

Fields, Forms and Flows 3/34

Solution Sheet 8

©University of Bristol 2018. This material is copyright of the University unless explicitly stated otherwise. It is provided exclusively for educational purposes at the University and is to be downloaded or copied for your private study only.

1. (a) $f(x) = \sin(x^1)x^2$ is a 0-form, ie a function, and

$$df = \cos(x^1)x^2 dx^1 + \sin(x^1) dx^2.$$

- (b) $\alpha(x) = \cos x^1 dx^2 + dx^3$ is a 1-form, and

$$d\alpha = -\sin x^1 dx^1 \wedge dx^2.$$

- (c) $\beta = f\alpha$ is a 1-form, and

$$d\beta = df \wedge \alpha + f d\alpha.$$

Using the preceding results,

$$\begin{aligned} d\beta &= (\cos(x^1)x^2 dx^1 + \sin(x^1)dx^2) \wedge (\cos x^1 dx^2 + dx^3) + (\sin(x^1)x^2)(-\sin x^1 dx^1 \wedge dx^2) \\ &= \cos^2(x^1)x^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \cos(x^1)x^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \sin(x^1) dx^2 \wedge dx^3 - \sin^2(x^1)x^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \\ &= (\cos^2(x^1) - \sin^2(x^1))x^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \cos(x^1)x^2 dx^1 \wedge dx^3 + \sin(x^1) dx^2 \wedge dx^3. \end{aligned}$$

- (d) $\gamma = df \wedge \alpha$ is a 2-form, and

$$d\gamma = d^2 f \wedge \alpha - df \wedge d\alpha = -df \wedge d\alpha.$$

Using the preceding results,

$$d\gamma = -(\cos(x^1)x^2 dx^1 + \sin(x^1)dx^2) \wedge (-\sin x^1 dx^1 \wedge dx^2) = 0,$$

since $dx^1 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = dx^2 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2 = 0$.

2. (a) We have that

$$d(\alpha \wedge d\alpha) = d\alpha \wedge d\alpha + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d^2 \alpha = d\alpha \wedge d\alpha,$$

since $d^2 \alpha = 0$. From the (anti)commutativity rule,

$$d\alpha \wedge d\alpha = (-1)^{(k+1)^2} d\alpha \wedge d\alpha,$$

as $d\alpha$ is a $(k+1)$ -form. If k is even, $(-1)^{(k+1)^2} = -1$, and we get

$$d\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 0.$$

- (b) Let (u, v, x, y) be coordinates on \mathbb{R}^4 . Let

$$\alpha = u dv + x dy.$$

Then

$$d\alpha = du \wedge dv + dx \wedge dy,$$

and

$$d\alpha \wedge d\alpha = 2 du \wedge dv \wedge dx \wedge dy.$$

3. (a) We have that

$$d(\alpha \wedge \beta) = (d\alpha) \wedge \beta + (-1)^k \alpha \wedge d\beta, \tag{1}$$

for α a k -form and β an l -form. Take $n = 3$. For $k = l = 0$, α and β correspond to functions f and g , and $d\alpha$, $d\beta$ correspond to vector fields ∇f and ∇g . Equation (1) corresponds to

$$\nabla(fg) = g\nabla f + f\nabla g.$$

For $k = 0$, $l = 1$, β corresponds to a vector field \mathbf{E} , and $d\beta$ to $\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$. $d\alpha \wedge \beta$ corresponds to $\nabla f \times \mathbf{E}$. Equation (1) corresponds to

$$\nabla \times (f\mathbf{E}) = \nabla f \times \mathbf{E} + f\nabla \times \mathbf{E}.$$

For $k = 0$ and $l = 2$, α corresponds to a function f , β to a vector field \mathbf{B} , $d\beta$ to $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}$, $\alpha \wedge \beta$ to the vector field $f\mathbf{B}$, and $d(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ to $\nabla \cdot (f\mathbf{B})$. Equation (1) corresponds to

$$\nabla \cdot (f\mathbf{B}) = \nabla f \cdot \mathbf{B} + f \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}.$$

For $k = l = 1$, α and β correspond to vector fields \mathbf{E} and \mathbf{F} , $\alpha \wedge \beta$ to $\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}$, and $d(\alpha \wedge \beta)$ to $\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F})$. Equation (1) corresponds to

$$\nabla \cdot (\mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{F}) = (\nabla \times \mathbf{E}) \cdot \mathbf{F} - (\mathbf{E} \cdot (\nabla \times \mathbf{F})).$$

- (b) Under the correspondence between vector fields and forms on \mathbb{R}^3 , we have that $df \leftrightarrow \nabla f$ and $d(df) \leftrightarrow \nabla \times \nabla f$, so that

$$d(df) = 0 \leftrightarrow \nabla \times \nabla f = 0.$$

Next, let ϵ be a one-form on \mathbb{R}^3 and $\epsilon \leftrightarrow \mathbf{E} = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3)$. Then $d\epsilon \leftrightarrow \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ and $d(d\epsilon) \leftrightarrow \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ so that

$$d(d\epsilon) = 0 \leftrightarrow \nabla \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = 0.$$

4. Let $r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2}$. Then

$$\omega = \frac{x}{r^3} dy \wedge dz + \frac{y}{r^3} dz \wedge dx + \frac{z}{r^3} dx \wedge dy,$$

and

$$d\omega = d\frac{x}{r^3} \wedge dy \wedge dz + d\frac{y}{r^3} \wedge dz \wedge dx + d\frac{z}{r^3} \wedge dx \wedge dy.$$

We have that

$$d\frac{x}{r^3} = \frac{1}{r^3} dx - 3\frac{x}{r^4} dr,$$

and

$$dr = \frac{x}{r} dx + \frac{y}{r} dy + \frac{z}{r} dz,$$

so that

$$d\frac{x}{r^3} = \frac{1}{r^5} ((r^2 - 3x^2)dx - 3xydy - 3xzdz).$$

Then the first term in $d\omega$ is given by

$$d\frac{x}{r^3} \wedge dy \wedge dz = \frac{r^2 - 3x^2}{r^5} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz;$$

the terms in dy and dz in $d(x/r^3)$ do not contribute, since $dy \wedge dy \wedge dz = dz \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0$. The other terms in $d\omega$ are similarly computed, with the result

$$d\omega = \frac{(r^2 - 3x^2) + (r^2 - 3y^2) + (r^2 - 3z^2)}{r^5} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = \frac{3r^2 - 3(x^2 + y^2 + z^2)}{r^5} dx \wedge dy \wedge dz = 0,$$

as required.

5. * Let $A(x)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix whose components $A_{ij}(x)$ are smooth functions on \mathbb{R}^n . Recall the following formula for the determinant of A ,

$$\det A = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn } \sigma A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)}.$$

- (a) Let $\alpha^{(i)}$ be the 1-form on \mathbb{R}^n given by

$$\alpha^{(i)} = \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} dx^j.$$

Then

$$\alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} = A_{1,j_1} \cdots A_{n,j_n} dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_n}.$$

The only terms which contribute to the (implied) sum over the j_l 's are those for which the j_l 's are all distinct. In this case, they determine a unique permutation $\sigma \in S_n$ via

$$\sigma(l) = j_l.$$

Every $\sigma \in S_n$ is realised once in this way. Therefore,

$$\alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)} dx^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(n)}.$$

But

$$dx^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(n)} = \text{sgn } \sigma dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn } \sigma A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n = \det A dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n,$$

as required.

(b) The rs -th minor of A is given by

$$a_{rs} = (-1)^{s-r} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma(r)=s} \text{sgn } \sigma A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{r-1,\sigma(r-1)} A_{r+1,\sigma(r+1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)},$$

where the sum is taken over permutations $\sigma \in S_n$ for which $\sigma(r) = s$. To confirm that the sign is correct, note that, for $\sigma = \sigma_0$ given by

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \cdots & r-1 & r & r+1 & \cdots & s & s+1 & \cdots & n \\ 1 & \cdots & r-1 & s & r & \cdots & s-1 & s+1 & \cdots & n \end{pmatrix}$$

(where, for definiteness, we have taken $s \geq r$), the corresponding term in the sum is given by

$$(-1)^{s-r} \text{sgn } \sigma A_{11} \cdots A_{r-1,r-1} A_{r+1,r} \cdots A_{s,s-1} A_{s+1,s+1} \cdots A_{n,n},$$

which is the product of the diagonal elements of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ submatrix obtained by removing the r th row and the s th column from A . Since $\text{sgn } \sigma = (-1)^{s-r}$ (σ cyclically permutes the elements r through s and leaves everything else unchanged), the net sign is $((-1)^{s-r})^2 = 1$, as it should be for the product of the diagonal elements of the submatrix.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} &= (-1)^{p-1} \alpha^{(p)} \wedge \left(\alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(p-1)} \wedge \alpha^{(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^n A_{pr} dx^r \wedge \left(\alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(p-1)} \wedge \alpha^{(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} \right) \\ &= (-1)^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^n A_{pr} dx^r \wedge (A_{1,j_1} \cdots A_{p-1,j_{p-1}} A_{p+1,j_{p+1}} \cdots A_{n,j_n} dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_{p-1}} \wedge dx^{j_{p+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_n}). \end{aligned}$$

The only terms which contribute to the (implied) sum over the j_l 's are those for which the j_l 's are given by a permutation σ of the integers 1 through n with r omitted (terms with one of the j_l 's equal to r vanish because $dx^r \wedge dx^r = 0$). For these non-vanishing terms, there is a unique $\sigma \in S_n$ such that

$$\sigma(l) = \begin{cases} j_l, & l \neq p, \\ r, & l = p. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, all σ 's with $\sigma(p) = r$ are realised in this way. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} A_{1,j_1} \cdots A_{p-1,j_{p-1}} A_{p+1,j_{p+1}} \cdots A_{n,j_n} dx^{j_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_{p-1}} \wedge dx^{j_{p+1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{j_n} \\ = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n \mid \sigma(p)=r} A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{p-1,\sigma(p-1)} A_{p+1,\sigma(p+1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ \times dx^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(p-1)} \wedge dx^{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(n)} \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$dx^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(p-1)} \wedge dx^{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(n)} = (-1)^{r-p} \text{sgn } \sigma dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{r-1} \wedge dx^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n$$

(you can verify that the sign is correct by taking $\sigma = \sigma_0$, where σ_0 is given above). Substitute to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\sigma \in S_n | \sigma(p)=r} A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{p-1,\sigma(p-1)} A_{p+1,\sigma(p+1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)} \\ & \quad \times dx^{\sigma(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(p-1)} \wedge dx^{\sigma(p+1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{\sigma(n)} \\ & = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_n | \sigma(p)=r} (-1)^{r-p} \operatorname{sgn} \sigma A_{1,\sigma(1)} \cdots A_{p-1,\sigma(p-1)} A_{p+1,\sigma(p+1)} \cdots A_{n,\sigma(n)} \right) \\ & \quad \times dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{r-1} \wedge dx^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ & = a_{pr} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{r-1} \wedge dx^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the formula for the (p, r) th minor. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} &= (-1)^{p-1} \sum_{r=1}^n A_{pr} a_{pr} dx^r \wedge dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{r-1} \wedge dx^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{p-1} (-1)^{r-1} A_{pr} a_{pr} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{p-r} A_{pr} a_{pr} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since, from the first part of the question,

$$\alpha^{(1)} \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha^{(n)} = \det A dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n,$$

it follows that

$$\sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{p-r} A_{pr} a_{pr} = \det A.$$

Given $s \neq p$, let B be the matrix obtained by replacing the s th column of A by the p th column of A . Since the p th and s th columns of B are the same, it follows that $\det B = 0$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{r=1}^n B_{pr} b_{rp} = 0.$$

But $B_{pr} = A_{pr}$ while $b_{rp} = \pm a_{rs}$ (the submatrix obtained by deleting the r th row and s th column of A and the submatrix obtained by deleting r th row and p th column of B are the same up to a permutation of columns). Therefore,

$$\sum_{r=1}^n A_{pr} a_{rs} = 0, \quad s \neq p.$$

The two preceding results combine to give

$$\sum_{r=1}^n (-1)^{p-r} A_{pr} a_{sr} = \delta_{p,s} \det A.$$

(c) Let $u : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and let

$$A_j^i(x) = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j}.$$

Let

$$\beta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} u^i du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{i-1} \wedge du^{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du^n.$$

Then

$$d\beta = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^{i-1} du^i \wedge du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^{i-1} \wedge du^{i+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du^n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^n = du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^n.$$

But

$$du^i = \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial x^j} dx^j = A_j^i dx^j.$$

Use the result of the preceding question to conclude that

$$d\beta = du^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge du^n = \det A dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^n.$$

6. (a) In this case, we have that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - dx^2 \wedge dx^1) = dx^1 \wedge dx^2,$$

which implies that $\text{pf } A = 1$.

- (b) A is given by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -5 \\ 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In this case, we have that

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{2}(3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - 3 dx^2 \wedge dx^1 - 5 dx^3 \wedge dx^4 + 5 dx^4 \wedge dx^3) = 3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - 5 dx^3 \wedge dx^4.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\alpha \wedge \alpha &= \frac{1}{2}(3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - 5 dx^3 \wedge dx^4) \wedge (3 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 - 5 dx^3 \wedge dx^4) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(-15 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4 - 15 dx^3 \wedge dx^4 \wedge dx^1 \wedge dx^2) = -15 dx^1 \wedge dx^2 \wedge dx^3 \wedge dx^4, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\text{pf } A = -15$.

- (c) Under the substitution $A \mapsto \lambda A$, we have that $\alpha \mapsto \lambda \alpha$, so that $\underbrace{\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha}_{n \text{ times}} \mapsto \lambda^n \underbrace{\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha}_{n \text{ times}}$, so that $\text{pf } A \mapsto \lambda^n \text{pf } A$.
- (d) Let $B = M^T A M$. Note that since A is antisymmetric, so is B . Let

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} B_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j.$$

Then $\text{pf } B$ is given by

$$\frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta}_{n \text{ times}} = \text{pf } B dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n}. \quad (2)$$

We want to express $\text{pf } B$ in terms of $\text{pf } A$. To start, we express β in terms of A and M , as follows:

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} B_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} M_{ik}^T A_{kl} M_{lj} dx^i \wedge dx^j = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} M_{ki} A_{kl} M_{lj} dx^i \wedge dx^j$$

(the reason I'm writing in the sums over k and l explicitly is that k and l appear only as lower indices, so that the summation convention wouldn't apply to them). Let

$$dy^l := \sum_i M_{li} dx^i.$$

Then

$$\sum_k M_{ki} dx^i = dy^k,$$

and we may write that

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2} A_{kl} dy^k \wedge dy^l.$$

Then from the definition of the Pfaffian,

$$\frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta}_{n \text{ times}} = \text{pf } A dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{2n}.$$

But from Problem ??,

$$dy^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dy^{2n} = \det M dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta}_{n \text{ times}} = \text{pf } A \det M dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n}. \quad (3)$$

Comparing (2) and (3), we get that

$$\text{pf } B = \det M \text{pf } A,$$

as required.

- (e) Let $T = A^T A = -A^2$. Clearly T is symmetric. This implies that T has real eigenvalues and that T has a complete set of eigenvectors which can be chosen to have unit length and to be mutually orthogonal. Moreover, for any $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$,

$$\mathbf{w} \cdot T\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot A^T A \mathbf{w} = (A\mathbf{w}) \cdot (A\mathbf{w}) = \|A\mathbf{w}\|^2 \geq 0,$$

which implies that the eigenvalues of T cannot be negative. Suppose T has s distinct nonnegative eigenvalues, which we denote by $\lambda_1^2, \dots, \lambda_s^2$, where we take the λ_j 's to be nonnegative.

If $A = 0$, then $T = 0$, and T has a single distinct eigenvalue, namely $\lambda^2 = 0$. In this case, the result is immediate; for any orthonormal basis $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(n)}$, $\mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(n)}$, we have that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_{r(j)}\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = 0, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_{r(j)}\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

If $A \neq 0$, then T has at least one strictly positive eigenvalue. Choose one of these – call it $\lambda_*^2 > 0$, and let $V_* \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ denote the subspace of eigenvectors of T with eigenvalue λ_*^2 . That is, $\mathbf{w} \in V_*$ if and only if $T\mathbf{w} = \lambda_*^2\mathbf{w}$. Take $\mathbf{u}_{(1)} \in V_*$. WLOG, we may assume that $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$ is normalised, i.e. $\|\mathbf{u}_{(1)}\| = 1$. Let

$$\mathbf{v}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} A\mathbf{u}_{(1)}.$$

We claim that $\|\mathbf{v}_{(1)}\| = 1$, since

$$\|\mathbf{v}_{(1)}\|^2 = \frac{1}{\lambda_*^2} (A\mathbf{u}_{(1)}) \cdot (A\mathbf{u}_{(1)}) = \frac{1}{\lambda_*^2} \mathbf{u}_{(1)} \cdot A^T A \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*^2} \mathbf{u}_{(1)} \cdot T\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*^2} \lambda_*^2 \mathbf{u}_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = 1.$$

Also, we claim that $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(1)}$ are orthogonal. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{u}_{(1)} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} \mathbf{u}_{(1)} \cdot A\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = 0,$$

since A is antisymmetric (note that $\mathbf{w} \cdot A\mathbf{w} = 0$ for any vector \mathbf{w}). We have that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \lambda_* \mathbf{v}_{(1)},$$

by definition. Also,

$$A\mathbf{v}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} A^2 \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_*} T\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = -\lambda_* \mathbf{u}_{(1)},$$

as required.

It may happen that T has just two linearly independent eigenvectors with eigenvalue λ_*^2 , so that V_* is spanned by $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(1)}$. If this is the case, the next part of the argument can be skipped.

Otherwise, choose a vector $\mathbf{u}_{(2)}$ in V_* which is orthogonal to both $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(1)}$ and has length equal to one, and let

$$\mathbf{v}_{(2)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} A\mathbf{u}_{(2)}.$$

Arguing as above, we may conclude that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(2)} = \lambda_* \mathbf{v}_{(2)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(2)} = -\lambda_* \mathbf{u}_{(2)}.$$

Moreover, we claim that $\mathbf{v}_{(2)}$, like $\mathbf{u}_{(2)}$, is orthogonal to both $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(1)}$. Indeed, we have that

$$\mathbf{v}_{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} (A\mathbf{u}_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} \mathbf{u}_{(2)} \cdot A^T \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_*} \mathbf{u}_{(2)} \cdot A\mathbf{u}_{(1)} = -\mathbf{u}_{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{(1)} = 0,$$

and similarly

$$\mathbf{v}_{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} (A\mathbf{u}_{(2)}) \cdot \mathbf{v}_{(1)} = \frac{1}{\lambda_*} \mathbf{u}_{(2)} \cdot A^T \mathbf{v}_{(1)} = -\frac{1}{\lambda_*} \mathbf{u}_{(2)} \cdot A\mathbf{v}_{(1)} = \mathbf{u}_{(2)} \cdot \mathbf{u}_{(1)} = 0.$$

In this way, we have constructed orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \mathbf{u}_{(2)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \mathbf{v}_{(2)}$ in V_* satisfying

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_* \mathbf{v}_{(j)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_* \mathbf{u}_{(j)}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

If V_* is not spanned by $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \mathbf{u}_{(2)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \mathbf{v}_{(2)}$, we can find additional orthonormal vectors $\mathbf{u}_{(3)}, \mathbf{v}_{(3)}$ in V_* perpendicular to all four of $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \mathbf{u}_{(2)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \mathbf{v}_{(2)}$ and satisfying the preceding (through the same construction as for $\mathbf{u}_{(2)}$ and $\mathbf{v}_{(2)}$).

Preceding in this way, we construct an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(p)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(p)}$ for V_* such that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_* \mathbf{v}_{(j)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_* \mathbf{u}_{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p.$$

If λ_*^2 is the only eigenvalue of T , i.e. if $T = \lambda_*^2 I$, then V_* is equal to all of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , and we are done.

Otherwise, suppose T has a different nonzero eigenvalue λ_{**}^2 . Let $V_{**} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ denote the subspace of eigenvectors of T with eigenvalue λ_{**}^2 . As two eigenvectors of a symmetric matrix with distinct eigenvalues are necessarily orthogonal, it follows that every vector in V_* is perpendicular to every vector in V_{**} . Proceeding as with V_* , we can construct an orthonormal basis for V_{**} of the form $\mathbf{u}_{(p+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(p+q)}, \mathbf{v}_{(p+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(p+q)}$ such that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_{**} \mathbf{v}_{(j)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_{**} \mathbf{u}_{(j)}, \quad p+1 \leq j \leq p+q.$$

We may repeat this procedure for every distinct nonzero eigenvalue of T . In this way, we construct an orthonormal basis $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(t)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(t)}$ for the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of T associated with strictly positive eigenvalues, such that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_{r(j)} \mathbf{v}_{(j)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_{r(j)} \mathbf{u}_{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq t,$$

where $\lambda_{r(j)}^2$ is a strictly positive eigenvalue of T .

Finally, we need to consider the case where 0 is an eigenvalue of T . Let $V_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ denote the subspace of null vectors of T . We note that V_0 is necessarily orthogonal to the space spanned by eigenvectors of T associated with strictly positive eigenvalues. Next, we claim that for all $\mathbf{w} \in V_0$, we have that $A\mathbf{w} = 0$. Indeed,

$$\|A\mathbf{w}\|^2 = (A\mathbf{w}) \cdot (A\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{w} \cdot A^T A \mathbf{w} = \mathbf{w} \cdot T \mathbf{w} = 0,$$

since $T\mathbf{w} = 0$. It follows that $A\mathbf{w} = 0$. Next, let us note that V_0 is necessarily even dimensional. This is because the dimension of V_0 is equal to the dimension of \mathbb{R}^{2n} , namely $2n$, minus the dimension of the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors of T associated with strictly positive eigenvalues. As denoted above, this space is spanned by $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(t)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(t)}$, and therefore has dimension $2t$. Therefore, $\dim V_0 = 2(n - t)$.

Let $\mathbf{u}_{(t+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(n)}, \mathbf{v}_{(t+1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(n)}$ denote any orthonormal basis for V_0 . Then

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = 0, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = 0, \quad t+1 \leq j \leq n.$$

In this way, we have constructed the required orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^{2n} , denoted $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(n)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(n)}$, such that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_{r(j)} \mathbf{v}_{(j)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_{r(j)} \mathbf{u}_{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $\lambda_{r(j)}^2$ is an eigenvalue of $A^T A$.

(f) Let $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(n)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{v}_{(n)}$ be an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^{2n} such that

$$A\mathbf{u}_{(j)} = \lambda_{r(j)} \mathbf{v}_{(j)}, \quad A\mathbf{v}_{(j)} = -\lambda_{r(j)} \mathbf{u}_{(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n,$$

where $\lambda_{r(j)}^2$ is an eigenvalue of $A^T A$. Let M be the orthogonal matrix whose columns are, taken in sequence from left to right, $\mathbf{u}_{(1)}, \mathbf{v}_{(1)}, \mathbf{u}_{(2)}, \mathbf{v}_{(2)}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{(n)}, \mathbf{v}_{(n)}$. Then the preceding relations can be expressed as

$$AM = MB,$$

where B is the antisymmetric block diagonal matrix with 2×2 blocks of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{r(j)} \\ -\lambda_{r(j)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Equivalently, the only nonzero elements of B are given by

$$B_{2j-1,2j} = -B_{2j,2j-1} = \lambda_{r(j)}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

For $n = 2$, B looks like this:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{r(1)} & 0 & 0 \\ -\lambda_{r(1)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_{r(2)} \\ 0 & 0 & -\lambda_{r(2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since M is orthogonal, i.e. $M^T M = I$, we may write that

$$A = MBM^T.$$

Therefore,

$$\det A = \det B. \quad (4)$$

The determinant of a block diagonal matrix is the product of the determinants of the blocks, and

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \lambda_{r(j)} \\ -\lambda_{r(j)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_{r(j)}^2.$$

Therefore,

$$\det B = \lambda_{r(1)}^2 \lambda_{r(2)}^2 \cdots \lambda_{r(n)}^2. \quad (5)$$

The fact that $A = MBM^T$ coupled with the preceding part (d) of this question implies that

$$\text{pf } A = \det M \text{ pf } B = \pm \text{pf } B,$$

since $\det M = \pm 1$, so that

$$(\text{pf } A)^2 = (\text{pf } B)^2. \quad (6)$$

Next, we compute $\text{pf } B$ explicitly. We have that

$$\beta := \frac{1}{2} B_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j = \lambda_{r(1)} dx^1 \wedge dx^2 + \lambda_{r(2)} dx^3 \wedge dx^4 + \cdots + \lambda_{r(n)} dx^{(2n-1)} \wedge dx^{2n}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{n!} \underbrace{\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta}_{n \text{ times}} = \lambda_{r(1)} \lambda_{r(2)} \cdots \lambda_{r(n)} dx^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx^{2n}.$$

From the definition of the pfaffian, we get that

$$\text{pf } B = \lambda_{r(1)} \lambda_{r(2)} \cdots \lambda_{r(n)}.$$

Therefore,

$$(\text{pf } B)^2 = \lambda_{r(1)}^2 \lambda_{r(2)}^2 \cdots \lambda_{r(n)}^2. \quad (7)$$

From (4), (5), (6) and (7), it follows that

$$(\text{pf } A)^2 = (\text{pf } B)^2 = \det B = \det A,$$

as required.

7. Let A denote the map from spherical polar to cartesian coordinates, ie

$$\begin{aligned} A^1(r, \theta, \phi) &= x(r, \theta, \phi) = r \sin \theta \cos \phi, \\ A^2(r, \theta, \phi) &= y(r, \theta, \phi) = r \sin \theta \sin \phi, \\ A^3(r, \theta, \phi) &= z(r, \theta, \phi) = r \cos \theta. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$(A^* x)(r, \theta, \phi) = x(r, \theta, \phi) = r \sin \theta \cos \phi.$$

Then

$$A^*(dx) = d(A^* x) = d(r \sin \theta \cos \phi) = \sin \theta \cos \phi dr + r \cos \theta \cos \phi d\theta - r \sin \theta \sin \phi d\phi.$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(dy) &= d(A^*y) = d(r \sin \theta \sin \phi) \\ &= \sin \theta \sin \phi dr + r \cos \theta \sin \phi d\theta + r \sin \theta \cos \phi d\phi, \\ A^*(dz) &= d(A^*z) = d(r \cos \theta) = \cos \theta dr - r \sin \theta d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz) &= \\ (\sin \theta \cos \phi dr + r \cos \theta \cos \phi d\theta - r \sin \theta \sin \phi d\phi) &\wedge (\sin \theta \sin \phi dr + r \cos \theta \sin \phi d\theta + r \sin \theta \cos \phi d\phi) \wedge (\cos \theta dr - r \sin \theta d\theta). \end{aligned}$$

The only surviving contributions are proportional to $dr \wedge d\theta \wedge d\phi$. Combining these contributions, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} A^*(dx \wedge dy \wedge dz) &= \\ &= (r^2 \sin^3 \theta \cos^2 \phi + r^2 \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta \cos^2 \phi + r^2 \sin^3 \theta \sin^2 \phi + r^2 \sin \theta \cos^2 \theta \sin^2 \phi) dr \wedge d\theta \wedge d\phi \\ &= r^2 \sin \theta dr \wedge d\theta \wedge d\phi, \end{aligned}$$

which we recognize as the volume element in spherical polar coordinates.

8. Let $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(P, V)\}$. Suppose there is a 1-form $q = q_1 dP + q_2 dV$ and functions $U = U(P, V)$, $T = T(P, V)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} dU &= q - P dV, \\ d\left(\frac{1}{T}q\right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then applying d to the first equation, we get that

$$dq = dP \wedge dV,$$

while the second equation gives that

$$-\frac{dT}{T^2} \wedge q + \frac{1}{T} dq = 0,$$

or

$$dq = \frac{1}{T} dT \wedge q.$$

Combining the two previous equations, we get that

$$\frac{1}{T} dT \wedge q = dP \wedge dV.$$

9. Let a, b and c be three smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^2 = \{(x, y)\}$ such that any two of the 1-forms da, db and dc are linearly independent. Starting with the 2-form $da \wedge db$, we express db in terms of dc and da to get a 2-form proportional to $da \wedge dc$. Then we express da in terms of db and dc to get a 2-form proportional to $db \wedge dc$. Finally we express dc in terms of da and db to get a 2-form proportional to $da \wedge db$. Comparing the first and last expression, we get the required identity. In detail,

$$\begin{aligned} da \wedge db &= da \wedge \left(\left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a dc + \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial a} \right)_c da \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a da \wedge dc = \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a \left(\left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \right)_c db + \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial c} \right)_b dc \right) \wedge dc \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \right)_c db \wedge dc = \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \right)_c db \wedge \left(\left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial a} \right)_b da + \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial b} \right)_a db \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a \left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \right)_c \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial a} \right)_b db \wedge da, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\left(\frac{\partial a}{\partial b} \right)_c \left(\frac{\partial b}{\partial c} \right)_a \left(\frac{\partial c}{\partial a} \right)_b = -1.$$