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{a_j}{a_n} F^{(j)} \right) = (-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} \frac{1}{a_n} a_j F^{(j)},$$

where in this last expression a summation over  $j$  is to be understood. Therefore,

$$(-1)^{(n-1)(n-2)/2} a_n g^{(1)} \wedge g^{(2)} \wedge \cdots \wedge g^{(n-1)} = a,$$

as required.

(b) We have that

$$r \wedge r = 2a \wedge b \wedge c \wedge d,$$

since the terms  $(a \wedge b) \wedge (a \wedge b)$  and  $(c \wedge d) \wedge (c \wedge d)$  vanish by antisymmetry, and  $(a \wedge b) \wedge (c \wedge d) = (c \wedge d) \wedge (a \wedge b)$ . Then

$$r \wedge r(e_{(i_1)}, e_{(i_2)}, e_{(i_3)}, e_{(i_4)}) = M(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4),$$

where  $M(i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4)$  is the determinant of the  $4 \times 4$  matrix whose  $r$ th row consists of the  $i_r$ th components of  $a, b, c, d$ . This may be regarded as a minor of the  $n \times 4$  rectangular matrix whose columns are  $a, b, c, d$ . If all of these minors vanish, then  $a, b, c, d$  are linearly dependent, contrary to assumption. Therefore,  $r \wedge r \neq 0$ . This implies that we cannot find one-forms  $g^{(1)}$  and  $g^{(2)}$  for which  $r = g^{(1)} \wedge g^{(2)}$ , for this would imply that  $r \wedge r = 0$ . Thus, the property of  $(n-1)$ -forms on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  explored in the first part of this question does not extend to 2-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  (but it holds, of course, for 2-forms on  $\mathbb{R}^3$ ).

4. (a) We have that

$$i_v a = v^j a_j.$$

If we associate  $v$  and  $a$  with conventional vectors,  $i_v a$  corresponds to the dot product of  $v$  and  $a$ .

(b) We have that

$$i_v b = (-v^2 b_{12} + v^3 b_{31}) f^{(1)} + (v^1 b_{12} - v^3 b_{23}) f^{(2)} + (v^2 b_{23} - v^1 b_{31}) f^{(3)}.$$

If we associate  $v$  with the vector  $\mathbf{V} = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$  and  $b$  with the vector  $\mathbf{B} = (b_{23}, b_{31}, b_{12})$  and  $i_v b = (-v^2 b_{12} + v^3 b_{31}, v^1 b_{12} - v^3 b_{23}, v^2 b_{23} - v^1 b_{31})$ , then  $i_v b$  corresponds to  $\mathbf{B} \times \mathbf{V}$ .

(c) We have that

$$i_v \rho = \rho_{123} \left( v^3 f^{(1)} \wedge f^{(2)} + v^1 f^{(2)} \wedge f^{(3)} + v^2 f^{(3)} \wedge f^{(1)} \right).$$

If we associate  $v$  with the vector  $\mathbf{V} = (v^1, v^2, v^3)$  and  $i_v \rho$  with the vector  $\mathbf{W} = \rho_{123}(v^1, v^2, v^3)$ , then  $\mathbf{W} = \rho_{123} \mathbf{V}$ .